(37) [20 pts]. Ref. class notes on tD Pent no Theory, pp. tD 11-12. A two-level QM system (energy gap to wo) is subjected to a "chirped" coupling pulse U(t, v) = E(t) e-i [v-0(t)]t. The envelope E(t) has fi-blt) white duration ~ T, and the main frequency ~ wo drives transitions b>a as usual. What's new is trust the "chirp" for $\theta(t)$ can modulate V during the pulse.

(A) Find the spectral for δ corresponding to the τf corrier $e^{-i[\nu-\theta|t]}t$ in the case where the chirp is: $\theta(t) = \delta v \cdot \frac{t}{\tau}$, $\frac{t}{\tau} \Delta v(t)$ bendwidth) $\frac{t}{\tau} \tau(t)$ setime) = consts. Show: $\frac{\delta(\omega)}{\delta(\omega)} = \frac{(\alpha/\sqrt{\pi})e^{i\pi/4}e^{-i\alpha^2\omega^2}}{\delta(\omega)}$, and find α in terms of $\Delta v \notin \tau$. Show that δ becomes a Dirac delta for as $\alpha \to \infty$. What is the significance of this limit?

(B) If the envelope for is $E(t) = E_0 e^{-(t/T)^2}$, find the transition amplitude $\partial(\Omega)$ for the chirp of part (A). $\Omega = \omega_0 - v$ is the detuning frequency.

(C) Analyse the transition lineshape, i.e. $|a(\Omega)|^2 vs$, Ω . Under what conditions on Δv , $\tau \notin T$ does the envelope dominate $|a(sz)|^2$? When does the chirp dominate?

(A) Calculate the 2nd order amplitude a/2 (t) for the pulsed harmonic post n V(x,t).

(B) Denote $S_{nm} = \omega - \omega_{nm}$. Show that the <u>resonant parts of</u> $a_k^{(2)}(t)$ contribute: $\left[a_k^{(2)}(t) \simeq \sum_{n} \frac{\Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm}}{S_{nm}} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-i(2\Delta\omega - S_{nm})t}}{2\Delta\omega - S_{nm}} - \frac{1 - e^{-i(2\Delta\omega)t}}{2\Delta\omega} \right] \right], \text{ for } m \to \{n\} \to k \ @ \ \omega \simeq \frac{\omega_{km}}{2}.$

(C) In $a_k^{(2)}(t)$ of part (B), we can have $\Delta\omega \to 0$ (by turning) and $\delta_{nm} \to 0$ (by "accident"). Find the limiting forms of $a_k^{(2)}(t)$ for the following 3 cases: (I) $\delta_{nm} \to 0$, for some n, and $\Delta\omega \to 0$; (II) $\delta_{nm} \to 0$, for some n, but $\Delta\omega \neq 0$; (III) $\delta_{nm} \neq 0$, for any n, while $\Delta\omega \to 0$. Show that $a_k^{(2)}(t)$ is always finite, but its behavior depends oritically on the δ_{nm} .

\$507 Solutions

3 [20 pts]. Find transition lineshape for a "chirped" coupling pulse.

Ref. pp. tD 11-12 of class notes on Tune-Dependent Perturbation Theory.

1) Let the coupling pulse be: $U(t,v) = \mathcal{E}(t)\{e^{-i[v-\theta(t)]t}\}$. The exponential (A) is identified with the spectral for S by $\{\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(w-v)e^{-i\omega t} dw$, so we have

 $\Rightarrow \delta(\omega - v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{-i[v - \theta(t)]t}) e^{i\omega t} dt, \quad \text{and} \quad 2\pi \delta(\kappa) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i[\kappa + \theta(t)]t} dt. \quad \text{(1)}$

(* K= W-V) by Fourier inversion. If the "chirp" for <u>Θ(t) = ΔV· t</u>, then...

 $\rightarrow 2\pi \, \delta(\kappa) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\left[(\Delta v/\tau)t^2 + \kappa t\right]} dt = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right) dt \, e^{i\left[at^2 + 2bt\right]} \int_{2b=\kappa}^{a=(\Delta v/\tau)} dt$

= $\int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left\{ e^{i(at^2+2bt)} + e^{i(at^2-2bt)} \right\}$ integrals tobulated in Gradshteyn & Ryzhik, p. 395

= 2 \int dt \{ \cosat^2 \cos 2 \bt + i \sin at^2 \cos 2 \bt \}

 $= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2a}} \left\{ \left[\cos \frac{b^2}{a} + \sin \frac{b^2}{a} \right] + i \left[\cos \frac{b^2}{a} - \sin \frac{b^2}{a} \right] \right\}$

= $\int \frac{\pi}{2a} (1+i) e^{-i(b^2/a)}$, $\frac{\lambda}{b^2/a} = \alpha^2 \kappa^2$, $\alpha = \sqrt{\tau/4\Delta \nu}$.

 α has the dimensions of a time. Using also $(1+i)/\sqrt{2} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}$, we find...

