Boundary Value Problems
a SpringerOpen Journal

CrossMark

Existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Schrödinger integrable boundary value problem

Jianjie Wang[1], Ali Mai[2] and Hong Wang[3*]

*Correspondence:
wangh0629@tom.com
[3]Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi, Malaysia
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper is mainly devoted to the study of one kind of nonlinear Schrödinger differential equations. Under the integrable boundary value condition, the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of this equation are discussed by using new Riesz representations of linear maps and the Schrödinger fixed point theorem.

Keywords: Integrable boundary value; Nonlinear Schrödinger differential equation; Schrödinger fixed point theorem

1 Introduction

The nonlinear Schrödinger differential (NSD) equation is one of the most important inherently discrete models. NSD equations play a crucial role in the modeling of a great variety of phenomena, ranging from solid state and condensed matter physics to biology [1–4]. For example, they have been successfully applied to the modeling of localized pulse propagation optical fibers and wave guides, to the study of energy relaxation in solids, to the behavior of amorphous material, to the modeling of self-trapping of vibrational energy in proteins or studies related to the denaturation of the NSD double strand [5].

In 1961, Gross considered a NSD equation with Dirac distribution defect (see [6]),

$$iu_t + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} + q\delta_a u + g(|u|^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $u = u(x,t)$ is the unknown solution maps $\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ into $\mathbb{C}$, δ_a is the Dirac distribution at the point $a \in \mathbf{\Omega}$, namely, $\langle \delta_a, v \rangle = v(a)$ for $v \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$, and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ represents its intensity parameter. Such a distribution is introduced in order to model physically the defect at the point $x = a$ (see [7]). The function g represents a generalization of the classical nonlinear Schrödinger equation (see for example [8]). As for other contributions to the analysis of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, we refer to Refs. [9–12] and the references therein.

In this paper, we consider the following NSD equation:

$$\mathfrak{X}_s = x + \int_0^s b(s, \mathfrak{X}_s)\,ds + \int_0^s h(s, \mathfrak{X}_s)\,d\langle \mathfrak{B} \rangle_s + \int_0^s \sigma(s, \mathfrak{X}_s)\,d\mathfrak{B}_s, \tag{1}$$

where $0 \le s \le S$ and $\langle \mathfrak{B} \rangle$ is the quadratic variation of the Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}$.

Springer

It is worth mentioning that (1) comes from an expansion of the Feynman path integral from Brownian-like to Lévy-like quantum mechanical paths (see [13] for details). When the coefficients b, h and σ are constants in (1), the Lévy dynamics becomes the Brownian dynamics, and (1) reduces to the classical stochastic differential equation

$$\mathfrak{Y}_s = \xi + \int_s^S f(s, \mathfrak{Y}_s, \mathfrak{Z}_s)\,ds + \int_s^S g(s, \mathfrak{Y}_s, \mathfrak{Z}_s)\,d\langle B\rangle_s - \int_s^S \mathfrak{Z}_s\,d\mathfrak{B}_s - (\mathfrak{K}_S - \mathfrak{K}_s) \tag{2}$$

under standard Lipschitz conditions on $f(s, y, z)$, $g(s, y, z)$ in y, z and the $L_G^p(\Omega_S)$ ($p > 1$) integrability condition on ξ. The solution $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{K})$ is universally defined in the space of the Schrödinger framework, in which the processes have a strong regularity property. It should be noted that K is a decreasing Schrödinger martingale.

It is well known that classical stochastic differential equations are encountered when one applies the stochastic maximum principle to optimal stochastic control problems. Such equations are also encountered in the probabilistic interpretation of a general type of systems quasilinear PDEs, as well as in finance (see [13–15] for details).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce some notions and results. In Sect. 3, the main results and their proofs are presented.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and preliminary results in Schrödinger framework which are needed in the following section. More details can be found in [16–19].

Let $\Gamma_S = C_0([0, S]; R)$, the space of real valued continuous functions on $[0, S]$ with $w_0 = 0$, be endowed with the distance (see [20])

$$d\left(w^1, w^2\right) := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max_{0 \leq s \leq N} |w_s^1 - w_s^2|) \wedge 1}{2^N} \tag{3}$$

and let $\mathfrak{B}_s(w) = w_s$ be the canonical process. Denote by $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq S}$ the natural filtration generated by $\mathfrak{B}_s$, let $L^0(\Gamma_S)$ be the space of all $\mathbb{F}$-measurable real functions. Let

$$L_{ip}(\Gamma_S) := \left\{\phi(\mathfrak{B}_{s_1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{B}_{s_n}) : \forall n \geq 1, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in [0, S], \forall \phi \in C_{b, Lip}(R^n)\right\},$$

where $C_{b, Lip}(R^n)$ denotes the set of bounded Lipschitz functions in R^n (see [21]).

In the sequel, we will work under the following assumptions.

