Just four degrees-of-freedom, as represented by the potential  $A^d = (\phi, A)$ and  $E = -\nabla \phi - \frac{1}{c}(\partial A/\partial t)$ ,  $B = \nabla x A$ .

So we match the # degrees-of-freedom of the Maxwell field by assigning the A-vector components (\$\phi\$, \$A\$) to the continuum coordinates \$\forall \text{in the Lagrange egtn-of-motion, viz.: }\frac{\partial \mathbb{M}[\partial \mathbb{L}/\partial (\partial \mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}^{(\mathbb{N})})] = \partial \mathbb{L}/\partial \mathbb{E}^{(\mathbb{N})}; this is Eq. (16), \$\rho\$. \$\mathbb{L}\$ \$\mathbb{H}\$ 13 for independent coordinates \$\forall \text{V}^{(\mathbb{N})}\$, \$\mathbb{N} = 0,1,2,3. \$\forall \text{Hen, also, the fact that }\mathbb{E}^{(\mathbb{N})} = A^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$A\$-vector means that the \$\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ extractors with \$\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$A\$-vector means that the \$\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ and \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$A\$-vector means that the \$\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$A\$-vector means that the \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ and \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ is a \$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{N}}\$ in \$\mathbb{

18) Now, with ξ<sup>(v)</sup>= (φ, A), we want to shoul Lem of Eq. (23) gives the "right" lytus- of-motion, namely the Maxwell Equations for E&B. Our system is:

$$\left[ \mathcal{L}_{Em} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E^2 - B^2) - p\phi + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right]^{W/W} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \xi_{t}^{W/W}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \xi_{x_{k}}^{W/W}} \right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \xi_{t}^{W/W}} . \right] (25)$$

for V=0 cd., i.e. ξ(0) = φ. Have: δLem/0φ = -p, δLem/0φ = 0, so...

$$-\rho = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \phi_{x_{k}}} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial E_{k}} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} E_{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \phi_{x_{k}}} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} E_{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \phi_{x_{k}}} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} E_{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \phi_{x_{k}}} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} E_{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \phi_{x_{k}}} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} E_{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial \phi_{x_{k}}} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} E_{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho$$

for V=1 cd., i.e.  $\xi^{(1)} = A_1$ . Have:  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}}{\partial A_1} = \frac{1}{c} J_1$ ,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}}{\partial A_1 t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}}{c \partial E_1} = -\frac{E_1}{4\pi c}$ , So:

$$\frac{1}{c}J_{1} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{E_{1}}{4\pi c}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{em}}{\partial(\partial A_{1}/\partial x_{k})}\right) + we: B = \left(\frac{\partial A_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} - \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} - \frac{\partial A_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)$$

$$\frac{3}{c} J_{1} + \frac{1}{4\pi c} \left( \frac{\partial E_{1}}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{z}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial (\partial A_{1} | \partial x_{z})} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{Em}}{\partial (\partial A_{1} | \partial x_{3})} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi c} \left( \frac{\partial B_{3}}{\partial x_{z}} - \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}}{\partial B_3} = +\frac{B_3}{4\pi} \cdot \mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}}{\partial B_2} = -\frac{B_2}{4\pi}$$

[next page]

i.e./ N=1 Tagrange Egts here  $\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{c}J + \frac{1}{4\pi c}E\right)_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\nabla \times IB)_1$ ... N=2,3 egts  $\Rightarrow$  the 2,3 components of Ampere's  $E_{AM}$ :  $\nabla \times B = \frac{4\pi}{c}J + \frac{1}{c}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}\right)$  (27)

In Covariant notation, what we have shown here is that...

field-source Eagrange density:  $\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{C} J_{\nu} A^{\nu}$ ,

plus Eagrange Egtis:  $\partial^{\mu} [\partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}/\partial (\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu})] = \partial \mathcal{L}_{EM}/\partial A^{\nu}$ ,

[with components of 4-potential  $A^{\nu} = (\phi, A)$  as generalized cds)

imply the source-dept. Maxwell Egtis:  $\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{C} J_{\nu}$ .

(28)

## REMARK

We get only the <u>source-dept</u>. Maxwell Egths out of the Lem formalism. What has happened to the other two egths, viz  $\nabla \cdot B = 0 \neq \nabla \times E = -\frac{1}{C} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$ ? [ANS.] They are "trivially satisfied by our choice of 4-potential  $A^{V} = (\phi, A)$  (and the consequent form of the field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ ) such that Eq. (24) is satisfied, i.e.  $E = -\nabla \phi - \frac{1}{C}(\partial A/\partial t)$ ,  $B = \nabla \times A$ . With this way of defining  $\phi \neq A$ , it is <u>automatically</u> true that the Maxwell fields obey  $\nabla \cdot B = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times A) = 0$ ,  $\nabla \times E = -\nabla \times (\nabla \phi) - \frac{1}{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times A) = -\frac{1}{C} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$ . From the Standpoint of the 4 degrees-of-freedom inherent in the Maxwell field, Eq. (28) gives just as much—and no more—information as is needed.

19) The utility of the Lem formalism does not lie in regargitating the Maxwell Egths—this is just a check on whether Lem generales the "right" egths—ef-motion. The utility of the formalism does lie in being able to quickly decide—Covariantly, of course—how modifications might be made to EM therry. An example is the Proca Lagrangian [Jk" Eq. (12.91)], including a photon mass: