

Relational Calculus

Module 3, Lecture 2

Relational Calculus

- * Comes in two flavours: <u>Tuple relational calculus</u> (TRC) and <u>Domain relational calculus</u> (DRC).
- Calculus has variables, constants, comparison ops, logical connectives and quantifiers.
 - <u>TRC</u>: Variables range over (i.e., get bound to) *tuples*.
 - <u>DRC</u>: Variables range over *domain elements* (= field values).
 - Both TRC and DRC are simple subsets of first-order logic.
- * Expressions in the calculus are called *formulas*. An answer tuple is essentially an assignment of constants to variables that make the formula evaluate to *true*.

Domain Relational Calculus

* Query has the form:

$$\{\langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle \mid p(\langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle)\}$$

- * Answer includes all tuples $\langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle$ that make the *formula* $p(\langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle)$ be *true*.
- * <u>Formula</u> is recursively defined, starting with simple *atomic formulas* (getting tuples from relations or making comparisons of values), and building bigger and better formulas using the *logical connectives*.

DRC Formulas

* Atomic formula:

- $\langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle \in Rname , \text{ or } X \text{ op } Y, \text{ or } X \text{ op } constant$ op is one of <,>,=,≤,≥,≠

* Formula:

- an atomic formula, or
- $-\neg p, p \land q, p \lor q$, where p and q are formulas, or
- $\exists X (p(X))$, where variable X is **free** in p(X), or
- $\forall X (p(X))$, where variable X is *free* in p(X)
- * The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ is said to <u>bind</u> X.
 - A variable that is not bound is free.

Free and Bound Variables

- ❖ The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ in a formula is said to <u>bind</u> X.
 - A variable that is **not bound** is **free**.
- * Let us revisit the definition of a query:

$$\left\{ \langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle \mid p(\langle x1, x2, ..., xn \rangle) \right\}$$

❖ There is an important restriction: the variables x1, ..., xn that appear to the left of `|' must be the *only* free variables in the formula p(...).

Find all sailors with a rating above 7

$$\{\langle I, N, T, A \rangle | \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \land T > 7\}$$

- **⋄** The condition $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ ∈ *Sailors* ensures that the domain variables *I*, *N*, *T* and *A* are bound to fields of the same Sailors tuple.
- * The term $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ to the left of `|' (which should be read as *such that*) says that every tuple $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ that satisfies T > 7 is in the answer.
- Modify this query to answer:
 - Find sailors who are older than 18 or have a rating under
 9, and are called 'Joe'.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved boat #103

$$\left\{ \langle I, N, T, A \rangle | \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \land T > 7 \land \\ \exists Ir, Br, D \left(\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in Reserves \land Ir = I \land Br = 103 \right) \right\}$$

- * We have used $\exists Ir, Br, D$ (...) as a shorthand for $\exists Ir (\exists Br (\exists D (...)))$
- ❖ Note the use of ∃ to find a tuple in Reserves that `joins with' the Sailors tuple under consideration.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved a red boat

$$\left\{ \langle I, N, T, A \rangle | \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \land T > 7 \land \\ \exists Ir, Br, D \left(\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in Reserves \land Ir = I \land \\ \exists B, BN, C \left(\langle B, BN, C \rangle \in Boats \land B = Br \land C = 'red' \right) \right\}$$

- * Observe how the parentheses control the scope of each quantifier's binding.
- This may look cumbersome, but with a good user interface, it is very intuitive. (Wait for QBE!)

Find sailors who've reserved all boats

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \land \\
& \forall B, BN, C \left(\neg \left(\langle B, BN, C \rangle \in Boats \right) \lor \\
& \left(\exists Ir, Br, D \left(\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in Reserves \land I = Ir \land Br = B \right) \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

* Find all sailors I such that for each 3-tuple $\langle B,BN,C\rangle$ either it is not a tuple in Boats or there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor I has reserved it.

Find sailors who've reserved all boats (again!)

$$\begin{cases}
\langle I, N, T, A \rangle | \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \land \\
\forall \langle B, BN, C \rangle \in Boats \\
(\exists \langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in Reserves(I = Ir \land Br = B))
\end{cases}$$

- Simpler notation, same query. (Much clearer!)
- * To find sailors who've reserved all red boats:

....
$$(C \neq 'red' \vee \exists \langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Re} serves (I = Ir \wedge Br = B))$$

Unsafe Queries, Expressive Power

* It is possible to write syntactically correct calculus queries that have an infinite number of answers! Such queries are called <u>unsafe</u>.

- e.g.,
$$\{S \mid \neg \{S \in Sailors\}\}$$

- ❖ It is known that every query that can be expressed in relational algebra can be expressed as a safe query in DRC / TRC; the converse is also true.
- * Relational Completeness: Query language (e.g., SQL) can express every query that is expressible in relational algebra/calculus.

Summary

- * The relational model has rigorously defined query languages that are simple and powerful.
- * Relational algebra is more operational; useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans.
- * Relational calculus is non-operational, and users define queries in terms of what they want, not in terms of how to compute it. (*Declarativeness*.)
- * Several ways of expressing a given query; a *query optimizer* should choose the most efficient version.
- * Algebra and safe calculus have same *expressive power*, leading to the notion of *relational completeness*.