```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
np.random.seed(10)
```

Resampling

Section 1: Regression (abalone age)

```
In [ ]: data=pd.read_csv('HWs/HW4/Files/homework-4/data/abalone.csv')
In [ ]: # Create target variable age from rings
        data['age'] = data['rings'] + 1.5
        # Dummy encode gender variable
        data = pd.get_dummies(data, columns=['type'],dtype=int, drop_first=True)
        # Create interation terms
        data['shucked weight*longest shell'] = data['shucked weight'] * data['longest shell']
        data['longest_shell*diamter'] = data['longest_shell'] * data['diameter']
        data['shucked_weight*shell_weight'] = data['shucked_weight'] * data['shell_weight']
        # Scale data
        scaler = StandardScaler()
        scaled_array = scaler.fit_transform(data)
        scaled_data = pd.DataFrame(scaled_array, columns = data.columns)
        scaled data.head()
        # Create test/train splits of data
        X = data.drop(['rings', 'age'], axis=1)
        Y = data['age']
        X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(
            X, Y, test_size=.25
        # Setup 5-fold cross-validation for training set
        from sklearn.model selection import KFold
        kf = KFold(5, shuffle=True)
        X_train_splits_CV, Y_train_splits_CV = ([], [])
        X_test_splits_CV, Y_test_splits_CV = ([], [])
        X train.reset index(drop=True, inplace=True)
        Y_train.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
        indices = []
        for train_index, test_index in kf.split(X_train):
            indices.append((train index, test index))
```

```
print(f"Size of training splits: {len(indices[0][0])}")
print(f"Size of testing splits: {len(indices[0][1])}")
```

```
Size of training splits: 2505
Size of testing splits: 627
```

Question 2

- k-fold cross validation is a resampling method used to approximate testing error without an actual test data-set. Specifically, k-fold CV is when the testing data is split randomly into k equally sized folds. For each fold f, the other k-1 folds are used to train the model, which is then evaluated on fold f. This is repeated for each fold an the average of the k "test" errors is the final result.
- k-fold CV should be used in place of the error of fitting the model on the entire training set since it is not useful to examine results for which the model has been trained on. If we only looked at training set error, the most flexible model would perform best. However, this would be a very poor estimate of the error that would be observed on a real test set. Instead, k-fold CV provides a better alternative since the model is evaluated on data that it was not trained on.
- "If we split the training set into two and used one of those two splitsto evaluate/compare our models, what resampling method would webe using?" This is an example of a basic 50/50 validation set approach.

Questions 3 / 4

There are 10 parameters for knn and 5 folds -> 50 models

There is only a single linear regression model and 5 folds -> 5 models

There are 10*10 parameter combinations for EN and 5 folds -> 500 models

Total Models Fit: 555

```
params_knn = {'n_neighbors': [i for i in range(1,11)]}
In []:
        params elastic net = {
             'l1_ratio': np.arange(0,1.01,1/9),
             'alpha': [i*.2 for i in range(1,11)]}
In [ ]: from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsRegressor
        from sklearn.linear model import ElasticNet, LinearRegression
        from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV, cross_val_score
        knn = KNeighborsRegressor()
        grid knn = GridSearchCV(
            estimator = knn,
            param_grid = params_knn,
            scoring='neg_mean_squared_error',
            cv=indices,
            verbose=1).fit(X_train,Y_train)
        lr = LinearRegression()
        lr_cv = cross_val_score(
            estimator=lr,
            X=X_train,
            y=Y_train,
```

```
cv=indices,
    scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
en = ElasticNet(tol=1e-3,max iter=5000)
grid en = GridSearchCV(
    estimator=en,
    param_grid=params_elastic_net,
    scoring='neg_mean_squared_error',
    cv=indices,
    verbose=1).fit(X train,Y train)
sd_lr = []
for train_index, test_index in indices:
    lr.fit(X_train.iloc[train_index], Y_train.iloc[train_index])
    predictions = lr.predict(X_train.iloc[test_index])
    resid = Y_train.iloc[test_index] - predictions
    sd_lr.append(np.std(resid, ddof=1))
mean_sd_lr = np.mean(sd_lr)
```

Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits Fitting 5 folds for each of 100 candidates, totalling 500 fits

