# Quiz 3 Practice Problems

### 10-606

### October 4, 2025

## 1 Matrix Calculus and Optimization

- 1. Let  $f(x) = (Ax + b)^{\top} (Ax + b)$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .
  - (a) Compute  $\nabla_x f(x)$ .
  - (b) Compute the Hessian  $H(f) = \nabla_x^2 f(x)$ .

Solution. (a) Notice that

$$f(x) = (Ax + b)^{\top} (Ax + b) = x^{\top} A^{\top} Ax + 2b^{\top} Ax + b^{\top} b.$$

Then  $\nabla_x x^{\top} A^{\top} A x = 2A^{\top} A x$  and  $\nabla_x b^{\top} A x = A^{\top} b$ . So

$$\nabla_x f(x) = 2A^{\top} (Ax + b).$$

(b) Differentiate again:

$$\nabla_x^2 f(x) = 2A^{\top} A.$$

- 2. Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .
  - (a) The trace of a square matrix A, denote Tr(A), is the sum of its diagonal elements. Show that  $\text{Tr}(XY) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} y_{ji}$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\nabla_X \text{Tr}(XY) = Y^{\top}$ .

#### Solution.

(a) The entry (i,i) of XY is the i-th row of X multiplied by the i-th column of Y. That is,  $(XY)_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}y_{ji}$ . Therefore

$$Tr(XY) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (XY)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} y_{ji}.$$

(b) From above,

$$\frac{\partial \text{Tr}(XY)}{\partial x_{k\ell}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k\ell}} x_{ij} y_{ji} = y_{\ell k}.$$

(Note the indices on  $x_{k\ell}$  and  $y_{\ell k}$  are reversed!) Hence  $\nabla_X \text{Tr}(XY) = \frac{\partial \text{Tr}(XY)}{\partial X} = Y^\top$ .

3. For  $\theta, y \in \mathbb{R}^K$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^K y_i = 1$ , let

$$f(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i \log \left( \frac{e^{\theta_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{\theta_j}} \right).$$

What is  $\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta)$ ?

Solution. Define

$$g_i(\theta) = \frac{e^{\theta_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{\theta_j}}.$$

Then

$$\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i \nabla_{\theta} \log(g_i(\theta)) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{y_i}{g_i(\theta)} \nabla_{\theta} g_i(\theta).$$

Now let's compute  $\nabla_{\theta}g_i(\theta)$ . Let  $u_i$  be the *i*-th unit vector, i.e.,  $u_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ , where the 1 is in the *i*-th position. And let  $\delta_{i,k} = 1$  if i = k and 0 otherwise. Then,

$$\frac{\partial g_i(\theta)}{\partial \theta_k} = \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^K e^{\theta_j})e^{\theta_i}\delta_{i,k} - e^{\theta_i}e^{\theta_k}}{(\sum_{i=1}^K e^{\theta_j})^2} = g_i(\theta)\delta_{i,k} - \frac{g_i(\theta)}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{\theta_j}}e^{\theta_k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{\theta} g_i(\theta) = g_i(\theta) u_i - \frac{g_i(\theta)}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{\theta_j}} e^{\theta},$$

where  $e^{\theta} = (e^{\theta_1}, \dots, e^{\theta_K})^{\top}$ . Plugging this back into  $\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta)$  we get

$$\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} \left( y_i u_i - \frac{y_i e^{\theta}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{\theta_j}} \right)$$
$$= -y + \frac{e^{\theta}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{\theta_j}} \sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i$$
$$= \frac{e^{\theta}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{\theta_j}} - y.$$

4. Let  $h(w) = \log (1 + \exp(-y w^{\top} x))$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Compute  $\nabla_w h(w)$ .

**Solution.** Set  $z = y w^{\top} x$ . Then  $h(w) = \log(1 + e^{-z})$  and  $\frac{dh}{dz} = -\frac{e^{-z}}{1 + e^{-z}} = -\sigma(-z)$ , where  $\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t}}$ . Also  $\nabla_w z = yx$ . So by the chain rule,

$$\nabla_w h(w) = -\sigma(-y \, w^\top x) \, y \, x = -\frac{y \, x}{1 + \exp(y \, w^\top x)}.$$

5. For a square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $f(x) = \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x}$ . Compute  $\nabla_x f(x)$ .

