THE HEAT EQUATION METHOD IN INDEX THEORY

BYUNGDO PARK

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give a brief exposition of the idea of the heat equation method in classical proofs of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. We begin with a heuristic introduction to the notion of Sobolev spaces to provide an idea about how a finiteness condition for the index theory is achieved. This allows us to define the heat operator and consider the heat kernel of a positive elliptic operator and the trace of a heat operator. We then exhibit the time-invariance of the index of a differential operator whose composition with a formal adjoint is a Laplace operator. Finally we remark on proving local Atiyah-Singer index theorems.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 19th century, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem established a deep connection between differential geometry and topology. This theorem tells us how a local geometric quantity (curvature) can be related to a global topological quantity (number of holes) that is a priori unrelated to how the surface is curved. In the 1960's, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem and its generalizations were subsumed by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [2]. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem says that, for a certain type of differential operator, the topological index and the analytical index (which are just two integers) are equal. It turns out many celebrated theorems such as Chern–Gauss–Bonnet theorem, Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem, and Hirzebruch Signature theorem are special cases of the index theorem.

At the initial announcement of the result in Atiyah and Singer [2], the proof was based on Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch theorem and cobordism theory. Later in a series of papers [3–6], they have used K-theoretic methods while not having to use cobordism theory. Later Atiyah, Bott, and Patodi [1] in 1973 and Gilkey [10] in 1974 gave a new proof using the heat equation method. The idea is that the index can be written as the difference of the trace of heat operators of positive self-adjoint differential operators which is independent of time t, so at the one end of time propagation we get the analytic index by a "fantastic cancellation" while at the other end the topological index is obtained by a local asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel. Such a cancellation later gained a physical meaning in terms of supersymmetry, and the ideas from physics also contributed in obtaining a new and simple proof (Cf. Getzler [8]). For this reason the heat equation method is considered to be more appropriate for applications to physics.

This article is the author's lecture note in a gradute-level course on Atiyah-Singer index theorem for the part delineating the idea of the heat equation method. There are several excellent monographs and articles covering this topic in depth and we list a few. This article is based on Lawson and Michelsohn [12] and Getzler and Vergne [9]. Original accounts on this topic are Atiyah, Bott and Patodi [1] and Gilkey [10]. See also Gilkey [11] for the calculation of the local expansion of the heat kernel, and Bismut [7] for history and overview of the index theory.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 provide backgrounds on necessary analysis including the notion of Sobolev spaces as well as finiteness and smoothness conditions. Section 3 defines the heat operator and the heat kernel of a positive elliptic operator. Section 4 defines the trace of a heat operator, proves the time-invariance of the index, and remarks on proofs of the local index theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a smooth n-manifold. We fix some notations. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, and $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i$. For each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $\xi^\alpha := \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$. In local coordinate $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on X, we define the differential operators

$$D^\alpha := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha} := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

For a smooth vector bundle, $E \to X$ we denote the totality of its sections by $\Gamma(E)$.

Let $E \to X$ and $F \to X$ be smooth complex vector bundles of rank p and q respectively. A **differential operator of order** m is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(F)$ with the following property: near each point in X we have a open neighborhood U with a local coordinate $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and local trivializations $E|_U \to U \times \mathbb{C}^p$ and $F|_U \to U \times \mathbb{C}^q$ in which P can be written in the form

$$(2.1) \qquad P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha},$$

where $A^\alpha(x)$ is a $q \times p$ matrix of smooth $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions, and for some α with $|\alpha| = m$, there exists $A^\alpha(x)$ such that $A^\alpha(x)$ is not identically zero.

After defining the notion of differential operators between spaces of smooth sections as above, we may expect bringing operator theoretic consequences into the index theory. Albeit very natural, we simply cannot do so without building a careful setup, because the space of smooth sections is not necessarily complete. Among the simplest, one may consider a trivial line bundle over the reals. Even though the absolute value function is not smooth, one can find a sequence of smooth functions that converges to the absolute value function. One reason we are concerned about non-completeness of the space of smooth sections in understanding index theory is that we wish to secure a sufficient condition for finiteness. i.e. the fact that every elliptic operator on a compact Riemannian manifold extends to a Fredholm map, and the index of the original operator is the same as the index of its Fredholm extension, independent from the choice of an extension.

