

Motion of Q , i.e. $(d/dt)\langle Q \rangle$, as determined by \mathcal{H} .

Prop. (13)

● The Quantum-Mechanical Equation-of-Motion,

In Schrödinger's Eqn: $i\hbar \partial\psi/\partial t = \mathcal{H}\psi$, the Hamiltonian operator \mathcal{H} specifies how the wavefn ψ evolves in time. In turn, ψ specifies the time-dependence of a quantity Q via the expectation value $\langle Q \rangle = \langle \psi | Q \psi \rangle$. Thus, we anticipate that there is a relationship between \mathcal{H} and Q 's dependence on time -- in particular, \mathcal{H} should determine the time dependence of Q . We now show this is the case by analysing how a general operator $Q = Q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ evolves in time, in an expectation value sense (of course).

1) For $Q = Q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, the expectation value is $\langle Q \rangle = \langle \psi | Q \psi \rangle$, and so...

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle &= \langle \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) | Q \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right) \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | Q \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) \rangle \quad (1) \\ &\quad \swarrow \partial\psi/\partial t = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\psi \quad = \langle \partial Q / \partial t \rangle \quad \swarrow \partial\psi/\partial t = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\psi \\ &= \langle \partial Q / \partial t \rangle + \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \mathcal{H}\psi | Q \psi \rangle - \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi | Q (\mathcal{H}\psi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | \mathcal{H} (Q \psi) \rangle, \text{ since } \mathcal{H} \text{ is Hermitian;} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So} // \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi | \mathcal{H} (Q \psi) - Q (\mathcal{H} \psi) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

For a general product operator $C = AB$, let $B\psi = \phi$. So $C\psi = A\phi$, that is: $(AB)\psi = A(B\psi)$. Use this fact in (2) to write...

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi | (\mathcal{H} Q - Q \mathcal{H}) \psi \rangle. \quad (3)$$

The combination in () in Eq. (3) occurs often in QM. It is called...

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{COMMUTATOR of} \\ \text{operators } A \text{ \& } B \end{array} \right\} (AB - BA) \equiv [A, B] \leftarrow \boxed{\text{notation}}. \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So} // \frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right\rangle + (i/\hbar) \langle [\mathcal{H}, Q] \rangle, \\ \text{or} // \frac{d}{dt} \underline{Q} &= \underline{\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + (i/\hbar) [\mathcal{H}, Q]}, \text{ in an expectation value sense. } \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle} \right\} (5)$$

From Eq. (5), we see that apart from an explicit (built-in) dependence on time (i.e. $\partial Q / \partial t \neq 0$), the evolution of Q is in fact determined by \mathcal{H} , via the commutator $[\mathcal{H}, Q]$. The necessary and sufficient condition that $\langle Q \rangle$ is conserved -- i.e. is a "constant-of-the-motion", $\frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle = 0$ -- is...

For time-independent operators Q ($\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = 0$), Q is a "constant-of-the-motion", i.e. $\frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle = 0$, if and only if $[\mathcal{H}, Q] = 0$. (6)

Eq. (6) \Rightarrow an easy way to find "constants-of-the-motion": calculate $[\mathcal{H}, Q]$.

2) A note on commutators is in order. They are meant to be evaluated w.r.t. a wavefn ψ , i.e. they should operate on some ψ . Thus $[A, B]$ by itself may have no meaning, but $[A, B]\psi$ does have the operational defⁿ:

$$\rightarrow [A, B]\psi = A(B\psi) - B(A\psi). \quad (7)$$

A simple example is the commutator for position & momentum...

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow [x, p_x]\psi &= x(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\psi - (-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x})(x\psi) \\ &= i\hbar \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x\psi) - x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right] = i\hbar(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

So $[x, p_x] = i\hbar$, in an expectation value sense. (8)

By itself: $[x, p_x] = -i\hbar x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x$, is not transparent... however, $[x, p_x]\psi$ is clear. More generally than (8), for position & momentum components x_k & p_l ($k, l = 1, 2, 3$), we can show...

$$\boxed{[x_k, p_l] = i\hbar \delta_{kl}}, \quad \text{w/ } \delta_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } k=l; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \text{ (Kronecker delta). (9)}$$

The commutator $[x, p_x] = i\hbar$ is easily generalized to $x \rightarrow f(x)$, an arbitrary fn of x ...

Generalized Commutators w.r.t. x & p_x .

