

# Intellectual Workers of the World, Unite!

## *Reject the Western Intellectual Property Rights Regime*

[ Please distribute this as widely as possible, wherever appropriate.

This communication is publicly available at: [120033/misc/Announcements/120078-mayDay2025Us.pdf](https://120033/misc/Announcements/120078-mayDay2025Us.pdf). ]

May 1, 2025

Dear Colleague:

Happy May day. Intellectual Workers of the World Unite!

I broadly address you as a colleague, grounded in how our professions intersect with patents and copyright — so-called Intellectual Property (IP). I am a software and internet engineer.

In this context, you and I are both “Intellectual Workers.” Our professions — be it: software, engineering, teaching, research, arts, journalism, medicine, pharmacy, plant biology, and so on — all involve producing or propagating Intellectual Property. A doctor prescribing patented medications is propagating patents. A software engineer working at Microsoft, Google, Amazon, Facebook, Apple, etc., is creating copyrighted, opaque, proprietary software and internet services.

Thus, the mechanisms of patents and copyrights deeply affect our professions. The purpose of this communication with you is in the context of each of our professions’ responsibility towards health of society and the responsibilities of each of us towards our profession.

This framing of responsibility stands apart from the conventional, economic Americanist model. Even when IP’s harms are recognized, Americanism — the model of economic creatures existing in an exploitative industrial context — is superficial and reductionist. On April 12, 2025, Jack Dorsey tweeted: “Delete all IP law.” Shortly after that, Elon Musk chimed in with a simple “I agree.” That conclusion is correct — but such declarations demand solid reasoning. Long before these Americanist billionaires were born, Imam Khomeini had ruled: “Western copyright and patents create no rights and require no conformance.” Ayatollah Motahari taught: “The effects of intellect cannot belong to individuals.”

The reasoning for deletion of all IP law must be interdisciplinary and include foundations of philosophy, ethics, sociology, and engineering. I have done this systematically in my book. This isn’t about ideology, convenience or grandstanding.

We have all been born into the belief system of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), which makes it profoundly difficult for us to even conceive that this foundational framework of ownership might be fundamentally flawed. With so many institutions and individuals deeply vested in the IPR model, it feels almost heretical to question its validity. But the truth is stark: the concept of Intellectual Property is a fundamental and colossal mistake. The Western Intellectual Property Rights regime, both directly and indirectly, undermines individual autonomy, erodes personal privacy, and compromises the health of society. The IPR framework has unleashed forces that lead to the continual degradation of our freedom and self-determination. It empowers corporate hegemony — Corpocracy — at the expense of humanity. These harms are real, though still poorly understood.

The debate on validity of Intellectual Property can now be closed. I have constructed a model and vocabulary that enable us to **prove** that copyright and patent laws are invalid as forms of personal property. This proof is rooted in nature and logic — not in consensus or belief systems. Thus, the invalidity of the Western IP regime is universal and transcends societal boundaries. Western IP is universally invalid.

I have recently published:

**Nature of Polyexistentials:**

**Basis for Abolishment of the Western Intellectual Property Rights Regime  
And Introduction of the Libre-Halaal ByStar Digital Ecosystem**

Digital:: First US Edition — <https://github.com/bxplpc/120033> — Download: 8.5x11 — A4

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8003401>

This book is publicly available in many forms, with no restrictions on copying. Download it. Buy it. Borrow it. Share it.

To move forward, we must transcend Americanist posturing and instead engage in deeper substance. If you take the time to read the work, I would truly value your feedback.

Mistakes in ownership rules are matters we cannot afford to overlook.

At your service,

Mohsen Banan	<a href="http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net">http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net</a>
محسن بنان	<a href="http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/persian">http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/persian</a>
Libre-Halaal Foundation	<a href="http://www.freeprotocols.org">http://www.freeprotocols.org</a>
ByStar (By*)	<a href="http://www.by-star.net">http://www.by-star.net</a>
ByStar at Github	<a href="https://github.com/mohsenBanan">https://github.com/mohsenBanan</a>
Contact	<a href="http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/contact">http://mohsen.1.banan.byname.net/contact</a>
Email	<a href="mailto:mohsen.banan.byname@gmail.com">mailto://mohsen.banan.byname@gmail.com</a>