

ENG1005: Lecture 32

Lex Gallon

June 5, 2020

Contents

Simple Laplace Transforms - continued	1
The s-shifting property §11.2.4	2
Theorem	2
Proof	2
Example	2
Derivatives of Laplace Transforms §11.2.4	3
Theorem	3
Proof	3
Example	3
The Laplace Transforms of derivatives §11.3.1	4
Theorem	4
Proof	4

Video link

https://echo360.org.au/lesson/G_32340f5d-ff38-43d2-be9d-d88ddb1b3611_b944cecf-8ba5-40d3-a870-02020-06-03T14:58:00.000_2020-06-03T15:53:00.000/classroom#sortDirection=desc

Simple Laplace Transforms - continued

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[1](s) &= \frac{1}{s}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0 \\ \mathcal{L}[t](s) &= \frac{1}{s^2}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0 \\ \mathcal{L}[e^{kt}](s) &= \frac{1}{s-k}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > \operatorname{Re}(k)\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Laplace transform to

$$\cos(\omega t) = \frac{e^{i\omega t} + e^{-i\omega t}}{2}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0,$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[\cos(\omega t)](s) &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}[e^{i\omega t}](s) + \mathcal{L}[e^{-i\omega t}](s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{s - i\omega} + \frac{1}{s + i\omega} \right), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0,\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{L}[\cos(\omega t)](s) = \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

Similar calculations starting from

$$\sin(\omega t) = \frac{e^{i\omega t} - e^{-i\omega t}}{2}$$

shows that

$$\mathcal{L}[\sin(\omega t)](s) = \frac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

The s-shifting property §11.2.4

Theorem

Suppose $f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a function whose Laplace transform

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s)$$

is defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma$, and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)](s) = F(s - a), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma + \operatorname{Re}(a).$$

Proof

From

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)](s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-st} e^{at} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-(s-a)t} f(t) dt \\ &= F(s - a) \text{ where } F(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\operatorname{Re}(s - a) > \sigma \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma + \operatorname{Re}(a),$$

so we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)](s) = F(s - a), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma + \operatorname{Re}(a).$$

Example

Compute

$$\mathcal{L}[te^{2t}](s).$$

Solution

Let

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}[t] = \frac{1}{s^2}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

So by the s-shifting property, we see that

$$\mathcal{L}[e^{2t}t](s) = F(s-2) = \frac{1}{(s-2)^2}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 2.$$

Derivatives of Laplace Transforms §11.2.4

Theorem

Suppose $f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a function whose Laplace Transform

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s)$$

is defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma$.

Then

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n f(t)] = (-1)^n \frac{d^n F(s)}{ds^n}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof

Differentiating

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt, \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma,$$

with respect to s gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n F(s)}{ds^n} &= \frac{d}{ds^n} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{ds^n} (e^{-st} f(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{ds^n} (e^{-st}) f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (-1)^n t^n e^{-st} f(t) dt \\ &= (-1)^n \int_0^\infty e^{-st} (-1)^n f(t) dt \\ &= (-1)^n \mathcal{L}[t^n f(t)](s) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}[t^n f(t)](s) &= (-1)^n \frac{d^n F(s)}{ds^n}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D. (note we don't know how to prove that the domain remains the same but know that it is).

Example

Compute

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n](s).$$

Proof

Let

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}[1](s) = \frac{1}{s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n](s) = \mathcal{L}[t^n \cdot 1](s) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n F(s)}{ds^n} = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left(\frac{1}{s} \right).$$

It's easy to see that

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n](s) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left(\frac{1}{s} \right) = \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}.$$

So in summary

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n](s) = \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

The Laplace Transforms of derivatives §11.3.1

Theorem

Suppose that $f(t)$, $t \geq 0$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{d^j f}{dt^j}(t) \right| \leq M e^{\sigma t}, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

for some $M > 0$, and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\frac{d^j f}{dt^j}(t) \right] (s) = s^j F(s) - \sum_{k=1}^j s^{j-k} \frac{d^{j-k} f}{dt^{j-k}}(0), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s).$$

Some examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \left[\frac{df}{dt}(t) \right] (s) &= s \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) - f(0) \\ \mathcal{L} \left[\frac{d^2 f}{dt^2}(t) \right] (s) &= s^2 \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) - s f(0) - \frac{df}{dt}(0) \end{aligned}$$

Proof

From the definition

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\frac{df}{dt}(t) \right] (s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{df}{dt}(t) dt,$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \left[\frac{df}{dt}(t) \right] (s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{df}{dt}(t) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T e^{-st} \frac{df}{dt}(t) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{-st} f(t) \Big|_0^T - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-st}) f(t) dt \right] \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{-sT} f(T) - f(0) + s \int_0^T e^{-st} f(t) dt \right]
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-sT} f(T) \right| &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |e^{-sT} f(T)| \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| e^{-\operatorname{Re}(s)T} e^{-\operatorname{Im}(s)iT} f(T) \right| \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| e^{-\operatorname{Re}(s)T} \right| \left| e^{-\operatorname{Im}(s)iT} \right| |f(T)| \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\operatorname{Re}(s)T} |f(T)| \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\operatorname{Re}(s)T} M e^{\sigma T} \\
&= M \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(\operatorname{Re}(s)-\sigma)T} = 0, \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\frac{df}{dt}(t) \right] (s) = -f(0) + s\mathcal{L}[f(t)](s)$$