# Notes: New gaugings in 3d from three-form

July 22, 2022

Three-form field-strengths are auxiliary in three dimensions and can be integrated out, giving rise to additional gaugings and contributions to the scalar potential, or equivalently leading to new terms in the embedding tensor (see ref. [1,2] for explicit realisations in half-maximal supergravity). We want to generalize this to maximal supergravity. For a given  $E_{8(8)}$  consistent truncations of gauge group  $G_0$ , we ask the question whether it is possible to turn on additional components in the embedding tensor (corresponding to three-form degrees of freedom). If so, the new consistent truncation has gauging  $G \supset G_0$ . We first need to identify which components of the embedding tensor correspond to these degrees of freedom, and to count the number of singlets under  $G_0$  within them. There will be at least one singlet, corresponding to the gauging of  $G_0$  in the initial truncation. ( (ce: What if the original truncation uses components of the embedding tensor not related to three-forms?)) Any additional singlet would indicate additional parameters to play with, possibly leading to new vacua.

## 1 AdS<sub>3</sub> $\times$ S<sup>3</sup>: a proof of concept

We start by reproducing the analysis of ref. [2] to prove the validity of our method. They are two different half-maximal supergravities in six dimensions, with  $\mathcal{N} = (2,0)$  and  $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ . They both admit consistent truncation on  $S^3$  [3,4], leading to half-maximal gauged supergravity in three dimensions with duality group SO(8,4). These truncations have isometry group  $SO(4) \times SO(4)$  and are constructed in terms of two different SO(3,3) subgroups of SO(8,4):

$$\mathcal{N} = (2,0): \qquad \mathrm{SO}(8,4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3,3)_{(2,0)} \times \mathrm{SO}(5,1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3,3)_{(2,0)} \times \mathrm{SO}(5), \tag{1.1a}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = (1,1): \quad SO(8,4) \longrightarrow SO(4,4) \times SO(4) \longrightarrow SO(3,3)_{(1,1)} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times SO(4).$$
 (1.1b)

#### 1.1 $\mathcal{N} = (2,0)$ six-dimensional supergravity

**6d origin of the three-forms** The  $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$  supergravity features 5 self-dual two-forms  $\hat{B}^i_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  ( $i\in [1,5]$  is the SO(5) vector index). They lead to two-form potentials  $B^i_{(2)}$  in 3d, with three-form field strengths dual to purely internal three-form field-strengths  $H^i_{(3)}$ .

(ce: Should we consider the anti-self dual two-form also?)

 $B_{(2)}^{i}$  in  $SO(3,3)_{(2,0)} \times SO(5)$  The coordinates  $X^{[MN]}$  of the SO(8,4) exceptional field theory of ref. [3] sit in the adjoint **66** of SO(8,4). Under eq. (1.1a), it decomposes into

$$\mathbf{66} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{10}). \tag{1.2}$$

 $\leftarrow$ 

Further decomposing  $SO(3,3)_{(2,0)} \times SO(5) \to SL(3) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times SO(5)$ , we get

$$66 \longrightarrow [(\bar{\mathbf{3}}_{2}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{3}_{-2}, \mathbf{1})] \oplus [(\mathbf{3}_{-2}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}_{2}, \mathbf{5})] \oplus [(\mathbf{3}_{4}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}_{0}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{8}_{0}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}_{-4}, \mathbf{1})] \\ \oplus (\mathbf{1}_{0}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}_{0}, \mathbf{10}).$$
 (1.3)

The internal coordinates  $y^m$  then sit in the representation  $(\mathbf{3}_4, \mathbf{1})$  and the two-form potentials  $B^i_{(2)}$  in  $(\mathbf{3}_{-2}, \mathbf{5})$ , they thus originate from the SO $(3, 3)_{(2,0)} \times SO(5)$  representations  $(\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1})$  and  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{5})$ , respectively.