Let $E \to X$ be a complex vector bundle over a real compact Riemannian manifold endowed with a positive-definite Hermitian metric. We also put a connection ∇. Then the following defines a norm on $\Gamma(E)$:

$$\|u\|_k^2 := \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_X |\overbrace{d^\nabla \circ \ldots \circ d^\nabla}^{j} u|^2 dV_g < +\infty$$

where d^∇ is the covariant exterior differential. This is called the **basic Sobolev k-norm** on $\Gamma(E)$. One can prove that the equivalence class of this norm is independent of the choice of the Hermitian metric and the connection. The completion of $\Gamma(E)$ in this norm is the **Sobolev space** $L_k^2(E)$. We leave the proof of following proposition as an exercise.

Proposition 2.2. A differential operator $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(F)$ of order m extends to to a bounded linear map $P : L_k^2(E) \to L_{k-m}^2(F)$ for all $k \geq m$, where $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

A goal of this thread of analytic discussion is, as mentioned above, establishing a finiteness condition that if $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ is an elliptic operator on a compact Riemannian manifold,

then P extends to a Fredholm operator $P : L_k^2(E) \to L_{k-m}^2(E)$ whose kernel and cokernel have dimensions independent of k and are consisting of smooth sections.

To establish smoothness results, we need to use the Sobolev embedding theorem, and this requires more than Sobolev spaces obtained by basic Sobolev norms. We need to bring the notion which allows us to have the embedding theorem, and for this we locally bring the notion of the Sobolev space L_s^2 defined by a completion of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}$ using the Sobolev s-norm given by the formula:

$$\|u\|_s^2 = \int (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \qquad u \in \mathcal{S}, \ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To make sense this local construction globally on a manifold, one should carefully choose a local trivialization and a partition of unity satisfying certain conditions (called good presentations) and prove that the local Sobolev s-norm defined under these choices are independent of the choice of a local trivialization or a partition of unity. Furthermore, one can also prove that if $s = k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the equivalence class of a globalized Sobolev s-norm matches up with that of basic Sobolev k-norm defined above, for any choice of Hermitian metric or connection on E. i.e. the finiteness and smoothness conditions are not relying on additional structures like metrics and connections on a bundle. Consequently, we obtain the following global statements from corresponding local results. For details, see [12, p.170–177].

Proposition 2.3. Let E and F be smooth complex vector bundles over a compact Riemannian n-manifold X.

(1) For each integer $k \geq 0$ and each $s > (n/2) + k$, there is a continuous inclusion $L_s^2(E) \subset C^k(E)$.

(2) For any Riemannian volume measure μ on X, the bilinear map on $\Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E^*)$ given by setting

$$(u, u^*) = \int_X u^*(u) d\mu$$

extends to a pairing $L_s^2(E) \times L_{-s}^2(E^*)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where L_{-s}^2 is identified with $(L_s^2(E))^*$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(3) Multiplication $T_A u := Au$ by any $A \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(E, F))$ extends to a bounded linear map $T_A : L_s^2(E) \to L_s^2(F)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

(4) Any differential operator $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(F)$ of order m extends to a bounded linear map $P : L_s^2(E) \to L_{s-m}^2(F)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

By the results on elliptic operators, given an elliptic operator $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ on a compact Riemannian manifold, we may consider its Fredholm extension $P : L_s^2(E) \to L_{s-m}^2(E)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and in particular we may set $s = m$, in which case the codomain becomes the usual L^2-completion of $\Gamma(E)$. As in operator theory, we say that the operator

P is **positive** if P is self-adjoint and $\langle Pu, u \rangle_0 \geq 0$ for all $u \in \Gamma(E)$. The self-adjointness in defining a positive operator is essential, since any self-adjoint operator can only have real eigenvalues, the former guarantees that the inner product can have its value only in reals.

