Adding fluxes to consistent truncations: IIB supergravity on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$

Camille Eloy^{a1}, Michele Galli^{b2}, Emanuel Malek^{b3}

^a Theoretische Natuurkunde, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, and the Interational Solvay, Institutes, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

> b Institut f\u00fcr Physik, Humboldt-Universit\u00e4t zu Berlin, IRIS Geb\u00e4ude, Zum Gro\u00eden Windkanal 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

We use $E_{8(8)}$ Exceptional Field Theory to construct the consistent truncation of IIB supergravity on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ to maximal 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity containing the $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ AdS₃ vacuum. We explain how to achieve this by adding a 7-form flux to the S^1 reduction of the dyonic $E_{7(7)}$ truncation on $S^3 \times S^3$ previously constructed in the literature. Our truncation Ansatz includes, in addition to the $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ vacuum, a host of moduli breaking some or all of the supersymmetries. We explicitly construct the uplift of a subset of these to construct new supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric AdS₃ vacua of IIB string theory, which include a range of fully perturbatively stable non-supersymmetric cases. Moreover, we show how the supersymmetric direction of the moduli space of AdS₃ vacua of six-dimensional gauged supergravity studied in [1] is compactified upon lifting to 10 dimensions. Along the way, we also derive the form of 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity in terms of the embedding tensor and rule out a 10-/11-dimensional origin of some 3-dimensional gauged supergravities.

¹camille.eloy@vub.be

²michele.galli@physik.hu-berlin.de

³emanuel.malek@physik.hu-berlin.de

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Review of $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory	3
3	Consistent truncations to 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity	5
4	Deriving the potential of $\mathcal{N} = 16$ gauged supergravity 4.1 Ruling out gaugings	6 9
5	Adding fluxes to consistent truncations	9
	5.1 Adding fluxes to the S^3 truncation of 6-dimensional supergravity	10
	5.1.1 The S^3 twist matrix	10
	5.1.2 Adding flux	
	5.2 Adding flux to the $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ truncation of IIB supergravity	
	5.2.1 The $S^3 \times S^3$ truncation	13
	5.2.2 The $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ truncation	
	5.2.3 Adding flux	14
6	Moduli of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$	16
	6.1 The undeformed $\mathcal{N} = (4,4) \text{ AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1 \text{ solution } \dots \dots \dots \dots$	16
	6.2 Moduli in gauged supergravity	17
	6.3 Moduli in 10 dimensions	18
	6.4 Compactification of the moduli space	21
7	Conclusions	22
\mathbf{A}	Representations of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$	23

To do:

- Think about title mention new AdS₃ vacua? Lifting AdS₃ vacua?
- Comment earlier on uplift of 6d gSUGRA
- Add references to introduction
- Complex geometry of moduli space?
- Compare with classification known in literature, e.g. in IIA (and IIB Jerome's story)
- Email DW and MP to ask who we should cite for (5.1)

1 Introduction

Consistent truncations are a powerful technique that simplifies the dynamics of 10-/11-dimensional supergravity by focusing on a restricted subset of fields. The key principle behind a consistent truncation is to truncate to a subsector of fields, such that all solutions of the truncated theory correspond to solutions of the original 10-/11-dimensional supergravity theory. By considering only a, typically finite, subset of fields, consistent truncations provide a powerful tool to find complicated new 10-/11-dimensional supergravity solutions and to study their deformations. Consistent truncations have proven particularly powerful in the AdS/CFT correspondence, since all well-understood AdS vacua of string theory do not admit scale-separation and, thus, cannot be studied using the usual tools of lower-dimensional effective theories.

Exceptional Field Theory (ExFT) is a reformulation of 10-/11-dimensional supergravity that unifies the metric and flux degrees of freedom and thereby makes manifest an exceptional symmetry group¹. Over the last decade, this has proven an extremely useful tool for constructing consistent truncations, leading to a number of new examples preserving various amounts of supersymmetry [2–18]. However, consistent truncations to three dimensions have, until recently [19], remained largely unexplored. One reason is that the $E_{8(8)}$ ExFT requires modifications for its local symmetry structure, i.e. the generalised Lie derivative, to close into an algebra [20,21]. Another is that there are no maximally supersymmetric AdS₃ vacua, i.e. preserving 32 supercharges, of string theory, leaving no natural candidate for constructing a consistent truncation with vacua. Indeed, while the consistent truncations of 11-dimensional supergravity on S^7 and S^4 and of 10-dimensional supergravity on S^5 contain maximally supersymmetric AdS vacua and are captured by a universal Ansatz in ExFT [2,3], the analogous S^8 truncation of 11-dimensional supergravity does not exist, while there are two S^7 truncations of 10-dimensional supergravity but neither contains a maximally symmetric vacuum [19,22].

Nonetheless, there are half-maximal, i.e. $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$, supersymmetric AdS₃ vacua of string theory that are extremely intriguing. These are the AdS₃ × S^3 × S^3 × S^1 and AdS₃ × S^3 × T^4 of IIB string theory (realising the "large" and "small" $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ superconformal symmetries), and, unlike in higher dimensions, can be supported by pure NS-NS flux. Not only does this mean that there are also heterotic versions of these vacua (preserving $\mathcal{N}=(4,0)$ supersymmetry), but also that they can be readily studied via the string worldsheet CFT [23–26]. Moreover, the string sigma

¹For the purposes of this paper, we do not draw a distinction between ExFT and Exceptional Generalised Geometry, since these agree when the "section condition" is solved, which we will always assume here.

model in these vacua is also integrable []. Finally, the AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence has seen much progress recently, with many new holographic duals being established [].

Here we will focus on the $\mathcal{N} = (4,4) \text{ AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ vacuum of IIB string theory and show that it admits a consistent truncation to a maximal gauged supergravity, which was first studied in [27]. All vacua of this theory break at least half the supersymmetries, reflecting the absence of a maximally supersymmetric AdS₃ vacuum in string theory. Key to constructing this consistent truncation is to add new fluxes to the S^1 reduction of the consistent truncation of IIB supergravity on $S^3 \times S^3$ [11].

Using our consistent truncation, we will show that the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ has a rich moduli space of symmetry- and supersymmetry-breaking deformations, including some that are analogous to the "flat deformations" studied for $AdS_4 \times S^5 \times S^1$ [28–31]. While some of these deformations preserve some amounts of supersymmetry, others break all supersymmetries, yet, surprisingly, at least a subset of vacua continue to be perturbatively stable within IIB supergravity, similar to [31]. Our work also provides an uplift of 6-dimensional supergravity on S^3 studied in [1] to IIB string theory. As we will show, some deformations that appear non-compact in 6-dimensional supergravity [1] are compactified within the full 10-dimensional supergravity theory.

Another technical result of our work is the derivation of the potential of 3-dimensional gauged supergravity [32, 33] in terms of the embedding tensor, which was previously only expressed in terms of fermion shift matrices. As a by-product, we find that a constraint that must be obeyed by the embedding tensor of any 3-dimensional gauged supergravity that can be uplifted to 10-/11-dimensional supergravity. As we show, this allows us to prove a higher-dimensional origin of some 3-dimensional gaugings.

The outline of our paper is as follows. We begin with a review of $E_{8(8)}$ ExFT in section 2 and how to derive consistent truncations in this formalism in 3. In section 4 we derive the potential of 3-dimensional gauged supergravity in terms of the embedding tensor and prove the lack of higher-dimensional origin of some 3-dimensional theories. Then, we show how to add fluxes to consistent truncations in 5, allowing us to construct the consistent truncation of IIB supergravity on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$. Using this truncation, we study the moduli space of the AdS₃ vacua in section 6, before concluding in section 7.

