

Recitation 1: Logic & Basic Proofs

1 Truth tables and equivalences

Decide if the following statements are equivalent. You can use truth tables or logical basic identities.

- a) $p \rightarrow q$ vs. $\neg p \vee q$.
- b) $p \wedge (q \vee r)$ vs. $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$.
- c) $p \leftrightarrow q$ vs. $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$.
- d) $\neg(p \wedge q)$ vs. $\neg p \vee \neg q$.
- e) $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$ vs. $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$.

Solution. All pairs are equivalent. Sketches: (a) Truth table; (b) Truth table or rule of distribution; (c)

$$\begin{aligned} p \leftrightarrow q &\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee p) \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee p)) \vee (q \wedge (\neg q \vee p)) \\ &\equiv ((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge p)) \vee ((q \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge p)) \\ &\equiv ((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee F) \vee (F \vee (q \wedge p)) \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge p). \end{aligned}$$

(d) truth table or De Morgan; (e) $(\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee r \equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$.

Decide whether each is a tautology / contradiction / contingent:

- f) $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$
- g) $(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$
- h) $(p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q)$

Solution. (a) tautology; (b) tautology (captures modus ponens); (c) tautology (negating both sides preserves biconditional).

Prove using equivalence laws (no truth tables):

- i) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$.
- j) $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$.

Solution. (a) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r) \equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r \equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee r \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$.

(b) LHS $\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \equiv \neg p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$.

2 From English to Logic

Convert the following sentences to propositional logic.

- a) “Alice will meet Bob unless Bob is seeing Claire.”
- b) “You cannot access the dataset if you lack credentials unless the PI has approved you.”
- c) “The server restarts iff the watchdog fails or the temperature exceeds the threshold, but not both.”
- d) “If the model overfits, then either the dataset is too small or the regularizer is disabled.”

Solution. (a) Let A = “Alice will meet Bob” and B = Bob is seeing Claire. Then a solution is: $\neg B \rightarrow A$.

(b) Let C = “have credentials”, A = “PI approved”, D = “can access dataset”. Then a solution is: $\neg A \rightarrow (\neg C \rightarrow \neg D)$.

(c) Let R = “server restarts”, W = “watchdog fails”, T = “temperature exceeds threshold”. Then: $R \leftrightarrow ((W \vee T) \wedge \neg(W \wedge T))$.

(d) Let O = “model overfits”, S = “dataset too small”, Reg = “regularizer enabled”. Then: $O \rightarrow (S \vee \neg \text{Reg})$.

3 Inference rules & short derivations

- a) From $A \vee B$, $\neg A \rightarrow C$, $\neg B \rightarrow C$, is C entailed?
- b) Show: from $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ and $\neg q$ infer $p \rightarrow r$.

Solution. (a) Not entailed. Countermodel: take $A = T$, $B = T$, $C = F$. Then $A \vee B$ true; both $\neg A$ and $\neg B$ are false so $\neg A \rightarrow C$ and $\neg B \rightarrow C$ are vacuously true; yet C is false.

(b) Assume p . From $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ get $q \vee r$. Since $\neg q$ we obtain r .

4 Basic Proofs

Here $a \bmod b = c$ is the same as $a \equiv c \bmod b$.

1. Show: If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ then $a \mid (mb + nc)$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution. $b = au$, $c = av \Rightarrow mb + nc = a(mu + nv)$.

2. Show that $a^2 \bmod 4 = 0$ or $a^2 \bmod 4 = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution. If a even: $a = 2k \Rightarrow a^2 = 4k^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If a odd: $a = 2k + 1 \Rightarrow a^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

3. Show: For all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ then $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{m}$.

Solution. Suppose $x - y = km$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x + y = km + 2y$, so

$$x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y) = (km)(km + 2y) = k^2m^2 + 2kmy = m(mk^2 + 2ky),$$

which is a multiple of m .

4. Prove the triangle inequality. That is, show that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$.

Solution. Since both $|x| + |y|$ and $|x + y|$ are nonnegative by definition, it suffices to prove that $(|x + y|)^2 \leq (|x| + |y|)^2$. To see this, write

$$(|x| + |y|)^2 = x^2 + 2|x||y| + y^2 \geq x^2 + 2xy + y^2 = |x + y|^2.$$