3. THE HEAT OPERATOR AND THE HEAT KERNEL OF A DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

Let $E \to X$ be a complex vector bundle over a compact Riemannian n-manifold, and $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ be a positive self-adjoint elliptic differential operator of order m. We shall construct the *heat operator* $e^{-tP} : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ for $t > 0$, so that it becomes an infinitely smoothing operator such that $u_t := e^{-tP}u$ for some $u \in \Gamma(E)$ solves the equation

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} + Pu_t = 0.$$

We define the **heat operator** $e^{-tP} : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ as an integral operator

$$e^{-tP}u(x) = \int_X K_t(x, y)u(y)dy \qquad x, y \in X$$

with

(3.1)
$$K_t(x, y) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y)$$

where $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $L_0^2(E)$ consisting of eigensections of P with $Pu_k = \lambda_k u_k$, and which is varying smoothly with respect to t, x and y. K is a section of a bundle $p_0^*(p_1^*E \otimes p_2^*E^*) \to \mathbb{R}^+ \times X \times X$ that satisfies (3.1), where $p_i : X \times X \to X$ is the canonical projection to the i-th factor, and p_0 is a slice-preserving projection map $\mathbb{R}^+ \times X \times X \to X \times X$. This section $K \in \Gamma(p_0^*(p_1^*E \otimes p_2^*E^*))$ is called the **heat kernel** for P.

As it appears in the formulation (3.1) of the heat kernel, it is not at all obvious whether this definition even makes sense. Specifically, it is not clear if eigensections of P can constitute an orthonormal basis of $L_0^2(E)$, and the summation converges. In what follows, we shall prove that this summation actually converges and the heat kernel is smooth. To proceed, we need the following key fact.

Proposition 3.2. Let $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ be a positive self-adjoint elliptic differential operator of order $m > 0$ over a compact Riemannian n-manifold. Then there is a complete orthonormal basis $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $L_0^2(E)$ such that

$$Pu_k = \lambda_k u_k \qquad \text{for all } k$$

where

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \to \infty.$$

In fact, for some constant $c > 0$,

$$\lambda_k \geq ck^{\frac{2m}{n(n+2m+2)}} \qquad \text{for all } k.$$

A proof of this statement can be found in [12, Corollary 5.9, p.198].

Recall that the **uniform C^r-norm** on $C^r(E)$, the set of r-differentiable sections of E, is defined by $\| \cdot \|_{C^r} : C^r(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^r}^2 = \sup_{x \in X} \sum_{k \leq r} \|d^{\nabla^k} u\|^2$$

where

$$\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u^* \rangle := \int_X u^* u \, dV \qquad \text{for } u \in C^r(E).$$

One has to make the choice of a Hermitian metric and a connection on E to define a norm on $C^r(E)$ as above. However, as we discussed in section 2, the globalized Sobolev norm $\| \cdot \|_s$ coincides with the Sobolev norm $\| \cdot \|_k$ for any positive integer k which defined by using a given Hermitian metric and a connection, and the equivalence class of $\| \cdot \|_k$ is independent of the choice of a Hermitian metric and a connection. Thus we do not need to specify a Hermitian metric and a connection to define the uniform C^r-norm on $C^r(E)$.

Lemma 3.3. For any $r \geq 0$, and any closed interval $I \in (0, \infty)$, the series

$$K_t(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y)$$

converges uniformly in $(C^r(E), \| \cdot \|_{C^r})$ on $I \times X \times X$.