(H1) For $u \in R^3$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi(x) \in L_G^2(\Gamma_S)$, $f(\cdot, u)$, $g(\cdot, u)$, $b(\cdot, u)$, $h(\cdot, u)$, $\sigma(\cdot, u) \in M_G^2(0, S)$;

(H2) For $u^1, u^2 \in R^3$, there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$\left\|f(s, u^1) - f(s, u^2)\right\| \vee \left\|b(s, u^1) - f(s, u^2)\right\| \vee \left\|A(s, u^1) - A(s, u^2)\right\| \leq C_1\left\|u^1 - u^2\right\|$$

and

$$\left\|\Phi(x^1) - \Phi(x^2)\right\| \leq C_1\left\|x^1 - x^2\right\|;$$

(H3) For $u^1, u^2 \in R^3$, there exists a positive constant C_2 such that

$$\left[A(s, u^1) - A(s, u^2), u^1 - u^2\right] \leq -C_2\left\|u^1 - u^2\right\|^2.$$

A sublinear functional on $L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$ satisfies: for all $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$,

(I) monotonicity: $\mathfrak{E}[\mathfrak{X}] \geq \mathfrak{E}[\mathfrak{Y}]$ if $\mathfrak{X} \leq \mathfrak{Y}$;

(II) constant preserving: $\mathfrak{E}[C] = C$ for $C \in R$;

(III) sub-additivity: $\mathfrak{E}[\mathfrak{X} + \mathfrak{Y}] \leq \mathfrak{E}[\mathfrak{X}] + \mathfrak{E}[\mathfrak{Y}]$;

(IV) positive homogeneity: $\mathfrak{E}[\lambda \mathfrak{X}] = \lambda \mathfrak{E}[\mathfrak{X}]$ for $\lambda \geq 0$.

The tripe $(\Gamma, L_{ip}(\Gamma_S), \mathfrak{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space and E is called a sublinear expectation.

Definition 2.1 (see [22]) A random variable $\mathfrak{X} \in L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$ is the Schrödinger normal distributed with parameters $(0, [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$, i.e., $\mathfrak{X} \sim N(0, [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$ if for each $\phi \in C_{b, L_{ip}}(R)$,

$$u(s, x) := \mathfrak{E}\big[\phi(x + \sqrt{t}\mathfrak{X})\big]$$

is a viscosity solution to the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + G\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \\ u_{s_0} = \phi(x), \end{cases}$$

on $R^+ \times R$, where

$$G(a) := \frac{a^+ \overline{\sigma}^2 - a^- \underline{\sigma}^2}{2}$$

and $a \in R$.

Definition 2.2 (see [23]) We call a sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathfrak{E}} : L_{ip}(\Gamma_S) \to R$ a Schrödinger expectation if the canonical process $\mathfrak{B}$ is a Schrödinger Brownian motion under $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}[\cdot]$, that is, for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq S$, the increment $\mathfrak{B}_s - \mathfrak{B}_s \sim N(0, [\underline{\sigma}^2(s-s), \overline{\sigma}^2])(s-s)$ and for all $n > 0$, $0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_n \leq S$ and $\varphi \in L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{E}}\big[\varphi(\mathfrak{B}_{s_1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{B}_{s_{n-1}}, \mathfrak{B}_{s_n} - \mathfrak{B}_{s_{n-1}})\big] = \hat{\mathfrak{E}}\big[\psi(\mathfrak{B}_{s_1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{B}_{s_{n-1}})\big],$$

where

$$\psi(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) := \hat{\mathfrak{E}}\big[\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \sqrt{s_n - s_{n-1}}\mathfrak{B}_1)\big].$$

We can also define the conditional Schrödinger expectation $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}_s$ of $\xi \in L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$ knowing $L_{ip}(\Gamma t)$ for $t \in [0, S]$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that ξ has the representation

$$\xi = \varphi\big(\mathfrak{B}(s_1), \mathfrak{B}(s_2) - \mathfrak{B}(s_1), \ldots, \mathfrak{B}(s_n) - \mathfrak{B}(s_{n_1})\big)$$

with $t = s_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, and we put

$$\hat{\mathfrak{E}}_{s_i}\big[\varphi\big(\mathfrak{B}(s_1), \mathfrak{B}(s_2) - \mathfrak{B}(s_1), \ldots, \mathfrak{B}(s_n) - \mathfrak{B}(s_{n-1})\big)\big]$$
$$= \tilde{\varphi}\big(\mathfrak{B}(s_1), \mathfrak{B}(s_2) - \mathfrak{B}(s_1), \ldots, \mathfrak{B}(s_i) - \mathfrak{B}(s_{i-1})\big),$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1,\ldots,x_i) = \hat{\mathfrak{E}}\big[\varphi\big(x_1,\ldots,x_i,\mathfrak{B}(s_{i+1}) - \mathfrak{B}(s_i),\ldots,\mathfrak{B}(s_n) - \mathfrak{B}(s_{n-1})\big)\big].$$

For $p \geq 1$, we denote by $L_G^p(\Gamma_S)$ the completion of $L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$ under the natural norm

$$\|\mathfrak{X}\|_{p,G} := \big(\hat{\mathfrak{E}}\big[|\mathfrak{X}|^p\big]\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$\hat{\mathfrak{E}}$ is a continuous mapping on $L_{ip}(\Gamma_S)$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,G}$. Therefore, it can be extended continuously to $L_G^1(\Gamma_S)$ under the norm $\|X\|_{1,G}$.