 $\delta(\kappa) = (\alpha/\sqrt{\pi}) e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-i\alpha^2 \kappa^2},$

(3)

(2)

as the spectral fen for the chirped signal $exp \left\{ -i \left[v - \frac{t}{4\alpha r} \right] t \right\}$. Notice that the area under this curve is cost and independent of α , as...

 $\rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} = \frac{e^{i\pi \mathbf{k}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix^2} dx = 1 \quad \text{(Fresnel Integrals: G4R, p.395)}. \quad \text{(4)}$

Then, when \$2.00, Slk) of Eq. (3) goes over to a delta-for, since it vanishes every-Where but at K=0, where it becomes large. \$2.00 is the monochromatic limit.

(B) The transition amplitude is: ials2) = 2π Jdk S(s2-k) E(k), where the detuning frequency $\Omega = \omega_0 - \nu$. Change variables to $\kappa = \Omega - k$, and write ... [next page]

\$56

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $ia(\Omega) = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\kappa \, \delta(\kappa) \, \tilde{\epsilon}(\Omega - \kappa) = 2\pi \alpha \, e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\kappa \, e^{-i\alpha^2 \kappa^2} \, \tilde{\epsilon}(\Omega - \kappa) \,.$ (5)

We've inserted SIKI of Eq. (3). If the envelope E(t) is Gaussian...

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_0 e^{-(t/T)^2}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}(t) e^{i\omega t} dt = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0 T}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2 + (i\omega T)x} dx \qquad \text{p.307 #(3.323.2)}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega) = (\mathcal{E}_0 T/2\sqrt{\pi}) e^{-T^2\omega^2}.$$

Use of this envelope transform in Eq. (5) gives the transition amplitude ia(s) = & E. T(ei */4) Idx e-ia2 k2 e-T2(k-52)2

- 0 (eiπ/4) a(Ω) = αεοΤ e-Ω Τ = e-(T2+iα2)κ2+(2Ω2Τ)κ dκ

$$=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha\xi_0T}{\sqrt{T^2+i\alpha^2}}e^{-\Omega^2T^2\left[i\alpha^2/(T^2+i\alpha^2)\right]}.$$

This is the transition amplitude for a coupling: U(t,v)= E0 e-(t/T)2 e-i(v-\frac{t}{4\alpha^2}]t.

$$|a(\Omega)|^{2} = \frac{\pi \, \varepsilon_{0}^{2} T^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}} e^{-2\Omega^{2} T^{2}/(1+\gamma^{2})} \qquad = \left(\frac{T}{\alpha}\right)^{2} = \frac{4T^{2} \Delta v}{\tau} . \qquad \frac{|a(\Omega)|^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}} (8)$$

$$\underline{\underline{\tau}} = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 = \frac{47 \, \text{av}}{\tau}$$

 \Rightarrow peak height: $|a(0)|^2 = \pi ε^2 T^2 / \sqrt{1+r^2}$, (9) linewidth: DR ~ T/2 /1+r2

The line is symmetric in Ω ; in fact it follows the symmetry of the envelope Elt). In the monochromatic limit, a > 00 => r > 0, the peak 10(0)12 π Eo T and width DI = 1/TIZ are determined by the envelope. For large "Chirping" (a+0, T>

(38) [20 pts]. Analyse OtV2) transitions for a pulsed harmonic perturbation.

1. For the coupling $V(x,t) = 2t\Omega(x)\cos\omega t$, over $0 \le t \le T$, we have (A) found the m-> k transition amplitude to $\theta(V)$ [class notes, p. tD6]:

$$\rightarrow \partial_{k}^{(1)}(t) = \Omega_{km} \left[\frac{1 - e^{i(\omega_{km} + \omega)t}}{\omega_{km} + \omega} + \frac{1 - e^{i(\omega_{km} - \omega)t}}{\omega_{km} - \omega} \right], \text{ during time V is "on".}$$

This amplitude corresponds to direct (single photon) transitions $m \to k$ which are resonant for absorption when $\omega \simeq \omega_{km}$.

 $\frac{2.}{2} \frac{\Theta(\nabla^2)}{\text{processes are governed by the amplitude } a_k^{(2)}(t), \text{ where [notes, p. tD13]}:} it a_k^{(2)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{t} d\tau V_{kn}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{kn}\tau} \left\{ a_n^{(1)}(\tau) \right\} \leftarrow \text{put in } V_{kn}, \text{ and } a_n^{(1)} \text{ from Eq. (1)}$ $= \sum_{n=1}^{t} d\tau \left[t_n \Omega_{kn} \left(e^{i\omega\tau} + e^{-i\omega\tau} \right) \right] e^{i\omega_{kn}\tau} \left\{ \Omega_{nm} \left[\frac{1 - e^{i(\omega_{nm} + \omega)\tau}}{\omega_{nm} + \omega} + \frac{1 - e^{i(\omega_{nm} - \omega)\tau}}{\omega_{nm} - \omega} \right] \right\}$

The integrals are straightforward, but numerous. Since $\frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{nm}+\omega)\tau}}{(\omega_{nm}+\omega)\tau}$. (2) $\frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{nm}+\omega)\tau}}{(\omega_{nm}+\omega)\tau}$.

there are cross terms that produce oscillations $e^{i\omega_{km}\tau}$ which are non-resonant; they can be dropped immediately. After such arithmetic, we obtain...

