## CS406-HW4-Report

#### Muhammed Muvaffak Onus - 17694

December 2017

### 1 Implementation

The program calculates all distances of each point in test dataset sequentially, finds the index of the minimum value and write it to output. First, datasets are loaded to GPU's device memory. Then for each entry in the test dataset, a device function to calculate distances is called. In the first version, the array consisting of these distances copied to the host. In the host, a simple for loop is used to find minimum and its index to be written to result array. In the final version, a trick involving bit arithmetics is used to manipulate current minimum real time. The actual number is shifted to the left side in the 64-int data and index is put on the right side so that comparison gives precedence to the actual number instead of min. Later on, this minimum is casted to 32-bit int so that we get only index for output. This whole process goes sequentially for all points in test dataset. We have 19,000 points in train dataset and 1000 in test dataset. The following code is run on GPU with 19,000 blocks and 16 threads.

```
__global__
void calculateDifference(short int *trainLines,
unsigned long long int *diffSquare,
short int *testLines,
short int id){
  --shared-- unsigned int s_diffSquare;
  s_diffSquare = 0;
  _syncthreads();
  short int other = testLines[id*DIMENSIONS + threadIdx.x];
  short int self = trainLines[blockIdx.x*DIMENSIONS + threadIdx.x];
  int result = other - self;
  atomicAdd(&s_diffSquare, result * result);
  _syncthreads();
  if (threadIdx.x % DIMENSIONS == 0) {
    unsigned long long int min =
    (((unsigned long long int) s_diffSquare) << 32)
    | blockIdx.x;
```

```
atomicMin(diffSquare, min);
}
```

#### 2 Execution Times

The following is the distribution of the time spent in GPU over functions in the first version where the whole distance array is copied to host for minimum finding.

Г	Cime(%)	Time	Calls	Avg	Min	Max	Name
	91.38%	$33.768 \mathrm{ms}$	1000	33.768us	33.602us	34.627us	calculateDifference
	8.48%	$3.1323 \mathrm{ms}$	1000	3.1320us	3.0720us	4.0650 us	[CUDA memcpy DtoH]
	0.15%	54.852us	2	27.426us	3.8730us	50.979us	[CUDA memcpy HtoD]

The following is the statistics from the final version where minimum is found and only that returned to the host.

Time(%)	Time	Calls	Avg	Min	Max	Name
96.46%	$33.767 \mathrm{ms}$	1000	33.767us	33.409us	45.123us	calculateDifference
2.36%	824.45 us	1002	822ns	672 ns	51.362us	[CUDA memcpy DtoH]
1.18%	414.81us	1000	$414\mathrm{ns}$	$384\mathrm{ns}$	$544 \mathrm{ns}$	[CUDA memcpy HtoD]

As seen above, execution time for the kernel didn't change much since shifting and atomicMin don't take a lot more time than assigning a value to given index in the 19,000-sized element. However, since it doesn't need to copy the whole distance array anymore, we see a dramatic decrease in device-¿host memcpy runtime. A small trade-off is seen on host-¿device since for each point ULLONG\_MAX is copied as initial value of the minimum distance.

The function calculateDifference is called 1000 times sequentially in a for loop for each test point and each time the resulting distance array is copied to the host.

#### 3 Parallelization

As mentioned in the algorithm section, the program uses \_shared\_ tag in kernel to have a shared variable between threads in the same block so that they all can add result of their distance calculation to that variable. This is the main reason why there kernel is called with block number same as number of points in train dataset and thread number same as number of dimensions we have. So, at the end of 1 block execution we have the total distance of that 1 point to the corresponding point in the train dataset.

Note that exact Euclidian distance is not used since taking square root of the sum of the differences doesn't help because we use distances only to compare which one is minimum.

# 4 Running The Program

Run the following in the same directory from your terminal:

\$ make && ./bfs

The output will be written to a file named myout.txt so that one can compare it with given example output.

A sequential version of the problem that runs on CPU is written as well to check the results. It is runnable in the same way.