Proof. We shall use the following two estimates:

- For each real number $s > \frac{n}{2} + k$, there exists a constant K_s such that

$$\|u\|_{C^r} \leq K_s \|u\|_s \qquad \text{for all } u \in \Gamma(E).$$

  This is the *Sobolev embedding theorem*: there is a continuous embedding $L_s^2(E) \hookrightarrow C^r(E)$. See [12, Theorem 2.5, p.172 and Theorem 2.15, p.176]

- Let $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ be an elliptic operator of order m on a compact Riemannian manifold X. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a constant C_s such that

$$\|u\|_s \leq C_s(\|u\|_{s-m} + \|Pu\|_{s-m}) \qquad \text{for all } u \in L_s^2(E).$$

  Hence the norms $\| \cdot \|_s$ and $\| \cdot \|_{s-m} + \|P \cdot \|_{s-m}$ on $L_s^2(E)$ are equivalent. This is the *fundamental elliptic estimates*. See [12, Theorem 5.2(iii), p.193] .

From the above two estimates, there exists constants c and c' such that

$$\|u_k\|_{C^r} \le c'\|u_k\|_{ms} \le c(\|u_k\|_0 + \|P^s u_k\|_0) = c(1 + \lambda_k^s).$$

By Proposition 3.2, λ_k satisfies the inequality

$$\lambda_k \ge k^\gamma \qquad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{2m}{n(n + 2m + 2)}.$$

Now we observe the tail of the series:

$$K_t(x, y) - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y) = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y).$$

It suffices to show that the convergence of $K_t(x, y)u_k(y)$ for an eigensection u_k:

(3.4)
$$\|\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x)\|_{C^r} \le \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} \|u_k(x)\|_{C^r} \le \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} c(1 + \lambda_k^s)$$
$$= c \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} + c \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} \lambda_k^s.$$

Hence the tail is uniformly bounded. Observe that

$$\lambda_k \ge k^\gamma \Rightarrow e^{-\lambda_k t} \le e^{-k^\gamma t}.$$

This proves that the first summand in the far RHS of (3.4) converges to 0 as $N \to \infty$. We may assume k is sufficient large. Then, even if $k^{\gamma^s} \le \lambda_k^s$, after some k, we have

$$\lambda_k^s e^{-k^\gamma t} \le k^{\gamma^s} e^{-\lambda_k t} \le k^{\gamma^s} e^{-k^\gamma t}.$$

This shows that the second summand of (3.4) converges to 0 as $N \to \infty$, by the following comparison:

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^{\gamma^s} e^{-k^\gamma t} \le \int_{N-1}^{\infty} x^s e^{-tx} dx \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty.$$

$\square$

A linear operator $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(F)$ over a compact Riemannian manifold X is called **infinitely smoothing** if it is an integral operator whose kernel is a C^∞-section over a bundle $X \times X$. The following proposition immediately follows from the above lemma.

Proposition 3.5. (1) For each $t > 0$, the operator $e^{-tP} : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ is infinitely smoothing.
(2) Given $u \in L_s^2(E)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the section $e^{-tP}u(x)$ is a smooth section over $\mathbb{R}^+ \times X$.
(3) $e^{-tP}u(x)$ solves the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Pu = 0.$$

Proof. (1) and (2) are consequences of the lemma. We check (3).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{-tP}u) + P(e^{-tP}u) &= \int_X \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_t(x,y)u(y)dy + P(e^{-tP}u) \\
&= \int_X \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y)\big(u(y)\big)dy + P(e^{-tP}u) \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_X e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y)\big(u(y)\big)dy + P(e^{-tP}u) \\
&= -P(e^{-tP}u) + P(e^{-tP}u) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$

4. The trace of a heat operator

We define the **trace** of e^{-tP} by

$$
\mathrm{tr}\,e^{-tP} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e^{-tP} u_k, u_k \rangle
$$

where $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(E)$ consisting eigensections of P. Observe that if λ_j is an eigenvalue of P, $e^{-t\lambda_j}$ is an eigenvalue of e^{-tP}:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-tP} u_j(x) &= \int_X K_t(x,y) u_j(y)dy \\
&= \int_X \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_k} u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(y)\big(u_j(y)\big)dy \\
&= e^{-t\lambda_j} u_j(x) \int_X dy = e^{-t\lambda_j} u_j(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly we may write

$$
\mathrm{tr}\,e^{-tP} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_k}
$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the set of eigenvalues of P. By Proposition 3.2, this summation converges. Note that this convergence is not necessarily the case for an arbitrary bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space. This is a special property arising from ellipticity and positivity of a self-adjoint differential operator.

