Converting Cellular GPS Data into Trips Using R

Gillian Riches^{a,*}, Gregory Macfarlane^a

^a Civil and Environmental Engineering Department, 430 Engineering Building, Provo, Utah 84602
^b Some Other Place

Abstract

This is where the abstract should go.

Keywords: Accessibility Passive Data Location Choice

1. Question

Here is a review of existing methods. Use the bookdown (Xie, 2015) package referencing system to make it easy on yourself.

The literature review is not simply a "review" or a list of what has been done in the past. This needs to a thoughtful synthesis that accomplishes two things:

- Shows that you understand the previous efforts that other people have made on this problem.
- Identifies the limitation or the gap in those previous efforts.

You will have already mentioned this gap in the introduction, but here you need to build a solid case for why what you are doing is a meaningful contribution.

Literature reviews do not have a specific guidelines for length or number of citations. It's more about making a rhetorical argument; if it's a new problem then the review can be shorter. But you'll need to refer to previous attempts at the problem, the methods you are trying, and other things.

2. Methods

In this chapter, you describe the approach you have taken on the problem. This usually involves a discussion about both the data you used and the models you applied.

2.1. Data

Discuss where you got your data, how you cleaned it, any assumptions you made.

Often there will be a table describing summary statistics of your dataset. Table 1 shows a nice table using the datasummary functions in the modelsummary package.

 $Email\ addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), \verb|gregmacfarlane@byu.edu| (Gregory\ Macfarlane) | All addresses: \verb|martingillian4@gmail.com| (Gillian\ Riches), | All addresses: All address$

^{*}Corresponding Author

^{**}Present affiliation: some nice job

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Dataset

| | | $\operatorname{regcar}\ (N{=}10930)$ | | sportuv (N=1048) | | sportcar (N=880) | | stwagon ($N=4446$) | | truck (N=5628) | |
|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| | | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. De |
| price | | 4.2 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 2. |
| range | | 237.2 | 94.5 | 241.6 | 94.7 | 233.6 | 96.7 | 238.7 | 94.3 | 238.2 | 93. |
| size | | 2.4 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0. |
| | | N | Pct. | N | Pct. | N | Pct. | N | Pct. | N | Pc |
| fuel | gasoline | 2704 | 24.7 | 280 | 26.7 | 218 | 24.8 | 1096 | 24.7 | 1413 | 25. |
| | methanol | 2729 | 25.0 | 246 | 23.5 | 225 | 25.6 | 1091 | 24.5 | 1445 | 25. |
| | cng | 2767 | 25.3 | 260 | 24.8 | 238 | 27.0 | 1109 | 24.9 | 1360 | 24. |
| | electric | 2730 | 25.0 | 262 | 25.0 | 199 | 22.6 | 1150 | 25.9 | 1410 | 25. |

2.2. Models

If your work is mostly a new model, you probably will have introduced some details in the literature review. But this is where you describe the mathematical construction of your model, the variables it uses, and other things. Some methods are so common (linear regression) that it is unnecessary to explore them in detail. But others will need to be described, often with mathematics. For example, the probability of a multinomial logit model is

$$P_i(X_{in}) = \frac{e^{X_{in}\beta_i}}{\sum_{j \in J} e^{X_{jn}\beta_j}} \tag{1}$$

Use LaTeX mathematics. You'll want to number display equations so that you can refer to them later in the manuscript. Other simpler math can be described inline, like saying that $i, j \in J$. Details on using equations in bookdown are available here.

3. Findings

This section might be called "Results" instead of "Applications," depending on what it is that you are working on. But you'll probably say something like "The initial model estimation results are given in Table ??." That table is created with the modelsummary() package and function.

With those results presented, you can go into a discussion of what they mean. first, discuss the actual results that are shown in the table, and then any interesting or unintuitive observations.

3.1. Additional Analysis

Usually, it is good to use your model for something.

- Hypothetical policy analysis
- Statistical validation effort
- Equity or impact analysis

If the analysis is substantial, it might become its own top-level section.

References

Xie, Y. (2015). Dynamic Documents with R and knitr. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2nd edition. ISBN 978-1498716963.