 $H_{(3)}^i$  in  $SO(3,3)_{(2,0)} \times SO(5)$ 

$$H_{(3)}^{i} \subset (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{5}) = (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\mathbf{\overline{10}}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (\mathbf{64}, \mathbf{5}).$$
 (1.4)

 $H^i_{(3)}$  in the embedding tensor The embedding tensor of the SO(8,4) exceptional theory has two different components:  $\theta_{(MN)} \subset 77$  and  $\theta_{[MNPQ]} \subset 495$ . It decomposes as follows under SO(8,4)  $\rightarrow$  SO(3,3)<sub>(2,0)</sub>  $\times$  SO(5):

$$77 \longrightarrow 2 \times (1,1) \oplus (6,1) \oplus (6,5) \oplus (20',1) \oplus (1,5) \oplus (1,14),$$

$$495 \longrightarrow (10,1) \oplus (10,5) \oplus (\bar{10},1) \oplus (\bar{10},5) \oplus (15,1) \oplus (1,5) \oplus (1,10)$$

$$\oplus (6,10) \oplus (6,\bar{10}) \oplus (15,5) \oplus (15,10),$$

$$(1.5)$$

where the colored representations are those coming from the three-forms. Only  $(\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{\overline{10}}, \mathbf{5})$  feature singlets under the isometry group  $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ . One of these support the  $S^3$  reduction, while the other can be turned on, leading to new solutions as first demonstrated in ref. [3,4]. All singlets sit in  $\theta_{MNPQ}$ .

#### 1.2 $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ six-dimensional supergravity

**6d origin of the three-forms** The  $\mathcal{N}=(1,1)$  supergravity features a two-form  $\hat{B}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  Both  $\hat{B}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  and its dual lead to two-form potentials in 3d. Their three-form field strengths are dual to purely internal three-form field-strengths  $H_{(3)}$  and  $*H_{(3)}$ , of two-form potentials  $C^1_{(2)}$  and  $C^2_{(2)}$ .

 $C^1_{(2)}$  and  $C^2_{(2)}$  in  $SO(4,4) \times SO(4)$  Under  $SO(8,4) \longrightarrow SO(4,4) \times SO(4)$ , the coordinates  $X^{[MN]}$  decompose into

$$66 \longrightarrow 1^{(3,1)} \oplus 1^{(1,3)} \oplus 8_{\mathbf{v}}^{(2,2)} \oplus 28^{(1,1)}.$$
 (1.6)

The internal coordinates and the two-form potentials are singlets under the SO(4) factor, which is related the 6d vectors only. They thus all belong to the representation  $28^{(1,1)}$ .

 $H_{(3)}$  and  $*H_{(3)}$  in  $SO(4,4) \times SO(4)$ 

$$H_{(3)}, *H_3 \subset \mathbf{28^{(1,1)}} \otimes \mathbf{28^{(1,1)}} = \mathbf{1^{(1,1)}} \oplus \mathbf{28^{(1,1)}} \oplus \mathbf{35_v^{(1,1)}} \oplus \mathbf{35_s^{(1,1)}} \oplus \mathbf{35_c^{(1,1)}} \oplus \mathbf{300^{(1,1)}} \oplus \mathbf{350^{(1,1)}}.$$
 (1.7)

 $H_{(3)}$  and  $*H_{(3)}$  in the embedding tensor

$$77 \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{1}^{(3,3)} \oplus \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(2,2)} \oplus \mathbf{35}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(1,1)},$$

$$495 \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(2,2)} \oplus 2\mathbf{8}^{(1,3)} \oplus 2\mathbf{8}^{(3,1)} \oplus \mathbf{35}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{35}_{\mathbf{c}}^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{56}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(2,2)}.$$

$$(1.8)$$

The singlets certainly gauge the trombone symmetry. Each 35 feature  $SO(4) \times SO(4)$  singlets, but (ce:  $\Leftarrow$  less clear how to precisely relate to ref. [2]. Need to consider quadratic constraint?)

### 2 Truncations within $SL(8)_{IIB} \subset E_{8(8)}$

We analyse here whether the type IIB  $S^7$  truncation of ref. [5] admit additional gaugings.

