**pg. 199, Problem 2.** Show that if X is regular, every pair of disjoint closed sets have neighborhoods whose closures are disjoint.

Let our disjoint closed sets be A,B. Then since X is regular, there are disjoint open U,V with  $U\supset A,V\supset B$ . Since these are disjoint, we have that  $\overline{U}$  and B are also disjoint. Then we may again apply the regularity condition to get disjoint open sets  $\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V}$ , with  $\widetilde{U}\supset\overline{U}$  and  $\widetilde{V}\supset B$ . Then, as above, we have  $\overline{\widetilde{V}}$  is disjoint from  $\overline{U}$ . But then  $\widetilde{V}$  and U are open sets containing B and A, with disjoint closures.

**pg. 199, Problem 5.** Let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be continuous, and Y Hausdorff. Show  $\{x \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$  is closed in X.

It suffices to show  $\{x \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is open. Suppose  $x_0$  is in this set. Since Y is Hausdorff, there are disjoint open sets U, V containing  $f(x_0)$  and  $g(x_0)$ , respectively. Then  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$  is an open set containing  $x_0$ . Moreover, if there were any  $x \in f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$  with f(x) = g(x), then we would have  $f(x) \in U \cap V$ , contradicting disjointness. Thus  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$  is an open set in X containing  $x_0$  and completely inside  $\{x \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ , hence this set is open.

pg. 218, Problem 1. Give an example showing that a Hausdorff space with a countable basis need not be metrizable.

Note that all metric spaces are regular. It therefore suffices to find such a space that is not regular. Then consider  $\mathbb{R}_K$ ,  $K = \{\frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}\}$ , is Hausdorff with countable basis, but K and  $\{0\}$  don't have disjoint neighborhoods that contain them in  $\mathbb{R}_K$ . Hence  $\mathbb{R}_K$  is not regular and hence not metrizable.

## Problem 4 (Munkres 36.1)

Every manifold is regular, and hence Metrizable (by the Urysohn Metrization Theorem, as every manifold has a countable base by definition).

*Proof.* Let M be a manifold of dimension m. M is Hausdorff, so one-point sets are closed in it. Therefore by Lemma 31.1, M is regular is equivalent to the condition that for every  $x \in M$  and neighborhood  $U \subset M$  containing x, there is a neighborhood V of x so that  $\overline{V} \subset U$ . We prove this.

Let  $x \in M$  and  $U \subset M$  an open set containing x. As M is a manifold, by definition there exists a chart (neighborhood of x and homeomorphism into  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ) containing x; call it  $(U_x, \phi_x)$ . Then there is a homeomorphism

$$\phi\Big|_{U\cap U_x}:U\cap U_x\longrightarrow \phi(U\cap U_i)\subset\mathbb{R}^m$$

where the image is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . As  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is regular, we may find a V satisfying  $x \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset \phi(U \cap U_i)$ . We claim that  $\phi^{-1}(V)$  satisfies the desired condition.

We have  $\phi^{-1}(V) \subset U \cap U_i$ . I claim that  $\overline{\phi^{-1}(V)} \subset U \cap U_i$  as well. Note this is not immediate, as we do not know a priori that  $\overline{\phi^{-1}(V)} = \phi^{-1}(\overline{V})$ . This is only true if M is Hausdorff, so this is where we must explicitly use the Hausdorffness of the M. If the Hausdorff claim is dropped, the space need not be regular, and the final problem provides a counterexample.

Thus we claim,  $\overline{\phi^{-1}(V)} = \phi^{-1}(\overline{V})$ .  $\phi(\overline{V}) \subset \overline{\phi(V)}$  by continutiy of f by Theorem 18.1. We now show  $\phi(\overline{V})$  is closed, and it contains  $\phi(V)$ , so is contained in the closure, as the closure is the intersection of all closed sets containing  $\phi(V)$ . M is first countable (because it is second countable), so by the extra credit problem we may use the limit point definition of continuity. Suppose x is a limit point of  $\phi(\overline{V})$ . Then there is a sequence  $x_i \to x$  with  $x_i \in \phi(\overline{V})$ . Then there are points  $v_i \in \overline{V}$  so  $\phi(v_i) = x_i$ . But  $v_i$  must be Cauchy, as is a sequence convering in a neighborhood homeomorphic to Euclidean space, thus it must have a limit  $y \in \overline{V}$ . By limit point continuity and the uniqueness of limits in Hausdorff spaces,  $\phi(y) = x$ .

# Problem 5 (Munkres)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff Space that is locally Euclidean. Then there exists an embedding  $\Phi: X \to \mathbb{R}^K$  for some large K.

*Proof.* X is locally euclidean so for every x there is a pair  $(U_x, \phi_x)$  where  $\phi_x : U_x \to V_x \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is a homeomorphism. This is a cover of X, so by compactness there is a finite subcover, call these sets  $U_1, ..., U_N$ .

As X is compact and Hausdorff, it is normal by Theorem 32.3. Therefore by Theorem 36.1 there is a partition of unity  $\{\psi_i\}$  subordinate to the open cover  $U_1, ..., U_n$ . Then we define

$$\Phi(x) = (\psi_1 \cdot \phi_1(x), \psi_1(x), ..., \psi_n \cdot \phi_n(x), \psi_n(x))$$

As a map  $X \to \mathbb{R}^{n(k+1)}$ , where we extend the maps  $\psi \cdot \phi_i$  to be zero outside of each  $U_i$ . It is a homeomorphism by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 36.2.

## 1 Page 227 Problem 3

Let X be a Compact Hausdorff space such that each point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that X is an n-manifold.

