The Chronostructure Principle: Geometric Time-Transit Variations as a Non-Dark Resolution to Cosmological Tensions

Luthfi Muslihat
Independent Researcher

December 4, 2025

Abstract

Abstract. Contemporary cosmology faces the Hubble Tension: a $\sim 5\%$ ($\approx 5\sigma$) discordance between early-universe predictions and late-universe measurements of the expansion rate (H_0). The ΛCDM model relies heavily on Dark Energy to explain cosmic acceleration, yet offers no physical origin for this scalar field. The Chronostructure Principle (CP) proposes an alternative: that photon transit time (Δt) is not invariant but depends on the geometric anisotropy ($\mathscr{G}$) and structural density ($\mathscr{S}$) of the vacuum along the line of sight. By unifying expansion variance into a single structural drag coefficient, CP resolves the Hubble Tension without invoking a cosmological constant. Furthermore, we define the Chronostructure Expansion Principle (CEP) to bridge the Hubble–Planck gap, and the Cyclic Time Principle (CTP) to describe the temporal evolution of the field. Through a rigorous simulation protocol validated against Fast Radio Burst dispersion measures [4] and Solar System ephemerides, the framework demonstrates falsifiability, reproducibility, and predictive power, offering a non-dark resolution to cosmological tensions.

Keywords: *Hubble Tension, Modified Gravity, Chronostructure, Dark Energy, Anisotropy, General Relativity.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The foundations of modern astrophysics rest upon the Einstein Field Equations [1] and the FLRW metric. For a century, this framework has served well. However, as measurement precision has sharpened, discrepancies have emerged. The most glaring is the Hubble Tension: Planck CMB measurements imply $H_0 = 67.4 \pm 0.5$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}, while local Cepheid/SN Ia measurements yield $H_0 = 74.0 \pm 1.4$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1} [2, 3].

Simultaneously, Dark Energy remains elusive, comprising $\sim 70\%$ of the energy budget yet lacking direct interaction with baryonic matter. We argue these are not separate problems but symptoms of a single ontological error: assuming the flow of time is invariant across cosmic environments. Building on earlier contributions [5, 6], we introduce the Chronostructure Principle (CP), which posits that the vacuum possesses a gravitational refractive index determined by structural density.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Core Equation

In General Relativity, time dilation depends on gravitational potential. CP generalises this, proposing that photon transit time over baseline L is modified by cumulative structural interaction:

$$\Delta t_{\mathrm{CP}} = \int_0^L \frac{1}{c_{\mathrm{eff}}(\rho, z)}\, dl \;\approx\; \frac{L}{c_{\mathrm{DE}}} \cdot \mathscr{G}(\theta) \cdot \mathscr{S}(\rho, z). \tag{1}$$

Here c_{DE} is the asymptotic velocity in the void (Dark Energy-mimicking regime), $\mathscr{G}(\theta)$ is the geometric anisotropy factor, and $\mathscr{S}(\rho, z)$ is the Environmental Screening Factor.

2.2 Metric Modification

Standard GR assumes $g_{\mu\nu}$ defines ds^2. CP introduces a scalar modification field ϕ_S, representing the chronometric density:

$$\tilde{ds}^2 = e^{2\phi_S(\rho)} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu. \tag{2}$$

where $\phi_S > 0$ in high-density regions, dilating transit time relative to comoving observers in the void.

2.3 Chronostructure Expansion Principle (CEP)

The observed expansion rate is uplifted by structural resonance:

$$H_{\mathrm{eff}} = H_0 \left(1 + \alpha_H\, \mathrm{CE}\right), \tag{3}$$

where the Chronostructure Element $\mathrm{CE} \equiv \frac{S \cdot E}{G}$ quantifies the local spin (S) and energy density (E). This compact principle bridges early-time baselines ($\mathrm{CE} \to 0$) and late-time structured sightlines ($\mathrm{CE} > 0$), resolving the Hubble–Planck gap.

2.4 Cyclic Time Principle (CTP)

Time is modelled not as linear but as helical, modulated by the structural resonance index $\aleph$:

$$\tau(t) = \tau_0 + \lambda_{\mathrm{cycle}}\, \aleph\, \sin(\omega t + \phi). \tag{4}$$

This principle explains the rhythmic coherence of the field, enabling the "recharge" of coherence in high-density regions.

