



JCO and Browsers

Victor Adossi

WebAssembly Plumber's Summit 2026












Slides



Quick overview: The JS Wasm ecosystem

There is a wide span of projects in the JS ecosystem:

- [StarlingMonkey](#)
 -  [SpiderMonkey](#)
- [ComponentizeJS](#)
 -  [spidermonkey-embedding-splicer](#)
- [Jco](#)
 -  [@bytecodealliance/jco](#)
 -  [@bytecodealliance/jco-std](#)
 -  [@bytecodealliance/jco-transpile](#)
 -  [js-component-bindgen](#)
 -  [wasm-tools-component](#) (internal)
 -  [preview2-shim](#)
 -  [preview3-shim](#)



C++



Rust



Javascript



Quick overview: JS -> Component (now)

Here's a very quick overview of how JS code becomes a component

1. Build SpiderMonkey into Wasm w/ P2 bindings via StarlingMonkey
2. Take your input JS and build it into StarlingMonkey Wasm
3. Use `componetize-js` to splice expected interface(s) in/out of the resulting Wasm binary
 - a. `componetize-js` depends on a `jco transpile`'d build of `js-component-bindgen`
4. Run Wizer to initialize and snapshot
5. Pass the component to a runtime (`wasmtime`, V8 via `jco transpile`)



How JS code will become a component (soontm)

Just some of the work currently under way 🎉

P3 host bindings

Rust bindings/harness for StarlingMonkey (Thanks Till!)

Easier build, development flow, easier to write “safe” code

ComponentizeJS being rewritten to target [wit-dylib](#) (Thanks Joel!)

No more component splicer <-> js-component-bindgen dependency hell

Alternative runtimes like [componentize-qjs](#) (Thanks Tomasz!)

More points in the continuum between size and sophistication of JS components

P3 guest bindings (Thanks Till!)

Builds on StarlingMonkey’s Rust bindings/harness



How a component runs in **jco transpile** (now)

Here's how a Jco component runs in **WebAssembly** supporting JS engines:

jco transpile essentially **wasm-tools unbundle** s your component, then...

- 🔌 P2 imports are wired to JS-side trampolines (i.e. **wasmtime_envirion::Trampoline**)
- 👟 Wasm instructions walked, used in generation (i.e. **wit_bindgen_core::Instruction**)
- 🏠 Code that supports P1/P2 builtins is generated (e.g. resources, tables, lifts/lowers)
- 📦 Code that supports imports is imported/connected (e.g. **[method]descriptor.stat**)
- 🕒 JSPI is used (i.e. **WebAssembly.suspending**, thanks Calvin!)



P3 components run in `jco transpile` (now)

`jco transpile` essentially `wasm-tools unbundle` s your component

🔌 P3 imports are wired to JS-side trampolines (i.e. `wasmtime_envron::Trampoline`)

E.g. `[async-lower]*`, `[stream-new-<ordinal>]*`, etc

👟 Wasm instructions walked, used in generation (i.e. `wit_bindgen_core::Instruction`)

The “return” of a function distinct, new implicit async callback driver

🏠 **Code that supports P3 builtins is generated (e.g. tables, metadata, flat lift/lower)**

📦 Code that supports imports is imported/connected (e.g. `[method]descriptor.stat`)

Ergonomics of specifying async imports/exports has changed

🕒 JSPI is used (e.g. `WebAssembly.suspending`)

Deep threading is now sometimes necessary (e.g. async export that calls async import, tunneled through a generated patch-up component’s func table)



Slides

What's done? What's left?

1. Done*

- a. Async Tasks, Subtasks
- b. Waitables
- c. Backpressure
- d. Context
- e. Streams**

2. Left

- a. Futures
- b. P3-shim integration

3. Future

- a. Threads (currently, we pretend to have *one* thread)

4. Nice to have / Far future

- a. Implementation polish (eye towards perf, more dead code elimination, ergonomics, etc)
- b. Debugging improvements (a new `JCO_DEBUG` flag was added, but it can be improved)
- c. Improving Documentation
- d. Fuzzing/Property testing

* Implies likely spec-compliance and unlikely to be obviously buggy as confirmed by test-suite, not perfect code, of course.

** Long tail of ensuring lift/lowers and interactions across types and containers are properly working. No fuzzing/property testing yet



What does the code look like?

With WIT like this:

```
package jco:test-components;

interface get-stream-async {
    get-stream-u32: async func(vals: list<u32>) -> result<stream<u32>, string>;
}

world stream-tx {
    export get-stream-async;
}
```




What does the code look like?

And Rust guest code
like this:

```
mod bindings {  
    use super::Component;  
    wit_bindgen::generate!({ world: "stream-tx", });  
    export!(Component);  
}  
  
use bindings::wit_stream;  
use wit_bindgen::StreamReader;  
use bindings::exports::jco::test_components::get_stream_async::Guest;  
  
struct Component;  
  
impl Guest for Component {  
    async fn get_stream_u32(vals: Vec<u32>) -> Result<StreamReader<u32>, String> {  
        let (mut tx, rx) = wit_stream::new();  
        wit_bindgen::spawn(async move {  
            tx.write_all(vals).await;  
        });  
        Ok(rx)  
    }  
}
```



What does the code look like?

Use `jco transpile`'d
output like this:

```
import esModule from "../transpiled-output";

const { WASIShim } = await import("@bytecodealliance/preview2-shim/instantiation");
const instance = await esModule.instantiate(
  undefined,
  new WASIShim().getImportObject(),
);

const vals = [11, 22, 33];
const stream = await instance["jco:test-components/get-stream-async"].getStreamU32(vals);

const first = await stream.next(); // 11
const second = await stream.next(); // 22
const third = await stream.next(); // 33
```



When can you play with this? Right now!

Progress has been going into successive Jco versions

(there have been few regressions given the separation/lack of interaction of P3 features and existing test suite coverage)

The [test suite](#) grows every week and consists of upstream and local components under test.

1.17.0 is the latest release (12 days ago)

1.18.0 is likely to be out this week (latest Streams impl and tests)

Unfortunately, you will likely still encounter bugs

Thanks in advance for filing issues



Slides

P3 Shims

Thanks to Tomasz for his excellent work on P3 shims

[Independent test suite](#) confirms functionality against Web APIs & NodeJS

Implementations have been [updated to the latest 0.3.0 RC interfaces](#)

Browser support is still “experimental” in Jco, deferred for post 0.3.0 launch high priority after NodeJS stabilize



Browser support is still “Experimental”? 😡

We’re missing a few key P2 implementations for robust Browser support

Community members have stepped up to help fill gaps:

[feat\(preview2-shim\): implement browser HTTP fetch support via JSPI](#)

(thanks @danbopes!)

We’re missing much more P3 implementations

(much implementation is shared, so this isn’t as bad as it sounds)



We’d love help with our P2 and P3 browser shims



Slides



Fin.

Questions?



Consider contributing to the JS Wasm ecosystem!

The codebases are now *much* easier to approach than they were in the past.



Thanks to all the contributors to StarlingMonkey, ComponentizeJS, Jco and upstream ecosystem crates (wasmtime, wasm-tools, orca/wirm, etc) who make the JS ecosystem possible.