

## HOMEWORK 6

2021/11/5

**Assignment 1.** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $\sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . With prior  $\theta \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + a}{n + a + b}$ .

(1) Solve  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_B)$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim B(n, \theta)$ , we have

$$E\hat{\theta}_B = \frac{n\theta + a}{n + a + b}, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_B) = \frac{n\theta(1 - \theta)}{(n + a + b)^2}.$$

Thus, with the definition of  $MSE = \text{Var} + \text{Bias}^2$ , we conclude that

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_B) = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_B) + \left(E\hat{\theta}_B - \theta\right)^2 = \frac{[(a + b)^2 - n]\theta^2 + [n - 2a(a + b)]\theta + a^2}{(n + a + b)^2}. \quad \square$$

(2) Solve  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM}$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  and their  $MSE$ .

Since  $EX_1 = \theta$ , we have  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM} = \bar{X}$ , where  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the sample mean.

The likelihood function is  $lik(\theta) = \theta^{\sum_i X_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i X_i}$ . To maximize  $lik(\theta)$ , consider  $l(\theta) = \log(lik(\theta)) = \sum X_i \log(\theta) + (n - \sum X_i) \log(1 - \theta)$  and calculate

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = \sum X_i \frac{1}{\theta} - (n - \sum X_i) \frac{1}{1 - \theta}.$$

Notice that  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = 0$  iff  $\theta^* = \bar{X}$ . Moreover,  $\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{\sum X_i}{\theta^2} - \frac{(n - \sum X_i)}{(1 - \theta)^2} \leq 0$ . Thus,  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{X}$ . (For  $X_i$  are all 1 or all 0, some arguments are required since  $\theta^*$  is not a inner point in  $(0, 1)$ , which is similar to our homework before.)

For their  $MSE$ , notice that  $n\bar{X} \sim B(n, \theta)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}) &= MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = MSE(\bar{X}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (E\bar{X} - \theta)^2 = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: 本次参考答案中略去了关于 MLE 在样本上取值情况的讨论。

---

Date: 2021/11/24.

Thanks for Weiyu Li who is with the School of the Gifted Young, University of Science and Technology of China. Corresponding Email: liweiyu@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

**Assignment 2.** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $\sim U(0, \theta)$ ,  $\theta > 0$ .

**(1) Solve  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM}$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  and their MSE.**

Since  $EX_1 = \frac{\theta}{2}$ , we have  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM} = 2\bar{X}$ , where  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the sample mean. Moreover, with  $Var(X_1) = \frac{\theta^2}{12}$ , we can solve that

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}) = Var(2\bar{X}) + (E(2\bar{X}) - \theta)^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}.$$

For MLE, the likelihood function is  $lik(\theta) = \theta^{-n} I_{(X_{(n)}, +\infty)}(\theta) I_{(0, +\infty)}(X_{(1)})$ , which reaches its maximum as  $\theta^* \downarrow X_{(n)}$ . Extend the likelihood to  $X_{(n)}$ , thus  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = X_{(n)}$ .

One can write the *p.d.f* of  $X_{(n)}$  as

$$f_{X_{(n)}}(x) = n (P(X < x))^{n-1} f(x) = \frac{nx^{n-1}}{\theta^n} I_{(0, \theta)}(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} EX_{(n)} &= \int_0^\theta x \frac{nx^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dx = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta, \\ EX_{(n)}^2 &= \int_0^\theta x^2 \frac{nx^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dx = \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2, \\ MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) &= EX_{(n)}^2 - (EX_{(n)})^2 + ((E\bar{X}) - \theta)^2 \\ &= \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2 - \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \right)^2 + \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \theta - \theta \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**(2) With prior  $\theta \sim U(0, 1)$ , solve  $\hat{\theta}_B$  and its MSE.**

Consider the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &\propto \theta^{-n} I_{(X_{(n)}, \infty)}(\theta), \\ \pi(\theta) &\propto I_{(0, 1)}(\theta), \\ \pi(\theta | \mathbf{x}) &\propto \pi(\theta) f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \propto \theta^{-n} I_{(X_{(n)}, 1)}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int \pi(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta = 1$ , we have to solve the problem case by case.

