7) We now look at what happens to our Stationary-State perturbation theory in case of degeneracy -- i.e. when more than one distinct quantum state $\Psi_k^{(0)}$ exhibits the same energy $E_k^{(0)}$. Generally, the perturbation removes the degeneracy -- i.e. $E_k^{(0)} \rightarrow$ several distinct $E_k^{(s)}$, just as many as the original # degenerate states.

Suppose the level Ψ unperturbed energy $E_k^{(0)}$ is K-fold degenerate, i.e. $\exists K \text{ fens } \Psi_{kN}^{(0)}$, $1 \le N \le K$, such that $\exists H_0 \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} = E_k^{(0)} \Psi_{kN}^{(0)}$. (29)

REMARKS

- 1. We can assume the YKN have been made orthonormal: (YKM | YKN) = SMN.
 This can be done by Schmidt orthogonalization (see QM 507 Prob. O).
- 2. We assume levels $n \neq k$ are <u>not</u> degenerate, i.e. $y_0^{(0)} = E_n^{(0)} \psi_n^{(0)}$ products just one $\psi_n^{(0)}$ for each $E_n^{(0)}$ when $n \neq k$.
- 3. We will colonlate the perturbed Ek & 4k for the initially degenerate level only. The En & 4n for the nondegenerate levels n + k follow (with girst minor adjustments) from the already done mondegenerate theory.
- 8) Do the calculation -- as much as possible -- in same way as before. So...
 - (1) Let 460 → 46 = 460 + V; write Schrodinger Egtn: 46 Ψk = Ek Ψk.

 Expand perturbed kth state: Ψk = ∑ CNK ΨkN + ∑ ank Ψn.

 Put this Ψk into S.Eq. to get...

 [all the degenerate states participate]
 - $\begin{array}{ll}
 \longrightarrow \sum_{n} (E_{k} E_{k}^{(0)}) C_{Nk} \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} (E_{k} E_{n}^{(0)}) a_{nk} \Psi_{n}^{(0)} = \\
 = \sum_{n} C_{Nk} \nabla \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk} \nabla \Psi_{n}^{(0)}, \quad (30)
 \end{array}$

⁽²⁾ Operate through Eq. (30) by (4km/) and invoke orthonormality. Then...

$$(E_k - E_k^{(0)}) C_{Mk} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk} V_{MN} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk} V_{Mn}, \qquad (31)$$

Wy Vmn = (Ψkm |V| Ψkn) S coupling within, Vmn = (Ψkm |V|Ψn) S coupling out deg. level k, Vmn = (Ψkm |V|Ψn) of k to leveln.

Eq. (31) is the counterpart of Eq. (5), p. SS2, for the nondegenerate case.

: (3) Treat Eq. (31) by the 2 expansion as before: V-2V (1/2) understood), and:

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_k = E_k^{(0)} + \lambda E_k^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_k^{(2)} + \cdots; \end{bmatrix}$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} = \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$

$$a_{nk} = a_{nk}^{(0)} + \lambda a_{nk}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 a_{nk}^{(2)} + \cdots$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} = \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(k)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} = \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \ (n \neq k)$ ensures that in
$$0^{(k)} \text{ order} : \Psi_k^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$$
The choice $a_{nk}^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{k} C_{nk} \Psi_{kn}^{(0)}, \text{ is at } (32a)$

To first order in A (i.e. O(V)), Eq. (31) requires...

$$E_{k}^{(1)} C_{mk} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk} V_{mN}, \quad M \sum_{N=1}^{K} (V_{mN} - E_{k}^{(1)} S_{mN}) C_{Nk} = 0.$$
 (32b)

Eq. (32) applies entirely within the sublevels N of the degenerate level k. There are montrivial solutions for the CNK only if the () is singular, i.e.

$$\det (V_{MN} - E_{k}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} V_{41} - E_{k}^{(1)} & V_{12} & V_{13} & \cdots \\ V_{21} & V_{22} - E_{k}^{(1)} & V_{23} & \cdots \\ V_{31} & V_{32} & V_{33} - E_{k}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{(the determinant is } K \times K) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (33)$$

This gives a Kth order extr for the perten Ek => K solutions Ekt, 18L&K.

EXAMPLE K=2, i.e. two-fold initial degeneracy for level Ek.

$$\left[E_{0}(33) \Rightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} - E_{k}^{(1)} & V_{12} \\ V_{12}^{**} & V_{22} - E_{k}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \dots \underbrace{E_{k\pm}^{(1)}}_{2} = \left(\frac{V_{11} + V_{22}}{2} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_{11} - V_{22}}{2} \right)^{2} + |V_{12}|^{2}} . \right]$$
(34)

The Ext here are generally different (unless Vzz=V11 & V1z=0), so the degene Tacy is "lifted": one of Ykn now belongs to Ex+Ex+, the other to Ex+Ex-.

(4) Suppose the degeneracy is lifted in $\theta(V)$ in the general case, i.e. the solutions $E_{KL}^{(1)}$, $15L \le K$, to Eq. (33) we all <u>different</u>. Then go track to Eq. (32b), viz.