Next, we introduce the Itô integral of Schrödinger Brownian motion.

Let $M_G^0(0,S)$ be the collection of processes in the following form: for a given partition $\pi_S = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_N\}$ of $[0,S]$, set

$$\eta_s(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(w) I_{[s_k, s_{k+1})}(s),$$

where $\xi_k \in L_{ip}(\Gamma_{tk})$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$ are given.

For $p \geq 1$, we denote by $H_G^p(0,S)$, $M_G^p(0,S)$ the completion of $M_G^0(0,S)$ under the norm

$$\|\eta\|_{H_G^p(0,S)} = \left\{ \hat{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\left(\int_0^S |\eta_s|^2\, ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\|\eta\|_{M_G^p(0,S)} = \left\{ \hat{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\left(\int_0^S |\eta_s|^p\, ds \right) \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

respectively. It is easy to see that

$$H_G^2(0,S) = M_G^2(0,S).$$

As in [24], for each $\eta \in H_G^p(0,S)$ with $p \geq 1$, we can define Itô integral $\int_0^S \eta_s\, d\mathfrak{B}_s$. Moreover, the following $B - D - G$ inequality holds.

Let $\mathfrak{G}_G^\alpha(0,S)$ denote the collection of processes $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{K})$ such that $\mathfrak{Y} \in S_G^\alpha(0,S)$, $\mathfrak{Z} \in H_G^\alpha(0,S)$, K is a decreasing Schrödinger martingale with $\mathfrak{K}_0 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{K}_S \in L_G^\alpha(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [25]) *Assume that $\xi \in L_G^\beta(\Gamma_S), f, g \in M_G^\beta(0,S)$ and satisfy the Lipschitz condition for some $\beta > 1$. Then Eq. (2) has a unique solution $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{K}) \in \mathfrak{G}_G^\alpha(0,S)$ for any $1 < \alpha < \beta$.*

In [26], the authors also got the explicit solution of the following special type of NSD equation.

Lemma 2.2 *Assume that $\{a_s\}_{s\in[0,S]}$, $\{c_s\}_{s\in[0,S]}$ are bounded processes in $M_G^1(0,S)$ and $\xi \in L_G^1(\Gamma_S)$, $\{m_s\}_{s\in[0,S]}$, $\{n_s\}_{s\in[0,S]} \in M_G^1(0,S)$. Then the NSD equation*

$$\mathfrak{Y}_s = \hat{\mathfrak{E}}_s\left[\xi + \int_s^S (a_s\mathfrak{Y}_s + m_s)\, ds + \int_s^S (c_s\mathfrak{Y}_s + n_s) d\langle\mathfrak{B}\rangle_s \right]$$

has an explicit solution,

$$\mathfrak{Y}_s = (\mathfrak{X}_s)^{-1} \hat{\mathfrak{E}}_s \left[\mathfrak{X}_S \xi + \int_s^S (m_s)\, ds + \int_s^S (n_s)\, d\langle \mathfrak{B} \rangle_s \right],$$

where

$$\mathfrak{X}_s = \exp\left(\int_0^s a_s\, ds + \int_0^s c_s\, d\langle \mathfrak{B} \rangle_s \right).$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [27]) *Suppose that a nonnegative real sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} = 1$ satisfying*

$$8a_{i+1} \leq 2a_i + a_{i-1}$$

for any $i \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive constant c, such that $2^i a_i \leq c$ for any $i \geq 0$.

3 Main results and their proofs

In this section, we introduce the main results and their proofs.

Let $u := (x, y, z)$, $A(s, u) := (-g(s, u), h(s, u), \sigma(s, u))$. $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the usual inner product in real number space and $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

Our first main result can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that* (H1)–(H3) *are satisfied. Then there exists $s \in [0, S]$ such that* (1) *has a nontrivial and nonnegative solution.*

Proof Let a nonnegative real sequence $\{u^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{F}$ such that $\{A(s, u^{(k)})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded Lipschitz functions in R^n and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(1 + \left\| u^{(k)} \right\| \right) \left\| A'\left(s, u^{(k)}\right) \right\| = 0.$$

So there exists a positive constant C_3 such that $|A(s, u^{(k)})| \leq C_3$ (see [28]), which concludes that

$$2C_3 \geq 2A\left(s, u^{(k)}\right) - \left\langle A'\left(s, u^{(k)}\right), u^{(k)} \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \gamma_n \left[g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) u_n^{(k)} - 2h\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) \right]. \tag{4}$$