• **case 1:**  $n = 1$ .

In this case,  $\pi(\theta|X_1) = -\frac{1}{\theta \log X_1} I_{(X_1,1)}(\theta)$ . Thus,

$$\hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta|X_1) = \int_{X_1}^1 -\theta \frac{1}{\theta \log X_1} d\theta = \frac{1 - X_1}{\log X_1}.$$

• **case 2:**  $n = 2$ .

In this case,  $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{X_{(2)}}{\theta^2(1-X_{(2)})} I_{(X_{(2)},1)}(\theta)$ . Thus,

$$\hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \int_{X_{(2)}}^1 \theta \frac{X_{(2)}}{\theta^2(1-X_{(2)})} d\theta = -\frac{X_{(2)} \log X_{(2)}}{1 - X_{(2)}}.$$

• **case 3:**  $n > 2$ .

In this case,  $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1-n}{1-X_{(n)}^{1-n}} \theta^{-n} I_{(X_{(n)},1)}(\theta)$ . Thus,

$$\hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1-n}{2-n} \cdot \frac{1 - X_{(n)}^{2-n}}{1 - X_{(n)}^{1-n}}.$$

In all the cases above, I cannot solve the  $MSE$  but remain it as an integral from

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_B) = E(\hat{\theta}_B - \theta)^2 = \int_{X_{(n)}}^1 (\hat{\theta}_B - \theta)^2 \pi(\theta|\mathbf{X}) d\theta.$$

□

**(3) With respect to the  $MSE$ s, which estimator is the best?**

Since I cannot give an explicit form of  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_B)$ , just compare  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}) = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}$  with  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \theta^2$ .

Notice that  $\frac{\theta^2}{3n} - \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \theta^2 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{3n(n+1)(n+2)} \theta^2$ . When  $n \leq 2$ , they are the same. When  $n > 2$ , the latter one is smaller. Thus, the maximum likelihood estimator is better if  $n > 2$  and not worse than  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM}$  otherwise.

2021/11/12

**[Wei] 3.22.**

- (1) The likelihood function is  $lik(\mu) = e^{n\mu - \sum_i x_i} I_{(-\infty, x_{(1)})}(\mu)$ . To maximize the likelihood,  $\mu$  should be as large as possible. Extend  $lik$  to  $x_{(1)}$  thus

$$\hat{\mu}^* = x_{(1)}.$$

Since for any  $x \geq \mu$ ,

$$P(X_1 > x) = \int_x^\infty f(t, \mu) dt = e^{\mu - x},$$

and  $P(X_{(1)} > x) = (P(X_1 > x))^n$ , the *p.d.f.* of  $x_{(1)}$  is

$$f_{X_{(1)}}(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} P(X_{(1)} > x) = ne^{n\mu - nx} I_{(\mu, +\infty)}(x).$$

Then we have

$$E\hat{\mu}^* = EX_{(1)} = \int_\mu^{+\infty} x \cdot ne^{n\mu - nx} dx = \mu + \frac{1}{n}.$$

So  $\hat{\mu}^*$  is biased, while  $\hat{\mu}^{**} = x_{(1)} - \frac{1}{n}$  is unbiased. □

- (2) Since  $EX_1 = \mu + 1$ , a moment estimator is

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} - 1.$$

From  $E\hat{\mu} = E\bar{X} - 1 = EX_1 - 1 = \mu$ , we know that  $\hat{\mu}$  is unbiased. □

- (3) Both  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\mu}^{**}$  are unbiased, so we only need to compare the variances of the two estimators, or equivalently, compare  $Var(X_{(1)})$  with  $Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} Var X_1$ . To simplify our calculation, assume  $\mu = 0$  without loss of generality. (Since  $X - \mu \sim Exp(1)$ ,  $Var(X_{(1)}) = Var(X_{(1)} - \mu)$ ,  $Var(X) = Var(X - \mu)$ .) Then  $X_1 \sim Exp(1)$  and

$$Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

$$\text{Since } EX_{(1)}^2 = \int_0^{+\infty} x^2 \cdot ne^{-nx} dx = \frac{2}{n^2},$$

$$Var(X_{(1)}) = EX_{(1)}^2 - (EX_{(1)})^2 = \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\mu}^{**}$  is more efficient than  $\hat{\mu}$  if  $n \geq 2$ , while they have the same efficiency if  $n = 1$ . □