**Solution.** Set  $g(x) = x^\top A x$ . Note that  $\nabla_x(x^\top x) = 2x$  (why?) and  $\nabla_x g(x) = (A + A^\top) x$ . Then

$$\nabla_x f(x) = \frac{(x^\top x) \nabla_x g(x) - g(x) 2x}{(x^\top x)^2}$$
$$= \frac{(A + A^\top) x}{x^\top x} - 2 \frac{x^\top A x}{(x^\top x)^2} x.$$

- 6. Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  be convex functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\alpha f_1$  is convex for any scalar  $\alpha \geq 0$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f_{i}$  is convex for nonnegative real numbers  $\alpha_{i}$ .
  - (c) Is  $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f_{i}$  for arbitrary real numbers  $\alpha_{i}$ ?

#### Solution.

- (a) We have  $H(\alpha f_1) = \alpha H(f_1)$  where H is the Hessian. Since  $f_1$  is convex,  $H(f_1)$  is positive semidefinite. Thus so too is  $\alpha H(f_1)$  since  $\alpha$  is nonnegative.
- (b) A similar logic applies. We have  $H(\sum_i \alpha_i f_i) = \sum_i \alpha_i H(f_i)$ . A nonnegative weighted sums of positive semidefinite matrices is also positive semidefinite (why?), hence  $H(\sum_i \alpha_i f_i)$  is positive semidefinite, proving convexity.
- (c) No,  $-x^2$  is not convex, but  $f_1(x) = x^2$  is.
- 7. Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Qx c^{\top}x$  with

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 \\ 1 & k \end{bmatrix}, \qquad c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Compute  $\nabla f(x)$ .
- (b) From  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , take one gradient descent step with step size  $\eta = 0.2$ . What is  $x_1$ ?

**Solution.** (a) For  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Qx - c^{T}x$ ,  $\nabla f(x) = Qx - c$ . (b)  $\nabla f(x_0) = Qx_0 - c = -c = \begin{bmatrix} -1, & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ . Then

$$x_1 = x_0 - \eta \nabla f(x_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 0.2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 2 Probability

- 1. You roll two fair dice.
  - (a) P(sum = 7)
  - (b) P(at least one six)
  - (c) Are the events in (a) and (b) independent?

**Solution.** (a) Favorable outcomes: (1,6), (2,5), (3,4), (4,3), (5,2), (6,1)  $\Rightarrow 6/36 = 1/6$ . (b)  $1 - P(\text{no six}) = 1 - (5/6)^2 = 11/36$ . (c) No, one event gives you information about the other event. Formally,  $P(\text{sum} = 7 \cap \text{at least one six}) = 2/36 = 1/18$ . Product  $P(\text{sum} = 7)P(\text{at least one six}) = (1/6)(11/36) = 11/216 \neq 1/18$ .

- 2. From a standard 52-card deck, draw two cards without replacement.
  - (a) What is the probability both are hearts?
  - (b) What is P(second is a heart | first is red)?

**Solution.** (a)  $(13/52) \cdot (12/51) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{12}{51} = \frac{1}{17}$ . (b) Let  $H_i$  be the event that the *i*-th card is a heart. Let  $D_1$  be the event that the first card is a diamond (the other red suit). Then  $P(H_2 \wedge \text{first red}) = P(H_1 \wedge H_2) + P(D_1 \wedge H_2) = \frac{13}{52} \frac{12}{51} + \frac{13}{52} \frac{13}{51} = \frac{25}{204}$ . (Here " $\wedge$ " means "and".) Since  $P(\text{first red}) = \frac{1}{2}$ , we get

$$P(H_2 \mid \text{first red}) = \frac{P(H_2 \land \text{first red})}{P(\text{first red})} = \frac{25/204}{1/2} = \frac{25}{102}.$$

3. Let  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  (i.e., X is 1 with probability p, 0 with probability 1-p) and define Y=1-X.

- (a) Write the joint pmf P(X, Y).
- (b) Are X and Y independent?

**Solution.** (a) P(X=1,Y=0)=p, P(X=0,Y=1)=1-p, and P(X=0,Y=0)=P(X=1,Y=1)=0. (b) Independence would require  $p=P(X=1,Y=0)=P(X=1)P(Y=0)=p\cdot p=p^2,$  so  $p\in\{0,1\}.$  Thus X and Y are not independent except in the degenerate cases p=0 or p=1.

- 4. Flip a fair coin three times. Let X be the number of heads, and  $Y = \mathbf{1}\{\text{first flip is H}\}.$ 
  - (a) Write the joint pmf P(X, Y).
  - (b) Compute P(X = 3 | Y = 1).