Question 5

While the linear regression model had the lowest validation error, it had significantly higher standard error of residuals than the best KNN model, which performed almost as well on an RMSE basis. Thus, we conclude that the k=9 KNN model performed the best.

```
print(f"Best KNN model: {grid knn.best estimator }")
In [ ]:
        print(f"
                     RMSE: {round(np.sqrt(-grid_knn.best_score_),2)}, SE: {round(grid_knn.cv_res
        print("")
        print(f"Linear Regression Cross-Val Results:")
        print(f'
                      RMSE: {round(np.sqrt(-np.mean(lr_cv)),2)}, SE: {round(mean_sd_lr,4)}')
        print('')
        print(f"Best EN model: {grid_en.best_estimator_}")
                     RMSE: {round(np.sqrt(-grid_en.best_score_),2)}, SE: {round(grid_en.cv_resul
        Best KNN model: KNeighborsRegressor(n_neighbors=9)
             RMSE: 2.23, SE: 0.3603
        Linear Regression Cross-Val Results:
              RMSE: 2.17, SE: 2.1722
        Best EN model: ElasticNet(alpha=0.2, l1_ratio=1.0, max_iter=5000, tol=0.001)
             RMSE: 2.73, SE: 0.7445
```

```
In []: from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error

knn = grid_knn.best_estimator_.fit(X_train,Y_train)
lr = lr.fit(X_train,Y_train)
en = grid_en.best_estimator_.fit(X_train,Y_train)

mse_knn = mean_squared_error(knn.predict(X_test), Y_test)
mse_lr = mean_squared_error(lr.predict(X_test), Y_test)
mse_en = mean_squared_error(en.predict(X_test), Y_test)
```

We can see that the CV validation error was a very good proxy for actual test error!

Section 2: Classification (Titanic survival)

Question 7

```
In [ ]: data = pd.read csv('HWs/HW4/Files/homework-4/data/titanic.csv')
         from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
         np.random.seed(10)
        X = data.drop('survived', axis=1)
        Y = data['survived']
        Y = pd.DataFrame(Y.replace({'Yes':1,'No':0}))
        # Perform the same data modifications as for HW3
        X.drop(['passenger_id', 'name', 'ticket', 'cabin', 'embarked'], axis=1, inplace=True)
        X['age'] = X['age'].interpolate(method='linear')
        X = pd.get_dummies(X, columns=['pclass', 'sex'], dtype=int, drop_first=True)
        X['sex_male*fare'] = X['sex_male'] * X['fare']
        X['age*fare'] = X['age'] * X['fare']
        # Scale the data
         scaler = StandardScaler()
        X_scaled = pd.DataFrame(scaler.fit_transform(X))
        X scaled.columns = X.columns
        x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_scaled,Y, train_size=.7, stratify=
In []: kf = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True)
         x_{train_sets_CV}, y_{train_sets_CV} = ([],[])
         x_{\text{test\_sets\_CV}}, y_{\text{test\_sets\_CV}} = ([],[])
         x_train.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
         y_train.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
         for train_index, test_index in kf.split(x_train):
```

x_train_sets_CV.append(x_train.iloc[train_index])

```
y_train_sets_CV.append(y_train.iloc[train_index])
x_test_sets_CV.append(x_train.iloc[test_index])
y_test_sets_CV.append(y_train.iloc[test_index])

print(f"Size of CV training sets: {len(x_train_sets_CV[0])}")

print(f"Size of CV testing sets: {len(x_test_sets_CV[0])}")

Size of CV training sets: 498
Size of CV testing sets: 125
```

Question 8 / 9 / 10

Here we upsample the minority class in each fold.

```
In [ ]: params_knn = {'n_neighbors': [i for i in range(1,11)]}
        params_elastic_net = {
             'l1_ratio': np.arange(0,1.01,1/9),
             'C': [.2*j for j in range(1,11)]}
In [ ]: from sklearn.utils import resample
        from sklearn.model_selection import ParameterGrid
        from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
        from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
        from sklearn.metrics import roc auc score, roc curve
        cv_results_knn, cv_results_en = ([],[])
        lr roc = []
        for j, val in enumerate(x_train_sets_CV):
            x_majority = val.loc[y_train_sets_CV[j][y_train_sets_CV[j] == 0].dropna().index]
            y_majority = y_train_sets_CV[j][y_train_sets_CV[j] == 0].dropna()
            x_minority = val.loc[y_train_sets_CV[j][y_train_sets_CV[j] == 1].dropna().index]
            y_minority = y_train_sets_CV[j][y_train_sets_CV[j] == 1].dropna()
            x minority upsampled, y minority upsampled = resample(
                x_minority,
                y minority,
                replace=True,
                n_samples=x_majority.shape[0],
            x_train_upsampled = pd.concat([x_majority, x_minority_upsampled])
            y_train_upsampled = pd.concat([y_majority, y_minority_upsampled])
            x train sets CV[j] = x train upsampled
            y_train_sets_CV[j] = y_train_upsampled
```

Then, a manual grid search is performed, since 'GridSearchCV' cannot handle our upsampled data.

```
roc = roc_auc_score(predictions, y_test_sets_CV[j])
       # Store the results
        cv_results_knn_fold.append(roc)
    cv_results_knn.append({'paramters': params,
                            'area under ROC':np.mean(cv results knn fold)})
# Fit the EN models w/ l1 ratio in .1:1 and alpha in .2:2
for params in ParameterGrid(params_elastic_net):
    cv results en fold = []
    for j in range(5):
       model = LogisticRegression(penalty='elasticnet',solver='saga',max_iter=5000,tol=
        model.fit(x_train_sets_CV[j], y_train_sets_CV[j])
       predictions = model.predict(x_test_sets_CV[j])
        roc = roc_auc_score(predictions, y_test_sets_CV[j])
       # Store the results
        cv results en fold.append(roc)
    cv_results_en.append({'paramters': params,
                            'area under ROC':np.mean(cv results en fold)})
# Fit the linear model for each fold
for j in range(5):
    model = LogisticRegression().fit(x_train_sets_CV[j], y_train_sets_CV[j])
    predictions = model.predict(x test sets CV[j])
    lr_roc.append(roc_auc_score(predictions, y_test_sets_CV[j]))
```