**Remark:** One could also prove that  $X_{(1)}$  is sufficient and complete. Then from Lehmann-Scheff,  $\hat{\mu}^{**}$  is the unique UMVUE and cannot be less efficient than  $\hat{\mu}$ .

[Wei] 3.41. From the property of Gamma distributions (you can use characteristic function to prove this),  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma(n\alpha, \lambda)$ ,  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha} \sim \Gamma(n\alpha, n\alpha\lambda)$ . Therefore,

$$E\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{n\alpha}{n\alpha\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \text{Var}\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{n\alpha}{(n\alpha\lambda)^2} = \frac{1}{n\alpha\lambda^2}.$$

Then, check that the efficiency of  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}$  is 1. The *p.d.f* is  $f(x|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x}$ ,  $x > 0$ . Since **Gamma distributions are in the exponential distribution family**, we can calculate the Fisher information by

$$I(\lambda) = -E_\lambda \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(x|\lambda) \right] = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}.$$

Thus the efficiency

$$e(\lambda) = \frac{(g'(\lambda))^2}{nI(\lambda)\text{Var}\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}\right)} = 1,$$

which implies that  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}$  is an effective estimation.  $\square$

Remark: 记由样本计算得到的 Fisher 信息为  $I_x$ , 由总体计算得到的 Fisher 信息为  $I_X$ . 分布族为指数族时, 才能用  $I_x = nI_X$ .

[Wei] 3.43. Let  $W = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_i |X_i - a|$ , then (from Ex.3.8)  $EW = \sigma$  (unbiased!),  $\text{Var}(W) = \frac{\pi-2}{2n} \sigma^2$ . Because  $N(a, \sigma^2)$  is in exponential family,  $I(\sigma) = -E_\sigma \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} \log f(x|\sigma) \right] = \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$ . (After time-consuming calculation.....)  $e(\sigma) = \frac{(\sigma')^2}{nI(\sigma)\text{Var}(W)} = \frac{1}{\pi-2}$ .  $\square$

[Wei] 3.45. Let  $W_1 = \bar{X}$ ,  $W_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\max_i X_i + \min_i X_i)$ . Notice that  $Y_i = X_i - \theta \sim U[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then we have

$$EW_1 = EX_1 = \theta \text{ (unbiased!)}, \quad \text{Var}(W_1) = \frac{\text{Var}(X_1)}{n} = \frac{1}{12n}.$$

From symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} EW_2 &= \theta \text{ (unbiased!)}, \quad \text{Var}(W_2) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}(Y_{(1)} + Y_{(n)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} E(Y_{(1)} + Y_{(n)})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^y (x+y)^2 n(n-1)(y-x)^{n-2} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)(n+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $W_2$  is more efficient if  $n > 2$ , and  $\text{Var}(W_1) = \text{Var}(W_2)$  (same efficiency) if  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$ .  $\square$

[Wei] 3.46. Hints: write *p.d.f.s* of  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(3)}$  and calculate their expectations you can find both  $4X_{(1)}$  and  $\frac{4}{3}X_{(3)}$  are unbiased.

Besides, an interesting observation is that  $X_{(1)} \stackrel{d}{=} \theta - X_{(3)}$  (Calculate the p.d.f. of  $\theta - X_{(3)}$  using density transformation formula from the p.d.f. of  $X_{(3)}$  thus you can find  $X_{(1)} \stackrel{d}{=} \theta - X_{(3)}$ ), which immediately implies that  $Var(X_{(1)}) = Var(X_{(3)})$ , thus  $\frac{4}{3}X_{(3)}$  is more efficient.