10d origin of the three-forms Both the 10d two-forms  $\hat{C}^{\alpha}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  ( $\alpha \in 1, 2$  for SL(2) doublet) and the 10d four-form  $\hat{C}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}$  give two-form potentials  $C^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$  and  $C_{\mu\nu mn}$  in 3d ( $m, n \in [1, 7]$  are SL(7) indices). Their three-form field-strengths are dual to purely internal seven-form and five-form field-strengths  $H^{\alpha}_{(7)}$  and  $H_{(5)}$ , respectively. We note  $C^{\alpha}_{(6)}$  and  $C_{(4)}$  the six-form and four-form potentials from which they derive.

 $C_{(6)}^{\alpha}$  and  $C_{(4)}$  in  $SL(8)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$  In the  $E_{8(8)} \to SL(7) \times SL(2) \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$  decomposition

$$\mathbf{248} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1})_{12} \oplus (\mathbf{\bar{7}}, \mathbf{2})_{9} \oplus (\mathbf{\bar{35}}, \mathbf{1})_{6} \oplus (\mathbf{21}, \mathbf{2})_{3} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{0} \oplus (\mathbf{48}, \mathbf{1})_{0} \\ \oplus (\mathbf{\bar{21}}, \mathbf{2})_{-3} \oplus (\mathbf{35}, \mathbf{1})_{-6} \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{2})_{-9} \oplus (\mathbf{\bar{7}}, \mathbf{1})_{-12}$$

$$(2.1)$$

of the  $E_{8(8)}$  coordinates  $X^M$ ,  $C^{\alpha}_{(6)}$  and  $C_{(4)}$  sit in the representations  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{2})_{-9}$  and  $(\mathbf{35}, \mathbf{1})_{-6}$ , respectively. They originate from the representations  $\mathbf{8}_3 \oplus \mathbf{63}_0$  and  $\mathbf{56}_1$  in  $E_{8(8)} \to SL(9) \to SL(8)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  decomposition

$$\mathbf{248} \longrightarrow \mathbf{80} \oplus \mathbf{84} \oplus \mathbf{\bar{84}} \longrightarrow [\mathbf{8}_3 \oplus \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbf{63}_0 \oplus \mathbf{\bar{8}}_{-3}] \oplus [\mathbf{56}_1 \oplus \mathbf{28}_{-2}] \oplus [\mathbf{\bar{28}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{\bar{56}}_{-1}], \tag{2.2}$$

whereas the internal coordinates are in the representation  $\bar{28}_2$ .

(ce: Give further details?)

 $H_{(7)}^{\alpha}$  and  $H_{(5)}$  in  $SL(8)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$  Now that we know what are the  $SL(8)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$  representations corresponding to the internal coordinates,  $C_{(6)}^{\alpha}$  and  $C_{(4)}$ , we can infer those of  $H_{(7)}^{\alpha}$  and  $H_{(5)}$ :

$$H_{(7)}^{\alpha} \subset \mathbf{\bar{28}}_2 \otimes (\mathbf{8}_3 \oplus \mathbf{63}_0) = \mathbf{\bar{8}}_5 \oplus \mathbf{2\bar{16}}_5 \oplus \mathbf{\bar{28}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{\bar{36}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{4\bar{20}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{1\bar{280}}_2,$$
  
 $H_{(5)} \subset \mathbf{\bar{28}}_2 \otimes \mathbf{56}_1 = \mathbf{8}_3 \oplus \mathbf{216}_3 \oplus \mathbf{1344}_3.$  (2.3)

