# This is the k-nearest neighbors workbook for ECE 239AS Assignment #2

Please follow the notebook linearly to implement k-nearest neighbors.

Please print out the workbook entirely when completed.

We thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu). These are the functions in the cs231n folders and code in the jupyer notebook to preprocess and show the images. The classifiers used are based off of code prepared for CS 231n as well.

The goal of this workbook is to give you experience with the data, training and evaluating a simple classifier, k-fold cross validation, and as a Python refresher.

## Import the appropriate libraries

```
In [1]: import numpy as np # for doing most of our calculations
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt# for plotting
from cs231n.data_utils import load_CIFAR10 # function to load the CIFAR-10
dataset.

# Load matplotlib images inline
%matplotlib inline

# These are important for reloading any code you write in external .py file
s.
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-i
python
%load_ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
```

```
In [2]: # Set the path to the CIFAR-10 data
    cifarl0_dir = 'cifar-10-batches-py'
    X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)

# As a sanity check, we print out the size of the training and test data.
    print('Training data shape: ', X_train.shape)
    print('Training labels shape: ', y_train.shape)
    print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)

    print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)

('Training data shape: ', (50000, ))
    ('Test data shape: ', (10000, 32, 32, 3))
    ('Test labels shape: ', (10000,))
```

```
In [3]: # Visualize some examples from the dataset.
        # We show a few examples of training images from each class.
        classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', '
        ship', 'truck']
        num_classes = len(classes)
        samples_per_class = 7
        for y, cls in enumerate(classes):
            idxs = np.flatnonzero(y_train == y)
            idxs = np.random.choice(idxs, samples_per_class, replace=False)
            for i, idx in enumerate(idxs):
                plt idx = i * num classes + y + 1
                plt.subplot(samples_per_class, num_classes, plt_idx)
                plt.imshow(X_train[idx].astype('uint8'))
                plt.axis('off')
                if i == 0:
                    plt.title(cls)
        plt.show()
```



```
In [4]: # Subsample the data for more efficient code execution in this exercise
    num_training = 5000
    mask = list(range(num_training))
    X_train = X_train[mask]
    y_train = y_train[mask]

    num_test = 500
    mask = list(range(num_test))
    X_test = X_test[mask]
    y_test = y_test[mask]

# Reshape the image data into rows
    X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
    X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
    print(X_train.shape, X_test.shape)

((5000, 3072), (500, 3072))
```

# K-nearest neighbors

In the following cells, you will build a KNN classifier and choose hyperparameters via k-fold cross-validation.

```
In [5]: # Import the KNN class
    from nndl import KNN

In [6]: # Declare an instance of the knn class.
    knn = KNN()

# Train the classifier.
    # We have implemented the training of the KNN classifier.
    # Look at the train function in the KNN class to see what this does.
    knn.train(X=X_train, y=y_train)
```

## Questions

- (1) Describe what is going on in the function knn.train().
- (2) What are the pros and cons of this training step?

#### **Answers**

(1) The knn object is copying all of the training data into internal memory so that the points can be used as neighbors in the classifier.

(2)

- \* Pros
  - \* Very simple training algorithm, takes only two lines of code
  - \* O(1) to copy the memory
- \* Cons
  - \* Expensive memory wise

# **KNN** prediction

In the following sections, you will implement the functions to calculate the distances of test points to training points, and from this information, predict the class of the KNN.

```
In [7]: # Implement the function compute_distances() in the KNN class.
# Do not worry about the input 'norm' for now; use the default definition o
f the norm
# in the code, which is the 2-norm.
# You should only have to fill out the clearly marked sections.

import time
time_start = time.time()

dists_L2 = knn.compute_distances(X=X_test)
print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Frobenius norm of L2 distances: {}'.format(np.linalg.norm(dists_L2, 'fro')))
Time to run code: 30.6747307777
```

Time to run code: 30.6747307777 Frobenius norm of L2 distances: 7906696.07704

#### Really slow code

Note: This probably took a while. This is because we use two for loops. We could increase the speed via vectorization, removing the for loops.

If you implemented this correctly, evaluating np.linalg.norm(dists\_L2, 'fro') should return: ~7906696

#### KNN vectorization

The above code took far too long to run. If we wanted to optimize hyperparameters, it would be time-expensive. Thus, we will speed up the code by vectorizing it, removing the for loops.

```
In [8]: # Implement the function compute_L2_distances_vectorized() in the KNN class
.
# In this function, you ought to achieve the same L2 distance but WITHOUT a
ny for loops.
# Note, this is SPECIFIC for the L2 norm.

time_start =time.time()
dists_L2_vectorized = knn.compute_L2_distances_vectorized(X=X_test)
print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should
be 0): {}'.format(np.linalg.norm(dists_L2 - dists_L2_vectorized, 'fro')))
```

Time to run code: 0.22722697258 Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should be 0): 0.0

#### Speedup

Depending on your computer speed, you should see a 10-100x speed up from vectorization. On our computer, the vectorized form took 0.36 seconds while the naive implementation took 38.3 seconds.

#### Implementing the prediction

Now that we have functions to calculate the distances from a test point to given training points, we now implement the function that will predict the test point labels.

```
In [9]: # Implement the function predict_labels in the KNN class.
     # Calculate the training error (num_incorrect / total_samples)
        from running knn.predict_labels with k=1
     error = 1
     YOUR CODE HERE:
        Calculate the error rate by calling predict_labels on the test
        data with k = 1. Store the error rate in the variable error.
     pLabels = knn.predict_labels(dists_L2_vectorized, k=1)
     errors = pLabels - y_test
     errors[np.nonzero(errors)] = 1
     error = sum(errors)/num test
     # END YOUR CODE HERE
     print(error)
     0.726
```

If you implemented this correctly, the error should be: 0.726.

