Wave - Particle Duality We have seen particles do wove stuff.
And waves do particle stuff. Seems to depend how we look?
- Wavey when in motion - interference ele
- Particulate when detected o Sirgle-slit (Fraunhofer) diffraction (Car see both!) e or photors

plane wave stit

screen/noveable detector To this with either electrons or photons - both give interference diffraction pattern > superposition of waves. But if we turn the source waray down we will detect individual partials on our detector They arrive one-by-one but still build up that diffraction pattern!

- View intensity profile as probability distribution of any one particle inparting thee We'll talk more on this rest lecture) · Patrile is ifinite potential well > How does work-like behaviour inpact this? Infinite potential well-Portile stuck in here Classically - a squash court. Quantum mechanically: Rules: Particle has finite energy

only has wave amplitude (exists)

in O < x < L

Amplitude must be o outside

L8 · Wave function of particle

Must be continuous, no jumps -> Wavefunction Y(x) = 0 at the boundary, x=0 and L So we must $Y(x) = A \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}$ $\Lambda = 1, 2, 3$ $=2\pi\frac{x}{\lambda}$ 1 = 21 50 N=3 > N=2, 1 =

(Note I drew bigger n as higher energy...) Use le Broglie wovelerigth: $P = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda}$ \Rightarrow $P_n = \frac{n\lambda}{2L}$ and $E = \frac{1}{2}$ $50. \quad E = n^2 \frac{L^2}{8mL^2}$ N.b. E x n 2 in a ID potential well.

Was - in the H- atom - Energy is uniquely determined for each quartum state $Y_n(x)$ is the well - What about momentum? Classically the electron is either moving (*) or (*). Magnitude is known, p, but not direction For N=1, $P_2=\frac{\pm \lambda}{2/2}$ $\Delta \rho = \frac{h}{21}$ uncertainty

(Px) = 0 Expectation / average And for x somewhere in the well, in the middle on average? $\Delta x = \frac{L}{a}$ $(\infty) = \frac{1}{2}$ What happens if we shrik the well?
Both p (x1) and E (x1) go up!
(This is weird in classical medanics...) so as we know better where the portish is we know less about its momentum (squash ball rattling back and forth really fost) This is not a proof of, but a hint towards the broads · Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle $\Delta \propto \Delta p_{z} > \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}$ (or $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}$) In M there is a limit to the precision we can know 2 conjugate observables simultaneously.

LB (Fun rote - con also de AEAt) - But e.g. Apr sy has so restriction, these are independent. In our example, $\Delta \propto \Delta p = \frac{L}{2} \frac{\lambda}{4} = \frac{\lambda}{4} > \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}$ · The single slit diffraction is a good example of this: If we measure x of a photon by passing through a shit, we lose Px information and it spreads out along x. Norrower slit -> wide momentum spread -> wide diffraction peak. - Conclusions: · Wave - particle Judity. Diffraction but also grantised particles

· Wave-like particles mean grantisation of energy in a bound system EXIZ N ID well, in Haton - energy levels depend on the Shape of the potential Heisenberg Unsertainty Principle