 $H_{(7)}^{\alpha}$  and  $H_{(5)}$  in the embedding tensor Under  $E_{8(8)} \to SL(9) \to SL(8)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , the  $E_{8(8)}$  embedding tensor decomposes as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{3875} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{80} \oplus \mathbf{240} \oplus \mathbf{2\bar{4}0} \oplus \mathbf{1050} \oplus \mathbf{1\bar{050}} \oplus \mathbf{1215} \\ &\longrightarrow [\mathbf{8}_3 \oplus \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbf{63}_0 \oplus \bar{\mathbf{8}}_{-3}] \oplus [\mathbf{168}_1 \oplus \mathbf{28}_{-2} \oplus \mathbf{36}_{-2} \oplus \mathbf{8}_{-5}] \oplus [\bar{\mathbf{8}}_5 \oplus \mathbf{2\bar{8}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{3\bar{6}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{1\bar{6}8}_{-1}] \\ &\oplus [\mathbf{70}_4 \oplus \mathbf{56}_1 \oplus \mathbf{504}_1 \oplus \mathbf{420}_{-2}] \oplus [\mathbf{4\bar{2}0}_2 \oplus \mathbf{5\bar{6}}_{-1} \oplus \mathbf{5\bar{0}4}_{-2} \oplus \mathbf{7\bar{0}}_{-4}] \oplus [\mathbf{216}_3 \oplus \mathbf{63}_0 \oplus \mathbf{720}_0 \oplus \mathbf{2\bar{1}6}_{-3}] \,. \end{split}$$

The coloured representations are those that can originate from  $H_{(7)}^{\alpha}$  and  $H_{(5)}$ , *i.e.* those in common with eq. (2.3). Among them, only the  $\bar{\bf 36}_2$  features a singlet under SO(8)<sub>IIB</sub>. This is the singlet used in ref. [5] to support the  $S^7$  truncation, which thus do not admit further gaugings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The internal coordinates  $y^m$  are in the representation  $(7,1)_{12}$ , and  $\bar{7}^{\wedge 4} = 35$  and  $\bar{7}^{\wedge 6} = 7$ .

## 3 Truncations within $SL(8)_{IIA} \subset E_{8(8)}$

Can be seen as further reduction of four dimensional  $E_{7(7)}$  solutions on a circle. Under  $E_{8(8)} \to E_{7(7)} \times SL(2) \to E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\mathbf{248} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{56}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{133}, \mathbf{3}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_2 \oplus \mathbf{56}_1 \oplus \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbf{133}_0 \oplus \mathbf{56}_{-1} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-2}. \tag{3.1}$$

The  $E_{7(7)}$  coordinates are in the  $\mathbf{56}_1$ , and  $C_{(6)}$  in  $\mathbf{56}_{-1}$  (11d  $\hat{C}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}$  leads to  $C_{\mu\nu m}$  in 3d,  $H_{\mu\nu\rho m}$  dual to purely internal  $H_{(7)}$  of potential  $C_{(6)}$ ). Then,

$$H_{(7)} \subset \mathbf{56}_1 \otimes \mathbf{56}_{-1} = \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbf{133}_0 \oplus \mathbf{1463}_0 \oplus \mathbf{1539}_0.$$
 (3.2)

Under  $E_{8(8)} \to E_{7(7)} \times SL(2) \to E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , the  $E_{8(8)}$  embedding tensor decomposes as

$$3875 \longrightarrow 133_2 \oplus 56_1 \oplus 912_1 \oplus 1_0 \oplus 133_0 \oplus 1539_0 \oplus 56_{-1} \oplus 912_{-1} \oplus 133_{-2}.$$
 (3.3)

(ce: A priori the  $912_1$  is the 4d embedding tensor, which should support the 4d gaugings,  $\Leftarrow$  so we need only one singlet in three-form.)

Under  $E_{7(7)} \to SL(8)_{IIA}$ :

$$133 \longrightarrow 63 \oplus 70,$$

$$1539 \longrightarrow 63 \oplus 378 \oplus 3\overline{7}8 \oplus 720.$$

$$(3.4)$$

No  $SO(8)_{IIA}$  singlet other that 1.

