

# One-dimensional turbulence (ODT): computationally efficient modeling and simulation of turbulent flows

Victoria B. Stephens, David O. Lignell\*

*Chemical Engineering Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA*

---

## Abstract

Write this last. About 100 words.

*Keywords:* turbulence, reacting flows, one-dimensional turbulence

---

## Code Metadata

Nr.	Code metadata description	Please fill in this column
C1	Current code version	1.0
C2	Permanent link to code/repository used for this code version	<i>github.com/BYUignite/ODT</i>
C3	Code Ocean compute capsule	N/A
C4	Legal Code License	MIT
C5	Code versioning system used	Git
C6	Software code languages, tools, and services used	C++, Python 3.x, Yaml,
C7	Compilation requirements, operating environments & dependencies	CMake 3.12+, Cantera, Git, Doxygen (optional)
C8	If available Link to developer documentation/manual	N/A
C9	Support email for questions	davidlignellbyu.edu

Table 1: Code metadata (mandatory)

## 1. Motivation and significance

Turbulent flows characterize the vast majority of fluid flows in practical engineering applications, and simulations of turbulent flows provide re-

---

\*Corresponding author.

*Email address:* davidlignell@byu.edu (David O. Lignell)

4 searchers with valuable insights into complex systems, particularly reacting  
5 turbulent flows such as combustion processes. Turbulence is a complex phe-  
6 nomenon that affects the full range of a flow’s length and time scales. As a  
7 result, resolving the entire flow field by numerically solving the Navier-Stokes  
8 equations of fluid flow, as is done in direct numerical simulations (DNS), re-  
9 quires substantial computational resources. DNS is a powerful research tool,  
10 but its high computational cost makes it intractable for simulating most  
11 practical engineering flows. In order to achieve numerical solutions to prac-  
12 tical flow problems, researchers can use alternative frameworks that model  
13 turbulence rather than resolving it directly.

14 Large-eddy simulations (LES) address the problem of wide-ranging length  
15 and time scales by combining direct resolution of grid-scale quantities, as in  
16 DNS, with subgrid modeling of smaller turbulence structures. The more  
17 complex the flow, the more modeling is required; for example, a jet flame  
18 simulation might require subgrid modeling for the combustion chemistry, ra-  
19 diative heat transfer, or soot chemistry in addition to turbulence structures,  
20 all of which form a tightly coupled system in which each model interacts  
21 heavily with the others. While subgrid modeling makes LES more computa-  
22 tionally affordable than DNS, it can introduce empiricism into simulations,  
23 which can lead to inaccurate results. Additionally, unresolved quantities are  
24 often parameterized in state space with empirical relationships or assumed  
25 distributions that lack universal applicability. LES is a valuable simulation  
26 tool, but its approach to turbulence modeling can introduce unwanted em-  
27 piricism and make errors difficult to isolate and quantify.

28 The one-dimensional turbulence model (ODT) functionally reverses the  
29 LES approach, modeling large-scale turbulent advection and directly resolv-  
30 ing small-scale flow structures, simulating the full range of length and time  
31 scales in a single dimension. Because large-scale structures are much easier  
32 to study and model than small-scale structures, ODT mitigates or sidesteps  
33 many of the subgrid modeling issues that complicate LES. Previous stud-  
34 ies show that ODT can attain accuracy comparable to DNS at a fraction  
35 of the computational cost [1, 2], making it an attractive tool for simulating  
36 turbulent flows. Because the model is one-dimensional, it is limited to ho-  
37 mogeneous or boundary layer flows such as jets, wakes, and mixing layers;  
38 such flows, however, are extremely common in both nature and turbulence  
39 research. ODT’s computational efficiency and resolution of a full range of  
40 scales make it a valuable tool that complements experimental studies and  
41 other simulation tools like DNS and LES.

## 42 2. Software description

### 43 2.1. Model description

44 Describe how ODT works here. Turbulent advection via eddy events  
45 implemented by triplet maps. Planar vs. cylindrical configurations. Eddy  
46 events happen concurrently with solution of transport equations. Evolution  
47 of transport equations in only one dimension. Limited to one-dimensional  
48 flows: jets, wakes, mixing layers. This is fine though because those are  
49 common in nature and important to research.

### 50 2.2. Software Architecture

51 Things to put in this section (from SoftwareX template)

- 52 • overview of overall software architecture
- 53 • optional: pictorial overview
- 54 • implementation details
  - 55 – software dependencies?
  - 56 – how to build and run (or leave this in the code README files?
  - 57 – maybe brief description here with full details in README.)
  - 58 – how to use post processing tools? (TODO: prune post processing
  - 59 – tools as much as possible so there's no excess)

60 Summary of directory structure:

- 61 • source: source code lives here; yaml files generated by building yaml in  
62 – build folder get put here as well
  - 63 – more details on directory structure necessary here? not sure if
  - 64 – relevant
- 65 • build: go here to build the code
  - 66 – Run: make yaml (only need to do this once, the first time you
  - 67 – download/build the code)
  - 68 – set options/paths for cmake in user\_config file
  - 69 – Run: cmake -C user\_config ../source
  - 70 – Run: make -j8
- 71 • input: input files live here; change input files to specify case details

