MA 589 Project 1 Solutions

Luis Carvalho

1

(a)

```
inv.upper.tri <- function (R, transpose = FALSE)
backsolve(R, diag(nrow(R)), transpose = transpose)</pre>
```

(b)

```
norm2 <- function (v) {
    m <- max(abs(v))
    ifelse(m == 0, 0, m * sqrt(sum((v / m) ^ 2)))
}
u <- 1e200 * rep(1, 100); norm2(u) # test

## [1] 1e+201

(c)

normalize.cols <- function (A) sweep(A, 2, apply(A, 2, norm2), ^/)</pre>
```

(d)

(e)

```
vandermonde <- function (a, d) outer(a, 0:d, `^`)</pre>
```

2

(a)

```
eps <- local({ # closure on epsilon (optional)
  epsilon <- 1
  function () {
    while (1 + epsilon / 2 > 1) epsilon <<- epsilon / 2
    epsilon
  }
})</pre>
```

(b-d)

```
LOGEPS <- log(eps() / 2)
log1pe <- function (x) { # vectorized version: `x` can be a vector
    1 <- ifelse(x > 0, x, 0) # shift
    x <- ifelse(x > 0, -x, x) # range reduction: `x = -abs(x)`
    ifelse(x < LOGEPS, 1, 1 + log(1 + exp(x)))
}
c(log1pe(0), log1pe(-80), log1pe(800)) # test</pre>
```

[1] 0.6931472 0.0000000 800.0000000

3

(a)

By the construction of Q via Gram-Schmidt we can see that $\mathbf{u}_i^{\top} \mathbf{u}_j = \delta_{ij} \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2$ with δ_{ij} the Kronecker delta $(\delta_{ii} = 1 \text{ and } \delta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j)$. In general,

$$C_{ki} = \mathbf{q}_k^{\top} \mathbf{a}_i = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|} \mathbf{u}_k^{\top} \left(\mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\mathbf{u}_j^{\top} \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{u}_j\|^2} \mathbf{u}_j \right) = \delta_{ki} \|\mathbf{u}_k\| + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \delta_{kj} \mathbf{q}_j^{\top} \mathbf{a}_i$$

and so $C_{ki} = 0$ for k > i, $C_{kk} = \|\mathbf{u}_k\| > 0$, and $C_{ki} = \mathbf{q}_k^{\top} \mathbf{a}_i$ for k < i. Thus, since C is upper triangular, $A^{\top} A = (QC)^{\top} (QC) = C^{\top} C$, and the diagonal entries of C are positive, C is also the Cholesky factor of $A^{\top} A$.

(b)

```
vandermonde.Q <- function (x, d) { # from Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization
U <- matrix(nrow = length(x), ncol = d + 1)</pre>
```

```
U[, 1] <- a <- rep(1, length(x))
for (i in 2:(d + 1)) {
    U[, i] <- a <- a * x
    for (j in 1:(i - 1))
        U[, i] <- U[, i] - proj(a, U[, j])
}
normalize.cols(U)
}</pre>
```

(c)

```
decompress.Q <- function (x, d, eta, alpha) {
    U <- matrix(nrow = length(x), ncol = d + 1)
    U[, 1] <- rep(1, length(x))
    U[, 2] <- x - alpha[1]
    for (i in 2:d)
        U[, i + 1] <- (x - alpha[i]) * U[, i] - eta[i + 1] / eta[i] * U[, i - 1]
        normalize.cols(U)
}</pre>
```