2 Review of $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory

The $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory, first constructed in ref. [20], is an $E_{8(8)}$ duality-covariant formulation of type II and 11d supergravities. It is defined on a set of 3 + 248 coordinates made of three-dimensional external coordinates $\{x^{\mu}\}$ and internal coordinates $\{Y^{M}\}$ in the 248-dimensional adjoint representation of $E_{8(8)}$. The dependance of the fields on these coordinates is constrained by the "section constraints"

$$\begin{cases} \eta^{MN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0, \\ f^{MN}{}_P \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0, \\ (\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{MN}{}^{KL} \partial_K \otimes \partial_L = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

 $^{^2}$ We use the notation \otimes to indicate that both derivatives may act on different functions.

where f_{MN}^P are the structure constants of $E_{8(8)}$, $\eta_{MN} = \frac{1}{60} f_{MK}^L f_{NL}^K$ its Cartan-Killing metric and $(\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{MN}^{KL}$ is the projector on the representation **3875**:

$$(\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{MN}{}^{KL} = \frac{1}{7} \delta_{(M}{}^{K} \delta_{N)}{}^{L} - \frac{1}{56} \eta_{MN} \eta^{KL} - \frac{1}{14} f^{P}{}_{M}{}^{(K} f_{PN}{}^{L)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here and in the following, $E_{8(8)}$ indices are raised and lowered by the Cartan-Killing metric η_{MN} . The section constraints (2.1) ensure that the fields depends only on the 7- or 8-dimensional physical internal coordinates embedded in Y^M .

The theory describes the dynamics of the following bosonic fields:

$$\left\{g_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{M}_{MN}, A_{\mu}{}^{M}, B_{\mu M}\right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

with $g_{\mu\nu}$ the 3-dimensinal external metric, \mathcal{M}_{MN} the generalized metric parametrizing the coset space $E_{8(8)}/\mathrm{SO}(16)$ and the gauge fields $A_{\mu}{}^{M}$ and $B_{\mu M}$. It is a gauge theory, invariant under the generalized Lie derivative of parameters $\Upsilon = (\Lambda^{M}, \Sigma_{M})$, whose action on a vector V^{M} of weight λ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Upsilon}V^{M} = \Lambda^{N}\partial_{N}V^{M} - 60\left(\mathbb{P}_{248}\right)^{M}{}_{N}{}^{K}{}_{L}V^{N}\partial_{K}\Lambda^{L} + \lambda V^{M}\partial_{N}\Lambda^{N} + f^{MN}{}_{K}\Sigma_{N}V^{K}, \qquad (2.4)$$

with $(\mathbb{P}_{248})^M{}_N{}^K{}_L = (1/60)\,f^M{}_{NP}f^{PK}{}_L$ the projector on the adjoint representation. These transformations are well-defined (in particular, they close into an algebra) only if the parameters Σ_M and the fields $B_{\mu M}$ are covariantly constrained: they have to satisfy algebraic constraints similar to eq. (2.1) and be compatible with the partial derivatives. We require that

$$\begin{cases} \eta^{MN} C_M \otimes C'_N = 0, \\ f^{MN}{}_P C_M \otimes C'_N = 0, \\ (\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{MN}{}^{KL} C_K \otimes C'_L = 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall C_M, C'_M \in \{\partial_M, \Sigma_M, B_{\mu M}\}.$$
 (2.5)

The bosonic action of $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory is invariant under the transformations (2.4) and has the expression

$$S_{\text{ExFT}} = \int d^3x \, d^{248}Y \, \sqrt{|g|} \left(\widehat{R} + \frac{1}{240} \, D_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} D^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \, \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} \right). \tag{2.6}$$

 \widehat{R} is the $E_{8(8)}$ -covariantised Ricci scalar and the covariant derivative is defined as

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_{(A_{\mu}, B_{\mu})}. \tag{2.7}$$

 $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{int}}$ is a potential term depending only on internal derivatives, explicitly

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{240} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{KL} \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{KL} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{KL} \partial_L \mathcal{M}_{NK} - \frac{1}{7200} f^{NQ}_P f^{MS}_R \mathcal{M}^{PK} \partial_M \mathcal{M}_{QK} \mathcal{M}^{RL} \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{SL} + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} \partial_M g \partial_N \mathcal{M}^{MN} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MN} g^{-2} \partial_M g \partial_N g + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M g_{\mu\nu} \partial_N g^{\mu\nu} .$$
(2.8)

Finally, \mathcal{L}_{CS} is a Chern-Simons term required to impose the on-shell duality between scalar and vector fields, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} B_{\rho M} - f_{KL}{}^{N} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}{}^{K} \partial_{N} A_{\rho}{}^{L} - \frac{2}{3} f^{N}{}_{KL} \partial_{M} \partial_{N} A_{\mu}{}^{K} A_{\nu}{}^{M} A_{\rho}{}^{L} - \frac{1}{3} f_{MKL} f^{KP}{}_{Q} f^{LR}{}_{S} A_{\mu}{}^{M} \partial_{P} A_{\nu}{}^{Q} \partial_{R} A_{\rho}{}^{S} \right), \tag{2.9}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M}$ is the covariant field strength of $A_{\mu}{}^{M}$ (see eq. (2.26) of ref. [20]).

3 Consistent truncations to 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity

The $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory is well suited to the construction of consistent truncation of type II and 11d supergravities to three-dimensional maximal supergravity. These truncations arise as generalised Scherk-Schwarz reductions, described by an $E_{8(8)}$ -valued twist matrix $U_M^{\overline{M}}$ and a scale factor ρ . The truncation Ansätze are as follows [19]:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x,Y) = \rho(Y)^{-2} \mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) ,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y) = U_{M}^{\overline{M}}(Y) U_{N}^{\overline{N}}(Y) \mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}}(x) ,$$

$$A_{\mu}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho(Y)^{-1} (U^{-1})_{\overline{M}}^{M}(Y) \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\overline{M}}(x) ,$$

$$B_{\mu M}(x,Y) = \Sigma_{\overline{M}M}(Y) \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\overline{M}}(x) ,$$

$$(3.1)$$

with

$$\Sigma_{\overline{M}M} = \frac{\rho^{-1}}{60} f_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{PQ}} (U^{-1})_{\overline{P}P} \partial_M (U^{-1})_{\overline{Q}}^P.$$
(3.2)

The fields with flat indices \overline{M} (in the **248** representation of $E_{8(8)}$) belong to the three-dimensional theory; all the dependence on the internal manifold is factored out in $U_{\overline{M}}{}^{M}$ and ρ . Note that with the definition of $\Sigma_{\overline{M}M}$ the condition (2.5) is automatically satisfied.

