13) ADIABATIC APPROXIMATION (Daydov, 992).

1. Assume the eigenfons ϕ_n and eigenenergies E_n of total system Hamiltonian $H_0(x,p;t)$ are known at all t, i.e. $H_0(x;t) = E_n(t) \phi_n(x;t)$. The set $\{\phi_n\}$ are orthonormal; t is just a parameter which accommodates slow thanges in the ϕ_n 4 E_n . The general system state is the superposition:

2. The system dynamics is prescribed by 464 = it of For 4 of (40), get:

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n} \left[\dot{a}_{n} \phi_{n} + a_{n} \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial t} \right] \exp \left\{ -i \int_{0}^{t} \omega_{n} d\tau \right\} = 0.$$

... operate through with <pk >> , assume <pk | pn > = 8km ...

$$\left[\dot{a}_{k} = (-) \sum_{n} a_{n} \langle \phi_{k} | (\partial \phi_{n} / \partial t) \rangle \exp \left\{ i \int_{t}^{t} (\omega_{k} - \omega_{n}) d\tau \right\} \right].$$
 (41)

This is the MASTER EATN for this method. The a's, w's etc. depend on t.

3. We can get a simpler expression for the (pk/(dpn/dt)) in (41). Note that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \times \left(\mathcal{H} \phi_n = E_n \phi_n \right) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} \right) \phi_n + \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial t} \right) \phi_n + E_n \left(\frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{4z}{z} \right)$$

... operate through (42) by <px > >, k = n, to get ...

 $\langle \phi_k | (\partial \mathcal{H} | \partial t) | \phi_n \rangle + \langle \phi_k | \mathcal{H} | (\partial \phi_n | \partial t) \rangle = 0 + E_n \langle \phi_k | (\partial \phi_n | \partial t) \rangle$ operate to left to generate E_k

$$\frac{s_{01}}{\langle \phi_{k} | (\partial \phi_{n} | \partial t) \rangle} = \frac{1}{E_{n} - E_{k}} \langle \phi_{k} | (\partial y_{0} | \partial t) | \phi_{n} \rangle, \quad k \neq n. \tag{43}$$

We can use this in (41) for k = n.

4. The case of k=n in (43) can be handled as follows ...

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \times \left(\langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle = 1 \right) \Rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\langle \phi_n | (\partial \phi_n / \partial t) \rangle}{\partial t} = 0.$$

(44)

··· pure imaginary, so set: (φη | φη > = i αη(t) { the dot" = 3/0t

Choose new sigenfens:
$$\tilde{\phi}_n = \phi_n e^{i\beta n}$$
, $\beta_n = \beta_n(t)$ a phase.

 $Sgh(\tilde{\phi}_n|\tilde{\phi}_n) = \langle \phi_n|\tilde{\phi}_n \rangle + i\beta_n = i(\alpha_n + \beta_n)$

and $\langle \tilde{\phi}_n|\tilde{\phi}_n \rangle = 0$, if $\beta_n = -\alpha_n$, i.e., $\beta_n = -\int_{t_0}^{t} \alpha_n(\tau) d\tau$.

(45)

But ne could have made this phase choice to begin with. So we claim ...

-> <pri>(px/(0pn/0t)) = 0, for k=n, by choice of phase.

(46)

5. Use of (43) & (46) in the MASTER EQTN (41) gives ...

$$\left[\dot{a}_{k} = \sum_{n \neq k} a_{n} \left[\frac{j \dot{e}_{kn}}{\hbar \omega_{kn}(t)} \right] \exp \left\{ i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \omega_{kn}(\tau) d\tau \right\} \right] \int_{\omega_{kn}(t)}^{y \dot{e}_{kn}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} |\phi_{n}\rangle,$$

This extr is still exact; we have not yet made any approximations. (47)