## $4 ext{ } S^1$ reductions of 4d dyonic gaugings

Dyonic truncations from type II supergravities to four-dimensional gauged supergravity have been constructed in ref. [6] with  $E_{7(7)}$  exceptional field theory. Here, we further compactify on a circle and study whether it is possible to turn on additional gauging in three dimensions. Schematically:

Type IIA/B 
$$S^{7-p} \times S^{p-1}$$
 4d gauged  $S^1$  3d gauged supergravity  $SL(8-p) \times SL(p)$  supergravity  $SL(2)$  supergravity  $S^{7-p} \times S^{p-1} \times S^1$  
$$SL(8-p) \times SL(p) \times SL(2)$$
 (4.1)

The truncation from 10d to 3d is constructed using  $E_{8(8)}$  exceptional field theory, with isometries embedded as  $SL(8-p) \times SL(p) \times SL(2) \subset E_{7(7)} \times SL(2) \subset E_{8(8)}$ . Under  $E_{8(8)} \to E_{7(7)} \times SL(2)$ , the ExFT coordinates decompose as

$$248 \longrightarrow (1,3) \oplus (56,2) \oplus (133,3).$$
 (4.2)

The  $E_{7(7)}$  coordinates sit in  $(\mathbf{56}, \mathbf{2})$ . (ce: Further review ref. [6]? At least coordinates and cie.  $\Leftarrow$  Details of IIA and IIB embeddings of forms?)

#### $4.1 S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$

In ref. [6], a truncation from type IIB to 4d on  $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$  has been constructed. The type IIB two-forms  $\hat{C}^{\alpha}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  ( $\alpha$  denotes a SL(2)<sub>IIB</sub> doublet) lead to seven-form field-strengths  $H^{\alpha}_{(7)} = \mathrm{d}C^{\alpha}_{(6)}$ . We search for singlets within  $H^{\alpha}_{(7)}$  on  $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ . Coordinates  $\{y^m\}$  on  $S^3 \times S^3$ , z on  $S^1$ . Choice of gauge such that  $H^{\alpha}_{(7)}$  given by

$$\partial_z C_{mnpars}^{\alpha}$$
. (4.3)

Thus, we have to search for singlets in  $C_{(6)}^{\alpha}$ , built on  $S^3 \times S^3$  only. (ce: Is the choice of gauge always possible?)

We first have to identify how  $SL(2)_{IIB}$  is embedded in  $E_{7(7)}$  (which will help us to identify  $SL(2)_{IIB}$  doublets like  $C^{\alpha}_{(6)}$ ). To do so, we first follow ref. [6], where the internal coordinates  $\{y^m\}$  are embedded into  $E_{7(7)}$  following the decomposition  $E_{7(7)} \longrightarrow SL(6) \times SL(2)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}^+_{IIB}$ :

$$\mathbf{56} \to (\mathbf{6'}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{20}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{6'}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_4,$$
 (4.4)

with coordinates in  $(\mathbf{6'}, \mathbf{1})_{-4}$ . To build the truncation on  $S^3 \times S^3$ , we are rather interested in the decomposition under  $E_{7(7)} \longrightarrow SL(4)_1 \times SL(4)_2 \times \mathbb{R}^+_{SL(8)}$  (through SL(8)):

$$\mathbf{56} \to [(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})_{-2}] \oplus [(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})_2 \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \bar{\mathbf{4}})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_{-2}]. \tag{4.5}$$

We compare these two decompositions by further decomposing under

(i): 
$$E_{7(7)} \longrightarrow SL(6) \times SL(2)_{IIB} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{IIB} \longrightarrow SL(3)_{1} \times SL(3)_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{SL(6)} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{SL(2)_{IIB}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{IIB},$$
  
(ii):  $E_{7(7)} \longrightarrow SL(4)_{1} \times SL(4)_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{SL(8)} \longrightarrow SL(3)_{1} \times SL(3)_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{SL(8)}.$  (4.6)

