Input Subsidies with Heterogeneous Productivity: Allocative Efficiency, Sorting, and Migration in Zambia

Full Paper Coming Soon: Click Here for Most Recent Version

Binta Zahra Diop*†

September 26, 2022

Abstract

Rural antipoverty programs often focus on increasing agricultural productivity by transferring resources to farmers. Yet, many farmers' most productive investment may be in another technology: migration. I explore the impacts of such programs on productivity and on migration. First, I use a difference-in-difference exploiting variations in the roll-out of a Zambian fertilizer input subsidy program (ISP). As expected, the ISP increases rates of fertilizer adoption, yields, and rural labor supply via inmigration. There is an additional two-fold increase in individual outmigration. I show that short-term outmigration (consistent with a relaxed credit constraint) and medium-term our igration (consistent with structural transformation driven by agricultural productivity gains) account for respectively 30% and 45% of the total variance of outmigration. Household specializing in their comparative advantage can explain these dual results. Second, I generalize these findings with a structural general equilibrium framework accounting for an externality in adoption. When compared with cash and in-kind transfer policy scenarios, the ISP with resale markets fosters the highest levels of specialization. When farmers' types are costly to elicit, resale markets can improve the allocative efficiency of programs, turning voucher programs into cashtransfers to resellers and an in-kind transfers to net-buyers.

Keywords: Input Subsidies, Migration, Agricultural Productivity, Sorting

JEL Codes: R23, O33, Q12

^{*}Department of Economics, University of Oxford, bintazahra.diop@economics.ox.ac.uk

[†]I am grateful to D. Gollin, M. J. Williams, and I. Ruiz for their continued support, guidance, patience, and advice. I thank J. Labonne, A. Teytelboym, G. Ulyssea, H. Low for their detailed comments and discussions on various versions of this manuscript. To N. Moneke, V. Pouliquen, and V. Khandelwal, for their invaluable advice and wisdom. I am indebted to my fellow PhD students G. Schinaia, S. Hou, L. Milsom, H. Zillessen, S. Mukherjee, C. Pougué-Biyong, S. Altmann, and P. Hadunka for numerous conversations and comments. Finally, I thank M. Ngom, S. Quinn, C. McIntosh, Y. Zylberberg, T. S. Jayne, A. B. Diop, Aysatou Ndiaye, J. Gignoux and R. Lajaaj for their comments; F. Schillbach, and B. Conte and numerous seminar participants and discussants.