6. Now we do make an approxn. Let an = an + 2 an + 2 an + ..., where I is connected with the power of He occurring in (47). Then, as usual, Choose and = 8nm => system initially in state m, and iterate (47) to get:

ahlt) will provide the 1st (lowest) order m > k transition amplitude as driven by 46. Now in (48), both War and 46km are in general time-dependent (by assumption). The ~ crude part of this approximation comes now:

[next page]

assume whom and Ybum vary "slowly" with t, to the extent that in (48):

[Wkm & Y6km are both ~ Const in time, and may be evaluated as: } (49)

[Wkm ~ Wkm, Y6km = Y6km, at some convenient reference time to. } (49)

We shall remark below on how restrictive this assumption is. In (48), it means

ak = [46km/tωkm] e iωκm (t-to)

 $a_{k}^{(1)}(t) - a_{k}^{(1)}(t_{0}) \simeq \left[\frac{96}{100} / h \omega_{km}^{(0)} \right] \frac{1}{i \omega_{km}^{(0)}} \left[e^{i \omega_{km}^{(0)}}(t_{0} - t_{0}) - 1 \right]$ $\Rightarrow \text{Set} = 0, \text{ since system assumed in state } m \neq k @ \text{ time } t_{0}.$

 $a_{k}^{(i)}(t) \simeq -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[y_{km}^{(0)} / \omega_{km}^{(0)2} \right] \left[e^{i\omega_{km}^{(0)}} (t-t_{0}) - 1 \right], k \neq m.$ (50)

This is the Lowest order m > k transition amplitude. The corresponding m > k transition probability is: $P(m \rightarrow k) \simeq |a_k^{(1)}(t)|^2$, or ${|w| |e^{ix} - 1|^2 = 4 \sin^2(x/2)}$:

$$P(m \rightarrow k) \simeq 4 \left| \frac{1}{\omega_{km}^{(0)}} \langle k | \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} | m \rangle / t_{\omega_{km}^{(0)}} \right|^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \omega_{km}^{(0)} (t - t_0)$$

where: $\omega_{km}^{(0)} = 36 \, \mathrm{km}^{(0)}$ are evaluated at t=to.

equiv. to Davydov Eq. (92.5a), p. 395.

Eg. (51) is the Adiabatic Approximation for the m-> k transition probability.

REMARKS

(a) The "quantum oscillation" between the states milinitial) and lat freq. who lat freq. who we keep it freq. who we have it for all slowly varying y6's.

[next page]

(b) To assess the range of validity of the Adiabatic Approxn, we claim that the transition probability Plm+k) in Eq. (51) should be small (Fourier argument again). This means the coefficient 1 12 in (51) should be <<1. Write:

$$\left| \left| \left| \dot{m} \, E_{q} (51) \right|^{2} \langle \langle 1 \rangle \right| = \left| \frac{\left(\Delta \mathcal{H} \right)_{km}^{(0)}}{\left(E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{n}^{(0)} \right)} \right| \langle \langle \left| \omega_{km}^{(0)} \Delta t \right| = 2\pi \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{km}^{(0)}} . \tag{53}$$

Here Then = 217/1 w/m is the Bohr period for the transition m > k. In words:

The Adiabatic Approximation is valid so long as the energy transfer DY6 (in to or out of the system) is fractionally small compared to the Bohr energy gaps during time intervals Dt of the order of one Bohr beried. If the system changes at all, it changes "Slowly".

For an atom, in semi-classical language, the fractional change in orbit language, per orbit, must be "small":

- (c) Eq. (53) also gives an indication of how crude the approx¹² in Eq. (49) -
 1/2 that was & Ybkm are ≈ const during the process -- really is. Answer:

 1/2 very crude... any secular changes in Wkm & Ybkm must be small during the change ΔYb in Δt in order to qualify for Eq. (53), so both

 Wkm & Ybkm must be ≈ const for the whole approximation to work.
- (d) the could hope to use the Adiabatic Approx², for example, in <u>low</u>-energy atom-atom collisions, at kinetic energies (~1eV) << birding (~10eV).