

## TEAM PRODUCT \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria	Excellent (90–100%)	Proficient (80–89%)	Developing (70–79%)	Needs Improvement (<70%)
<b>Clarity and Organization</b>	Communicates clearly, logically, and professionally; transitions smoothly; presentation is well-structured and purposeful	Mostly clear and logical; minor lapses in structure or flow	Somewhat disorganized or unclear; weak transitions; hard to follow at times	Poorly structured; lacks clarity; difficult to understand or follow
<b>Design Process and Decision-Making (Sprint 01 focus)</b>	Clearly articulates and analyzes all five design sprint stages; provides compelling rationale for decisions	Explains most design sprint steps with relevant rationale	Describes some sprint steps; limited insight into decisions	Fails to convey sprint process or rationale behind decisions
<b>Product Development Progress (Sprints 02–06 focus)</b>	Effectively demonstrates and evaluates product evolution; presents tangible progress and thoughtful iteration	Presents new features and improvements; shows understanding of iteration	Outlines features with minimal reflection or improvement	Lacks clear iteration; little to no progress evident
<b>User Feedback and Testing</b>	Thoughtfully interprets and integrates user feedback; demonstrates a user-centered mindset	Summarizes feedback and shows some integration into product	Mentions user input but with limited application or depth	No clear evidence of user feedback or its impact
<b>Individual Contribution (Final &amp; Showcase)</b>	Explains individual contributions with clarity and depth; demonstrates ownership and reflection	Identifies individual work with some reflection	Vaguely acknowledges contribution; lacks detail or insight	Contribution is unclear or absent
<b>Prototype/ Demo Quality</b>	Product is functional, well-designed, and compelling; effectively demonstrates key features	Product is mostly functional; presentation shows key components	Prototype/demo works partially; lacks polish or clarity	Demo is missing, broken, or incoherent
<b>Audience Engagement and Professionalism</b>	Engages audience, articulates ideas confidently; appropriate visuals and delivery for both peers and professionals	Speaks clearly; uses visuals effectively; some audience engagement	Low energy or limited visuals; inconsistent engagement	Monotone delivery, distracting visuals, or disengaged presentation
<b>Commitment &amp; Preparedness</b>	Team consistently demonstrates preparation; all materials submitted (e.g., Commitment Cards), active participation	Mostly prepared; minor gaps in submission or participation	Some signs of unpreparedness; incomplete materials or limited involvement	Lacks preparation; multiple missing elements or poor participation