It follows from (H1) and (4) that

$$\left| F(u_n) \right| \leq \frac{\nu - \omega}{4\bar{\gamma}} u_n^2 \tag{5}$$

for any $|u_n| \leq \eta$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and η is a positive real number satisfying $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

Then (H2) and (5) immediately give

$$g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) u_n^{(k)} > 2h\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

$$h\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) \leq \left[p + q \left| u_n^{(k)} \right|^{\mu/2} \right] \left[g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) u_n^{(k)} - 2h\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) \right]. \tag{7}$$

By Lemma 2.3, (6) and (7), we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^2
$$

$$
= A\big(s, u^{(k)}\big) + \frac{\tau}{2}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|_{l^2}^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(|u_n^{(k)}| \leq \eta)} \varrho_n h\big(s, u_n^{(k)}\big) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(|u_n^{(k)}| \geq \eta)} \varrho_n h\big(s, u_n^{(k)}\big)
$$

$$
\leq A\big(s, u^{(k)}\big) + \frac{\tau}{2\underline{\nu}}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^2 + \frac{\underline{\nu} - \tau}{4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(|u_n^{(k)}| \leq \eta)} \big(u_n^{(k)}\big)^2
$$

$$
+ \bar{\varrho} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(|u_n^{(k)}| \geq \eta)} \big[p + q|u_n^{(k)}|^{\mu/2}\big]\big[g\big(s, u_n^{(k)}\big)u_n^{(k)} - 2h\big(s, u_n^{(k)}\big)\big]
$$

$$
\leq c + \frac{\tau}{2\underline{\nu}}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^2 + \frac{\underline{\nu} - \tau}{4\underline{\nu}}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^2 + 2c\bar{\varrho}\big(p + q\underline{\nu}^{\mu/2}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^\mu\big),
$$

which gives

$$
\frac{\underline{\nu} - \tau}{4\underline{\nu}}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^2 \leq c + 2c\bar{\varrho}\big(p + q\underline{\nu}^{\mu/2}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^\mu\big).
$$

It is obvious that the nonnegative real sequence $\{u^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in E, so there exists a positive constant C_4 such that (see [29])

$$
\left\|u^{(k)}\right\| \leq C_4 \tag{8}
$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives $u^{(k)} \rightharpoonup u^{(0)}$ in E as $k \to \infty$.

Let ε be a given number. Then there exists a positive number ζ such that

$$
\big|g(s, u)\big| \leq \varepsilon|u| \tag{9}
$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$ from (H3), where $|u| \leq \zeta$.

It follows from (H1) that there exists a positive integer C_5 satisfying

$$
\zeta^2 v_n \geq C_5^2 \tag{10}
$$

for any $|n| \geq C_5$.

By (8), (9) and (10), we obtain

$$
C_5^2 \big(u_n^{(k)}\big)^2 = C_5^2 v_n \big(u_n^{(k)}\big)^2 \leq v_n \zeta^2 \left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^2 \leq C_5^2 v_n \zeta^2 \tag{11}
$$

for any $|n| \geq C_5$.

Since $u^{(k)} \rightharpoonup u^{(0)}$ in E as $k \to \infty$, it is obvious that $u_n^{(k)}$ converges to $u_n^{(0)}$ pointwise for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is,

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} u_n^{(k)} = u_n^{(0)} \tag{12}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which together with (11) gives

$$
\big(u_n^{(0)}\big)^2 \leq \zeta^2 \tag{13}
$$

for any $|n| \geq C_5$.

It follows from (12), (13) and the continuity of $g(s, u)$ on u that there exists a positive integer C_6 such that

$$\sum_{n=-D}^{D} \varrho_n \left| f\left(u_n^{(k)}\right) - f\left(u_n^{(0)}\right) \right| < \varepsilon \tag{14}$$

for any $k \geq C_6$.

Meanwhile, we have

$$\sum_{|n| \geq D} \varrho_n \left| f\left(u_n^{(k)}\right) - g\left(s, u_n^{(0)}\right) \right| \left| u_n^{(k)} - u_n^{(0)} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{|n| \geq D} \bar{\varrho} \left(\left| f\left(u_n^{(k)}\right) \right| + \left| g\left(s, u_n^{(0)}\right) \right| \right) \left(\left| u_n^{(k)} \right| + \left| u_n^{(0)} \right| \right)$$

$$\leq \bar{\varrho} \varepsilon \sum_{|n| \geq D} \left[\left| u_n^{(k)} \right| + \left| u_n^{(0)} \right| \right] \left(\left| u_n^{(k)} \right| + \left| u_n^{(0)} \right| \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \bar{\varrho} \varepsilon \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\left| u_n^{(k)} \right|^2 + \left| u_n^{(0)} \right|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2 \bar{\varrho} \varepsilon}{\underline{\nu}} \left(K_1^2 + \left\| u^{(0)} \right\|^2 \right) \tag{15}$$

from (H3), (8), (9) and the Hölder inequality.