We get the following:

$$56 \xrightarrow{(i)} \left[ (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{-1,0,-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{1,0,-4} \right] \oplus \left[ (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{1,1,-2} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{1,-1,-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{-1,1,-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{-1,1,-2} \right] \\
\oplus \left[ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{3,0,0} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-3,0,0} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{-1,0,0} \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3})_{1,0,0} \right] \\
\oplus \left[ (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{-1,1,2} \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{-1,-1,2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{1,1,2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{1,-1,2} \right] \oplus \left[ (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{1,0,4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{-1,0,4} \right], \\
\mathbf{56} \xrightarrow{(ii)} \left[ (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{2,0,2} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2,0,2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-3,-3,0} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{-3,1,0} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{1,-3,0} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_{1,1,0} \\
\oplus (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{0,2,-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{0,-2,-2} \right] \oplus \left[ (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{0,2,2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{0,-2,2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{3,3,0} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{3,-1,0} \\
\oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{-1,3,0} \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})_{-1,-1,0} \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{2,0,-2} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2,0,-2} \right].$$

$$(4.7)$$

From these decompositions we extract the relations between the charges:

$$\begin{cases}
q_{\text{SL}(6)} = -\frac{1}{2} (q_{\text{SL}(3)_1} + q_{\text{SL}(3)_2}), \\
q_{\text{SL}(2)_{\text{IIB}}} = \frac{1}{4} (q_{\text{SL}(3)_1} - q_{\text{SL}(3)_2} + q_{\text{SL}(8)}), \\
q_{\text{IIB}} = \frac{1}{2} (-q_{\text{SL}(3)_1} + q_{\text{SL}(3)_2} + 3 q_{\text{SL}(8)}).
\end{cases} (4.8)$$

So the coordinates are inside  $(\mathbf{6},\mathbf{1})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{6})_2$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(4)_1 \times \mathrm{SL}(4)_2 \times \mathbb{R}^+_{\mathrm{SL}(8)}$ . (ce: Problem with  $\mathbf{3},\mathbf{\bar{3}}$ ?  $\leftarrow$  Comes from identification  $\mathbf{6}' = \mathbf{\bar{6}}$ ?)

We now turn to the six-form potential  $C_{(6)}^{\alpha}$ , which sits in the representation 133 of  $E_{7(7)}$ . Under  $E_{7(7)} \to SL(8)$ , it decomposes as 133  $\to$  63  $\oplus$  70, and

$$SL(8) \longrightarrow SL(4) \times SL(4) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$$

$$\mathbf{63} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{4}})_{2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{15})_{0} \oplus (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1})_{0} \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{4})_{-2}$$

$$\mathbf{70} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{4} \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{4})_{2} \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6})_{0} \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{4}})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-4}.$$

$$(4.9)$$

Given that  $C_{(6)}^{\alpha}$  is a  $SL(2)_{IIB}$  doublet of fixed  $R_{IIB}^{+}$  charge, let us spell out the charges explicitly. In 63:

$$SL(4) \times SL(4) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow SL(3) \times SL(3) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{SL(2)_{IIB}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{IIB}$$

$$(4, \bar{4})_{2} \longrightarrow (1, 1)_{-1,6} \oplus (1, \bar{3})_{0,4} \oplus (3, 1)_{0,4} \oplus (3, \bar{3})_{1,2}$$

$$(1, 1)_{0} \longrightarrow (1, 1)_{0,0}$$

$$(1, 15)_{0} \longrightarrow (1, 1)_{0,0} \oplus (1, 3)_{-1,2} \oplus (1, \bar{3})_{1,-2} \oplus (1, 8)_{0,0}$$

$$(15, 1)_{0} \longrightarrow (1, 1)_{0,0} \oplus (3, 1)_{1,-2} \oplus (\bar{3}, 1)_{-1,2} \oplus (8, 1)_{0,0}$$

$$(\bar{4}, 4)_{-2} \longrightarrow (1, 1)_{1,-6} \oplus (1, 3)_{0,-4} \oplus (\bar{3}, 1)_{0,-4} \oplus (\bar{3}, 3)_{-1,-2}.$$

$$(4.10)$$

In **70**:

$$SL(4) \times SL(4) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow SL(3) \times SL(3) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{SL(2)_{IIB}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{IIB}$$

$$(1,1)_{4} \longrightarrow (1,1)_{1,6}$$

$$(\bar{4},4)_{2} \longrightarrow (1,1)_{2,0} \oplus (1,3)_{1,2} \oplus (\bar{3},1)_{1,2} \oplus (\bar{3},3)_{0,4}$$

