Expectation Values of QM Variables

Re the "unfinished business" on p. Sch. 6, we have dealt with point (a), by Showing [in Eqs. (14)-(22)] that -- for Schrödinger's 4 (free particle) -- 1412 can indeed be interpreted as a probability density. We still have to deal with point (B), viz: how do external forces enter Schrödinger's Eqt. ?

Before we look at this last question, we will develop some QM formalism that will help us to indevistand: (A) how the notion of 1412 as a probability distribution leads to the idea of "most probable" values of QM variables, and (B) how QM variables are connected with operators—e.g., as at bottom of p. Sch. 9 preceding: momentum $p \rightarrow p_{op} = (ti/i) \nabla$. These points will aid us in putting external forces into the theory, and altogether they will make us feel better about QM. The formalism is that of "expectation values".

1) Start from the packet representation of a wavefor 4 at time t=0...

$$\rightarrow \Psi(x,0) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int \varphi(k) e^{ikx} dk, \text{ at } t=0.$$
 (23)

We will work in 1D, and I means I unless noted otherwise. We are using a new normalization factor (1/1217), so that the Fourier inverse of Eq. (23) is:

$$\rightarrow \frac{\varphi(k) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int \psi(x,0) e^{-ikx} dx}{(24)}$$

This is done so that if we choose a normalization \$1412dx = 1 for \$4, then \$\tau \text{will have the same norman, i.e. \$1412dk = 1... we prove this proposition below [see Eq. []]. \text{NOTE}: from Eqs.(23) & (24), once the spectral for \$\phi(k)\$ is given, \$\psi(x,0)\$ is fixed, and vice-versa.

To see that (23) & (24) are compatible, plug the integral for $\varphi(k)$ back into $\Psi(x,0)$ to obtain...

Fourier consistency. Appearance of the Dwar delta function.

Sch.111

$$\rightarrow \Psi(x,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[\int \Psi(x,0) e^{-ikx} dx' \right] e^{ikx} dk$$

=
$$\int \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ik(x-x')} dk\right] \psi(x',0) dx'$$
. (25)
= $\delta(x-x')$, called "Dirac delta function"

The integral called & here is not well defined, but can be given a meaning by looking at a limit, viz.

$$\underline{S(z)} = \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-K}^{+K} e^{ikz} dk = \lim_{K \to \infty} \left(\frac{\sin Kz}{\pi z} \right). \tag{26A}$$

- For any ₹+0 (and K > large), the average value of 8(2)=0, over finite DZ.
- D For ≥→0, however, δ(2) becomes arbitrarily lange...

In effect, $\delta(z)=0$ everywhere but at z=0, where it is ∞ .

δ(2) 1 0

(26¢)

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(z) dz = \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin Kz}{z} \right) dz = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin u}{u} \right) du = 1,$$

and this normalization is independent of K. So it is indept of the limit.

● With an appreciable value only at Z=0, S(Z) projects out an integrand value just at that point, i.e.

This relation defines 8(2). That the

fact that [by Eq. (260)], we need \(\int_{\alpha} \delta(\alpha) \, \delta = 1 \) for the choice f(z) = 1.

When these results are used in Eq. (25) above, it is clear that we have an identity: $\Psi(x,0) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \delta(x-x') \Psi(x',0) dx' = \Psi(x,0)$. So the transform pair in Eqs. (23) \$ (24) are self-consistent.

2) The fact that $\Psi(x,0)$ & $\varphi(k)$ are "interchangeable" via the transform pair in Eqs. (23) & (24) suggests that both functions can be used as probability distributions. If $\Psi(x,0)$ is used for calculations in position space (i.e. & cds), then $\varphi(k)$ should be useful for calculations in momentum space (i.e. & cds, with k=p/h directly proportional to momentum p). Thus, we are suggesting...

 $||\Psi(x,0)|| \text{ in } x\text{-space} \leftarrow (\text{specifies}) \rightarrow \varphi(k) \text{ in } k\text{-space},$ So, if $|\Psi(x,0)|^2 dx = \text{prob. of finding particle in } dx @ \text{ position } x$, \\

then $|\varphi(k)|^2 dk = \text{prob. of finding particle in } dk \\
|\Pi'| \text{momentum } k$.

If this is to be true, then both $\Psi \notin \varphi$ should be <u>normalizable</u> in the same way, i.e. if $\int |\Psi|^2 dx = 1$, then $\int |\varphi|^2 dk = 1$ also. That this is in fact true is a result of Parseval's Theorem, i.e....

PARSEVAL'S THEOREM If Alx) and B(k) are a Fourier transform pair, i.e. $A(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B(k) e^{ikx} dk \neq B(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(x) e^{-ikx} dx, \text{ then the normalization } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(x)|^2 dx = 1 \text{ implies the norm } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B(k)|^2 dk = 1 \text{ (and vice-versa).}$ Proof ("Vall $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B(k)|^2 dk = 1$)

 $\int |B(k)|^{2} dk = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk \left[\int A(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right]^{*} \left[\int A(x') e^{-ikx'} dx' \right]$ $= \int dx A^{*}(x) \int dx' A(x') \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk e^{ik(x-x')} \right]^{1} this \left[\int is \int dx' A(x') \int dx' A(x') \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk e^{ik(x-x')} \right]^{2} dx'$ $= \int dx A^{*}(x) \int dx' A(x') \int dx' A(x') \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk e^{ik(x-x')} \right]^{2}$ $= \int dx A^{*}(x) \int dx' A(x') \int dx' A(x') \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk e^{ik(x-x')} \right]^{2}$ $= \int dx A^{*}(x) \int dx' A(x') \int dx' A(x') \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk e^{ik(x-x')} \right]^{2}$

SIB(k) 12 dk = SIA(x) 12 dx, and: SIB12 dk = 1 iff SIA12 dx = 1. QED (28)

Just as the uncertainty relation $\Delta k \Delta x \sim 1$ Suggested that momentum k and position x were linked variables on equivalent footing, so now we see that the distributions $\Psi(x,0) \notin \varphi(k)$ can be treated equivalently (in their own spaces).