(d)

```
Since \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{1}_n, \eta_2 = \mathbf{1}_n^{\top} \mathbf{1}_n = n, \alpha_1 = \mathbf{1}_n^{\top} \mathrm{Diag}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{1}_n / (\mathbf{1}_n^{\top} \mathbf{1}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / n = \bar{x}, and because \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{x} - \bar{x} \mathbf{1}_n, \eta_3 = \mathbf{u}_2^{\top} \mathbf{u}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = (n-1) s_x^2.
```

```
compress.Q <- function (x, d) {
    alpha <- numeric(d); eta <- numeric(d + 2)
    eta[1] <- 1
    U <- matrix(nrow = length(x), ncol = d + 1)
    U[, 1] <- a <- rep(1, length(x))
    eta[2] <- sum(U[, 1] ^ 2)
    alpha[1] <- sum(x * U[, 1] ^ 2) / eta[2]
    for (i in 2:(d + 1)) {
        U[, i] <- a <- a * x
        for (j in 1:(i - 1))
            U[, i] <- U[, i] - proj(a, U[, j])
        eta[i + 1] <- sum(U[, i] ^ 2)
        alpha[i] <- sum(x * U[, i] ^ 2) / eta[i + 1]
    }
    list(eta = eta, alpha = alpha)
}</pre>
```

4

(a)

If $H_0: \beta_z = 0$ for a contiguous set of indices $z = \{j, j+1, \ldots, p\}$, define the complement $[-z] = \{1, \ldots, j-1\}$ and consider the partition $\beta = (\beta_{[-z]}, \beta_z)$. Then

$$R\beta = \begin{bmatrix} R_{[-z],[-z]} & R_{[-z],z} \\ 0 & R_{z,z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{[-z]} \\ \beta_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{[-z]} \\ \gamma_z \end{bmatrix},$$

where $R_{z,z}$ is also upper triangular by the structure of R and full rank, and so $R_{z,z}\beta_z = \gamma_z$ implies $\beta_z = 0$ is equivalent to $\gamma_z = 0$.

(b)

The MLE is $\hat{\gamma} = (Q^{\top}Q)^{-1}Q^{\top}\mathbf{y} = Q^{\top}\mathbf{y}$ because Q has orthogonal columns $(Q^{\top}Q = I_p)$. Moreover, $\hat{\gamma}$ is normally distributed and has variance $\sigma^2(Q^{\top}Q)^{-1} = \sigma^2I_p$, so all components are uncorrelated and thus independent.

(c)

The variance of $\hat{\beta}$ is $\Sigma = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1} = \sigma^2(R^\top R)^{-1}$, and so its correlation is

$$\mathrm{Diag}_i \{ \Sigma_{ii} \}^{-1/2} \Sigma \ \mathrm{Diag}_i \{ \Sigma_{ii} \}^{-1/2} = \mathrm{Diag}_i \{ 1 / \| \mathbf{r}_i \| \} R^{-1} R^{-\top} \ \mathrm{Diag}_i \{ 1 / \| \mathbf{r}_i \| \} = (R_{\perp}^{-\top})^{\top} R_{\perp}^{-\top},$$

where \mathbf{r}_i is the *i*-th column of R and $R_{\perp}^{-\top}$ is the column normalization of $R^{-\top}$.

```
beta.hat <- backsolve(R, gamma.hat) # (i)
beta.cor <- crossprod(normalize.cols(inv.upper.tri(R, transpose = TRUE))) # (ii)</pre>
```

(d)