Since ε is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho_n \left| g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) - g\left(s, u_n^{(0)}\right) \right| \to 0 \tag{16}$$

as $k \to \infty$.

It follows that

$$\left\langle A'\left(s, u^{(k)}\right) - A'\left(s, u^{(0)}\right), u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\| u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right\|^2 - \tau \left\| u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right\|_{l^2}^2 - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho_n \left(g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) - g\left(s, u_n^{(0)}\right) \right) \left(u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\underline{\nu} - \tau}{\underline{\nu}} \left\| u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right\|^2 - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho_n \left(g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) - g\left(s, u_n^{(0)}\right) \right) \left(u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right)$$

from (14), (15) and (16), which gives

$$\frac{\underline{\nu} - \tau}{\underline{\nu}} \left\| u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right\|^2 \leq \left\langle A'\left(s, u^{(k)}\right) - A'\left(s, u^{(0)}\right), u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho_n \left(g\left(s, u_n^{(k)}\right) - g\left(s, u_n^{(0)}\right) \right) \left(u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \right).$$

Since $\langle A'(s, u^{(k)}) - A'(s, u^{(0)}), u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \rangle \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and $\underline{\nu} > \tau > 0$, $u^{(k)} \to u^{(0)}$ in E. So the proof is complete. □

The following lemma provides the main mathematical result in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1 *Let* $E \subset L^0(\Gamma_S)$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_E$ *be a mapping from* $L^0(\Gamma_S)$ *onto* E. *If*

$$\mathcal{L}_E(x) = \arg\min_{y \in c} \|x - y\|$$

for any $x \in L^0(\Gamma_S)$, *then* $\mathcal{L}_E$ *is called the orthogonal projection from* $L^0(\Gamma_S)$ *onto* E. *Furthermore, we have the following properties*:

   (I) $\langle x - \mathcal{L}_E x, z - \mathcal{L}_E x \rangle \leq 0$;
   (II) $\|\mathcal{L}_E x - \mathcal{L}_E y\|^2 \leq \langle \mathcal{L}_E x - \mathcal{L}_E y, x - y \rangle$;
   (III) $\|\mathcal{L}_E x - z\|^2 \leq \|x - z\|^2 + \|\mathcal{L}_E x - x\|^2$

for any $x, y \in L^0(\Gamma_S)$ *and* $z \in E$.

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 3.2 *Let assumptions* (H1)–(H3) *hold. Then there exists a unique solution* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{K})$ *for the NSD equation* (1).

Proof Existence. By Lemma 2.1, when $\alpha = 0$, for $\forall \beta., \varrho., \lambda., \varphi., \psi. \in M_G^2(0, S)$, $\xi \in L_G^2(\Gamma)$, (1) has a solution. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, we can solve (2) successively for the case $\alpha \in \lfloor 0, \delta_0 \rfloor, [\delta_0, 2\delta_0], \ldots$. It turns out that, when $\alpha = 1$, for $\forall \beta., \varrho., \lambda., \varphi., \psi. \in M_G^2(0, S)$, $\xi \in L_G^2(\Gamma)$, the solution of (1) exists, then we deduce that the solution of the NSD equation (1) exists.

Now, we prove the uniqueness.

Let $(u, \mathfrak{K}) = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{K})$ and $(u', \mathfrak{K}') = (\mathfrak{X}', \mathfrak{Y}', \mathfrak{Z}', \mathfrak{K}')$ be two solutions of the NSD equation (1). We set

$$(\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_s, \hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s, \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_s, \hat{\mathfrak{K}}_s) := (\mathfrak{X}_s - \mathfrak{X}'_s, \mathfrak{Y}_s - \mathfrak{Y}'_s, \mathfrak{Z}_s - \mathfrak{Z}'_s, \mathfrak{K}_s - \mathfrak{K}'_s).$$

From (H1)–(H2), it is easy to see that

$$\hat{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq S} |\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_s|^2 \right] + \hat{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq S} |\hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s|^2 \right] < \infty. \tag{17}$$

In view of the property of the projection (see [30]), we infer that $\hat{u} = \mathcal{L}_{S_i}(\hat{u} - t\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\hat{u})$ for any $s > 0$. Further, we get from condition in (17) that

$$\mu_n \leq \frac{2}{\rho(\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})} \mathfrak{Z}_n.$$

It follows that $I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}$ is nonexpansive. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_{n+1} - \hat{u}\| &= \left\| \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\{u_n - \mu_n\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}v_n + \mathfrak{Z}_n(v_n - u_n)\} - \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\{\hat{u} - t\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\hat{u}\} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\left\{ (1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)u_n + \mathfrak{Z}_n\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n \right\} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\left\{ (1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)\hat{u} + \mathfrak{Z}_n\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)\hat{u} \right\} \right\| \\
&\leq (1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)\|u_n - \hat{u}\| + \mathfrak{Z}_n\left\| \left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n - \left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)\hat{u} \right\| \\
&\leq (1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)\|u_n - \hat{u}\| + \mathfrak{Z}_n\|v_n - \hat{u}\|. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\mathfrak{K}_n \in (0, \frac{2}{\rho(\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})})$, it follows from (18) that

$$\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{\mathfrak{K}_n \rho(\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})}{2}$$

as $n \to \infty$, that is,

$$\frac{\mathfrak{K}_n}{1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n} \in \left(0, \frac{\rho(\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})}{2}\right).$$