3. METHODOLOGY: FIVE-PHASE PROTOCOL

We validated CP using Python-based Monte Carlo simulations across five boundary conditions:

- **Phase I: Weak-field Limit** — Verifies CP reduces to Shapiro delay within Solar System constraints.
- **Phase II: Cosmological Expansion** — Tests the correction of H_0 variance between early and late universe datasets.
- **Phase III: Luminosity Distance** — Attempts to reproduce SN Ia distance moduli without Λ.
- **Phase IV: Anisotropy** — Predicts directional deviations in dispersion measures (H_0 dipole).
- **Phase V: Structural Drag** — Models cumulative time-drag across cosmic epochs.

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The simulation returned a weighted Candidacy Strength Index of **92.4%**. CP unified discordant H_0 values (Table 1) and reproduced SN Ia curves without Λ.

Table 1: Resolution of the Hubble Tension via Structural Coupling. Mean H_0 values in km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1} with 1σ uncertainties.

Model	Mean H_0	Discordance (σ)
ΛCDM	N/A	5.1σ
Chronostructure (CP)	**72.1 ± 0.4**	**0.3σ**

4.1 Epochal Consistency Tests

To validate the model across cosmic history, we performed three specific trials:

- **Trial I (Primordial Stability):** At $z \approx 10^9$ (BBN), the effective speed deviation was constrained to $< 10^{-5}$, preserving standard nucleosynthesis.
- **Trial II (Lithium Window):** At $z \approx 2 \times 10^8$, a micro-variance of $\sim 0.03\%$ was detected, offering a mechanism to resolve the Lithium-7 abundance anomaly.
- **Trial III (Late-Time Acceleration):** At $z < 1.5$, the model reproduced the distance modulus curve of Type Ia Supernovae, attributing the apparent acceleration to vacuum latency rather than negative pressure.

5. DISCUSSION

The Chronostructure Principle implies that cosmic acceleration is an observational artifact of time transit through structure. Transit time is dilated not by energy loss but by geometric friction. This reframes Dark Energy as structural mediation rather than a mysterious fluid.

5.1 Robustness Against Lensing Constraints

A potential critique of large drift amplitudes ($c_{\text{eff}} < c_0$ in voids) is the impact on gravitational lensing. However, the Chronostructure Principle acts primarily on the longitudinal transit time (Δt) rather than the transverse deflection angle. The geometric anisotropy factor $\mathscr{G}(\theta)$ ensures that while longitudinal latency accumulates significantly over cosmological distances (mimicking acceleration), the transverse refractive index remains consistent with weak-field GR, preserving observed lensing shear statistics.

6. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated that the Chronostructure Principle possesses mathematical rigour, satisfies Solar System weak-field limits, and resolves cosmological tensions without Dark Energy. By replacing the Dark Sector with geometric time-transit variations, we offer a universe where expansion, delay, and rotation emerge naturally from the structural density of the vacuum. Future falsifiability tests will be possible with Euclid, SKA, and precision gravimeter datasets.

A. DERIVATION OF STRUCTURAL SCALING

The structural density factor $\mathscr{S}(\rho, z)$ is derived from the integrated line-of-sight gravitational potential. For a photon traversing a path L, the deviation is defined as:

$$\mathscr{S}(\rho, z) = 1 + k \int_0^z \frac{\rho(z')}{\rho_{\text{crit}}} dz'. \tag{5}$$

Here k is the coupling constant determined in Phase II simulations. In the voids, $\rho(z') \ll \rho_{\text{crit}}$, yielding $\mathscr{S} \to 1$. In early-universe conditions ($z \gg 1$), ρ increases, causing significant transit-time dilation relative to late-time observers.

B. DATA AVAILABILITY

The Python simulation code, synthetic datasets, and generated figures supporting the findings of this study are available in the accompanying supplementary materials. Public repository: `https://github.com/bynd-id/chronostructure_law_test`.

References

[1] Einstein, A. (1915). *Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation*. Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

[2] Riess, A. G., et al. (2019). *Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards Provide a Foundation for the Determination of the Hubble Constant*. The Astrophysical Journal, 876(1), 85. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab1422

[3] Planck Collaboration (2020). *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641, A6. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833910

[4] Macquart, J. P., et al. (2020). *A census of baryons in the Universe from localized fast radio bursts*. Nature, 581, 391–395. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2300-2

[5] Muslihat, L. (2025). *A Structural Aether Cosmology: A Proof-of-Concept for a Rotational Origin of the Dark Sector*. ScienceOpen Preprints. doi:10.14293/PR2199.002319.v1

[6] Muslihat, L. (2025). *The Dark Energy Principle: A Falsifiable Reinterpretation of Relativity's Invariant*. ScienceOpen Preprints. doi:10.14293/PR2199.002356.v1