7) We now look at what happens to our Stationary-State perturbation theory in case of degeneracy -- i.e. when more than one district quantum State 4kd exhibits the same energy $E_k^{(0)}$. Generally, the perturbation removes the degeneracy -- i.e. $E_k^{(0)} \rightarrow$ several district $E_k^{(s)}$, just as many as the original # degenerate States.

Suppose the level Ψ unperturbed energy $E_k^{(0)}$ is K-fold degenerate, i.e. $\exists K \text{ fons } \Psi_{kN}^{(0)}$, $1 \le N \le K$, such that $\exists H_0 \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} = E_k^{(0)} \Psi_{kN}^{(0)}$. (29)

REMARKS

- 1. We can assume the YKN have been made orthonormal: (YKM | YKN) = SMN.
 This can be done by Schmidt orthogonalization (See QM 507 Prob. 33).
- 2. We assume levels $n \neq k$ are <u>not</u> degenerate, i.e. $460 \, \text{\Pi}_n^{(0)} = \text{En}^{(0)} \, \text{\Pi}_n^{(0)}$ produces just one $\text{Pi}_n^{(0)}$ for each $\text{Ein}^{(0)}$ when $n \neq k$.
- 3. We will colentate the perturbed Ek & 4k for the initially degenerate level only. The En & 4n for the nondegenerate levels n + k follow (with just minor adjustments) from the already done mondegenerate theory.
- 8) Do the calculation -- as much as possible -- in same way as before. So...
 - (1) Let 460→ 46= 460+ V; write Schrodinger Egtn: 464k = Ek4k.

 Expand perturbed kth state: 4k = ∑ CNk4kN + ∑ ank4n.

 Put this 4k into S.Eq. to get...

 [all the degenerate states participate
 - $\begin{array}{ll}
 & = \sum_{n} \left(E_{k} E_{k}^{(0)} \right) C_{Nk} \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} \left(E_{k} E_{n}^{(0)} \right) a_{nk} \Psi_{n}^{(0)} = \\
 & = \sum_{n} C_{Nk} \nabla \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk} \nabla \Psi_{n}^{(0)} .
 \end{array} \tag{30}$

¹²⁾ Operate through Eq. (30) by (4km1) and invoke orthonormality. Then...

$$(E_k - E_k^{(0)}) C_{Mk} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk} V_{MN} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk} V_{Mn}$$
, (31)

Wy Vmn = (4 60) |V | 4kn) > 5 coupling within, Vmn = (4(0) |V |4(0)) > 5 coupling out deg. level k, Vmn = (4km |V |4(0)) > 5 coupling out

Eq.(31) is the counterpart of Eq.(5), p. SS2, for the nondegenerate case.

(3) Treat Eq. (31) by the & expansion as before: V > AV () him understood), and:

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_k = E_k^{(0)} + \lambda E_k^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \frac{E_k^{(2)} + \cdots}{E_k^{(2)} + \cdots} \end{bmatrix}$$
The choice $a_{nk} = 0$ $(n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{\frac{k}{2}} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} = \sum_{n} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$

$$a_{nk} = a_{nk} + \lambda a_{nk} + \lambda^2 a_{nk} + \cdots$$
must a linear comb² of the deg. levels $\Psi_{kn}^{(0)}$.

To first order in A (i.e. O(V)), Eq. (31) requires...

$$E_k^{(1)} C_{Mk} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk} V_{MN}, \quad V_{N=1}^{(1)} (V_{MN} - E_k^{(1)} S_{MN}) C_{Nk} = 0.$$
 (32b)

Eq. (32) applies entirely within the sublevels N of the degenerate level k. There are nontrivial solutions for the CNK only if the () is singular, i.e.

$$\det (V_{MN} - E_{k}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} V_{41} - E_{k}^{(1)} & V_{12} & V_{13} & \cdots \\ V_{21} & V_{22} - E_{k}^{(1)} & V_{23} & \cdots \\ V_{31} & V_{32} & V_{33} - E_{k}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(33)

(the determinant is $K \times K$)

This gives a Kth order extr for the perten Ek => K solutions Ekt, 18L&K.

EXAMPLE K=2, i.e. two-fold initial degeneracy for level Ek.

$$\left[E_{q,(33)} \Rightarrow \det \begin{vmatrix} V_{11} - E_{k}^{(1)} & V_{12} \\ V_{12}^{*} & V_{22} - E_{k}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \dots \underbrace{E_{k\pm}^{(1)}}_{2} = \left(\frac{V_{11} + V_{22}}{2} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_{11} - V_{22}}{2} \right)^{2} + |V_{12}|^{2}} \right].$$

The Ext here are generally different (unless Vzz=V11 & Vzz=0), so the degeneTacy is "lifted": one of Ykn now belongs to Ek+Ek+, the other to Ek+Ek-.

(4) Suppose tre degeneracy is lifted in A(V) in the general case, i.e. the solutions $E_{\rm KL}$, 18 L&K, to Eq. (33) are all different. Then go back to Eq. (32b), viz.

