BLACKBOARD PROOFS

CSE202 - WEEK 4

1. Quadratic convergences

1. For the inverse.

Start from

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + y_n(1 - ay_n).$$

Multiplying by -a and adding 1 yields

$$1 - ay_{n+1} = 1 - ay_y - ay_n(1 - ay_n) = (1 - ay_n)^2.$$

Dividing by a concludes that

$$\frac{1}{a} - y_{n+1} = a \left(\frac{1}{a} - y_n\right)^2.$$

2. For the square root.

Start from the Newton iteration

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_n + \frac{a}{y_n} \right),$$

subtract \sqrt{a} and factor, to get

$$y_{n+1} - \sqrt{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_n - 2\sqrt{a} + \frac{a}{y_n} \right),$$
$$= \frac{1}{2y_n} \left(y_n^2 - 2\sqrt{a}y_n + a \right),$$
$$= \frac{\left(y_n - \sqrt{a} \right)^2}{2y_n}.$$

2. How can one reduce division to 3 multiplications?

The question "Why $3 \operatorname{Mul}(n/2)$?" in the slide corresponds to a refinement of the algorithm that is not the one described in the previous slide. In order to get a complexity as low as these $3 \operatorname{Mul}(n/2)$ operations, two observations need to be made:

- (1) the first multiplication $a \times s$ is a multiplication of a polynomial of degree k (the value of s obtained recursively) by a polynomial of degree n (a). In order to perform this multiplication, it is sufficient to rewrite a as $a_0 + X^k a_1$ with deg a_0 and deg a_1 smaller than k, compute both products $a_0 \times s$ and $a_1 \times s$ and conclude in O(n) operations;
- (2) by design, $a \times s = 1 + O(X^k)$, which means that there is a polynomial \tilde{a} of degree at most k such that $1 as = X^k \tilde{a}$. Thus in order to compute the first n coefficients of $s \times (1 as)$, it is sufficient to compute the first k coefficients of $s \times \tilde{a}$, which costs only Mul(n/2) operations.

3. Complexity of Euclidean division

The algorithm starts by reverting lists of coefficients, which does not use any arithmetic operation on the coefficients. Next, it computes the inverse of a power series at precision $\deg A - \deg B + 1$, so that when $\deg A = cn$ and $\deg B = n$, this is precision (c-1)n+1 and by the result on inversion of power series this has complexity $O(\operatorname{Mul}((c-1)n))$. By the second inequality on Mul, this is $O(\operatorname{Mul}(n))$. The next operation is a multiplication by \tilde{A} , again in Mul(n) operations on the coefficients. Reversing the coefficients of \tilde{Q} to recover Q does not cost any arithmetic operation. Finally, the computation of the remainder R uses one multiplication in degree n, in Mul(n) and one subtraction in O(n) operations. Summing up the costs of these individual operations gives a complexity in $O(\operatorname{Mul}(n))$ operations.