Question 11

```
print("######## KNN #######")
In [ ]:
        print(f"Average AUROC: {np.mean([d['area under ROC'] for d in cv results knn])}")
        print(f"Average std of AUROC results: {np.std([d['area_under_ROC'] for d in cv_results_k
        print(f"Best model: {cv_results_knn[[d['area_under_ROC'] for d in cv_results_knn].index(
        print('')
        print('######## EN #######")
        print(f"Average AUROC: {np.mean([d['area_under_ROC'] for d in cv_results_en])}")
        print(f"Average std of AUROC results: {np.std([d['area_under_ROC'] for d in cv_results_e
        print(f"Best model: {cv results en[[d['area under ROC'] for d in cv results en].index(ma
        print('')
        print('##### Logistic Regression #####')
        print(f"Average AUROC: {np.mean(lr_roc)}")
        print(f"Average std of AUROC results: {np.std(lr roc)}")
        print(f"Best model: N/A, no hyper-parameters")
        ######## KNN ########
        Average AUROC: 0.7737723598742522
        Average std of AUROC results: 0.014645252041560333
        Best model: {'paramters': {'n_neighbors': 8}, 'area_under_ROC': 0.7913642807541821}
        ######## EN ########
        Average AUROC: 0.7641605782902401
        Average std of AUROC results: 0.002260049487136677
        Best model: {'paramters': {'C': 0.2, 'l1_ratio': 0.8888888888888888888}, 'area_under_ROC':
        0.7685216903070776}
        ##### Logistic Regression #####
        Average AUROC: 0.7669419124221886
        Average std of AUROC results: 0.037714211914069797
        Best model: N/A, no hyper-parameters
```

We can see that the KNN (k=8) model performed best based on the AORC metric. It did have a higher std of AORC than the EN models, but still was not significant relative

to the result. Hence, we choose KNN (k=8) as the best performing model based on its average 5-fold validation set error.

Question 12

Fit data to entire training and testing sets

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
       # Must encode outcome as binary to use roc auc score
       y_train = y_train.replace({'Yes':1, 'No':0})
       knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=8).fit(x_train,y_train)
       lr = LogisticRegression().fit(x train,y train)
       train auroc = {'KNN':roc auc score(knn.predict(x train), y train),
                    'EN': roc auc score(en.predict(x train), y train),
                    'Logistic Regression': roc_auc_score(lr.predict(x_train), y_train)}
        k_fold_auroc = {'KNN': np.mean([d['area_under_ROC'] for d in cv_results_knn]),
                       'EN': np.mean([d['area under ROC'] for d in cv results en]),
                       'Logistic Regression': np.mean(lr_roc)}
       test_auroc = {'KNN': roc_auc_score(knn.predict(x_test), y_test),
                   'EN': roc_auc_score(en.predict(x_test), y_test),
                   'Logistic Regression': roc_auc_score(lr.predict(x_test), y_test)}
       pd.DataFrame([train_auroc,k_fold_auroc,test_auroc], index=['Training Set AUROC', 'Averag
                                        TN. Logistic Degression
Out[ ]:
```

	KNN	EN	Logistic Regression
Training Set AUROC	0.858904	0.787364	0.786952
Average 5-fold CV AUROC	0.773772	0.764161	0.766942
Testing Set AUROC	0.781313	0.757358	0.739267

We can see that KNN (k=8) performed best on the validation set AUROC curve as well as on the testing set, as we would expect since the validation set serves an estimate to true test error.

min
$$\hat{\xi}_{i}(Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2} = min \hat{\xi}_{i}(Y_{i} - \hat{\beta})^{2}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda \hat{\beta}} = \lambda \cdot \hat{\xi} \left(Y_1 - \hat{\beta} \right) = 0$$

$$\hat{\xi} Y_1 - \hat{\beta} \gamma = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\xi} Y_1$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\xi} Y_1$$

$$(ov [\hat{\beta}^{(1)}, \hat{\beta}^{(2)}] = (ov [\frac{1}{n-1} \hat{\xi}^{2} Y_{i}, \frac{1}{n-1} \hat{\xi}^{2} Y_{i}]$$

$$= q(icv [A, C) + q) (cv [A, D)$$

$$+ b((ov [B, C) + b) (cv [B, D)$$

$$+ b((ov [B, C) + b) (cv [B, D)$$

$$+ cov [Y_{4}, Y_{4}] + ... + t$$

$$(cv [Y_{4}, Y_{4}])$$

$$= \frac{(1-\lambda)}{(1-1)^{2}} . o^{-2}$$

$$= \frac{(1-\lambda)}{(1-1)^{2}} \cdot \sigma^{2}$$