We deduce from (18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v_n - \hat{u}\| &= \left\| \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\big\{(1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n)u_n - \mathfrak{K}_n \mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}u_n\big\} - \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\big\{\hat{u} - t\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\hat{u}\big\} \right\| \\
&\leq (1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n)\left(u_n - \frac{\mathfrak{K}_n}{1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}u_n\right) + \left\{\mathfrak{Y}_n\hat{u} + (1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n)(\hat{u} - \frac{\mathfrak{K}_n}{1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\hat{u}\right\} \\
&\leq \left\| -\mathfrak{Y}_n\hat{u} + (1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n)\left[u_n - \frac{\mathfrak{K}_n}{1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}u_n - \hat{u} + \frac{\mathfrak{K}_n}{1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\hat{u}\right]\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|v_n - \hat{u}\| \leq \mathfrak{Y}_n\| - \hat{u}\| + (1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n)\|u_n - \hat{u}\|. \tag{19}$$

We obtain from (19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u_n - \hat{u}\| &\leq (1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)\|u_n - \hat{u}\| + \mathfrak{Z}_n\big(\mathfrak{Y}_n\| - \hat{u}\| + (1 - \mathfrak{Y}_n)\|u_n - \hat{u}\|\big) \\
&\leq (1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n\mathfrak{Y}_n)\|u_n - \hat{u}\| + \mathfrak{Z}_n\mathfrak{Y}_n\| - \hat{u}\| \\
&\leq \max\big\{\|u_n - \hat{u}\|, \| - \hat{u}\|\big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$\|u_n - \hat{u}\| \leq \max\big\{\|u_n - \hat{u}\|, \| - \hat{u}\|\big\}.$$

Consequently, u_n is bounded, and so is v_n. Let $T = 2\mathcal{L}_{S_i} - I$. From Lemma 2.1, one can know that the projection operator $\mathcal{L}_{S_i}$ is monotone and nonexpansive, and $2\mathcal{L}_{S_i} - I$ is nonexpansive.

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1} &= \frac{I + T}{2}\left[(1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)u_n + \mathfrak{Z}_n\left(1 - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right] \\
&= \frac{I - \mathfrak{Z}_n}{2}u_n + \frac{\mathfrak{Z}_n}{2}\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n + \frac{T}{2}\left[(1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)u_n + \mathfrak{Z}_n\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$u_{n+1} = \frac{1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n}{2}u_n + \frac{1 + \mathfrak{Z}_n}{2}b_n,$$

where

$$b_n = \frac{\mathfrak{Z}_n(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})v_n + T[(1 - \mathfrak{Z}_n)u_n + \mathfrak{Z}_n(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})v_n]}{1 + \mathfrak{Z}_n}.$$

On the other hand, we have (see [31])

$$\|b_{n+1} - b_n\| \leq \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\left\|\left(I - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_{n+1} - \left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right\|$$

$$+ \left|\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n}\right|\left\|\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\mathfrak{Z}_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right\|$$

$$+ \frac{T}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\left\{(1 - \lambda_{n+1})u_{n+1} + \lambda_{n+1}\left(I - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_{n+1}\right\}$$

$$- \frac{T}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\left\{\left[(1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right]\right\}$$

$$+ \left|\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_n}\right|\left\|T\left[(1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n\left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right]\right\|.$$

For convenience, let $c_n = (I - \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})v_n$. Using Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$I - \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}$$

is nonexpansive and averaged.

Hence,

$$\|b_{n+1} - b_n\| \leq \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\|c_{n+1} - c_n\| + \left|\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n}\right|\|c_n\|$$

$$+ \frac{T}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\left\{(1 - \lambda_{n+1})u_{n+1} + \lambda_{n+1}c_{n+1} - [(1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n c_n]\right\}$$

$$+ \left|\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_n}\right|\left\|T[(1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n c_n]\right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\|c_{n+1} - c_n\| + \left|\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n}\right|\|c_n\|$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| + \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\|c_{n+1} - c_n\| + \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\|u_n\|$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}}\|c_n\| + \left|\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_n}\right|\left\|T[(1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n c_n]\right\|,$$

which yields

$$\|c_{n+1} - c_n\| = \left\|\left(I - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_{n+1} - \left(I - \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}\right)v_n\right\|$$