The Ansätze (3.1) describe a consistent truncation if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{M}}}\mathcal{U}_{\overline{N}}^{M} = X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}}^{M}, \qquad (3.3)$$

with $\mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}{}^{M} = \rho^{-1} (U^{-1})_{\overline{M}}{}^{M}$, $\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{M}} = (\mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}, \Sigma_{\overline{M}})$ and $X_{\overline{MN}}{}^{\overline{P}}$ a constant tensor. This condition ensures that the factorised form of the Ansätze (3.1) is preserved by the generalized Lie derivative, e.g.

$$D_{\mu}\mathcal{M}(x,Y) = U_{M}^{\overline{M}}(Y) U_{N}^{\overline{N}}(Y) \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}}(x) ,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(A_{\mu},B_{\nu})} A_{\mu}(x,Y) = \rho(Y)^{-1} (U^{-1})_{\overline{M}}^{M}(Y) [\![\mathcal{A}_{\mu},\mathcal{A}_{\nu}]\!]^{\overline{M}}(x) ,$$
(3.4)

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}} = \partial_{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}} + 2\,\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\overline{P}}X_{\overline{P}(\overline{M}}^{\overline{Q}}\mathcal{M}_{\overline{N})\overline{Q}}, \quad \text{and} \quad [\![\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}]\!]^{\overline{M}} = X_{\overline{PQ}}^{\overline{M}}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\overline{P}}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{\overline{Q}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, for a given twist matrix $U_M^{\overline{M}}$ satisfying the consistency condition (3.3), the action (2.6) reduces to three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity [32, 33]. The constant tensor $X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}$

plays the role of the embedding tensor of the three-dimensional gauged supergravity, as is clear from its appearance in (3.5) in the gauge-covariant derivative and the gauge algebra of the vector fields. From eq. (3.3), it has the following expression in terms of the twist matrix and ρ

$$X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} = -\rho^{-1} \Gamma_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} + \rho^{-1} f^{\overline{P}}_{\overline{NQ}} f^{\overline{QK}}_{\overline{L}} \Gamma_{\overline{KM}}^{\overline{L}} - \frac{1}{60} \rho^{-1} f^{\overline{PK}}_{\overline{N}} f_{\overline{ML}}^{\overline{Q}} \Gamma_{\overline{KQ}}^{\overline{L}}$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} f^{\overline{P}}_{\overline{NQ}} f^{\overline{QK}}_{\overline{M}} \Gamma_{\overline{RK}}^{\overline{R}} + \left(\delta_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{P}} - \frac{1}{2} f_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{LK}} f_{\overline{NL}}^{\overline{P}} \right) \xi_{\overline{K}}.$$
(3.6)

Here, we defined the $E_{8(8)}$ current $\Gamma_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} = (U^{-1})_{\overline{M}}{}^K (U^{-1})_{\overline{N}}{}^L \partial_K U_L^{\overline{P}}$ and the trombone gauging

$$\xi_{\overline{M}} = 2 U_{\overline{M}}{}^{N} \partial_{N} \rho^{-1} - \rho^{-1} \Gamma_{\overline{NM}}{}^{\overline{N}}. \tag{3.7}$$

The embedding tensor is most nicely expressed once projected on the adjoint representation

$$X_{\overline{MN}} = -\frac{1}{60} X_{\overline{MP}}^{\overline{Q}} f_{\overline{NQ}}^{\overline{P}} = -2 \rho^{-1} \Gamma_{(\overline{MN})} - \rho^{-1} \Gamma_{\overline{P}(\overline{M}}^{\overline{Q}} f_{\overline{N})\overline{Q}}^{\overline{P}} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} \xi_{\overline{P}}$$

$$= -14 \rho^{-1} (\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{PQ}} \Gamma_{\overline{PQ}} - \frac{1}{4} \rho^{-1} \eta_{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{P}} - \frac{1}{2} f_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} \xi_{\overline{P}},$$

$$(3.8)$$

with the projection of the current $\Gamma_{\overline{MN}} = -\frac{1}{60} \Gamma_{\overline{MP}}^{\overline{Q}} f_{\overline{NQ}}^{\overline{P}}$. In the following, we will focus on gaugings with $\xi_{\overline{M}} = 0$.

The three-dimensional action follows from inserting the Ansätze (3.1) in the ExFT action (2.6). The expressions of the kinetic and Chern-Simons terms result immediately from eq. (3.4)

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin} = \frac{1}{240} D_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} D^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \stackrel{=}{=} \frac{\rho^{2}}{240} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \stackrel{=}{=} -\rho^{-1} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} X_{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\overline{M}} \left(\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{\overline{N}} - \frac{1}{3} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\nu}, \mathcal{A}_{\rho} \right]^{\overline{N}} \right).$$
(3.9)

However, the case of the potential term \mathcal{L}_{int} , leading to a potential V for the three-dimensional scalars $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}}$, is more subtle. We expect V to be quadratic in the embedding tensor, which makes the identification of $X_{\overline{MN}}$ more complicated. Moreover, the potential of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity in terms of the embedding tensor is unknown, with currently the potential only expressed in terms of the fermion shift matrices of the gauged supergravity [32,33]. Thus, in the following, we will follow the truncation procedure carefully and thereby construct the potential of the three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity in terms of the embedding tensor.

4 Deriving the potential of $\mathcal{N} = 16$ gauged supergravity

Here we will use the $E_{8(8)}$ ExFT Lagrangian and the consistent truncation Ansatz (3.1) to derive the potential of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity [32,33] in terms of the embedding tensor. Not only is this an interesting application of ExFT, relying on purely bosonic considerations and bypassing the usual construction of the gauged supergravity potential using supersymmetry³,

³Note that the same strategy was recently used to impressively derive the potential of maximal two-dimensional gauged supergravity [34], where fermions are extremely poorly understood. As a result, in that case, the bosonic $E_{9(9)}$ ExFT [35,36] provides the only currently accessible route for computing the gauged supergravity potential.

but the potential is crucial for finding vacua of the three-dimensional theory and uplifting these in the later parts of this paper.

In order to derive the potential, we adopt the following strategy. We want \mathcal{L}_{int} (2.8) to reduce to the embedding tensor squared upon inserting the generalised Scherk-Schwarz Ansätze (3.1). However, as in higher dimensions, e.g. [37–39], this does not have to match identically, but only up to total derivative terms and terms which violate the section condition. The possible boundary terms are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_M \left(\sqrt{|g|} \partial_N \mathcal{M}^{MN} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_M \left(\mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_N \sqrt{|g|} \right) . \tag{4.1}$$

However, since the $\mathcal{N}=16$ supergravity potential will be given by terms quadratic in $X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}$, we do not want the boundary terms to involve any double derivative terms. Therefore, the two boundary terms (4.1) can only appear via the combination

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_M \left(\sqrt{|g|} \partial_N \mathcal{M}^{MN} + \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_N \sqrt{|g|} \right). \tag{4.2}$$

Now, we first insert the generalized Sherk-Schwarz Ansätze (3.1) into the expression of \mathcal{L}_{int} (2.8) with the additional total derivative (4.2)

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{M} \left(\sqrt{|g|} \partial_{N} \mathcal{M}^{MN} + \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_{N} \sqrt{|g|} \right)
= \frac{1}{gSS} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \Gamma_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{K}} - \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \Gamma^{\overline{K}}_{\overline{N}} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\overline{MN}} \Gamma^{\overline{NM}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{KL}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \Gamma_{\overline{NL}}
- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{KL}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}} + \frac{3}{2} (a - 1) \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{K}} \Gamma_{\overline{LK}}^{\overline{L}} - \frac{a}{2} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{KM}}^{\overline{K}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}}^{\overline{L}}
+ \left(\frac{1}{2} - a \right) \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{KM}}^{\overline{L}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}}^{\overline{K}} + \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}}^{\overline{L}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}}^{\overline{K}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{KL}} f_{\overline{QL}}^{\overline{P}} \Gamma_{\overline{PN}}^{\overline{Q}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}}.$$
(4.3)

Secondly, knowing that the $\mathcal{N}=16$ potential must be quadratic in $X_{\overline{MN}}$, we consider the most general quadratic function in $X_{\overline{MN}}$ and develop it in $E_{8(8)}$ currents using eq. (3.8). Note that in higher dimensions, there exist only two quadratic combinations of the embedding tensor

$$X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}X_{\overline{OP}}^{\overline{N}}\mathcal{M}^{\overline{MQ}}$$
 and $X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}X_{\overline{OR}}^{\overline{S}}\mathcal{M}^{\overline{MQ}}\mathcal{M}^{\overline{NR}}\mathcal{M}_{\overline{PS}}$. (4.4)

However, in three dimensions, because the vector fields transform in the adjoint representation of $E_{8(8)}$, we can write two additional terms

$$X_{\overline{MN}}X_{\overline{PQ}}\eta^{\overline{MP}}\eta^{\overline{NQ}}$$
 and $X_{\overline{MN}}X_{\overline{PQ}}\eta^{\overline{MN}}\eta^{\overline{PQ}}$, (4.5)

where the second term corresponds to the square of the singlet part of the embedding tensor.