Due to high correlation in $\hat{\beta}$, common in polynomial fits based on monomial covariates, the standard error estimates are inflated and thus so are the *p*-values for testing each $\beta_j = 0$. The tests are more reliable when using γ since each component of $\hat{\gamma}$ is independent. Based on these tests, we can only reasonably reject up to a quadratic term.

```
data(cars)
y <- cars$dist; x <- cars$speed; d <- 3
# (i)
Q <- vandermonde.Q(x, d)
(gamma.hat <- drop(crossprod(Q, y)))

## [1] 303.91449 145.55226 22.99576 13.79688
(coef(lm(dist ~ Q - 1, data = cars))) # same estimates

## Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4
## 303.91449 145.55226 22.99576 13.79688
# (ii)
R <- crossprod(Q, vandermonde(x, d))
(beta.hat <- backsolve(R, gamma.hat))</pre>
```

```
## [1] -19.50504910 6.80110597 -0.34965781 0.01025205
(coef(lm(dist ~ vandermonde(x, d) - 1, data = cars))) # same estimates
## vandermonde(x, d)1 vandermonde(x, d)2 vandermonde(x, d)3
        -19.50504910
                             6.80110597
                                               -0.34965781
## vandermonde(x, d)4
##
          0.01025205
# (iii) (*)
summary(lm(dist ~ Q - 1, data = cars))
## Call:
## lm(formula = dist ~ Q - 1, data = cars)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               ЗQ
                                      Max
## -26.670 -9.601 -2.231
                           7.075 44.691
## Coefficients:
##
     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                    15.2 19.988 < 2e-16
## Q1
        303.9
        145.6
                    15.2
                          9.573 1.6e-12
## Q2
         23.0
                    15.2
                           1.512
                                    0.137
## Q3
## Q4
         13.8
                    15.2
                           0.907
                                    0.369
##
## Residual standard error: 15.2 on 46 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9149, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9075
## F-statistic: 123.6 on 4 and 46 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
summary(lm(dist ~ vandermonde(x, d) - 1, data = cars))
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = dist ~ vandermonde(x, d) - 1, data = cars)
##
## Residuals:
               1Q Median
      Min
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -26.670 -9.601 -2.231
                            7.075 44.691
##
## Coefficients:
##
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## vandermonde(x, d)1 -19.50505
                                28.40530 -0.687
                                                     0.496
## vandermonde(x, d)2
                      6.80111
                                  6.80113
                                            1.000
                                                     0.323
## vandermonde(x, d)3 -0.34966
                                  0.49988 -0.699
                                                     0.488
## vandermonde(x, d)4
                      0.01025
                                  0.01130
                                            0.907
                                                     0.369
##
## Residual standard error: 15.2 on 46 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9149, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9075
## F-statistic: 123.6 on 4 and 46 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

(e) (*)

Since R is upper triangular and $R^{\top}R = X^{\top}X$, the only missing condition is that the diagonal entries of R are positive. To guarantee that, we can define the vector $s_R = [\operatorname{sgn}(R_{ii})]_i$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = I(x > 0) - I(x < 0)$, and build a new QR decomposition $QR = (Q\operatorname{Diag}\{s_R\})(\operatorname{Diag}\{s_R\}R) = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$. Note that since $\operatorname{Diag}\{s_R\}$ is orthogonal, $\tilde{Q} = Q\operatorname{Diag}\{s_R\}$ is still orthogonal, and $\tilde{R} = \operatorname{Diag}\{s_R\}R$ is still upper triangular but now $\tilde{R}_{ii} = |R_{ii}| > 0$.

```
fix.chol.qr <- function (Q, R) {</pre>
  sr <- sign(diag(R))</pre>
  list(R = sr * R, # diag(R) = abs(diag(R))
       Q = sweep(Q, 2, sr, *)) #
}
# Check:
qx <- qr(vandermonde(x, d))</pre>
(R \leftarrow qr.R(qx)) # note that some diagonal entries are < 0
##
             [,1]
                         [,2]
                                     [,3]
                                                [,4]
## [1,] -7.071068 -108.89444 -1870.7217 -34660.960
## [2,] 0.000000
                     37.01351
                              1117.9377 27771.535
## [3,]
        0.000000
                      0.00000
                               -230.0513 -10089.202
## [4,] 0.000000
                      0.00000
                                  0.0000 -1345.769
(fix.chol.qr(qr.Q(qx), R)$R) # now a Cholesky factor
            [,1]
                       [,2]
                                  [,3]
## [1,] 7.071068 108.89444 1870.7217 34660.960
## [2,] 0.000000
                  37.01351 1117.9377 27771.535
## [3,] 0.000000
                    0.00000 230.0513 10089.202
## [4,] 0.000000
                    0.00000
                               0.0000 1345.769
```