Designing domain-specific languages and tools

Brent Yorgey

Grinnell College
February 9, 2015









Outline

- Paradigms for problem-solving: tools and languages

Outline

- Paradigms for problem-solving: tools and languages
- Embedded domain-specific languages

Outline

- Paradigms for problem-solving: tools and languages
- Embedded domain-specific languages
- Diagrams demo

Outline

- Paradigms for problem-solving: tools and languages
- Embedded domain-specific languages
- Diagrams demo
- A vision for combining software tools + languages

Paradigms

Paradigms for problem solving

- Software tools 

Paradigms for problem solving

- Software tools 

- General-purpose languages 

Paradigms for problem solving

- Software tools 

- General-purpose languages 

- Domain-specific languages 

Paradigms for problem solving

- Software tools 
- General-purpose languages 
- Domain-specific languages 
- Embedded domain-specific languages 

Criteria



Power

Flexibility

Learning Curve



Programmability

Power



ability to do complex things

	A	B	C
1	Temperature Data for Seattle		
2	Date	High (°F)	Low (°F)
3	3/1/12	43	34
4	3/2/12	44	39
5	3/3/12	54	44
6	3/4/12	51	44
7	3/5/12	46	34
8	3/6/12	44	32
9	3/7/12	48	29
10	3/8/12	60	33
11	3/9/12	49	41
12	3/10/12	45	43
13	3/11/12	44	37
14	3/12/12	47	33
15	3/13/12	42	33
16	3/14/12	46	34

Chart type in Excel:



Flexibility

ability to tweak and modify

	A	B	C
1	Temperature Data for Seattle		
2	Date	High (°F)	Low (°F)
3	3/1/12	43	34
4	3/2/12	44	39
5	3/3/12	54	44
6	3/4/12	51	44
7	3/5/12	46	34
8	3/6/12	44	32
9	3/7/12	48	29
10	3/8/12	60	33
11	3/9/12	49	41
12	3/10/12	45	43
13	3/11/12	44	37
14	3/12/12	47	33
15	3/13/12	42	33
16	3/14/12	46	34

Chart type in Excel:



Learning curve



how hard is it to get started?

Programmability

do tedious things easily



Tools

GP Langs

DSLs

EDSLs

Tools



GP Langs

DSLs

EDSLs


Tools
GP Langs
DSLs
EDSLs


Tools
GP Langs
DSLs
EDSLs


Tools
GP Langs
DSLs
EDSLs

Domain-specific languages

What makes a good domain-specific language?

Declarative







First try:

```
setFillColor(red);
drawCircle(5, (0,0));
setFillColor(blue);
drawCircle(5, (3,0));
```



Better:

$$(\mathit{circle}\ 5 \,\#\, \mathit{translateX}\ 3 \,\#\, \mathit{fc}\ \mathit{blue}) <> (\mathit{circle}\ 5 \,\#\, \mathit{fc}\ \mathit{red})$$



Better:

(*circle* 5 # *translateX* 3 # *fc blue*) <>
(*circle* 5 # *fc red*)

The structure of the code reflects the structure of the solution.

Compositional



“Compositional”?

“Compositional”?

- Build up complex things by combining simple things.

“Compositional”?

- Build up complex things by combining simple things.
- **The meaning of the whole is determined by the meaning of the parts.**

Examples of compositionality

Separate compilation



Examples of compositionality

Unix pipes

```
cat foo.txt | grep 'walrus' | sort | uniq
```

Examples of compositionality



(*circle* 5 # *translateX* 3 # *fc blue*) <>
(*circle* 5 # *fc red*)

Mathematical foundations

Mathematical foundations

The best (domain-specific) languages are those designed with elegant mathematical semantics.

Monoids



Monoids: Theme and Variations *(Functional Pearl)*

Brent A. Yorgey
University of Pennsylvania
byorgey@cis.upenn.edu

Abstract

The *monoid* is a humble algebraic structure, at first glance even downright boring. However, there's much more to monoids than meets the eye. Using examples taken from the diagrams vector graphics framework as a case study, I demonstrate the power and beauty of monoids for library design. The paper begins with an extremely simple model of diagrams and proceeds through a series of incremental variations, all related somehow to the central theme of monoids. Along the way, I illustrate the power of compositional semantics; why you should also pay attention to the monoid's even humbler cousin, the *semigroup*; monoid homomorphisms; and monoid actions.