It turns out that for gaugings that arise from consistent truncations, these two terms (4.5) can be related to the square of the trombone tensor. We can square eq. (3.8) to derive an expression for $X_{\overline{MN}}X^{\overline{MN}}$ in terms of the current Γ . We find

$$X_{\overline{MN}}X^{\overline{MN}} = 21\rho^{-2}\Gamma_{\overline{MN}}\Gamma^{\overline{NM}} + 19\rho^{-2}\left(\Gamma_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{M}}\right)^2 - 15\xi_{\overline{M}}\xi^{\overline{M}}.$$
 (4.6)

Similarly, squaring the trombone tensor ξ gives

$$\xi_{\overline{M}} \xi^{\overline{M}} = \rho^{-2} \left(\Gamma_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{M}} \Gamma_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{N}} + \Gamma_{\overline{MN}} \Gamma^{\overline{NM}} \right) . \tag{4.7}$$

We can now deduce

$$X_{\overline{MN}} X^{\overline{MN}} = 6 \xi_{\overline{M}} \xi^{\overline{M}} - 2 \rho^{-2} \left(\Gamma_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{M}} \right)^2, \tag{4.8}$$

which can be expressed entirely in terms of $X_{\overline{MN}}$ after tracing eq. (3.8)

$$X_{\overline{MN}} X^{\overline{MN}} = 6 \xi_{\overline{M}} \xi^{\overline{M}} - \frac{1}{1922} \left(X_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{M}} \right)^2. \tag{4.9}$$

Thus, (4.9) is a constraint on the embedding tensor of three-dimensional supergravity which must be satisfied in order for it to have an uplift to 10-/11-dimensional supergravity. Note that this constraint is not implied by the quadratic constraint. To see this, simply observe that the theory with $E_{8(8)}$ gauging has $X_{\overline{MN}} = \eta_{\overline{MN}}$ and $\xi_{\overline{M}} = 0$ [32, 33], violating (4.9).

Since we are focusing on gaugings with vanishing trombone $\xi_{\overline{M}} = 0$, (4.9) implies that the two terms in (4.5) are proportional and we only need to consider one of these terms in the gauged supergravity potential. Thus, the most general Ansatz for the supergravity potential, for gaugings that have an uplift to 10-/11-dimensional supergravity⁴, is

$$-V = X_{\overline{MN}} X_{\overline{PQ}} \left(\alpha \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MP}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{NQ}} + \beta \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MP}} \eta^{\overline{NQ}} + \delta \eta^{\overline{MP}} \eta^{\overline{NQ}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-2} \left(14 \alpha \Gamma_{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{PQ}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MP}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{NQ}} + 14 \alpha \Gamma_{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{PQ}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MQ}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{NP}} \right)$$

$$+ 2 \beta \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \Gamma^{\overline{K}}_{\overline{N}} + \beta \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \Gamma^{\overline{K}}_{\overline{N}} + (-12 \alpha + 2 \delta) \Gamma_{\overline{MN}} \Gamma^{\overline{MN}}$$

$$- 2 \beta \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}}^{\overline{L}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}}^{\overline{K}} + \beta \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{KM}}^{\overline{L}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}}^{\overline{K}} + \left(7 \alpha + \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \Gamma_{\overline{KM}}^{\overline{K}} \Gamma_{\overline{LN}}^{\overline{L}}$$

$$+ 14 \alpha \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{KL}} f_{\overline{QL}}^{\overline{P}} \Gamma_{\overline{PN}}^{\overline{Q}} \Gamma_{\overline{MK}} \right).$$

$$(4.10)$$

Finally, by requiring

$$\sqrt{|g|} \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \stackrel{=}{\underset{\text{gSS}}{=}} -\sqrt{|\mathring{g}|} V, \qquad (4.11)$$

the parameters in (4.3) and (4.10) are fixed to

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{28}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{13}{28}, \quad a = 1.$$
 (4.12)

With the generalized Scherk-Schwarz Ansätze (3.1), the action (2.6) becomes

$$S_{\text{ExFT}} \stackrel{=}{=} \int d^{248}Y \, \rho^{-1} \int d^{3}x \left[\sqrt{|\mathring{g}|} \left(\mathring{R} + \frac{1}{240} \, \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\overline{MN}} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MN}} - V \right) \right. \\ \left. \left. - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \, X_{\overline{MN}} \, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\overline{M}} \left(\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{\overline{N}} - \frac{1}{3} \, \llbracket \mathcal{A}_{\nu}, \mathcal{A}_{\rho} \rrbracket^{\overline{N}} \right) \right], \tag{4.13}$$

⁴If we do not require the three-dimensional gauged supergravity to arise from a consistent truncation, (4.9) may be violated and we need to include both terms of (4.5). However, here we are not interested in such gaugings.

with the potential

$$V = X_{\overline{MN}} X_{\overline{PQ}} \left(\frac{1}{28} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MP}} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{NQ}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{\overline{MP}} \eta^{\overline{NQ}} + \frac{13}{28} \eta^{\overline{MP}} \eta^{\overline{NQ}} \right). \tag{4.14}$$

As in higher dimensions, $X_{\overline{MN}}$ obtained from (3.3) automatically satisfies the linear constraint of the 3-dimensional maximal gauged supergravity [32, 33], and the section condition implies the quadratic constraints for $X_{\overline{MN}}$.

4.1 Ruling out gaugings

Let's check the $GL(6) \times SO(2,2)$ gauging (half-maximal embedded in maximal)....

5 Adding fluxes to consistent truncations

In order to construct the consistent truncation around the $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)~\mathrm{AdS}_3\times S^3\times S^3\times S^1$ vacuum of IIB supergravity, a promising starting point is the dyonic $S^3\times S^3$ truncation of IIB supergravity constructed in [11], and further reducing this on S^1 . However, this will not give the correct AdS_3 vacuum since the truncation is missing the required 7-form flux on $S^3\times S^3\times S^1$. Indeed, the 3-dimensional gauged supergravity that would be obtained this way does not have any AdS_3 vacua. We can remedy this situation by defining a new consistent truncation by adding a 7-form flux to the one obtained from the $S^3\times S^3$ reduction constructed in $E_{7(7)}$ ExFT.