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{N=1}^{K} (V_{MN} - E_{KL}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) C_{NK}^{(L)} = 0 \int_{\Gamma=1,2,...,K}^{16} K \left(\text{degree of degeneracy} \right);$$

$$\Gamma=1,2,...,K, \text{ where } E_{KL}^{(1)} \text{ distinct.}$$
(35)

Now, for each value of L, we can (in principle) solve explicitly for a set of K Coefficients CNk, W I fixed and index N running over 1,2,..., K. These sets of {CNk} specify K new zeroth order wavefens in level k as

These levels will become nondegenerate within level k, when O(V) appears.

(5) Now go back to Eq. (30) and write the perturbed level wavefen 4k as:

$$\rightarrow \psi_{kL} = \phi_{kL}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} \left[a_{nk}^{(1)} + a_{nk}^{(2)} + ... \right] \psi_{n}^{(0)}, \quad L=1,2,...,K$$
 (37)

(This is the same as Ψ_k in (30), except for the particular choice of $\Phi_{RE}^{(0)}$). Evidently we need the $a_{nk}^{(1)}$ to get Ψ_{RE} to O(V). To get the $a_{nk}^{(1)}$, go back to Eq. (30) and operate through by $\langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | \rangle$, $m \neq k$. Then...

$$\rightarrow (E_k - E_m^{(0)}) a_{mk} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk}^{(L)} V_{mN} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk} V_{mn}; \qquad (38)$$

... and to 1st order (i.e. O(V))...

$$(E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{m}^{(0)}) a_{mk}^{(1)} = \sum_{N} c_{Nk}^{(L)} V_{mN} \Rightarrow a_{nk}^{(1)} = \frac{(\sum_{k} c_{Nk}^{(L)} V_{nk})}{E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{n}^{(0)}}.$$
 (39)

The perturbed waveform in level k, to O(V) is then

Compare with: $\Psi_{k} = \Psi_{k}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} \left[V_{nk} / (E_{k}^{(0)} - E_{n}^{(0)}) \right] \Psi_{n}^{(0)}$, for nondez. case [Eq. (23)].

(6) Now to O(V), the K sublevels in level k (previously degenerate) have become distinct, with wavefens The per Eq. (40), and energies $E_k^{(0)} + E_{kL}^{(1)} \dots$ with the $E_{kL}^{(1)}$ being the K distinct solutions to the det ()=0 Eq. (33). The lifting of the degeneracy in O(V) depends on the $E_{kL}^{(1)}$ being all different.

The OtV2) correction to the energy Ex can be gotten from Eq. (31) by inserting the 2-series of Eq. (32a) and picking off the 2 terms. We get...

[compare with Eq. (26b) for nondeg. case: $E_k^{(2)} = \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk}^{(1)} V_{kn}$, $a_{nk}^{(1)} = V_{nk}/(E_k^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)})$]. So we need to know the coefficients $C_{mk}^{(1)}$ explicitly before proceeding. That can be done on a case-by-case basis, and we won't go farther with this calc.

SUMMARY (of degenerate perturbation theory).

- 1. Start with: 46, 400 = Em 4m. One level, m=k, is K-fold degenerate wavefons {400}.
- 2. Let $H_0 o H = H_0 + \lambda V$, so $k^{\underline{M}}$ energy is perturbed: $E_h^{(0)} o E_k = E_k^{(0)} + \lambda E_k^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_k^{(2)} + \dots$ Represent $k^{\underline{M}}$ state wavefor by: $\Psi_k = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} C_{Nk} \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n\neq k} [0 + \lambda a_{nk}^{(1)} + \dots] \Psi_n^{(0)}$.
- 3. $\theta(V)$ energy corrections $E_{k}^{(1)}$ within state k require: $\sum_{N=1}^{K} \frac{(V_{MN} E_{k}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) C_{NK} = 0}{N^{-1}}$. So: $\det(V_{MN} E_{k}^{(1)} \delta_{MN}) = 0 \Rightarrow K$ solutions for $E_{k}^{(1)} \rightarrow E_{KL}^{(1)}$, L=1,2,...,K.
- 4. The energy degeneracy is "lifted" in O(V) by $E_{k}^{(p)} \rightarrow E_{k}^{(0)} + E_{kL}^{(0)}$ the solutions $E_{kL}^{(1)}$ are all <u>distinct</u>. Then, for each of L=1,2,...,K we can (in principle) find a set of $\{C_{Nk}^{(L)}, N=1,2,...,K\}$ such that $\sum_{N=1}^{K} (V_{MN}-E_{kL}^{(1)} S_{MN}) C_{Nk}^{(L)} = 0$.
- There are now K distinct wavefors: $\Psi_{kl} = \sum_{N} C_{Nk} \Psi_{kN}^{(0)} + \sum_{n \neq k} a_{nk}^{(1)} \Psi_{n}^{(0)}$, in state k, where to $\Theta(V)$: $\frac{a_{nk}^{(1)}}{N} = (\sum_{N} \frac{C_{Nk}^{(L)}}{N_{NN}})/(E_{k}^{(0)} E_{n}^{(0)})$, $n \neq k$. Calculation of $E_{k}^{(2)}$, etc. Now proceeds as for mondegenerate states, but need to know the $C_{Nk}^{(L)}$.
- 6. If degeneracy is not lifted in O(V), consult Higher Authority. Or punt...