In applications, the operator P is often in the form of positive self-adjoint operators Q^*Q or QQ^*. These are called **Laplace operators**. Many examples of such operators arise from the Dirac operator on spinors:

$$
\mathcal{D} : S^+ \oplus S^- \to S^- \oplus S^+
$$

with the property that $\mathcal{D}$ is self-adjoint. Being self-adjoint, Ind $\mathcal{D}$ is always zero, however each restricted operators

$$\mathcal{D}_+ : S^+ \to S^- \qquad \mathcal{D}_- : S^- \to S^+$$

may have nonzero index. Among these two, we only need to calculate the index of $\mathcal{D}_+$ since Ind $\mathcal{D}_+ = -$Ind $\mathcal{D}_+{}^*$ from ellipticity of $\mathcal{D}_+$, and Ind $\mathcal{D}_- =$ Ind $\mathcal{D}_+{}^*$. The latter is because of the self-adjointness of $\mathcal{D}$: From $\mathcal{D}_+ + \mathcal{D}_- = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D}_+{}^* + \mathcal{D}_-{}^*$, we must have $\mathcal{D}_+ = \mathcal{D}_-{}^*$ and $\mathcal{D}_- = \mathcal{D}_+{}^*$. Recall that, for a Dirac operator $D_+ = d + d^* : \Omega^{\mathrm{even}}(X) \to \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(X)$, we obtained Ind $D_+ = \chi(X)$, and for another Dirac operator $D_+ : \Omega_+^\bullet(X) \to \Omega_-^\bullet(X)$, we obtained Ind $D_+ = \mathrm{Sign}(X)$.

Let $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(F)$ be an elliptic differential operator over a compact Riemannian manifold X, and consider the following Laplace operators

$$P^*P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E) \qquad PP^* : \Gamma(F) \to \Gamma(F).$$

Assume that a Hermitian metric is defined on E and F so that the formal adjoint P^* makes sense. Observe that PP^* and P^*P are self-adjoint, and since $\langle P^*Pu, u \rangle_0 = \|Pu\|_0^2$ and $\langle PP^*v, v \rangle_0 = \|Pv\|_0^2$, these are positive operators. Also, if we send $t \to \infty$ for a heat operator e^{-tP}, all eigenvalues $e^{-t\lambda_k} \to 0$ for $\lambda_k \neq 0$, and $e^{-t\lambda_k} \to 1$ for $\lambda_k = 0$. Hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-tP}$ is a projection of $L_0^2(E)$ onto $\mathrm{Ker}P$. It follows that $\mathrm{tr}\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-tP}$ is the dimension of the eigenspace of P corresponding to the zero-eigenvalue, which is the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}P$. We thus have

$$\mathrm{Ind}\, P = \dim \mathrm{Ker}P - \dim \mathrm{Ker}P^*$$

$$= \dim \mathrm{Ker}P^*P - \dim \mathrm{Ker}PP^*$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(L_0^2(E) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}} \mathrm{Ker}P^*P \right) - \mathrm{tr}\left(L_0^2(F) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}} \mathrm{Ker}PP^* \right)$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-tP^*P} \right) - \mathrm{tr}\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-tPP^*} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k^{P^*P}} - \sum_{l=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_l^{PP^*}} \right)$$

$$\overset{(\dagger)}{=} \dim E_0 - \dim F_0$$

where E_0 and F_0 are eigenspaces of P^*P and PP^*, respectively, corresponding to the zero-eigenvalue. From this, we see that we can calculate the index of P by letting $t \to \infty$. We further claim that, even if eigenspaces E_0 and F_0 are not necessarily related, operators P^*P and PP^* should have exactly the same positive eigenvalues with the same multiplicities (hence their eigenspaces are isomorphic for each positive eigenvalue). This shows that the cancellation in ($\dagger$) above happens for any $t > 0$ without limit. We can prove this claim as