This motivates the following question: Given a consistent truncation, i.e. $\mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}{}^{M}$, satisfying (3.3), when can we add a new flux component of string theory to the compactification to obtain a new consistent truncation? Adding a new flux component to the truncation is equivalent to twisting the generalised frame as follows Email DW and MP to ask who we should cite for this?

$$\mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}{}^{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}{}^{M} = \mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}{}^{N} \exp(C)_{N}{}^{M}, \tag{5.1}$$

where C denotes the $E_{8(8)}$ generator corresponding to the potential we want to add to the compactification. The effect of the twist (5.1) is that the generalised Lie derivative of \mathcal{U}' satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}'_{\overline{M}}} \mathcal{U}'_{\overline{N}}{}^{M} = \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{M}}} \mathcal{U}_{\overline{N}}{}^{N} + F_{PQ}{}^{N} \mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}{}^{P} \mathcal{U}_{\overline{N}}{}^{Q} \right) \exp(C)_{N}{}^{M}, \tag{5.2}$$

where F_{MN}^P is a tensor in the $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{248} \oplus \mathbf{3875}$ of $E_{8(8)}$, i.e. the same representation as the embedding tensor, corresponding to the field strength of the potential C in (5.1). Using (3.3), we now have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}'_{\overline{M}}} \mathcal{U}'_{\overline{N}}{}^{M} = \left(X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} + \rho^{-1} F_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} \right) \mathcal{U}'_{\overline{P}}{}^{M}, \tag{5.3}$$

where we defined

$$F_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}} \equiv (U^{-1})_{\overline{M}}^{M} (U^{-1})_{\overline{N}}^{N} U_{P}^{\overline{P}} F_{MN}^{P}, \qquad (5.4)$$

and $X_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}$ is already constant. Therefore, we have a consistent truncation if and only if $\rho^{-1} F_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}$ is constant.

A particularly simple way of having constant $\rho^{-1} F_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}$ is to switch on fluxes which are stabilised by the twist matrix $U_M^{\overline{M}}$, which typically only lives in a subgroup $G \subset E_{8(8)}$. Therefore, in this case, we can simply tune the G-singlet components of the flux F_{MN}^P to be proportional to ρ to obtain a new consistent truncation.

5.1 Adding fluxes to the S^3 truncation of 6-dimensional supergravity

As a warm-up for the $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ truncation, let us demonstrate this methodology for the consistent truncation of $\mathcal{N}=(1,1)$ 6-dimensional supergravity on S^3 , which was constructed in [1]. As discussed in [1], the consistent truncation of $\mathcal{N}=(1,1)$ 6-dimensional supergravity to 3-dimensional half-maximal gauged supergravity can be described using the SO(8,4) ExFT [40,41]. On the other hand, the consistent truncation on S^3 is conveniently described by twist matrices living in $\mathrm{SL}(4) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(3,3)$ [2,3,6] with the embedding $\mathrm{SO}(3,3) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4,4) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8,4)$. However, the twist matrix in [1] differs from this $\mathrm{SO}(3,3)$ twist matrix [2,3,6] by an additional parameter, λ , which gives rise to an external 3-form flux or, equivalently, a new internal 3-form flux.

5.1.1 The S^3 twist matrix

Move to appendix?!?! (I think I would say yes)

Since we will use it throughout this paper, let us construct the $SL(4) \simeq SO(3,3)$ twist matrix that describes the consistent truncation on S^3 . This can be viewed as a special case of a family of consistent truncations on S^n [2,3,6]. Here, we give a slightly different, but equivalent, form of this construction.

We use an SL(n+1) ExFT, like in [3], which encodes an n-dimensional metric and volume-form flux, i.e. Freund-Rubin compactifications, and thus gives a natural description of S^n compactifications. The SL(n+1) ExFT formally has coordinates in the antisymmetric representation of SL(n+1), $y^{IJ} = -y^{JI}$, with I = 1, ..., n+1, and similarly, generalised vector fields transform in the antisymmetric representation of SL(n+1). The generalised Lie derivative on a generalised tensor in the fundamental V^I of weight λ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}V^{I} = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{JK}\partial_{JK}V^{I} - V^{J}\partial_{JK}\Lambda^{IK} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)V^{I}\partial_{JK}\Lambda^{JK}, \tag{5.5}$$

and similarly for other generalised tensors. Closure of the generalised Lie derivative (5.5) requires the section condition

$$\partial_{[IJ} \otimes \partial_{KL]} = 0, \qquad (5.6)$$

which restricts the dependence of all fields to a subset of physical coordinates.

We are interested in the maximal solutions of the section condition (5.6) which preserve a $SL(n) \subset SL(n+1)$ subgroup. Under this decomposition $SL(n+1) \to SL(n)$ with $V^I = (V^i, V^0)$, where i = 1, ..., n, we solve the section condition by having physical coordinates y^{0i} on the n-dimensional manifold M, i.e. $\partial_{ij} = 0$ for all fields with only $\partial_{0i} = \partial_i \neq 0$. Correspondingly, the generalised tangent bundle, whose sections are in the antisymmetric representation of SL(n+1) and carry weight $\frac{n-3}{n+1}$, decomposes as

$$E = TM \oplus \Lambda^{n-2} T^* M \,, \tag{5.7}$$

Note that for the case of interest to us, n = 3, (5.7) reduces to

$$E = TM \oplus T^*M, \tag{5.8}$$

and its fibres transform in the 6 of $SL(4) \simeq SO(3,3)$. It is convenient to also introduce the generalised bundle with fibres in the anti-fundamental of SL(n+1), which is, similarly, given by

$$N = T^*M \oplus \Lambda^n T^*M. \tag{5.9}$$

Sections of N are generalised tensors transforming in the anti-fundamental of SL(n+1) and carrying weight $\frac{2}{n+1}$. For $V, V' \in \Gamma(E)$ and $W \in \Gamma(N)$, the generalised Lie derivative (5.5) reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}_{V}V' = [v, v'] + L_{v}\omega'_{(n-2)} - \imath_{v'}d\omega_{(n-2)},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{V}W = L_{v}\omega_{(1)} + L_{v}\omega_{(n)} + \omega_{(1)} \wedge d\omega_{(n-2)},$$
(5.10)

where we write $V = v + \omega_{(n-2)}$, $V' = v' + \omega'_{(n-2)}$ and $W = \omega_{(1)} + \omega_{(n)}$ as a formal of vectors and p-forms, and L denotes the ordinary Lie derivative and [v, v'] the ordinary Lie bracket between vector fields v and v'.

We can now describe the S^n consistent truncation using the SL(n+1) ExFT above. Let Y^I , $I=1,\ldots,n+1$ be the embedding coordinates of $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, such that $Y^I Y_I = 1$. On S^n , we can define a parallelisation of N using the generalised frame

$$\mathcal{U}^{\overline{I}} = dY^{\overline{I}} + Y^{\overline{I}} \operatorname{vol}_{S^n} - dY^{\overline{I}} \wedge A, \qquad (5.11)$$

where A is an (n-1)-potential with field strength $dA = (n-1)vol_{S^n}$, and

$$vol_{S^n} = \frac{1}{n!} \epsilon_{I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1}} Y^{I_1} dY^{I_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dY^{I_{n+1}}, \qquad (5.12)$$

is the volume form on S^n . The frame in (5.11) has the important property that the n+1 generalised tensors are nowhere vanishing since $dY^I = 0$ only when $Y^I = 1$. Therefore, (5.11) provides a generalised parallelisation of S^n in the SL(n+1) ExFT.

In a local basis, (5.11) gives us a GL(n+1) matrix, $\mathcal{U}_{I}^{\overline{I}}$, whose determinant allows us to define the scalar density

$$\rho = \left(\det \mathcal{U}_I^{\bar{I}}\right)^{-1/2} = \left(\det \mathring{g}\right)^{-1/2} \,, \tag{5.13}$$

with \mathring{g} the round metric on S^n . Using (5.11) and (5.13) we can define the SL(n) twist matrix

$$U_I^{\overline{I}} = \rho^{2/(n+1)} \mathcal{U}_I^{\overline{I}}, \qquad (5.14)$$

and hence a generalised frame for E or a generalised bundle in any other rep of $\mathrm{SL}(n+1)$. For example, in the generalised tangent bundle (5.7), we have the generalised frame $\mathcal{U}_{\overline{IJ}}{}^{IJ}=(\det\mathring{g})^{1/2}\mathcal{U}_{|\overline{I}}{}^{I}\mathcal{U}_{\overline{J}|}{}^{J}$, with components given by

$$2\mathcal{U}_{\overline{IJ}} = v_{\overline{IJ}} + \star dY_{\overline{IJ}} + \imath_{v_{\overline{IJ}}} A, \qquad (5.15)$$

where $v_{\overline{IJ}}$ are the SO(n+1) Killing vectors of the round S^n , matching precisely the SL(n+1) parallelisations constructed in [3].