**Solution.** (a) If Y = 1, the remaining two flips have 0, 1, 2 heads with probabilities 1/4, 1/2, 1/4, giving

$$P(Y = 1, X = 1) = \frac{1}{8}, \quad P(Y = 1, X = 2) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad P(Y = 1, X = 3) = \frac{1}{8}.$$

If Y = 0, then  $X \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  with the same 1/4, 1/2, 1/4 and each multiplied by 1/2:

$$P(Y = 0, X = 0) = \frac{1}{8}, \quad P(Y = 0, X = 1) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad P(Y = 0, X = 2) = \frac{1}{8}.$$

All other pairs have probability 0. For (b),

$$P(X=3 \mid Y=1) = P(\text{remaining two are HH}) = 1/4.$$

5. Show that

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)},$$

if  $P(B) \neq 0$ . This is known as Bayes' theorem.

**Solution.** By definition,  $P(A|B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B)$  and  $P(B|A) = P(A \cap B)/P(A)$ . Solving for  $P(\cap B)$  in the second equation and plugging it into the first gives

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)},$$

which is what we wanted.

- 6. An urn contains 5 red, 3 blue, and 2 green balls. Two balls are drawn without replacement. What is:
  - (a) The probability both are red.
  - (b) The probability the two balls are the same color.
  - (c) The probability the second ball is blue, given the first was green.

#### Solution.

(a)  $P(\text{both red}) = \frac{5}{10} \cdot \frac{4}{9} = \frac{20}{90} = \frac{2}{9}.$ 

(b) Sum over colors (RR, BB, GG):

 $P(\text{same color}) = \frac{5}{10} \cdot \frac{4}{9} + \frac{3}{10} \cdot \frac{2}{9} + \frac{2}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{9} = \frac{20 + 6 + 2}{90} = \frac{14}{45}.$ 

(c) After drawing a green first, the urn has 5 red, 3 blue, 1 green left; total 9 balls. Thus

 $P(\text{second blue} \mid \text{first green}) = \frac{3}{9} = \frac{1}{3}.$ 

- 7. This is a classic phenomenon known as the "birthday paradox." Suppose there are 23 people in a room. Let's assume their birthdays are uniformly distributed across the days of the year. We're going to show that the probability that two people share a birthday is more than 50%.
  - (a) Let A be the event that some two people in the room share a birthday. Express this probability in terms of the chances that no two people share a birthday.
  - (b) Label the 23 people from 1 to 23. Let  $E_k$  be the event that person k does not share a birthday with person 1 through k-1. What is  $P(E_k|E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_{k-1})$ ?
  - (c) Express  $P(\cap_{i=1}^{23} E_i)$  as a product of terms that look like  $P(E_k | \cap_{j < k} E_j)$ . (Recall that  $\cap_{j < k} E_j$  is just a concise way of writing  $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_{k-1}$ .)
  - (d) What is P(A)?

#### Solution.

- (a)  $P(A) = 1 P(A^c)$ , where  $A^c$  is the event that no two people share a birthday.
- (b) If the event  $\cap_{j < k} E_j$  occurs, it implies that persons 1 through k-1 have birthdays on different days. Given that event, person k must have a birthday on one of the 365 (k-1) remaining days of the year for  $E_k$  to occur. Therefore,

$$P(E_k | \cap_{j < k} E_j) = \frac{365 - (k-1)}{365}.$$

(c) Recursively use the definition of conditional expectation:

$$P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{23} E_k) = P(E_{23} | \bigcap_{k=1}^{22} E_k) P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{22} E_k)$$

$$= P(E_{23} | \bigcap_{k=1}^{22} E_k) P(E_{22} | \bigcap_{k=1}^{21} E_k) P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{21} E_k)$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= P(E_{23} | \bigcap_{k=1}^{22} E_k) P(E_{22} | \bigcap_{k=1}^{21} E_k) \dots P(E_{2} | E_1) P(E_1).$$

(d) Note that the event  $A^c$  is precisely the event  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{23} E_k$ . Therefore, using the expansion of  $P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{23} E_k)$  above, we have

$$P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{23} E_k) = \frac{343}{365} \cdot \frac{344}{365} \cdots \frac{364}{365} \approx 0.492.$$

(Note that  $P(E_1) = 1$ .)

(e)  $P(A) = 1 - P(A^c) \approx 1 - 0.492 = 0.508$ .