This means that the k-nearest neighbors classifier is right 27.4% of the time, which is not great, considering that chance levels are 10%.

# **Optimizing KNN hyperparameters**

In this section, we'll take the KNN classifier that you have constructed and perform cross-validation to choose a best value of k, as well as a best choice of norm.

#### Create training and validation folds

First, we will create the training and validation folds for use in k-fold cross validation.

```
In [10]: # Create the dataset folds for cross-valdiation.
        num_folds = 5
        X_train_folds = []
        y_train_folds = []
         # YOUR CODE HERE:
            Split the training data into num_folds (i.e., 5) folds.
            X_train_folds is a list, where X_train_folds[i] contains the
               data points in fold i.
            y_train_folds is also a list, where y_train_folds[i] contains
               the corresponding labels for the data in X train folds[i]
         # ------ #
         fold size = num training/num folds
         print X train.shape
         print y_train.shape
         perm = np.arange(X_train.shape[0])
        np.random.shuffle(perm)
        X_train_shuffled = X_train[perm,:]
        y_train_shuffled = y_train[perm]
         for i in range(num_folds):
            X_train_folds.append(X_train_shuffled[i*(fold_size):(i+1)*(fold_size)])
            y_train_folds.append(y_train_shuffled[i*(fold_size):(i+1)*(fold_size)])
         # END YOUR CODE HERE
         (5000, 3072)
         (5000,)
```

#### Optimizing the number of nearest neighbors hyperparameter.

In this section, we select different numbers of nearest neighbors and assess which one has the lowest k-fold cross validation error.

```
In [11]: | time_start = time.time()
       ks = [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30]
       # YOUR CODE HERE:
          Calculate the cross-validation error for each k in ks, testing
          the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
          together and make a plot of k vs. cross-validation error. Since
          we are assuming L2 distance here, please use the vectorized code!
          Otherwise, you might be waiting a long time.
       for i in np.arange(len(ks)):
          error = 0
          for j in np.arange(num_folds):
             X_validate = X_train_folds[j]
             y_validate = y_train_folds[j]
             X_training = np.vstack(X_train_folds[:j] + X_train_folds[j+1:])
             y_training = np.concatenate(y_train_folds[:j] + y_train_folds[j+1:]
       )
              knn.train(X=X_training, y=y_training)
              dists = knn.compute L2 distances vectorized(X=X validate)
              pLabels = knn.predict_labels(dists, k=ks[i])
              errors = pLabels - y_validate
              errors[np.nonzero(errors)] = 1
              error += sum(errors)/fold size
          error = error/num folds
          print error
       # END YOUR CODE HERE
       print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time_start))
```

- 0.7315999999999999
- 0.7602
- 0.744
- 0.728599999999999
- 0.7262000000000001
- 0.7302
- 0.7294
- 0.7242
- 0.7258
- 0.7327999999999999

Computation time: 33.87

## **Questions:**

- (1) What value of k is best amongst the tested k's?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for this value of k?

## **Answers:**

- (1) A k of 20 showed the least cross-validation error
- (2) The error was .7242

## Optimizing the norm

Next, we test three different norms (the 1, 2, and infinity norms) and see which distance metric results in the best cross-validation performance.

```
In [12]: time start =time.time()
        L1_norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord=1)
        L2_norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord=2)
        Linf_norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord= np.inf)
        norms = [L1_norm, L2_norm, Linf_norm]
        # YOUR CODE HERE:
           Calculate the cross-validation error for each norm in norms, testing
           the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
           together and make a plot of the norm used vs the cross-validation error
           Use the best cross-validation k from the previous part.
           Feel free to use the compute distances function. We're testing just
           three norms, but be advised that this could still take some time.
           You're welcome to write a vectorized form of the L1- and Linf- norms
           to speed this up, but it is not necessary.
        # -----#
        for i in np.arange(len(norms)):
           error = 0
           for j in np.arange(num_folds):
               X_validate = X_train_folds[j]
               y_validate = y_train_folds[j]
               X_training = np.vstack(X_train_folds[:j] + X_train_folds[j+1:])
               y_training = np.concatenate(y_train_folds[:j] + y_train_folds[j+1:]
               knn.train(X=X_training, y=y_training)
               dists = knn.compute distances(X=X validate, norm=norms[i])
               pLabels = knn.predict labels(dists, k=20)
               errors = pLabels - y validate
               errors[np.nonzero(errors)] = 1
               error += sum(errors)/fold_size
           error = error/num_folds
           print error
        # END YOUR CODE HERE
        print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time start))
        0.6988
```

0.8321999999999999 Computation time: 759.01

0.7242

### **Questions:**

- (1) What norm has the best cross-validation error?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for your given norm and k?

#### **Answers:**

- (1) The L1 norm has the best cross-validation error
- (2) It showed a cross-validation error of .6988

# **Evaluating the model on the testing dataset.**

Now, given the optimal k and norm you found in earlier parts, evaluate the testing error of the k-nearest neighbors model.

#### Error rate achieved: 0.72

#### Question:

How much did your error improve by cross-validation over naively choosing k=1 and using the L2-norm?

# **Answer:**

Only around .6%