Using (5.5), we can easily check that the generalised frame (5.15), (5.19) defines a generalised Leibniz parallelisation. In particular, using $dA = (n-1)vol_{S^n}$, we obtain the embedding tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}_{\overline{L}}}\mathcal{U}^{\overline{K}} = -X_{\overline{L}L}^{\overline{K}}\mathcal{U}^{\overline{L}}, \tag{5.16}$$

with

$$X_{\overline{IJ},\overline{L}}^{\overline{K}} = -\delta_{\overline{L}|\overline{I}}\delta_{\overline{J}|}^{\overline{K}}.$$
 (5.17)

Finally, we can also connect the above with the SL(n+1) Ansatz construction of [2]. We do this by evaluating (5.14) and (5.13) on the northern hemisphere $Y^I = (y^i, \sqrt{1-y^iy_i}), i = 1, ..., n$ and using the gauge choice for the potential A

$$A_{i_1...i_{n-1}} = \epsilon_{i_1...i_{n-1}j} y^j (1 + K(v)), \qquad (5.18)$$

with $v = y^i y^i$ and $\epsilon_{i_1...i_{n-1}j}$ the volume form on S^n . With the above choices, we precisely recover the SL(n+1) twist matrix of [2], i.e.

$$(U^{-1})_{\overline{I}}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-v)^{-1/(n+1)} \left(\delta_{i}^{j} + y_{i} y^{j} K(v) \right) & y_{i} (1-v)^{(n-1)/(2(n+1))} \\ y^{j} (1-v)^{(n-1)/(2(n+1))} K(v) & (1-v)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (5.19)

5.1.2 Adding flux

To demonstrate our methodology, we will now show how the parameter λ introduced in [1] can be obtained by the twisting procedure described above. Thus, we want to consider the $SO(3,3) \subset SO(4,4)$ twist matrix corresponding to S^3 (5.19) and add a 3-form flux via a SO(4,4) twist. We begin by decomposing $SO(4,4) \to SO(3,3) \times SO(1,1)$, such that

$$8 \to 6_0 \oplus 1_2 \oplus 1_{-2},
28 \to 15_0 \oplus 6_2 \oplus 6_{-2} \oplus 1_0.$$
(5.20)

Correspondingly, we write a SO(4,4) vector V^M as

$$V^{M} = (V^{A}, V^{z}, V^{\bar{z}}) , (5.21)$$

where A = 1, ..., 6 labels the vector of SO(3,3) and z, \bar{z} label the $\mathbf{1_2}$ and $\mathbf{1_{-2}}$, respectively.

We denote by $U_A^{\overline{A}}$ the SO(3,3) twist matrix corresponding to the S^3 truncation constructed in [3,6] and obtained from (5.14), (5.13), (5.19). Then, we can add a 3-form potential by twisting with the SO(4,4) generator

$$(e^C)^{MN} = C_A (t^A)^{MN},$$
 (5.22)

with C_A an element of the $\mathbf{6_2}$, and $(t^A)^{MN}$ the generator corresponding to SO(4,4) whose only non-zero component is

$$\left(t^A\right)^{Bz} = \eta^{AB} \,. \tag{5.23}$$

Here η_{MN} and η_{AB} are the SO(4,4) and SO(3,3) invariant metrics, respectively, and are used to raise/lower the corresponding vector indices. Decomposing with respect to the geometric SL(3)× \mathbb{R}^+ of the S^3 , the coordinates on S^3 live in the $Y^A = (y^i, y_i)$, i = 1, 2, 3, while $C_A = (C_i, C^i)$ naturally contains a 2-form C^i . The field strength $H_3 = \partial^A C_A = \partial_i C^i$ is a singlet of SO(3,3) and thus we see that $\rho^{-1} F_{\overline{MN}}^{\overline{P}}$ in (5.4) is constant and we obtain a consistent truncation with a new 3-form flux. Evaluating the twist matrix

$$U_M^{\prime \overline{M}} = (e^C)_M{}^N U_N{}^{\overline{M}}, \qquad (5.24)$$

explicitly using (5.22) and setting $C^i = \rho^{-1} \lambda \xi^i$, with $\nabla_i \xi^i = 1$, in the notation of [1], we obtain precisely the twist matrix used in [1] for the consistent truncation of 6-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ supergravity on S^3 with H_3 flux.

We will now follow this same procedure in the next section to obtain the consistent truncation of IIB supergravity on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ with 7-form flux.

5.2 Adding flux to the $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ truncation of IIB supergravity

Our strategy for constructing the consistent truncation on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ with 7-form flux is to embed the $S^3 \times S^3$ truncation of $E_{7(7)}$ ExFT [11] into $E_{8(8)} \to E_{7(7)} \times SL(2)$, as described in [19]. This will give us the consistent truncation on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ without 7-form flux. Then we add a 7-form flux to this truncation as outlined above.

5.2.1 The $S^3 \times S^3$ truncation

Let us first review the dyonic $S^3 \times S^3$ truncation of IIB supergravity [11], which forms the starting point of our construction. The key step in the construction of [11] is that we can use the SL(4) generalised frame (5.14) to form a generalised Leibniz parallelisation of $E_{7(7)}$ via the embedding

$$E_{7(7)} \to \mathrm{SL}(8) \to \mathrm{SL}(4)_1 \times \mathrm{SL}(4)_2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$$
 (5.25)

The fundamental of $E_{7(7)}$ then decomposes as

$$\mathbf{56} \rightarrow \mathbf{28} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{28}} \rightarrow \left[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})_{-\mathbf{2}} \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_{\mathbf{0}} \right] \oplus \left[(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_{-\mathbf{2}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \overline{\mathbf{4}})_{\mathbf{0}} \right], \tag{5.26}$$

where the first square brackets denote the branching of the **28** under $SL(4)_1 \times SL(4)_2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and the second square brackets that of the $\overline{\textbf{28}}$. Crucially, for the generalised Leibniz condition (3.3) to hold, the coordinates and generalised vector fields corresponding to the $SL(4)_1$ and $SL(4)_2$ must be embedded within the **28** and $\overline{\textbf{28}}$, respectively, which we will call "electric" and "magnetic" coordinates, following [11]. Thus, we write the 56 $E_{7(7)}$ coordinates as

$$Y^M = (Y^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}, Y_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}) , \qquad (5.27)$$

with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} = 1, ..., 8$ labelling the fundamental of SL(8), corresponding to the decomposition $E_{7(7)} \to \text{SL}(8)$. Following the conventions of [11], the coordinates of the two SL(4) ExFTs are embedded as $Y^{IJ} \subset Y^{\mathcal{AB}}$, with I, J = 1, 2, 3, 8, and $Y_{AB} \subset Y_{\mathcal{AB}}$, with A, B = 4, 5, 6, 7. Solving the SL(4) ExFT section condition for Y^{IJ} and Y_{AB} guarantees a solution to the $E_{7(7)}$ ExFT, and we choose the solution where the six physical coordinates of IIB are

$$y^i = Y^{i8}, \qquad \tilde{y}_a = Y_{a7}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \qquad a = 4, 5, 6.$$
 (5.28)

This solution of the section condition defines the "geometric" $SL(3)_1 \times \mathbb{R}_1^+ \subset SL(4)_1$ and $SL(3)_2 \times \mathbb{R}_2^+ \subset SL(4)_2$ subgroups, that will play an important role when adding flux in section 5.2.3.

