Typed type-level functional programming with GHC

Brent Yorgey University of Pennsylvania

Haskell Implementors' Workshop October 1, 2010





What I Did On My Summer Vacation

Brent Yorgey University of Pennsylvania

Haskell Implementors' Workshop October 1, 2010





What I Did On My Summer Vacation Holiday

Brent Yorgey University of Pennsylvania

Haskell Implementors' Workshop October 1, 2010





Joint work-in-progress with:



Simon Peyton-Jones



Dimitrios Vytiniotis



Stephanie Weirich



Steve Zdancewic

Outline

Type-level programming

Theory

Implementation

Future work

Type-level naturals

Length-indexed vectors

```
data Vec :: * -> * -> * where
  Nil :: Vec Z a
  Cons :: a -> Vec n a -> Vec (S n) a
```

Length-indexed vectors

Problems

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
data Z -- duplicate!
data S n
```

Problems

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat

data Z -- duplicate!
data S n

data Vec :: * -> * -> * -- untyped!
```

Problems

Taking inspiration from SHE...

Taking inspiration from SHE HER...

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
type family Plus (m::Nat) (n::Nat) :: Nat
type instance Plus Z n = n
type instance Plus (S m) n = S (Plus m n)
data Vec :: Nat -> * -> * where
  Nil :: Vec 7 a
  Cons :: a \rightarrow Vec n a \rightarrow Vec (S n) a
append :: ...
```

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
type family Plus (m::Nat) (n::Nat) :: Nat
type instance Plus Z n = n
type instance Plus (S m) n = S (Plus m n)
data Vec :: Nat -> * -> * where
  Nil :: Vec Z a
  Cons :: a \rightarrow Vec n a \rightarrow Vec (S n) a
append :: ...
...Look, ma, no braces!
```

Outline

Type-level programming

Theory

Implementation

Future work

```
e ::= x \mid K
\mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau
\mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2
\mid let... \mid case...
\mid e \rhd \gamma
```

$$e ::= x \mid K$$

$$\mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau \qquad \qquad \tau ::= a \mid T$$

$$\mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2 \qquad \qquad \mid \tau_1 \tau_2 \mid F_n \overline{\tau}^n$$

$$\mid let ... \mid case ... \qquad \qquad \mid \forall a : \kappa.\tau$$

$$\mid e \rhd \gamma$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} e ::= x \mid K \\ \mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau & \tau ::= a \mid T \\ \mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2 & \mid \tau_1 \tau_2 \mid F_n \overline{\tau}^n \\ \mid let... \mid case... & \mid \forall a : \kappa.\tau \\ \mid e \rhd \gamma \end{array}$$

$$\kappa := \star \mid \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2$$

$$e ::= x \mid K$$

$$\mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau \qquad \qquad \tau ::= a \mid T \mid K$$

$$\mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2 \qquad \qquad \mid \tau_1 \tau_2 \mid F_n \overline{\tau}^n$$

$$\mid let ... \mid case ... \qquad \qquad \mid \forall a : \kappa.\tau$$

$$\mid e \rhd \gamma$$

$$\kappa ::= \star \mid \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2$$

$$e ::= x \mid K$$

$$\mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau \qquad \qquad \pi ::= a \mid T \mid K \mid \star$$

$$\mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2 \qquad \qquad \mid \pi_1 \pi_2 \mid F_n \overline{\pi}^n$$

$$\mid let ... \mid case ... \qquad \qquad \mid \forall a : \pi.\pi$$

$$\mid e \rhd \gamma$$

```
\begin{array}{ll} e ::= x \mid K \\ \mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau \\ \mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2 \\ \mid let... \mid case... \\ \mid e \rhd \gamma \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \pi_1 \pi_2 \mid F_n \overline{\kappa}^n \\ \mid \forall a : \pi.\pi \end{array}
```

 $\Gamma \vdash \star : \star$

$$e ::= x \mid K$$

$$\mid \Lambda a : \kappa.e \mid e \tau \qquad \qquad \pi ::= a \mid T \mid K \mid \star$$

$$\mid \lambda x : \sigma.e \mid e_1 e_2 \qquad \qquad \mid \pi_1 \pi_2 \mid F_n \overline{\pi}^n$$

$$\mid let ... \mid case ... \qquad \qquad \mid \forall a : \pi.\pi$$

 $\Gamma \vdash \star : \star$

... Why not collapse everything?

Collapse everything?

▶ Phase distinction!

Collapse everything?

- Phase distinction!
- ▶ No need for erasure analysis

Collapse everything?

- Phase distinction!
- ► No need for erasure analysis
- Incremental changes

Kind polymorphism!

 $\forall \kappa : \star . \forall a : \kappa$

 $\forall a : \kappa.\tau_1 \sim \forall a : \kappa.\tau_2$

 $\forall a : \kappa_1.\tau_1 \sim \forall a : \kappa_2.\tau_2$

$$\forall a : \kappa_1.\tau_1 \sim \forall a : \kappa_2.\tau_2$$

▶ Nontrivial kind equalities only come from GADTs...

$$\forall a : \kappa_1.\tau_1 \sim \forall a : \kappa_2.\tau_2$$

- ▶ Nontrivial kind equalities only come from GADTs...
- ▶ No lifting GADTs! (For now.)

Outline

Type-level programming

Theory

Implementation

Future work

Progress

▶ Currently refactoring coercions as a separate type

Progress

- ▶ Currently refactoring coercions as a separate type
- ► Fix newtype deriving bug!

Progress

- Currently refactoring coercions as a separate type
- Fix newtype deriving bug!
- ► Implement auto-lifting of non-GADTs

Outline

Type-level programming

Theory

Implementation

Future work

${\sf Allow\ lifting\ GADTs?}$

Closed type functions?

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
```

```
type family Pred (n::Nat) :: Nat
type instance Pred Z = Z
type instance Pred (S n) = n
```

Closed type classes?

```
class Foo (n::Nat) where
...
instance Foo Z where ...
instance Foo (S n) where ...
```

Proof search/induction?

Plus n Z ~ n

Lifting value-level <u>functions</u> to the type level?

Coming soon to a GHC near you!