$$\leq \|v_{n+1} - v_n\|$$

$$= \left\|\mathcal{L}_{S_i}\left[(1 - \alpha_{n+1})u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{K}_n\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}u_{n+1}\right] - \mathcal{L}_{S_i}\left[(1 - \alpha_n)u_n - \mathfrak{K}_n\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}u_n\right]\right\|$$

$$\leq \left\|(I - \varrho_{n+1}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})u_{n+1} - (I - \varrho_{n+1}\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X})u_n + (\varrho_n - \varrho_{n+1})\mathfrak{X}^*\mathfrak{X}u_n\right\|$$

$$+ \alpha_{n+1} \| - u_{n+1} \| + \alpha_n \| u_n \|$$

$$\leq \| u_{n+1} - u_n \| + |\varrho_n - \varrho_{n+1}| \| \mathfrak{X}^* \mathfrak{X} u_n \| + \alpha_{n+1} \| - u_{n+1} \| + \alpha_n \| u_n \|.$$

So we infer that

$$\| b_{n+1} - b_n \| \leq \left| \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n} \right| \| c_n \| + \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} \| u_n \| + \frac{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} \| c_n \|$$

$$+ \| u_{n+1} - u_n \| + \left| \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_n} \right| \| T[(1 - \lambda_n) u_n + \lambda_n c_n] \|$$

$$+ |\varrho_n - \varrho_{n+1}| \| u_n \| + \alpha_{n+1} \| - u_{n+1} \| + \alpha_n \| u_n \|. \tag{20}$$

By virtue of $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\lambda_{n+1} - \mathfrak{Z}_n) = 0$ (see [28]), it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda_{n+1}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{1 + \lambda_n} \right| \right) = 0.$$

Moreover, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are bounded, and so is $\{c_n\}$. Therefore, (20) reduces to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \left(\| b_{n+1} - b_n \| - \| u_{n+1} - u_n \| \right) \leq 0. \tag{21}$$

Applying (21) and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| b_n - u_n \| = 0. \tag{22}$$

Combining (21) with (22), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| = 0. \tag{23}$$

Applying the G-Itô formula to $\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s \hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s$, then we obtain

$$N_S + \hat{\mathfrak{x}}_S \left[\Phi(\mathfrak{X}_S) - \Phi(\mathfrak{X}'_S) \right] - \int_0^S \left[A(s, u_s) - A(s, u'_s)_) u_s - u'_s \right] d\langle B \rangle_s$$

$$= \int_0^S \hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s \left[(-f)(s, u_s) - (-f)(s, u'_s) \right] + \hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s \left[b(s, u_s) - b(s, u'_s) \right] ds + M_S \tag{24}$$

from (23), where

$$M_s = \int_0^t \left[\hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s \left(\sigma(s, u_s) - \sigma(s, u'_s) \right) + \hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s \mathfrak{Z}_s \right] d\mathfrak{B}_s + \int_0^t (\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s)^+ d\mathfrak{K}_s + \int_0^t (\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s)^- d\mathfrak{K}'_s$$

and

$$N_s = \int_0^t (\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s)^+ d\mathfrak{K}'_s + \int_0^t (\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s)^- d\mathfrak{K}_s.$$

By Lemma 2.3 and (24), we know that both M_s and N_s are Schrödinger martingale. Moreover, we know that (see [32])

$$
N_S - (-C) \int_0^S |u_s - u_s'|^2 \, d\langle B\rangle_s
$$

$$
\leq N_S + C|\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_S|^2 + C \int_0^S |u_s - u_s'|^2 d\langle B\rangle_s
$$

$$
\leq - \int_0^S |\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s|^2 + |\hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s|^2 \, ds + M_S \tag{25}
$$

from (H3).

Taking the Schrödinger expectation on both sides of (25), together with Lemma 2.2 and the property of the Schrödinger expectation, we know that

$$
0 \leq -\underline{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathfrak{E}} \left[-C \int_0^S |u_s - u_s|^2 \, ds \right] / \leq \hat{\mathfrak{E}} \left\{ - \int_0^S \left[|\hat{\mathfrak{x}}_s|^2 + |\hat{\mathfrak{Y}}_s|^2 \right] ds \right\} \leq 0, \tag{26}
$$

which implies $u = u'$ in the space of $M_G^2(0, S)$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that the NSD equation has a unique solution, then $K = K'$. Thus (1) has a unique solution. □

4 Conclusions

This paper was mainly devoted to the study of one kind of nonlinear Schrödinger differential equations. Under the integrable boundary value condition, the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of this equation were discussed by using new Riesz representations of linear maps and the Schrödinger fixed point theorem.

Author details
[1]College of Applied Mathematics, Shanxi University of Finance and Economics, Taiyuan, China. [2]Department of Applied Mathematics, Yuncheng University, Yuncheng, China. [3]Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia.