We can now use one copy of the SL(4) frame (5.14) for each SL(4) subgroup of (5.25) to construct a generalised parallelisation for the full $E_{7(7)}$ ExFT, with an embedding tensor via (3.3) given as in (5.17) embedded in $E_{7(7)}$ as follows. Under $E_{7(7)} \to \text{SL}(8)$, the embedding tensor representation branches as

$$912 \rightarrow 36 \oplus \overline{36} \oplus 420 \oplus \overline{420}$$
, (5.29)

and we only generate the **36** and $\overline{\bf 36}$ components, $\eta_{\mathcal{AB}}$, $\tilde{\eta}^{\mathcal{AB}}$. Thus, the $E_{7(7)}$ embedding tensor is given by

$$X_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}} = \eta_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{C}}\delta_{\mathcal{D}]\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}} - \eta_{\mathcal{B}[\mathcal{C}}\delta_{\mathcal{D}]\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}},$$

$$X^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}{}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}} = -\tilde{\eta}^{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}}\delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{F}]\mathcal{B}} + \tilde{\eta}^{\mathcal{B}[\mathcal{E}}\delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{F}]\mathcal{A}}.$$

$$(5.30)$$

Because we are embedding the $SL(4)_1$ coordinates in the **28** but those of $SL(4)_2$ in the **28**, the twist matrix will generate an embedding tensor in the **36** for $SL(4)_1$ and $\overline{\bf 36}$ for $SL(4)_2$, whose only non-vanishing components are

$$\eta_{IJ} = \delta_{IJ} \,, \qquad \tilde{\eta}^{AB} = \delta^{AB} \,. \tag{5.31}$$

We can also rescale their S^3 's using their corresponding \mathbf{R}^+ generator. Without loss of generality, we can rescale S^3 to have radius α , using the \mathbf{R}_1^+ generator on the frame. The embedding tensor then becomes

$$\eta_{IJ} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta_{IJ} \,, \qquad \tilde{\eta}^{AB} = \delta^{AB} \,.$$
(5.32)

5.2.2 The $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ truncation

We now construct the consistent truncation on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ by embedding the $E_{7(7)}$ twist matrix corresponding to the $S^3 \times S^3$ truncation reviewed above in 5.2.1 in $E_{8(8)}$ via the branching $E_{8(8)} \to E_{7(7)} \times \text{SL}(2)$, such that $\mathbf{248} \to (\mathbf{133}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{56}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$. As explained in [19], we can construct a consistent truncation on $M \times S^1$ to 3-dimensional gauged supergravity by embedding a consistent truncation on M to 4-dimensional supergravity, characterised by an $E_{7(7)}$ twist matrix and $E_{7(7)}$ scalar density σ , as well as the SL(2) twist matrix given by

$$v_{\bar{i}}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0\\ 0 & \sigma^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.33}$$

Finally, the $E_{8(8)}$ scalar density satisfies $\rho = \sigma^2$ to ensure a consistent truncation on $M \times S^1$.

Employing this procedure for $M = S^3 \times S^3$, gives us the consistent truncation on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$. However, this does not have any AdS₃ vacua, since we still need to add the 7-form flux. To do this, let us first review the group theory of the $S^3 \times S^3$ truncation, since this will allow us to determine whether the 7-form flux is stabilised by the twist matrix.

5.2.3 Adding flux

To add a 7-form flux to the above truncation, we need to determine whether the 7-form flux is stabilised by the twist matrix on $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ constructed in section 5.2.2. Thus, we need to understand whether IIB supergravity admits a 7-form field strength that is a singlet under the two SL(4) groups in (5.25). To answer this question, we pick a gauge where the 6-form potential lives entirely in $S^3 \times S^3$ but depends on the S^1 coordinate, z. Therefore, in this gauge choice, the 6-form potential corresponds to an adjoint generator of $E_{7(7)}$, and since the S^1 coordinate is an $E_{7(7)}$ singlet, this adjoint generator must be a singlet under $SL(4)_1 \times SL(4)_2 \subset SL(8) \subset E_{7(7)}$ for us to have a consistent truncation.

IIB supergravity contains an S-duality doublet of 6-forms, which we can easily identify using the decomposition $E_{7(7)} \to SL(6) \times SL(2) \times \mathbb{R}^+_{IIB}$, under which the $E_{7(7)}$ adjoint decomposes as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{133} &\to (\mathbf{35}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{\pm \mathbf{6}} \\ &\oplus \left(\overline{\mathbf{15}}, \mathbf{2}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \left(\overline{\mathbf{15}}, \mathbf{1}\right)_{-\mathbf{4}} \oplus (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{2})_{-\mathbf{2}} \oplus (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{4}} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

The 6-form doublet corresponds to the $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_6$. To understand if one of the 6-forms in the doublet are singlets under $\mathrm{SL}(4)_1 \times \mathrm{SL}(4)_2 \subset E_{7(7)}$, we must decompose $E_{7(7)}$ with respect to the common subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(4)_1 \times \mathrm{SL}(4)_2$ and $\mathrm{SL}(6) \times \mathrm{SL}(2)$, which is $\mathrm{SL}(3)_1 \times \mathrm{SL}(3)_2 \times \mathbb{R}_1^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2^+$, as defined by

the embedding of the physical coordinates in (5.28). Important for us is the correct identification of the \mathbb{R}^+ charges in both decompositions. We have, on the one hand,

$$E_{7(7)} \to \mathrm{SL}(8) \to \mathrm{SL}(4) \times \mathrm{SL}(4) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{\mathrm{SL}(8)}$$

$$\to \mathrm{SL}(3)_{1} \times \mathrm{SL}(3)_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}_{\mathrm{SL}(8)},$$

$$(5.35)$$

and, on the other,

$$E_{7(7)} \to \operatorname{SL}(6) \times \operatorname{SL}(2) \times \mathbb{R}_{\operatorname{IIB}}^{+}$$

$$\to \operatorname{SL}(3)_{1} \times \operatorname{SL}(3)_{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{\operatorname{SL}(2)}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{\operatorname{SL}(6)}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{\operatorname{IIB}}^{+}.$$
 (5.36)

Here, we label the \mathbb{R}^+ 's with a subscript that refers to the groups they belong to. The \mathbb{R}^+ generators of the two decompositions are related as

$$\mathbb{R}_{SL(6)}^{+} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{R}_{SL(3)_{1}}^{+} + \mathbb{R}_{SL(3)_{2}}^{+} \right) ,$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{SL(2)}^{+} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathbb{R}_{SL(3)_{1}}^{+} - \mathbb{R}_{SL(3)_{2}}^{+} + \mathbb{R}_{SL(8)}^{+} \right) ,$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{IIB}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{R}_{SL(3)_{1}}^{+} - \mathbb{R}_{SL(3)_{2}}^{+} - 3 \mathbb{R}_{SL(8)}^{+} \right) .$$
(5.37)

The branching of the adjoint (5.34) under $SL(6) \times SL(2) \times \mathbb{R}_{IIB}^+$ in (5.36) needs to now be compared with that via $SL(4)_1 \times SL(4)_2 \times \mathbb{R}_{SL(8)}^+$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{133} &\to \mathbf{63} \oplus \mathbf{70} \\ &\to (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{15})_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus \left(\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{4}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \left(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{4}\right)_{-\mathbf{2}} \\ &\oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-\mathbf{4}} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\mathbf{4}} \oplus \left(\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{4}}\right)_{-\mathbf{2}} \oplus \left(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{4}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6})_{\mathbf{0}} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Using (5.37), we can now identify each of the singlet generators $(1,1)_{\pm 4}$ with one of the SL(2) doublet generators of charge ∓ 6 . Therefore, we find exactly one singlet SL(4)₁ × SL(4)₂ generator, which we can write as t_{1238} , corresponding to a 6-form potential. The other SL(4)₁ × SL(4)₂ singlet generator, t_{4567} , only differs by a compact generator and thus does not correspond to a different physical field. Finally, the other elements of the SL(2) doublet of 6-form potential can be mapped to the $(4, \overline{4})_2 \oplus (\overline{4}, 4)_{-2}$ generators, specifically t_7^8 and t_8^7 and are clearly not SL(4) singlets.