Categories and Subject Descriptors D.1.1 [*Programming Techniques*]: Applicative (Functional) Programming; D.2.2 [*Design Tools and Techniques*]

General Terms Languages, Design

Keywords monoid, homomorphism, monoid action, EDSL

Prelude

diagrams is a framework and embedded domain-specific language for creating vector graphics in Haskell.[1] All the illustrations in this paper were produced using diagrams, and all the examples inspired by it. However, this paper is not really about diagrams at all! It is really about *monoids*, and the powerful role they—and, more generally, any mathematical abstraction—can play in library design. Although diagrams is used as a specific case study, the central ideas are applicable in many contexts.

Theme

What is a *diagram*? Although there are many possible answers to this question (examples include those of Elliott [2003] and Matlage and Gill [2011]), the particular semantics chosen by diagrams is an *ordered collection of primitives*. To record this idea as Haskell code, one might write:

```
type Diagram = [Prim]
```

But what is a *primitive*? For the purposes of this paper, it doesn't matter. A primitive is a thing that Can Be Drawn—like a circle, arc, polygon, Bézier curve, and so on—and inherently possesses any attributes we might care about, such as color, size, and location.

[1] `http://projects.haskell.org/diagrams/`

Haskell '12, September 13, 2012, Copenhagen, Denmark.



Figure 1. Superimposing a list of primitives

The primitives are ordered because we need to know which should appear "on top". Concretely, the list represents the order in which the primitives should be drawn, beginning with the "bottommost" and ending with the "topmost" (see Figure 1).

Lists support *concatenation*, and "concatenating" two Diagrams also makes good sense: concatenation of lists of primitives corresponds to *superposition* of diagrams—that is, placing one diagram on top of another. The empty list is an identity element for concatenation ($[] +\!\!+ xs = xs +\!\!+ [] = xs$), and this makes sense in the context of diagrams as well: the empty list of primitives represents the *empty diagram*, which is an identity element for superposition. List concatenation is associative; diagram A on top of (diagram B on top of C) is the same as (A on top of B) on top of C. In short, $(+\!\!+)$ and $[]$ constitute a *monoid* structure on lists, and hence on diagrams as well.

This is an extremely simple representation of diagrams, but it already illustrates why monoids are so fundamentally important: *composition* is at the heart of diagrams—and, indeed, of many libraries. Putting one diagram on top of another may not seem very expressive, but it is the fundamental operation out of which all other modes of composition can be built.

However, this really is an extremely simple representation of diagrams—much *too* simple! The rest of this paper develops a series of increasingly sophisticated variant representations for Diagram, each using a key idea somehow centered on the theme of monoids. But first, we must take a step backwards and develop this underlying theme itself.

Interlude

The following discussion of monoids—and the rest of the paper in general—relies on two simplifying assumptions:

Affine spaces



(x, y) $\stackrel{?}{=}$ (x, y)

Demo!



Tools as languages









File Edit Options Ponies Unicorns Help

```
mconcat
  [ circle 1 # fc blue
  , circle 1.5 # fc green
      # translate (3 ^& (-2))
  , triangle 1 # fc yellow
      # translate (1 ^& (-5))
  ]
```



File Edit Options Ponies Unicorns Help

```
mconcat
  [ circle 1 # fc blue
  , circle 1.5 # fc green
      # translate (2 ^& (-1))
  , triangle 1 # fc yellow
      # translate (1 ^& (-5))
  ]
```




File
Edit
Options
Ponies
Unicorns
Help


File
Edit
Options
Ponies
Unicorns
Help
Foozle
Wibble
Bazify
Enhance
Magic
Wiggly


File
Edit
Options
Ponies
Unicorns
Help

File Edit Options Ponies Unicorns Help





File Edit Options Ponies Unicorns Help





```
wiggly :: Double -> Double -> Trail V2 Double -> Trail V2 Double
wiggly n m tr
  = cubicSpline False
  . map (\(t, off, norm) -> origin .+^ off .+^
                            ((m * sin (tau * n * t)) *^ norm))
  . map (\t -> (t, tr `atParam` t, tr `normalAtParam` t))
  $ [0, 0.01 .. 1]
```

Thank you!



`http://projects.haskell.org/diagrams`