Prop. (15)

$$\rightarrow [f(x), p_x] \psi = i\hbar \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (f\psi) - f \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right] = (i\hbar \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) \psi,$$

i.e. $[f(x), p_x] = i\hbar (\partial f / \partial x)$, in an expectation value sense. (10)

Also, since p_x commutes with itself (i.e. $[p_x, p_x] \equiv 0$) and all powers of itself:

$$\boxed{[f(x, p_x), p_x] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, p_x)}, \text{ in exp}^n \text{ value sense.} \quad (11A)$$

The companion relation is...

$$\boxed{[x, F(x, p_x)] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} F(x, p_x)}, \text{ in exp}^n \text{ value sense.} \quad (11B)$$

We now prove (11B). Since x commutes with itself and all powers of itself, (11B) will be true for all F 's that can be expanded in power series in p_x if we can show: $[x, p_x^n] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} p_x^n$, for all $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$

We can establish this proposition by mathematical induction...

Let $p_x = p$, as a shorthand.

1. $[x, p^n] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^n$, is evidently true for $n=1$ (i.e. $[x, p] = i\hbar$). (12A)

2. Assume true for n , i.e. $[x, p^n] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^n = i\hbar n p^{n-1}$.

Now--from this assumption--show proposition is true for $(n+1)$, i.e.

show that: $[x, p^{n+1}] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^{n+1} = i\hbar (n+1) p^n$. (12B)

3. Use a general commutator identity for a product operator (see problems):

$$\rightarrow [A, BC] = B[A, C] + [A, B]C;$$

so $[x, p^{n+1}] = [x, p^n p] = p^n [x, p] + [x, p^n] p$

$\swarrow = i\hbar$, by Eq. (8) $\swarrow = i\hbar n p^{n-1}$, by assumption in 2

$$= i\hbar (p^n + n p^{n-1} p) = i\hbar (n+1) p^n = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^{n+1}. \quad (12C)$$

4. $[x, p^n] = i\hbar (\partial / \partial p) p^n$, is true for $n=1$, and the assumption it is true for $n \Rightarrow$ it is true for $(n+1)$. By induction, it is true for all n .

This result, used judiciously in (11B), verifies that $[x, F(x, p)] = i\hbar \frac{\partial F}{\partial p}$.

Then for any operator $Q = Q(x, p, t)$, Eqs. (11A) & (11B) prescribe...

$$\boxed{[Q, p] = i\hbar (\partial Q / \partial x), \quad [x, Q] = i\hbar (\partial Q / \partial p)} \quad \checkmark \text{ in an exp}^n \text{ value sense.} \quad (13)$$

3) Our QM Equation-of-Motion, i.e. Eq. (5) above (with expⁿ values restored):

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\mathcal{H}, Q] \rangle + \langle \partial Q / \partial t \rangle} \quad \mathcal{H} = \text{Hamiltonian operator, that generates wfn } \Psi \text{ via: } \mathcal{H}\Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}. \quad (14)$$

Can be put to immediate good use. Namely, we can show that the eqns-of-motion for position $\langle x \rangle$ and momentum $\langle p \rangle$ of a QM system (e.g. a particle of mass m) are just Hamilton's equations of classical mechanics...

$$\rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle x \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\mathcal{H}, x] \rangle + \langle \partial x / \partial t \rangle = + \langle \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial p \rangle; \quad (15A)$$

$\underbrace{[\mathcal{H}, x]}_{= -i\hbar \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial p \text{ [2nd of Eqs. (13)]}} \quad \begin{matrix} \nearrow 0 \\ x \& t \\ \text{are indept} \\ \text{variables} \end{matrix}$

$$\rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle p \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\mathcal{H}, p] \rangle + \langle \partial p / \partial t \rangle = - \langle \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial x \rangle; \quad (15B)$$

$\underbrace{[\mathcal{H}, p]}_{= +i\hbar \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial x \text{ [1st of Eqs. (13)]}} \quad \begin{matrix} \nearrow 0 \\ p \& t \\ \text{are indept} \\ \text{variables} \end{matrix}$

i.e., // in an expectation value sense: $\underline{\underline{\frac{d}{dt} x = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} p = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x}}}$. (15C)

Eqs. (15C) are just Hamilton's equations [ref. Ch. 6 of A. Fetter & J. Walecka, "Theoretical Mechanics of Particles & Continua" (McGraw-Hill, 1980)], and the QM expectation-value version is known as Ehrenfest's Theorem (1927). For a conservative system (no dissipation), \mathcal{H} is a constant of the motion, and it may be identified with the system's total energy (K.E. + P.E.). If we write: $\mathcal{H} = p^2/2m + V(x)$, then Eqs. (15C) prescribe that: $\underline{\underline{\frac{d}{dt} x = p/m = v, \quad \frac{d}{dt} p = -\partial V / \partial x = F}}$. In fact, we used these eqns as input for our derivation of Schrödinger's Eqn [ref p. Sch. 18 & 19, Eqs. (44) & (47)].