- 72       – each case type has its own folder; make your own if necessary
- 73       – within each case folder, you should see file input.yaml. this is your
- 74       all-important input file that makes each case unique
- 75           \* talk about most important ODT parameters/options here? or
- 76       leave to documentation?
- 77   • run: go here to actually run the code; don't forget to make your changes
- 78       to the input file first
- 79       – after building, you'll see odt.x in this folder. you can run it directly
- 80       (not recommended) or from within one of the bash scripts (better)
- 81       – don't forget to give your case a name; this name will be used to
- 82       label data files dumped to the data directory
- 83   • data: data and runtime files dumped here, organized by case name and
- 84       individual realizations
- 85       – TO DO: raw/processed file types and what they contain, how to
- 86       use them effectively
- 87   • post: post processing tools located here, organized by case type
- 88   • doc: doxygen documentation (if generated) lives here

### 89   3. Example Cases

90   Present the major functionalities of the software.

- 91   • simulating turbulent flow cases, reacting or nonreacting flows
- 92   • can simulate laminar flow cases too
- 93   • does these things a whole lot faster than other methods do (LES, DNS
- 94       especially)
- 95   • testing LES subgrid modeling assumptions
- 96   • simulating cases that DNS can't get to because needed simulation
- 97       length is too long (i.e. late-flame phenomena)

98   Example cases:

- 99   • From cylindrical ODT paper:
- 100       1. pipe flow

- 101           2. nonreacting round jet
- 102           3. round jet flame
- 103       • Other possible example cases:
  - 104           – something to compare with DNS/LES results to illustrate effi-
  - 105           ciency
  - 106           – no sooting cases since no soot in the code
  - 107           – planar vs. cylindrical comparison

108       Things to talk about with example cases:

- 109       • compare to DNS/LES cases; computational efficiency, accuracy
- 110       • how data looks before post processing, how post processing works

#### 111   4. Impact

112       Questions to answer in this section (from SoftwareX template)

- 113   1. How can new research questions be pursued with this software?
  - 114       • possibility of parametric studies (much harder with DNS/LES/RANS)
  - 115       • study of late-flame soot and radiation interactions, soot emissions
  - 116       as smoke
  - 117       • comparative radiation model studies?
- 118   2. How does the software improve pursuit of existing research questions?
  - 119       • late-flame behavior becomes easier to study
  - 120       • validation of LES subgrid models
  - 121       • soot stuff, especially late in the flame (because soot moves slowly
  - 122       compared to gas species and therefore short simulation times like
  - 123       in DNS aren't enough to study it effectively)
- 124   3. How does the software change the daily practice of its users?
  - 125       • cases take hours or days rather than weeks using supercomputer
  - 126       resources
  - 127       • test cases can be run on local computers (unlike something like
  - 128       DNS) and as background tasks without disrupting other tasks
  - 129       • ODT as a tool complements other approaches, can cover blind
  - 130       spots and be used in validation

- 131 4. How widespread is the software? Who uses it? (Within and outside of  
132 intended research area and/or group.)
- 133 • BYU group
  - 134 • JCH at Sandia
  - 135 • Chalmers group in Sweden (Marco Fistler, etc.)
  - 136 • German university group (Heiko Schmidt, Juan Media, Marten  
137 Klein, etc.)
  - 138 • TO DO: find other groups who have used or currently use ODT
- 139 5. How is the software used in commercial settings (if any)? Has it led to  
140 creation of spin-off companies?
- 141 • No commercial use (I think).

## 142 5. Conclusion

143 Write this part next to last

## 144 6. Conflict of Interest

145 We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated  
146 with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for  
147 this work that could have influenced its outcome.

## 148 Acknowledgements

149 This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under  
150 Grant No. CBET-1403403.

## 151 References

- 152 [1] D. O. Lignell, G. C. Fredline, A. D. Lewis, Comparison of one-dimensional  
153 turbulence and direct numerical simulations of soot formation and trans-  
154 port in a nonpremixed ethylene jet flame 35 (2) (2015) 1199–1206.  
155 doi:10.1016/j.proci.2014.05.046.
- 156 [2] A. W. Abboud, C. Schulz, T. Saad, S. T. Smith, D. D. Harris, D. O.  
157 Lignell, A numerical comparison of precipitating turbulent flows between  
158 large-eddy simulation and one-dimensional turbulence 61 (10) (2015)  
159 3185–3197. doi:10.1002/aic.14870.

160 **Current executable software version**

161 Ancillary data table required for sub version of the executable software:  
 162 (x.1, x.2 etc.) kindly replace examples in right column with the correct  
 163 information about your executables, and leave the left column as it is.

Nr.	(Executable) software meta-data description	Please fill in this column
S1	Current software version	2.1
S2	Permanent link to executables of this version	For example: <i>https</i> : <i>//github.com/combogenomics/DuctApe/releases/tag/DuctApe-0.16.4</i>
S3	Legal Software License	MIT
S4	Computing platforms/Operating Systems	Linux, OS X, Microsoft Windows
S5	Installation requirements & dependencies	CMake 3.12+, Cantera, Git, Doxygen (optional)
S6	If available, link to user manual - if formally published include a reference to the publication in the reference list	For example: <i>http</i> : <i>//mozart.github.io/documentation/</i>
S7	Support email for questions	davidlignell@byu.edu

Table 2: Software metadata (optional)