Since X is a compact h.d. space, by 227.2, we may embed it into  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some large N.  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has a countable base consisting of products of open intervals with rational endpoints, thus any subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has a countable base by intersecting the basis with the subspace, so X is second countable, and thus a manifold.  $\square$ 

## 2 Page 227 Problem 5

Let X be the union of  $\mathbb{R}-0$  with the two point set  $\{p,q\}$ . Topologize X by taking the basis to be the set of all open intervals not containing zero, along with sets of the form  $(-a,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,a)$  or  $(-a,0) \cup \{q\} \cup (0,a)$  for a>0.

#### SOLUTION BY TARA AIDA 4.36.5

#### (a) - Check that this is a basis for a topology

Let  $x \in X = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \cup \{p,q\}$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then it may be contained in some open interval  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$ , choosing  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small so that it does not contain 0. If x = p or x = q the basis elements of the form  $(-a,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,a)$  and  $(-a,0) \cup \{q\} \cup (0,a)$  for a > 0 contain p,q respectively. Thus, it remains to check the intersection property of a basis. There are multiple cases.

**Consider**:  $(a_1, b_1) \cap (a_2, b_2)$ . This is either empty or equal to an another open interval (still not containing 0). Let  $x \in (a_1, b_1) \cap (a_2, b_2) = (a_3, b_3)$ . Then, we may find an open interval containing x and contained in  $(a_3, b_3)$ .

**Consider**:  $(a_1, b_1) \cap ((-a, 0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0, a))$  (this analysis also holds for the case where we replace p with q). If this is nonempty, then, the intersection is either  $(a_1, b_1)$ ,  $(-a, b_1)$ , or  $(a_1, a)$  Given a point x contained in this intersection, we can find an open interval not containing 0, but containing x, and contained in  $(a_1, b_1)$ ,  $(-a, b_1)$ , or  $(a_1, a)$ ...(actually the interval itself works).

**Consider**:  $(-a,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,a)$  intersected with  $(-b,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,b)$ . This is simply another basis element of the same form:  $(-c,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,c)$ , so any point in the intersection is trivially contained in another basis element (the intersection itself). This analysis holds true if we replace p with q.

**Consider**:  $(-a,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,a)$  intersected with  $(-b,0) \cup \{q\} \cup (0,b)$ . Then, (assuming WLOG that a < b), this is equal to  $(-a,0) \cup (0,a)$ . Given any x contained in this, we may find an open interval small enough s.t. it is contained in (-a,0) or (0,a) and contains x.

#### (b) Show that each of the spaces $X - \{p\}$ and $X - \{q\}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ ..

It suffices to show this for one (p). Claim: the function  $f: X - \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}$  s.t. f(x) = 0 if x = q and f(x) = x otherwise. This is certainly injective. It is surjective since  $X - \{p\} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \cup \{q\}$  (the  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  hit every nonzero element, and q hits 0). To show it is continuous, it is enough to show that  $f^{-1}((a, b))$  is an open set in  $X - \{p\}$  (since the open intervals form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

If (a, b) does not contain 0 then this is obviously true, since the inverse image will be an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  not containing 0. If it does, then the inverse image is some  $(-m, 0) \cup \{q\} \cup (0, n)$ , m, n > 0, which is an open set in  $X - \{p\}$ , as it may be written as the union of two basis elements. Thus, f is continuous.

Now, we check  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. The image of an open interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  (not containing 0) is again, an open

interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is open. The image of a set of the form  $(-a,0) \cup \{q\} \cup (0,a)$  is simply (-a,a) which is also open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Thus, f is a bijective continuous function with continuous inverse, so  $X - \{p\}$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The same argument holds if we switch every p for q and vice versa, so  $X - \{q\}$  is also homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(c) - Show that X satisfies the  $T_1$  axiom, but is not Hausdorff. X is not Hausdorff because any two neighborhoods of  $\{p\}$  must be of the form  $(-a,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,a)$ , and so they must intersect each other at some open interval (0,b).

Now for  $T_1$ : Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ . X is  $T_1$  if I can find a neighborhood of x that doesn't contain y and a neighborhood of y that doesn't contain x. If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  then we can find disjoint open intervals, one containing x and the other y ( $\mathbb{R}$  is hausdorff).

Suppose x = p and  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, we may find a b small enough s.t.  $(-b,0) \cup (0,b)$  does not contain y, but then clearly  $(-b,0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0,b)$  is an open neighborhood of p not containing y. Alternatively, any open interval (not containing 0) containing y will not contain p. The same analysis holds if we replace p with q.

Finally, what if x = p, y = q. Then for a > 0,  $(-a, 0) \cup \{p\} \cup (0, a)$  is an open neighborhood of p not containing q and  $(-a, 0) \cup \{q\} \cup (0, a)$  is an open neighborhood of q not containing p.

Thus, X satisfies the  $T_1$  axiom!

**(d)** - **Show that** *X* **satisfies all conditions for a 1-manifold except for the Hausdorff condition.** It clearly has countable basis: simply restrict the open intervals of all the basis elements to have rational endpoints.

Given any  $x \in X$  does it have a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ? If  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  then it certainly has a neighborhood contained in  $X - \{p\}$ , and so by (b) it has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If x = p then it has an open neighborhood contained in  $(X - \{q\})$ , by the fact that X is  $T_1$ , and if x = q then it has an open neighborhood contained in  $X - \{p\}$ , again by  $T_1$ -ness, thus, in both cases,  $x \in X$  contains a neighborhood homeomorphic to a open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  by the homeomorphisms defined in (b). Thus, we conclude that every  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood homeomorphic with an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , so we are done.