3) We now take a step which constitutes a postulate of QM. It is done so as to give the notion of Y& \phi as "probability distributions" as precise a meaning as possible for the motion of QM particles, and done because we know that (in QM) we cannot determine the particle's position x and momentum k to within tolerances better than $\Delta k \Delta x \sim \hbar$. So, although we cannot specify position x precisely, we can calculate...

$$\rightarrow \langle x(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(x,t) \{x\} \psi(x,t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx. \tag{29}$$

(x1t) is the center-of-gravity, or average (mean) position of the distribution 1412 that measures the particle's brobable location. Similarly:

$$\rightarrow \langle k \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi^{k}(k) \{k \} \varphi(k) dk = \int_{0}^{\infty} k |\varphi(k)|^{2} dk, \qquad (30)$$

is the mean momentum associated with the distribution |4|2 that measures the particle's probable momentum values. In the packet analysis example on pp. Pack 5-6, we would have (x(t)) = vgt specifying the motion of the center of the packet, and (k) = ko = its nominal wave #.

This idea of settling for <u>mean</u> values of dynamical quantities as being the maximum information available in a theory characterized by uncertainties in those quantities, and by probability distributions for them, is now elevated to the following:

POSTULATE For a given QM state or system, specified by a wave for $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)$, there corresponds to any observable quantity $f(\mathbf{r})$ -- e.g. position \mathbf{r} , potential energy $V(\mathbf{r})$, etc. -- a most probable value or "expectation value", defined by: $\langle f \rangle = \int_{\omega} \Psi^*(\mathbf{r},t) \{f(\mathbf{r})\} \Psi(\mathbf{r},t) d^3r$. Generally, $\langle f \rangle$ is a for of time t, and it gives the maximum information possible regarding measurable values of $f(\mathbf{r})$ in the QM system.

NOTE: In $\langle f \rangle = \int_{\infty} \Psi^* \{f\} \Psi \, d^3r$, f is "sandwiched" between $\Psi^* \notin \Psi$. If f is an ordinary for of σ (e.g. $f = \Gamma$, Γ^2 ,... etc.), the sandwich disappears, and $\langle f \rangle = \int_{\infty} f |\Psi|^2 \, d^3r$. If, however, f is an operator (say $f = \partial/\partial \Gamma = \nabla$), then clearly $\int_{\infty} \Psi^* \{f\} \Psi \, d^3r$ differs from $\int_{\infty} f |\Psi|^2 \, d^3r$. We go with the definition $\langle f \rangle = \int_{\infty} \Psi^* \{f\} \Psi \, d^3r$, and next show why this is useful.

4) A QM particle described by a wavefer $\Psi(x,t)$ in 1D has--at time t=0--a mean position and mean momentum given by (all $S=S_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$)...

$$\int \langle x \rangle_0 = \int \psi_0^*(x) \{x\} \psi_0(x) dx, \quad \forall \psi(x,0) ; \qquad (31A)$$

$$(318)$$

To get $\langle p \rangle_0$, we have to use the transform $\psi_0(x) \rightarrow \varphi(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \psi_0(x) e^{-ikx} dx$. It would be convenient if we could calculate both $\langle x \rangle_0 \notin \langle p \rangle_0$ from just $\psi_0(x)$ alone. In fact, this can be done, by transforming (31B) back to x-space, as...

$$\rightarrow \langle \rho \rangle_o = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int dk \left[\int \Psi_o(x') e^{-ikx'} dx' \right]^* \{k\} \left[\int \Psi_o(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right]$$

$$= \frac{t}{2\pi} \int dk \int dx' \, \Psi_o^*(x') e^{ikx'} \int dx \, \Psi_o(x) \, k e^{-ikx}. \qquad (32)$$

Now, perform a trick on Flk)...

ke-ikx = i \frac{\partial}{\partial} e-ikx \lefta assumes k is indept of x (true for free particle)

F(k) =
$$\int dx \, \psi_0(x) \, i \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \, e^{-ikx} = i \int \psi_0(x) \, de^{-ikx} \int do \, a \, partial$$

= $i \left[\psi_0(x) e^{-ikx} \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=+\infty} - \int e^{-ikx} \, d\psi_0(x) \right] = -\int dx \, e^{-ikx} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_0(x)$,

 $0 \left(\psi_0(x) \, \psi_0(x) \, \psi_0(x) \right)$

So (32) becomes ...

$$\rightarrow \langle p \rangle_o = -\frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \int dk \int dx' \psi_o^*(x') e^{ikx'} \int dx e^{-ikx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_o(x) \int change order$$

$$= -i\hbar \int dx' \psi_o^*(x') \int dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_o(x) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk e^{ik(x'-x)} \right]^{2\pi} \left[\int e^{ik(x'-x)} dx' \psi_o^*(x') \int dx' \psi_o^*(x') \psi_o^*(x') \int dx' \psi_o^*(x') \int dx' \psi_o^*(x') \psi$$