

Recitation 4: Recursion and Dynamic Programming

1 Recursion

1. In class you saw how to implement binary search via recursion. Now try to implement it as an iterative algorithm instead.

Solution. Suppose the input is a sorted array $A[1 \dots n]$ and a target value x . An iterative version of binary search maintains the current search interval $[\ell, r]$ and repeatedly halves it:

Algorithm 1 IterativeBinarySearch(A, x)

```
1:  $\ell \leftarrow 1, r \leftarrow n$ 
2: while  $\ell \leq r$  do
3:    $m \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\ell + r}{2} \right\rfloor$ 
4:   if  $A[m] = x$  then
5:     return  $m$  ▷ found at index  $m$ 
6:   else if  $A[m] < x$  then
7:      $\ell \leftarrow m + 1$ 
8:   else
9:      $r \leftarrow m - 1$ 
10:  end if
11: end while
12: return “not found”
```

At each iteration the size of the interval $[\ell, r]$ is at least halved, so the number of iterations (and thus the running time) is $O(\log n)$.

2. In class you saw a recursive algorithm to compute the n -th power of real number x . This algorithm ran in $\Omega(n)$ time. Is it possible to design a recursive algorithm that runs in $O(\log(n))$ time? (You may assume that n is an integer.) If so, provide the algorithm. If not, prove that it's impossible.

Solution. Yes. We can use exponentiation by squaring.

- If $n = 0$, return 1.
- If n is even, write $n = 2k$ and note $x^n = x^{2k} = (x^k)^2$.
- If n is odd and positive, write $n = 2k + 1$ and note $x^n = x^{2k+1} = x \cdot x^{2k}$.

This leads to the recursive algorithm:

Algorithm 2 FastPower(x, n)

```
1: if  $n = 0$  then
2:   return 1
3: else if  $n$  is even then
4:    $y \leftarrow \text{FASTPOWER}(x, n/2)$ 
5:   return  $y \cdot y$ 
6: else
7:   return  $x \cdot \text{FASTPOWER}(x, n - 1)$ 
8: end if
```

Let $T(n)$ be the running time (number of recursive calls). For even n , we have

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1),$$

and for odd n , $T(n) = T(n - 1) + O(1)$, followed by the even case. Overall, the argument n is reduced to at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ after at most two steps, so the recursion depth is $O(\log n)$, and thus the running time is $O(\log n)$.

3. Design a recursive algorithm which generates all the subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. It should take n as input.

Solution. A natural recursive approach is to decide, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, whether i is included in the subset or not. We can implement a helper procedure that builds subsets incrementally.

Let the main function call a recursive helper:

Algorithm 3 GenerateSubsets(n)

```
1: BACKTRACK(1,  $\emptyset$ )
```

The helper procedure:

Algorithm 4 Backtrack(i, S)

```
1: if  $i > n$  then
2:   print  $S$  ▷  $S$  is a complete subset
3:   return
4: end if
5: BACKTRACK( $i + 1, S$ ) ▷ Case 1: do not include  $i$ 
6: BACKTRACK( $i + 1, S \cup \{i\}$ ) ▷ Case 2: include  $i$ 
```

At each level i we branch into two choices (include or exclude i), so this generates all 2^n subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

2 Dynamic Programming

1. Suppose you can climb stairs either one or two steps at a time. Design an algorithm to compute the total number of distinct ways to climb a staircase with n stairs.

Solution. Let $\text{ways}[k]$ be the number of distinct ways to climb to the top of a staircase with k steps.

- To reach step k , either the last move was from step $k - 1$ (a 1-step) or from step $k - 2$ (a 2-step).
- Thus every way to reach step k either
 - (a) is a way to reach step $k - 1$ followed by a 1-step, or
 - (b) is a way to reach step $k - 2$ followed by a 2-step.
- These two sets are disjoint, so

$$\text{ways}[k] = \text{ways}[k - 1] + \text{ways}[k - 2].$$

We also need base cases. There is exactly one way to be at step 0 (do nothing), and one way to reach step 1 (take a single 1-step), so:

$$\text{ways}[0] = 1, \quad \text{ways}[1] = 1.$$

This leads to the following DP:

Algorithm 5 CountWays(n)

```

1: if  $n = 0$  or  $n = 1$  then
2:   return 1
3: end if
4: create array  $\text{ways}[0 \dots n]$ 
5:  $\text{ways}[0] \leftarrow 1, \text{ways}[1] \leftarrow 1$ 
6: for  $k = 2$  to  $n$  do
7:    $\text{ways}[k] \leftarrow \text{ways}[k - 1] + \text{ways}[k - 2]$ 
8: end for
9: return  $\text{ways}[n]$ 

```

The running time and space are both $O(n)$. (We can reduce the space to $O(1)$ by just keeping the last two values.)