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{N=1}^{K} (V_{MN} - E_{KE}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) C_{NK}^{(E)} = 0 \int 16M6K (degree of degeneracy);$$

$$L=1,2,...,K, we each E_{KE}^{(1)} distinct.$$
 (35)

Now, for each value of L, we can (in principle) solve explicitly for a set of K Coefficients $C_{Nk}^{(L)}$, by L fixed and index N running over 1,2,..., K. These sets of $\{C_{Nk}^{(L)}\}$ specify K new zeroth order wavefens in level k as

These levels will become nondegenerate within level k, when O(V) appears.

(5) Now go back to Eq. (30) and write the perturbed level wavefen 4k as:

(This is the same as Ψ_k in (30), except for the particular choice of $\phi_{kz}^{(0)}$). Evidently we need the $a_{nk}^{(1)}$ to get Ψ_{kz} to O(V). To get the $a_{nk}^{(1)}$, go back to Eq. (30) and operate through by $\langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | \rangle$, $m \neq k$. Then...

$$\rightarrow (E_k - E_m^{(0)}) a_{mk} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk}^{(L)} V_{mN} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk} V_{mn}; \qquad (38)$$

... and to 1st order (i.e. O(V))...

$$(E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{m}^{(0)}) a_{mk}^{(1)} = \sum_{N} c_{Nk}^{(L)} V_{mN} \implies a_{nk}^{(1)} = \frac{(\sum_{k} c_{Nk}^{(L)} V_{nk})}{E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{n}^{(0)}}.$$
(39)

The perturbed wavefor in level k, to O(V) is then

Compare with: $\Psi_{k} = \Psi_{k}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} \left[\nabla_{nk} / (E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{n}^{(0)}) \right] \Psi_{n}^{(0)}$, for nondeg. Case [Eq. (23)].

(6) Now to O(V), the K sublevels in level k (previously degenerate) have become distinct, with wavefens Ykz per Eq. (40), and energies $E_k^{(0)} + E_{kz}^{(1)} \dots$ with the $E_{kz}^{(1)}$ being the K distinct solutions to the det ()=0 Eq. (33). The lifting of the degeneracy in O(V) depends on the $E_{kz}^{(1)}$ being all different.

The OtV2) correction to the energy Ex can be gotten from Eq. (31) by inserting the 2-series of Eq. (32a) and picking off the 2 terms. We get...

[compare with Eq. (26b) for nondey. case: $E_k^{(2)} = \sum_{n \neq k} \partial_{nk}^{(n)} V_{kn}$, $\partial_{nk}^{(1)} = V_{nk}/(E_k^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)})$]. So we need to know the coefficients $C_{mk}^{(1)}$ explicitly before proceeding. That can be done on a case-by-case basis, and we won't go farther with this calc.

SUMMARY (of degenerate perturbation theory).

- 1. Start with: 460 4m = Em 4m. One level, m=k, is K-fold degenerate Wwavefons {40)}.
- Z. Let $H_0 \rightarrow H = H_0 + \lambda V$, so $k^{\underline{H}}$ energy is perturbed: $E_h^{(0)} \rightarrow E_k = E_k^{(0)} + \lambda E_k^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_k^{(2)} + \dots$ Represent $k^{\underline{H}}$ state wavefor by: $\Psi_k = \sum_{N=1}^{K} C_{NK} \Psi_{KN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} [0 + \lambda a_{nk}^{(1)} + \dots] \Psi_n^{(0)}$.
- 3. $\theta(V)$ energy corrections $E_{k}^{(1)}$ within state k require: $\sum_{N=1}^{K} \frac{(V_{MN} E_{k}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) C_{Nk} = 0}{N}$. So: $\det(V_{MN} E_{k}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) = 0 \Rightarrow K$ solutions for $E_{k}^{(1)} \rightarrow E_{kL}^{(1)}$, L = 1, 2, ..., K.
- 4. The energy degeneracy is "lifted" in O(V) by $E_{k}^{(0)} \rightarrow E_{k}^{(0)} + E_{kL}^{(1)}$ if the solutions $E_{kL}^{(1)}$ are all distinct. Then, for each of L=1,2,...,K we can (in principle) find a set of $\{C_{Nk}^{(L)}, N=1,2,...,K\}$ such that: $\sum_{N=1}^{K} (V_{MN}-E_{kL}^{(1)} S_{MN}) C_{Nk}^{(L)} = 0$.
- 5: There are now K distinct warefors: $\Psi_{KL} = \sum_{N} C_{NK} \Psi_{KN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk}^{(1)} \Psi_{N}^{(0)}$, in state k, where to $\Theta(V)$: $\frac{a_{nk}^{(1)}}{a_{nk}^{(1)}} = (\sum_{N} \frac{C_{NK}^{(1)}}{C_{NK}} \frac{V_{NN}}{V_{NN}})/(E_{k}^{(0)} E_{n}^{(0)})$, $n \neq k$. Calculation of $E_{k}^{(2)}$, etc. now proceeds as for mondegenerate states, but need to know the $C_{NK}^{(L)}$.
- 6. If degeneracy is not lifted in O(V), consult Higher Authority. Or punt...