

## $\mathcal{L}_{EM} \Rightarrow$ Source-dependent Maxwell Equations.

L&amp;H (17)

i.e.  $N=1$  Lagrange Eqn here  $\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{4\pi c} \mathbf{E}\right)_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_1$ .

...  $N=2,3$  eqns  $\Rightarrow$  the 2,3 components of Ampere's Law:  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}\right)$  (27)

In Covariant notation, what we have shown here is that...

field-source Lagrange density:  $\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{c} J_\nu A^\nu$ ,

plus Lagrange Eqns:  $\partial^\mu [\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM} / \partial (\partial^\mu A^\nu)] = \partial \mathcal{L}_{EM} / \partial A^\nu$ ,

(with components of 4-potential  $A^\nu = (\phi, \mathbf{A})$  as generalized cds)

imply the source-dept. Maxwell Eqns:  $\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{c} J_\nu$ . (28)

### REMARK

We get only the source-dept. Maxwell Eqns out of the  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  formalism.

What has happened to the other two eqns, viz.  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  &  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ ?

**ANS.** They are "trivially" satisfied by our choice of 4-potential  $A^\nu = (\phi, \mathbf{A})$  (and the consequent form of the field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ ) such that Eq. (24) is satisfied, i.e.  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{1}{c} (\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ . With this way of defining  $\phi$  &  $\mathbf{A}$ , it is automatically true that the Maxwell fields obey  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0$ ,  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \times (\nabla\phi) - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ . From the standpoint of the 4 degrees-of-freedom inherent in the Maxwell field, Eq. (28) gives just as much -- and no more -- information as is needed.

19) The utility of the  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  formalism does not lie in regurgitating the Maxwell Eqns -- this is just a check on whether  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  generates the "right" eqns-of-motion. The utility of the formalism does lie in being able to quickly decide -- covariantly, of course -- how modifications might be made to EM theory. An example is the Proca Lagrangian [Jk<sup>n</sup> Eq. (12.91)], including a photon mass:

Proca Lagrangian for finite photon mass

$$\mathcal{L}_P = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{c} J_\alpha A^\alpha + \frac{\mu^2}{8\pi} A_\alpha A^\alpha, \quad \mu = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \quad \text{w/ } m = \text{finite photon mass.} \quad (29)$$

The photon mass term ③ is added to the usual  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  (terms ① & ②) in an obviously covariant fashion (since  $A_\alpha A^\alpha$  is a Lorentz scalar). The potential  $A_\alpha$  venue is taken because the photon -- massive or not -- must mediate a nonlocalized field (represented by  $A_\alpha$ ) rather than a source (like  $J_\alpha$ ).<sup>†</sup> Eftn.-of-motion is:

$$\rightarrow \partial^\mu [\partial \mathcal{L}_P / \partial (\partial^\mu A^\alpha)] = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_P}{\partial A^\alpha} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial^\beta F_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{c} J_\alpha - \frac{\mu^2}{4\pi} A_\alpha \quad (30)$$

With  $F_{\beta\alpha} = \partial_\beta A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha A_\beta$ , have...

$$\rightarrow \partial^\beta F_{\beta\alpha} = \underbrace{(\partial^\beta \partial_\beta)}_{(a)} A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \underbrace{(\partial^\beta A_\beta)}_{(b)} \quad \begin{cases} \text{usual Max. Eqs. (from terms ① \& ②).} \\ \text{mass term (from ③ above).} \end{cases}$$

$$\int \begin{cases} (a): \partial^\beta \partial_\beta = \square = \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \nabla^2 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Wave} \\ \text{operator} \end{array} \right. \\ (b): \partial^\beta A_\beta = \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \end{cases}$$

... and so Eq. (30) reads... (Lorentz Gauge -- req'd by charge cons'n) ★

$$\boxed{\square A_\alpha + \mu^2 A_\alpha = (4\pi/c) J_\alpha} \leftarrow \text{Proca's Wave Eqtn} \quad (32)$$

The photon mass term in  $\mu$ , which we have previously put into the theory "by hand", now appears in the EM wave eqtn -- in virtually the only way possible.

A sol<sup>n</sup> to (32) Let:  $A_\alpha(x, t) = \tilde{A}_\alpha(x) e^{i\omega t}$ , so  $\nabla^2 \tilde{A}_\alpha - (\mu^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}) \tilde{A}_\alpha = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \tilde{J}_\alpha e^{-i\omega t}$ .

Take low freq. limit,  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\nabla^2 \tilde{A}_\alpha - \mu^2 \tilde{A}_\alpha = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \tilde{J}_\alpha$ .

For a point  $q$  at rest,  $\tilde{J}_\alpha = 0$ , so  $\nabla^2 \phi - \mu^2 \phi = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\phi(r) = \frac{q}{r} e^{-\mu r}} \quad (33)$

Eq. (33) shows how a finite photon mass  $\mu$  modifies the EM Coulomb potential. In the general realm of field theory, such a "Yukawa-type" potential will result for any charge-current  $\mathcal{L}$  when the field quantum (photon) has finite mass.

<sup>†</sup> The other obvious add-on,  $J_\alpha J^\alpha$ , does not give anything new in  $\mathcal{L}_P$ , because the  $\mathcal{L}_P$  eqtns.-of-motion involve only derivatives w.r.t.  $A^\alpha$ . ★ See p 520 Prob. (76).