2. You are given an unlimited supply of coins with denominations 1, 5, 10, 25, and 100 cents. Given a non-negative integer S (in cents), design an algorithm that returns the number of distinct combinations of coins that sum to S . Two combinations that differ only in the order of the same coins are considered the same.

Solution. We will use a 2D dynamic programming table. Let the coin denominations be stored in an array $c[1], \dots, c[m]$, where here $m = 5$ and $c = [1, 5, 10, 25, 100]$.

Define

$$\text{dp}[i][s]$$

to be the number of distinct combinations to make sum s using only the first i coin types $\{c[1], \dots, c[i]\}$. Our goal is to compute $\text{dp}[m][S]$.

Base cases.

- There is exactly one way to make sum 0 using any subset of coins: take no coins. So for all i we set

$$\text{dp}[i][0] = 1.$$

- If we use 0 coin types, we cannot make any positive sum:

$$\text{dp}[0][s] = 0 \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Recurrence. To compute $\text{dp}[i][s]$ for $i \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$, consider coin $c[i]$:

- We *do not* use coin $c[i]$: then we must make s using only the first $i - 1$ coins, which can be done in $\text{dp}[i - 1][s]$ ways.
- We *do* use coin $c[i]$ at least once: remove one $c[i]$, leaving sum $s - c[i]$ still to be formed using coins $\{c[1], \dots, c[i]\}$ (we can still use coin i again). There are $\text{dp}[i][s - c[i]]$ such combinations, provided $s \geq c[i]$.

These two cases are disjoint and cover all possibilities, so

$$\text{dp}[i][s] = \text{dp}[i - 1][s] + \begin{cases} \text{dp}[i][s - c[i]], & \text{if } s \geq c[i], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Algorithm.

Algorithm 6 CountCoinCombinations(S)

```

1:  $c \leftarrow [1, 5, 10, 25, 100]$ 
2:  $m \leftarrow 5$ 
3: create table  $\text{dp}[0 \dots m][0 \dots S]$  and set all entries to 0
4: for  $i = 0$  to  $m$  do
5:    $\text{dp}[i][0] \leftarrow 1$  ▷ one way to make sum 0
6: end for
7: for  $i = 1$  to  $m$  do
8:   for  $s = 1$  to  $S$  do
9:      $\text{dp}[i][s] \leftarrow \text{dp}[i - 1][s]$  ▷ do not use coin  $c[i]$ 
10:    if  $s \geq c[i]$  then
11:       $\text{dp}[i][s] \leftarrow \text{dp}[i][s] + \text{dp}[i][s - c[i]]$  ▷ use coin  $c[i]$ 
12:    end if
13:  end for
14: end for
15: return  $\text{dp}[m][S]$ 

```

The table has $(m + 1)(S + 1)$ entries, and each is computed in $O(1)$ time, so the running time and memory usage are both $O(mS)$. In our case $m = 5$, so this is $O(S)$ in practice. The row index i ensures that combinations are counted without regard to the order in which coins appear.

3. Given an array of integers A , design an algorithm to compute the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) in A . The numbers in the subsequence do not have to be adjacent in A . For example: If $A = [3, -2, 5, -4]$ the answer is 2. If $A = [12, 1, 2, -1, 10, 5, 7, -3, 12, 6]$ the LIS is 1, 2, 5, 7, 12 so you should output 5.

Solution. Let $A[1 \dots n]$ be the array. Define:

$dp[i]$ = length of the longest increasing subsequence that ends at position i .

Then:

- Every LIS ending at position i includes $A[i]$ as its last element.
- Any such subsequence can be obtained by taking an LIS ending at some position $j < i$ with $A[j] < A[i]$, and then appending $A[i]$.
- So for each i ,

$$dp[i] = 1 + \max_{\substack{1 \leq j < i \\ A[j] < A[i]}} dp[j],$$

and if there is no $j < i$ with $A[j] < A[i]$, we just have $dp[i] = 1$ (the subsequence consisting only of $A[i]$).

After computing all $dp[i]$, the length of the overall LIS is

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} dp[i].$$

Algorithm:

Algorithm 7 LISLength($A[1 \dots n]$)

```
1: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
2:    $dp[i] \leftarrow 1$ 
3: end for
4: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
5:   for  $j = 1$  to  $i - 1$  do
6:     if  $A[j] < A[i]$  then
7:        $dp[i] \leftarrow \max(dp[i], dp[j] + 1)$ 
8:     end if
9:   end for
10: end for
11: return  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} dp[i]$ 
```

The outer loop runs n times and the inner loop up to $i - 1$ times, so the running time is $O(n^2)$ and the space is $O(n)$.