Publisher's Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Caraballo, T., Robinson, J.C.: Stabilisation of linear PDEs by Stratonovich noise. Syst. Control Lett. **53**(1), 41–50 (2004)
2. Laskin, N.: Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals. Phys. Lett. A **268**(4–6), 298–305 (2000)
3. Mohammed, S.A., Scheutzow, M.K.: Spatial estimates for stochastic flows in Euclidean space. Ann. Probab. **26**(1), 56–77 (1998)
4. Rabinowitz, P.H.: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43**(2), 270–291 (1992)
5. Kivshar, Y.S., Kevrekidis, G.P., Takeno, S.: Nonlinear localized modes in waveguide bends. Phys. Lett. A **307**(5–6), 287–291 (2003)
6. Gross, E.P.: Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems. Nuovo Cimento **20**(10), 454–477 (1961)
7. Goubet, O., Hamraoui, E.: Blow-up of solutions to cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations with defect: the radial case. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **6**(2), 183–197 (2017)
8. Bahrouni, A., Ounaies, H., Radulescu, V.: Infinitely many solutions for a class of sublinear Schrödinger equations with indefinite potentials. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A **145**(3), 445–465 (2015)
9. Holzleitner, M., Kostenko, A., Teschl, G.: Dispersion estimates for spherical Schrödinger equations: the effect of boundary conditions. Opusc. Math. **36**(6), 769–786 (2016)
10. Kristaly, A., Repovs, D.: On the Schrödinger–Maxwell system involving sublinear terms. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. **13**(1), 213–223 (2012)
11. Repovs, D., Ščepin, E.: A proof of the Hilbert–Smith conjecture for actions by Lipschitz maps. Math. Ann. **308**(2), 361–364 (1997)
12. Zhang, X., Chen, P.: Fractional evolution equation nonlocal problems with noncompact semigroups. Opusc. Math. **36**(1), 123–137 (2016)
13. Dorogovtsev, A.Ya., Le Vin'Tkhuan: Correlation functions of Gaussian Markov stationary and periodic processes in a Hilbert space. In: Selected Problems in the Current Theory of Random Processes, pp. 61–65. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Inst. Mat., Kiev (1988) (Russian)
14. Swift, R.J.: Almost periodic harmonizable processes. Georgian Math. J. **3**(3), 275–292 (1996)
15. Tudor, C.: Almost periodic solutions of affine stochastic evolution equations. Stoch. Stoch. Rep. **38**(4), 251–266 (1992)
16. Acquistapace, P., Terreni, B.: A unified approach to abstract linear nonautonomous parabolic equations. Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **78**, 47–107 (1987)
17. Karatzas, I., Shreve, S.E.: Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 113. Springer, New York (1991)
18. Lunardi, A.: Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, vol. 16. Birkhäuser, Basel (1995)
19. Sussmann, H.J.: On the gap between deterministic and stochastic ordinary differential equations. Ann. Probab. **6**(1), 19–41 (1978)
20. Dorogovtsev, A.Ya., Ortega, O.A.: On the existence of periodic solutions of a stochastic equation in a Hilbert space. Visnik Kiiv. Univ. Ser. Mat. Mekh. **30**, 21–30, 115 (1988)
21. Cyganowsky, S., Kloeden, P., Ombach, J.: From Elementary Probability to Stochastic Differential Equations with MAPLE. Springer, Berlin (2002)
22. Friedman, A.: Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications, vol. 1. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, vol. 28. Academic Press, New York (1975)
23. McKean, H.P. Jr.: Stochastic Integrals. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, vol. 5. Academic Press, New York (1969)
24. Ichikawa, A., Pritchard, A.J.: Existence, uniqueness, and stability of nonlinear evolution equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **68**(2), 454–476 (1979)
25. Kawabata, S., Yamada, T.: On Newton's method for stochastic differential equations. In: Séminaire de Probabilités, XXV. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1485, pp. 121–137. Springer, Berlin (1991)
26. Arnold, L., Tudor, C.: Stationary and almost periodic solutions of almost periodic affine stochastic differential equations. Stoch. Stoch. Rep. **64**(3–4), 177–193 (1998)
27. Berglund, J.F.: Dissipative weakly almost periodic functions. Mat.-Fys. Medd. Danske Vid. Selsk. **42**(3), 77–80 (1989)
28. Kawata, T.: Almost periodic weakly stationary processes. In: Statistics and Probability: Essays in Honor of C. R. Rao, pp. 383–396. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1982)
29. Huang, J.: Adaptive tracking control of high-order non-holonomic mobile robot systems. IET Control Theory Appl. **3**(6), 681–690 (2009)
30. Doss, H.: Liens entre équations différentielles stochastiques et ordinaires. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré B, Calc. Probab. Stat. **13**(2), 99–125 (1977)
31. Yang, W., Duan, J., Hu, W., Zhang, J.: New Riesz representations of linear maps associated with certain boundary value problems and their applications. Bound. Value Probl. **2017**, 165 (2017)
32. Wang, J., Huang, B., Yamini, N.: An augmented Riesz decomposition method for sharp estimates of certain boundary value problem. Bound. Value Probl. **2016**, 156 (2016)