{n}=

11) What we've got in tD Perten Theory so far...

For Ho -> H= Ho+ AV(x,t), general superposition of status:

$$\Psi(x,t) = \sum_{k} \left[a_{k}^{(0)} + \lambda a_{k}^{(1)}(t) + \lambda^{2} a_{k}^{(2)}(t) + \cdots \right] \phi_{k}(x) e^{i\omega_{k}t}, \quad \left\{ E_{q}(8) \right\}$$

{ak} {ak} = costs, specifying system initial conditions; {Eq. (10)}

... let ak = 8km, for system initially in mt ligenstate of 46...

it $a_k^{(1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} V_{km}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{km}\tau} d\tau$; (for $\lambda=1$) {Eq.(12)}

and // it $a_{k}^{(\mu+1)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{n}}^{t} \nabla_{kn}(\tau) a_{n}^{(\mu)}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{kn}\tau} d\tau; \mu=0,1,2,... \{E_{2},(11)\}$

when: $\omega_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{k} \left[E_k^{(0)} - E_\ell^{(0)} \right], \quad \nabla_{k\ell}(\tau) = \int dx \, \phi_k^*(x) \nabla(x, \tau) \, \phi_\ell(x). \quad (36)$

We have explored the $\Theta(V)$ term $A_k^{(1)}(t)$; an iteration on μ gives the higher order terms in $\Theta(V^2)$, etc. in a straightforward but succeedingly more complicated fashion. E.g., for $\mu=1$, the $\Theta(V^2)$ correction is...

 $i\hbar a_{k}^{(z)}(t) = \sum_{n}^{t} \int_{t_{n}}^{t} d\tau V_{kn}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{kn}\tau} \left[a_{n}^{(i)}(\tau) \right],$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{oy}} a_k^{(2)}(t) = (1/i\hbar)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_n}^{t_n} d\tau V_{kn}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{kn}\tau} \int_{t_n}^{\tau} d\tau' V_{nm}(\tau') e^{i\omega_{nm}\tau'}. \quad (37)$$

For $\theta(V^p)$, $a_k^{(p)}(t)$ will go as $(1/ik)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \neq 1} \sum_{m \neq 1} \sum_{n \neq 1} \sum_{m \neq 1} \sum_{n \neq 1} \sum_{m \neq 1} \sum_{n \neq 1} \sum_{n$

proture emerges that the transition m > k can proceed in timeordered steps, e.g. for (37): m > {n}, {n} > k, in O(V2).

Davydor shows in his \$1.90 how to "sun" the alk (t) series. We will confine ourselves to an exercise at ak (t). See Prob. O.

12) The time-dependent perturbation theory developed on pp. tD 1-13 applies when (and is restricted to cases where) Ho > Ho = Ho + V(t), with V "small" w. n.t. Ho ... specifically: |Vkm | << |h wkm |, for transitions m > k.

There are two other methods of finding transition amplitudes for $m \to k$ which do <u>not</u> depend on V being "small" w.r.t Ho. Instead, these methods capitalize on special assumptions about how the overall Ho(t) changes with t.

I. V(t) is not "small" w.r.t. Ho, but H(t) = Ho+V(t) changes "slowly" "t.
"Slowly" means ΔH6 << thwn on time scales Δt~ 1/ωπ. One supposes:

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{0} \phi_{n} = E_{n}^{(0)} \phi_{n}, @ t = -\infty, \text{ evoluts to } \mathcal{H}_{0}(t) \phi_{n}(t) = E_{n}(t) \phi_{n}(t), \quad (38)$

and that the latter egts can be solved at each t. The eigenfens $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi_n(t+\Delta t)$ evolve continuously, and there are few transitions $n \rightarrow k$... because the Fourier spectrum of V(t) has few high-frequency components to match the required transition freqs ω_{nk} . This method is called the "Adiabatic Approximation".

II. V(t) is not "small" w.r.t. Ho, but 046/2t -> large at some t.

An extreme example is if H6 jumps from one form, H6, to another, H6, a t=0:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
y_6(t<0) = y_{6_1}, & \text{ ligenfons } \phi_n \text{ and eigenenergies } E_n; \\
y_6(t>0) = y_{6_2}, & \text{ } \theta_{\mu} & \text{ } \nabla_{\mu}.
\end{bmatrix}$$
(39)

The calculation here proceeds on the supposition that even though 286/0t > large, the system overall wavefen W(t) must be continuous in t. Many transitions will occur (Forvier argument). Method is the "Sudden Approximation"

We shall mond develop these alternate methods for tD Perturbation Theory.