$$(6,6)_{0} \longrightarrow (3,3)_{0,0} \oplus (3,\bar{3})_{-1,2} \oplus (\bar{3},3)_{1,-2} \oplus (\bar{3},\bar{3})_{0,0}$$

$$(4,\bar{4})_{-2} \longrightarrow (1,1)_{-2,0} \oplus (1,\bar{3})_{-1,-2} \oplus (3,1)_{-1,-2} \oplus (3,\bar{3})_{0,-4}$$

$$(1,1)_{-4} \longrightarrow (1,1)_{-1,-6}$$

$$(4.11)$$

 $SO(3) \times SO(3)$  singlets  $SL(2)_{IIB}$  doublets and of given  $R_{IIB}^+$  charge are given by

$$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{1,6} \oplus (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1,6} \subset (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{4},\bar{\mathbf{4}})_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{1,-6} \oplus (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1,-6} \subset (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{4}},\mathbf{4})_{-2}, \quad (4.12)$$

where we wrote embeddings of  $SL(3) \times SL(3) \times \mathbb{R}^+_{SL(2)_{IIB}} \times \mathbb{R}^+_{IIB}$  in  $SL(4) \times SL(4) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . These doublets correspond to  $C^{\alpha}_{(6)}$  and the analogous  $C^{(6)\alpha}$ . So

$$C_{(6)}^{\alpha} \subset (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{4}})_2. \tag{4.13}$$

(ce: But no 
$$(4, \bar{4})$$
 in  $((6,1)_2 \oplus (1,6)_{-2})^{\wedge 6}...$ )

 $4.2 \ S^{7-p} \times S^{p-1}$ 

## A Miniminal $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$ six-dimensional supergravity

We consider reductions within the minimal  $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$  six-dimensional supergravity, leading to duality group SO(4,4) in three dimensions, from which the half-maximal cases  $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$  and  $\mathcal{N} = (2,0)$  can be deduced (in those cases the duality group in three dimensions in SO(8,4)).

The coordinates  $X^{[MN]}$  of the SO(p,q) exceptional field theory of ref. [3] sit in the adjoint **28** of SO(4,4). Under  $SO(4,4) \to SO(3,3) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , it decomposes into

$$\mathbf{28} \longrightarrow \mathbf{6}_2 \oplus \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbf{15}_0 \oplus \mathbf{6}_{-2}, 
X^{MN} \longrightarrow \{Y^{A0}, Y^0_0, Y^{[AB]}, Y^A_0\}.$$
(A.1)

Further decomposing  $SO(4,4) \to SO(3,3) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to SL(3) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , we get

$$\mathbf{28} \longrightarrow [\mathbf{\bar{3}}_{2,2} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{-2,2}] \oplus \mathbf{1}_{0,0} \oplus [\mathbf{3}_{4,0} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{0,0} \oplus \mathbf{8}_{0,0} \oplus \mathbf{\bar{3}}_{-4,0}] \oplus [\mathbf{\bar{3}}_{2,-2} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{-2,-2}]. \tag{A.2}$$

They are two physically different possibilities to embed the internal coordinates in  $X^{MN}$ , corresponding to the two different half-maximal supergravities in six dimensions:<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{N} = (1,1): \qquad y^m = Y^{m0} \subset \mathbf{\bar{3}}_{2,2} \longleftarrow \mathbf{6}_2,$$

$$\mathcal{N} = (2,0): \qquad \tilde{y}_m = \varepsilon_{mnp} Y^{np} \subset \mathbf{3}_{4,0} \longleftarrow \mathbf{15}_0.$$
(A.3)

**6d origin of the three-forms** The  $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$  supergravity features a two-form  $\hat{B}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ . Both  $\hat{B}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$  and its dual lead to two-form potentials in 3d. Their three-form field strengths are dual to purely internal three-form field-strengths  $H_{(3)}$  and  $*H_{(3)}$ , of two-form potentials  $C^1_{(2)}$  and  $C^2_{(2)}$ .

