## am Continuity Eath for Schrödinger Eath.

ASIDE A "continuity equation" for the QM probability 1412,

Notice than in analysing P in Eqs (16), we have proven the identity ...

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi|^2 = \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2m}\right) \nabla \cdot \left[\psi^*(\nabla \psi) - (\nabla \psi^*)\psi\right] \int_{\text{lunder the integrals}}^{\text{ref. Eqs. (16A) $\frac{1}{2}$ (16C)} \qquad \text{(18)}$$

This follows directly from Schrödinger's Egtn. We define the quantities:

PROBABILITY DEHSITY: 
$$\underline{\rho} = \underline{\psi}^* \underline{\psi}$$
, for  $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t})$ ;

PROBABILITY CURRENT DENSITY:  $\underline{\mathbf{J}} = \frac{\hbar}{2im} [\psi^*(\nabla \psi) - (\nabla \psi^*)\psi]$ .

Eq. (18) => 
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
 \ QM Continuity Eqt., which quarantees probability conservation.

This equation has exactly the same form, and virtually the same meaning, as the equation in EM that governs change conservation, viz. [Jackson Eq. (5.2)]:

I= current density 
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
  $\int EM$  Continuity Eqt., which guarantees charge conservation.

The QM Continuity Egth is the essential working ingredient for the global probability conservation shown in Eq. (16F). But it is also more... here, in Eq. (20), we have a microscopic balance between the density p and its current J... p does not increase in any region of space without I flowing in to supply it.

To continue with the EM analogy, we know we can write:  $J_{EM} = p_{EM} V$ , for a flux of charge density  $p_{EM}$  flowing at velocity V through a surface. In the QM case:  $J_{QM} = Re\left[\frac{V}{im} V\right]V$ , from Eq. (19) above.

The density  $\rho_{am} = \Psi^* \Psi$  does appear on the RHS (as in  $J_{em} = \rho_{em} \Psi$ ), but it Split by the operator  $V_{op} = (\hbar/im) \Psi$ , which has the dimensions of a velocity. The companion momentum operator is:  $[P_{op} = m V_{op} = (\hbar/i) \Psi]$ . This harks back to Eq. (4),  $\rho$ , Sch 2, where we also tried  $\rho$  as an operator. More to come...