follows. Let $E_\lambda := \{u \in \Gamma(E) : P^*Pu = \lambda u\}$ and $F_\lambda := \{v \in \Gamma(F) : PP^*v = \lambda v\}$, where $\lambda \neq 0$. From

$$\lambda Pu = P(P^*Pu) = (PP^*)Pu$$

$$\lambda P^*v = P^*(PP^*v) = (P^*P)P^*v,$$

if λ is an eigenvalue of P^*P, then it is an eigenvalue of PP^*(and vice versa). Furthermore, P restricted to E_λ is an isomorphism onto F_λ with its inverse $(1/\lambda)P^*$.

By virtue of the above argument, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}e^{-tP^*P} - \mathrm{tr}e^{-tPP^*} = \dim E_0 + \sum_{\lambda_k \neq 0}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_k^{P^*P}} - \left(\dim F_0 + \sum_{\lambda_l \neq 0}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_l^{PP^*}} \right)$$

$$= \dim E_0 - \dim F_0$$

$$= \mathrm{Ind}\ P \qquad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Since we can think of the heat kernel $K_t(x, y)$ as an $\infty \times \infty$-matrix and the integration

$$\int_X K_t(x, y)u(y)dy$$

as a multiplication of a matrix to a column vector, it is expectable that

$$(4.1) \qquad \mathrm{tr}e^{-tP^*P} = \int_X \mathrm{tr}_x K_t(x, x)dx := \int_X \mathrm{ev}\big(K_t(x, x)\big)dx$$

where $\mathrm{ev}(u_k(x) \otimes u_k^*(x)) = |u_k(x)|^2$ so that $\int_X |u_k(x)|^2 dx = \|u_k\|_0^2 = 1$.

When $\deg P = 1$, it turns out that, as $t \to 0$, the heat kernel for P^*P has an asymptotic expansion

$$(4.2) \qquad \mathrm{tr}_x K_t(x, x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \rho_k(x) t^{\frac{k-n}{2}}$$

where $\rho_k(x)$ are densities on X which are locally and explicitly computable in terms of the geometry of X and P. Since our observation showed in the above that Ind P is independent of t, it is the coefficient $\rho_k(x)$ that determines Ind P. In this context, proving the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem means a careful writing of this term $\rho_k(x)$ for the operators P^*P and PP^*, and as a consequence one obtains

$$\mathrm{Ind}\ P = \int_X \mathrm{tr}_x \big(K_t^{P^*P}(x, x) - K_t^{PP^*}(x, x) \big)dx.$$

The integrand is appearing as characteristic classes. For example, the signature operator yields the Hirzebruch L-polynomials, and the Dirac operator on spinors yields $\widehat{A}$-genus as its integrand.

References

[1] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, and V. K. Patodi, *On the heat equation and the index theorem*, Invent. Math. **19** (1973), 279–330, DOI 10.1007/BF01425417.

[2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 422–433.

[3] _____ , *The index of elliptic operators. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 484–530, DOI 10.2307/1970715.

[4] _____ , *The index of elliptic operators. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 546–604, DOI 10.2307/1970717.

[5] _____ , *The index of elliptic operators. IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 119–138, DOI 10.2307/1970756.

[6] _____ , *The index of elliptic operators. V*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 139–149, DOI 10.2307/1970757.

[7] Jean-Michel Bismut, *Index theorem and the heat equation*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 491–504, DOI 10.1017/s0022112086002689.

[8] Ezra Getzler, *A short proof of the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, Topology **25** (1986), no. 1, 111–117, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(86)90008-X.

[9] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 298, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[10] Peter B. Gilkey, *The index theorem and the heat equation*, Mathematics Lecture Series, No. 4, Publish or Perish, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1974. Notes by Jon Sacks.

[11] _____ , *Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, Mathematics Lecture Series, vol. 11, Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, DE, 1984.

[12] H. Blaine Lawson Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

Department of Mathematics Education, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 28644, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: byungdo@cbnu.ac.kr