 $C^1_{(2)}$  and  $C^2_{(2)}$  in  $SO(3,3) \times \mathbb{R}_+$  Given the decomposition (A.2) and the coordinates (A.3), the two forms sit in the following representations:

$$\mathcal{N} = (1,1): \qquad C_{(2)}^1 \subset \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{-4,0} \longleftarrow \mathbf{15}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(2)}^2 \subset \mathbf{3}_{4,0} \longleftarrow \mathbf{15}_0, 
\mathcal{N} = (2,0): \qquad C_{(2)}^1 \subset \mathbf{3}_{-2,2} \longleftarrow \mathbf{6}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(2)}^2 \subset \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2,2} \longleftarrow \mathbf{6}_2.$$
(A.4)

 $H_{(3)}$  and  $*H_{(3)}$  in  $\mathrm{SO}(3,3) imes \mathbb{R}_+$ 

$$\mathcal{N} = (1,1): H_{(3)}, *H_{(3)} \subset \mathbf{6}_2 \otimes \mathbf{15}_0 = \mathbf{6}_2 \oplus \mathbf{10}_2 \oplus \mathbf{\overline{10}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{64}_2, 
\mathcal{N} = (2,0): H_{(7)}, *H_{(7)} \subset \mathbf{10}_0 \otimes \mathbf{6}_2 = \mathbf{6}_2 \oplus \mathbf{10}_2 \oplus \mathbf{\overline{10}}_2 \oplus \mathbf{64}_2,$$
(A.5)

 $H_{(3)}$  and  $*H_{(3)}$  in the embedding tensor The embedding tensor of the SO(4,4) exceptional theory has two different components:  $\theta_{[MNPQ]} \subset \mathbf{35_s} \oplus \mathbf{35_c}$  and  $\theta_{(MN)} \subset \mathbf{35_v}$ . It decomposes as follows under SO(4,4)  $\to$  SO(3,3)  $\times \mathbb{R}_+$ :

$$\mathbf{35_{v}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_{4} \oplus \mathbf{6}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{0} \oplus \mathbf{20}_{0}' \oplus \mathbf{6}_{-2} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-4},$$

$$\mathbf{35_{s}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{10}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{15}_{0} \oplus \mathbf{\overline{10}}_{-2},$$

$$\mathbf{35_{c}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\overline{10}}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{15}_{0} \oplus \mathbf{10}_{-2},$$
(A.6)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The choices are equivalent in SO(4,4) (through triality), but different once embedded in SO(8,4).

where the colored representations are those coming from the three-forms. Only  $\mathbf{10}_2$  and  $\mathbf{\overline{10}}_2$  feature SO(4) singlets. (ce: So no distinction between (1,1) and (2,0)? Because triality, or I made errors?)

#### References

- [1] N. S. Deger, H. Samtleben, O. Sarioglu and D. Van den Bleeken, A supersymmetric reduction on the three-sphere, Nucl. Phys. **B890**, 350 (2015), 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.11.014 [1410.7168].
- [2] C. Eloy, G. Larios and H. Samtleben, Triality and the consistent reductions on  $AdS_3 \times S^3$ , JHEP **01**, 055 (2022), 10.1007/JHEP01(2022)055 [2111.01167].
- [3] O. Hohm, E. T. Musaev and H. Samtleben, O(d+1, d+1) enhanced double field theory, JHEP  $\mathbf{10}(10)$ , 086~(2017),  $10.1007/\mathrm{JHEP10}(2017)086~[1707.06693]$ .
- [4] H. Samtleben and O. Sarioglu, Consistent  $S^3$  reductions of six-dimensional supergravity, Phys. Rev. D  $\mathbf{100}(8)$ , 0.86002 (2019), 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.086002 [1907.08413].
- [5] M. Galli and E. Malek, Consistent truncations to 3-dimensional supergravity (2022), [2206.03507].
- [6] G. Inverso, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, Type II supergravity origin of dyonic gaugings, Phys. Rev. D95(6), 066020 (2017), 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.066020 [1612.05123].