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)}(t) \simeq \sum_{m} \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}n}\Omega_{\mathbf{n}m}}{\omega_{\mathbf{n}m}+\omega} \left[\frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}+\omega)t}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}+\omega} - \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}m}+2\omega)t}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}m}+2\omega} + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}-\omega)t}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}-\omega} \right] + \\ + \sum_{n} \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}n}\Omega_{nm}}{\omega_{\mathbf{n}m}-\omega} \left[\frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}-\omega)t}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}-\omega} - \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}m}-2\omega)t}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}m}-2\omega} + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}+\omega)t}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}+\omega} \right]. \quad (3)$$

These terms govern two-photon transitions: $m \rightarrow \{n\}$, $\{n\} \rightarrow k$, thru a set of intermediate states $\{n\}$ as depicted at right. Photons at frequencies $\omega \simeq \omega_{nm}$ and $\omega \simeq \omega_{kn}$ three required for resonance. If $\omega \simeq \frac{1}{2} \omega_{km}$, only terms $\Omega \notin \mathbb{Z}$ can be doubly resonant this way.

3. We retain terms $\mathbb{O} \notin \mathbb{O}$ in Eq. (3) for a possible resonant absorption $\mathbb{O} \cong \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{O} \mathbb{E}_{m}$. First, new rite them in terms of the following notation...

$$\underline{\underline{\omega}_{0}} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{km}, \quad \omega_{km} - 2\omega = -2 \Delta \omega, \quad \underline{\underline{\omega}} = \omega - \omega_{0} \text{ (detuning)};$$

$$\underline{\underline{\delta}_{nm}} = \omega - \omega_{nm}, \quad \omega_{kn} - \omega = \omega_{km} - \omega - \omega_{nm} = -2 \Delta \omega + \delta_{nm};$$

$$\underline{\underline{\delta}_{nm}} = \omega - \omega_{nm}, \quad \omega_{kn} - \omega = \omega_{km} - \omega - \omega_{nm} = -2 \Delta \omega + \delta_{nm};$$

 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial k}(t) \simeq \sum_{n} \frac{\Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm}}{S_{nm}} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-i(2\Delta\omega - S_{nm})t}}{2\Delta\omega - S_{nm}} - \frac{1 - e^{-i(2\Delta\omega)t}}{2\Delta\omega} \right]. \tag{5}$

(C) 4. Both DW and Snm Can >0, DW by turning, and Snm by an "accident" Where some energy worm happens to match w. Consider the following cases.

<u>I.</u> <u>Both Snm and Δω → 0</u>. Use: \(\frac{1}{k}(1-e-ikt) \simes it + \frac{1}{2}kt^2 + ..., as k → 0:

$$\Rightarrow \partial_{k}^{(2)}(t) \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those } \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}}{n} \text{ means a sum restricted to those} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \Omega_{nm} \right) t^{2} dn$$

II. $\underline{\delta_{nm}} \to 0$, but $\underline{\Delta\omega \neq 0}$. If we define $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta_{nm}}{2\Delta\omega} \ll 1$, the [] in Eq. (5) is

$$\left[\mathsf{Eq}(5)\right] = \frac{1}{2\Delta\omega} \left[\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left\{ 1 - e^{-2it\Delta\omega} \left(e^{2i\varepsilon t\Delta\omega} \right) \right\} - \left\{ 1 - e^{-2it\Delta\omega} \right\} \right]$$

$$\simeq \frac{\epsilon}{2\Delta\omega} \left[1 - (1 + 2it\Delta\omega)e^{-2it\Delta\omega} \right], \text{ to } 1^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \text{ or der } \dot{\omega} \in . \tag{7}$$

$$\xrightarrow{S_{\text{th}}} \partial_{k}^{(2)}(t) \simeq + \frac{1}{4(\Delta\omega)^{2}} \left(\sum_{n}^{\infty} \Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm} \right) \left[1 - (1 + 2it\Delta\omega) e^{-2it\Delta\omega} \right]. \tag{8}$$

II. Snm + O, but Δω > O. If |Δω| << 18mm, and tΔω << 1, then...

$$[Eq.(5)] \simeq \frac{1-(1-2it\Delta\omega)e^{it\delta_{nm}}}{0-\delta_{nm}} - \frac{1-(1-2it\Delta\omega)}{2\Delta\omega} \simeq -(\frac{1}{\delta_{nm}}+it)$$
(9)

This assumes $t8_{nm}$ is large enough so that cit8_nm averages to zero. Then $\frac{\Omega^{(2)}(1)}{2} = (-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Omega_{kn}\Omega_{nm}}{2} = (-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Omega_{nm}}{2} = (-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Omega_{n$

$$\rightarrow \partial_{k}^{(z)}(t) \simeq (-) \sum_{n} \frac{\Omega_{kn} \Omega_{nm}}{S_{nm}^{2}} (1 + i t S_{nm}). \tag{10}$$

Comparing (6) & (10), clearly the resonant behavior depends critically on the Snm.