# Linear Algebra Group Project - Bethany Wu & Alex Stapley Problem 4: Preconditioning GMRES

Part a:

a) Sho

Show that this problem is equivalent to considered in P3 i.e., Show that an the first problem is also a solution to problem and vice versa.

Assume x is a solution to Ax=0, then sides by M-'

M-'Ax=M-'b Thus, x is also a solution to M-'A

Conversely, if x is a solution to M-'A

We can multiply both sides by M MM-'Ax=MM-'b => Ax=b Soxis

Will all candidate solutions have the both problems?

The residual for the original is r = b - Axpreconditioned system  $\tilde{r} = M^{-1}(b - Ax)$   $M\tilde{r} = MM^{-1}(b - Ax) \implies M\tilde{r} = b - Ax$ 

Substitute Ax=b-r

M== b-(b-r)

Mr=r So, the residuals are related by th

#### Part b:

Argue that A~ and b~ can be calculated efficiently, even though they formally involve a matrix inverse. (This is a requirement for a preconditioning matrix to be useful.)

$$A \sim = A1^{-1} A$$


$$b \sim = A1^{-1} A$$

A is a tridiagonal matrix, therefore the inverse can be found easily.

#### Part c: Solve the FEM Problem with the Preconditioned Matrix

% For 
$$V(x) = 1$$
  
FEM\_M(0)


### Error from GMRES Algorithm to Solve the FEM Proble



Number of Iterations


Warning: Ignoring extra legend entries.

## Solution from GMRES Algorithm to Solve the FEM Prob




% For 
$$V(x) = n + 1$$
  
FEM\_M(1)

## Error from GMRES Algorithm to Solve the FEM Problem



Number of Iterations

#### Solution from GMRES Algorithm to Solve the FEM Prob



**Part d:** How quickly does the convergence rate for your GMRES algorithm compare with that in problem 3? Why? (Hint: Consider the condition number of the two problems.)

```
ns = [128 64 32 16];
% For V(x) = 1
disp("For V(x)=1");
```

For V(x)=1

```
gamma=1;
for n=ns
    [A1,A,b] = BVPtoVar_A1(n,gamma);
    A_t = inv(A1).*A; b_t = inv(A1)*b;
    fprintf("Condition number of A for n=%d: %.4f",n,cond(A));
    fprintf("Condition number of A_tilda for n=%d: %.4f",n,cond(A_t));
end
```

```
Condition number of A for n=128: 6641.5371
Condition number of A_tilda for n=128: 127.0152
Condition number of A for n=64: 1685.7630
Condition number of A_tilda for n=64: 63.0302
Condition number of A for n=32: 434.0470
Condition number of A_tilda for n=32: 31.0596
Condition number of A for n=16: 114.7320
Condition number of A_tilda for n=16: 15.1160
```

```
% For V(x) = n+1
disp("For V(x)=n+1");
```

```
for n=ns
    gamma = n+1;
    [A1,A,b] = BVPtoVar_A1(n,gamma);
    A_t = inv(A1).*A; b_t = inv(A1)*b;
    fprintf("Condition number of A for n=%d: %.4f",n,cond(A));
    fprintf("Condition number of A_tilda for n=%d: %.4f",n,cond(A_t));
end

Condition number of A for n=128: 323.9814
Condition number of A_tilda for n=128: 124.3314
```

Condition number of A\_tilda for n=128: 124.3314 Condition number of A for n=64: 160.9510 Condition number of A\_tilda for n=64: 61.5609 Condition number of A for n=32: 79.3595 Condition number of A\_tilda for n=32: 30.2978 Condition number of A for n=16: 38.4365 Condition number of A\_tilda for n=16: 14.7603

The preconditioned A\_t is better than A because the preconditioner can help to improve the cond of a matrix A by converting to cond(M^-1A). Also, it can reduce the calculation of a large matrix.

## 'FEM' function for solving the finite-element formulation of the variational problem for different $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} V(x)$

```
function FEM_M(m)
    ns = [128 64 32 16];
    Is = [2 \ 4 \ 8 \ 16 \ 32 \ 64 \ 128];
    error thresh = 10e-6;
    figure(1);
    figure(2);
    for n = ns
        gamma = n*m+1;
        errors = []; iters = [];
        [A1,A,b] = BVPtoVar_A1(n,gamma);
        A_t = inv(A1).*A; b_t = inv(A1)*b;
        M = diag(ones(n,1));
        x0 = zeros(n,1);
        for I = Is
            if I > n
                 points = linspace(0,1,n+2);
                 figure(2); plot(points,[0 x 0], 'LineWidth',2); hold on;
            end
            x = gmres_BA(I,b_t,x0,n,M,A_t);
            e = norm(b-A t*x')/n;
            errors = [errors e];
            iters = [iters I];
            if e < error thresh</pre>
                 break;
            end
```

```
end
    figure(1); loglog(iters,errors,'LineWidth',3); hold on;
end

figure(1); title('Error from GMRES Algorithm to Solve the FEM
Problem','FontSize',16);
    xlabel('Number of Iterations','FontSize',16); ylabel('Error','FontSize',16);
    legend('n = 128','n = 64','n = 32','n = 16','FontSize',14); grid on; hold off;

figure(2); title('Solution from GMRES Algorithm to Solve the FEM
Problem','FontSize',16);
    xlabel('Points','FontSize',16); ylabel('x','FontSize',16);
    leg = legend('n = 128','n = 64','n = 32','n = 16','FontSize',14);
title(leg,'Size of A (nxn)','FontSize',14);
    grid on; hold off;
end
```

# 'BVPtoVar\_A1' (boundary value problem to variational problem) function that returns A1 (the preconditioned matrix)

```
function [A1,A,b] = BVPtoVar_A1(n,gamma)
    dx = 1/(n+1);
    % Forming A1
    side_A1 = ones(n-1,1)*(-1/(dx));
    diag_A1 = ones(n,1)*(2/dx);
    A1 = diag(side_A1,-1)+diag(diag_A1)+diag(side_A1,1);
    % Forming A2
    side_A2 = ones(n-1,1)*(gamma/2);
    A2 = diag(-side_A2,-1)+diag(side_A2,1);

A = A1+A2;
    b = ones(n,1)*dx;
end
```

#### The GMRES algorithm with a preconditioner matrix, M

```
function x = gmres_BA(I,b,x0,n,M,A)
    r0 = b-A*x0;
    beta = norm(r0);
    V = zeros(n,n+1); W = zeros(n);
    V(:,1) = r0/beta;
    H = zeros(n+1,n);
    for j = 1:I
        W(:,j) = A*V(:,j);
        for i = 1:j+1
            H(i,j) = dot(W(:,j),M*V(:,i));
            W(:,j) = W(:,j)-H(i,j)*V(:,i);
    end
        H(j+1,j) = norm(W(:,j));
    if H(j+1,j) == 0
            break;
```

```
end
    V(:,j+1) = W(:,j)./H(j+1,j);
end
[n,m] = size(H);
a = zeros(n,1); a(1) = beta;
ys = lsqlin(H,a);
for i = 1:length(ys)
    x(i) = V(i,1:length(ys))*ys;
end
end
```