Therefore, we can add a 7-form flux to the twist matrix using

$$\mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}^{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}^{\prime M} = \mathcal{U}_{\overline{M}}^{N} \exp(C)_{N}^{M},$$
 (5.39)

with $C_M{}^N = \lambda z \, \rho^{-1} \, t_{1238 \, M}{}^N$ and λ a numerical parameter corresponding to the amount of 7-form flux. The resulting embedding tensor is most nicely written using the branching

$$E_{8(8)} \longrightarrow E_{7(7)} \times SL(2) \longrightarrow SL(8) \times SL(2)$$

$$\mathbf{248} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{63}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{70}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{28}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{28}}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$$

$$X^{\overline{M}} \longrightarrow \left\{ X^{\overline{A}}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}, X^{\overline{A}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\overline{\mathcal{C}}\overline{\mathcal{D}}}, X^{\overline{A}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\overline{i}}, X_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}\overline{\mathcal{B}}}^{\overline{i}}, X^{\overline{\alpha}} \right\},$$

$$(5.40)$$

and has the following non-vanishing components under the $SL(4)_1 \times SL(4)_2$ subgroups of SL(8):

$$X_{(\mathbf{63,1});(\mathbf{28,2})} : \begin{cases} X_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}}_{;\overline{KL}+} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \, \delta_{\overline{I}[\overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{L}]}^{\overline{J}}, \\ X_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{I}}_{;\overline{BJ}+} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \, \delta_{\overline{AB}} \delta_{\overline{J}}^{\overline{I}}, \end{cases}$$

$$X_{(\mathbf{63,1});(\overline{\mathbf{28,2}})} : \begin{cases} X_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{B};\overline{CD}}_{;\overline{BJ}} = -\delta_{\overline{A}}^{[\overline{C}} \delta^{\overline{D}]\overline{B}}, \\ X_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{I};\overline{BJ}}_{;\overline{BJ}} = -\frac{1}{2} \, \delta_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{B}} \delta^{\overline{IJ}}, \end{cases}$$

$$X_{(\mathbf{70,1});(\mathbf{1,3})} : X_{\overline{IJKL};++} = -\frac{\lambda}{12\sqrt{6}} \, \varepsilon_{\overline{IJKL}}.$$

$$(5.41)$$

With this embedding tensor, the potential (4.14) has a vacuum at the scalar origin when $\lambda = \pm 4\sqrt{3}\sqrt{1+(1/\alpha^2)}$. The sign changes the chirality of the Killing spinors and, therefore, without loss of generality, we will choose $\lambda = 4\sqrt{3}\sqrt{1+(1/\alpha^2)}$ from here onwards.

6 Moduli of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$

6.1 The undeformed $\mathcal{N} = (4,4)$ AdS₃ \times $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ solution

At $\lambda = 4\sqrt{3}\sqrt{1+(1/\alpha^2)}$, the three-dimensional solution described by the embedding tensor (5.41) corresponds to the consistent truncation of the pure NSNS ten-dimensional solution [42]

$$ds^{2} = \ell_{AdS}^{2} ds^{2} (AdS_{3}) + \alpha^{2} ds^{2} (S^{3}) + ds^{2} (\widetilde{S}^{3}) + dz^{2},$$

$$H_{(3)} = 2 \left(\ell_{AdS}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(AdS_{3}) + \alpha^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(S^{3}) + \operatorname{Vol}(\widetilde{S}^{3}) \right),$$
(6.1)

with $H_{(3)} = dB_{(2)}$ and

$$\ell_{\text{AdS}}^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \,. \tag{6.2}$$

The S^1 coordinate z has periodicity $z \to z + 1$.

The AdS₃ solution (6.1) preserves $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ supersymmetry, with super-isometry group $\mathcal{G}=D^1(2,1;\alpha)_L\times D^1(2,1;\alpha)_R$, i.e. two copies of the large $\mathcal{N}=4$ supergroup. The even part of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{SO}(3)\times\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and the bosonic isometries of the $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times S^3\times\widetilde{S}^3$ background are built from the even part of \mathcal{G} . The AdS₃ isometry group is $\mathrm{SO}(2,2)\simeq\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_L\times\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_R$, and the factors $\mathrm{SO}(3)_L\times\mathrm{SO}(3)_R\times\mathrm{SO}(3)_L\times\mathrm{SO}(3)_R\simeq\mathrm{SO}(4)\times\mathrm{SO}(4)$ combine into the isometry groups of the two spheres. Additionally, there is a U(1)_F symmetry due to the S^1 factor in (6.1). The spectrum organizes into multiplets of \mathcal{G} , made out of products of long representations $[\ell,\ell]$ of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$ (see app. A for a review of the representations of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$), and carrying a charge under the U(1)_F. Explicitly, the spectrum is [43]

$$\bigoplus_{\ell,\widetilde{\ell} \ge 0, n} \left([\ell, \widetilde{\ell}] \otimes [\ell, \widetilde{\ell}] \right)_n \ominus [0, 0]_s \otimes [0, 0]_s.$$

$$(6.3)$$

In each factor the lowest conformal dimension is

$$h_{\rm L} = h_{\rm R} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\ell(\ell+1) + 4\alpha^2 \tilde{\ell}(\tilde{\ell}+1) + \alpha^2(2\pi n)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}},$$
(6.4)

with n corresponding to the S^1 harmonics, and the lowest conformal dimension of $[\ell, \widetilde{\ell}] \otimes [\ell, \widetilde{\ell}]$ is then

$$\Delta_{\ell,\tilde{\ell},n} = h_{\rm L} + h_{\rm R} = -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\ell(\ell+1) + 4\alpha^2 \, \tilde{\ell}(\tilde{\ell}+1) + \alpha^2 (2\pi n)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} \,. \tag{6.5}$$

For n=0, the representations get shortened whenever $\ell=\widetilde{\ell}$. The spectrum at the consistent truncation is then the one of ref. [27]. It corresponds to $\ell=\widetilde{\ell}=n=0$ in eq. (6.3):

$$[0,0]_{s} \otimes [1/2,1/2]_{s} \oplus [1/2,1/2]_{s} \otimes [0,0]_{s} \oplus [1/2,1/2]_{s} \otimes [1/2,1/2]_{s}. \tag{6.6}$$

The first two terms build the supergravity multiplet, each of them carrying one spin-2 field, four gravitini, seven vectors and four spin-1/2 fields, all massless. The only propagating degrees of freedom are in $[1/2, 1/2]_s \otimes [1/2, 1/2]_s$ (see the details in table 4 of [27]).

6.2 Moduli in gauged supergravity

Surprisingly, within the 3-dimensional gauged supergravity, the AdS_3 vacuum contains a large moduli space, which even has supersymmetric submanifolds. In particular, a simple search already reveals a continuous 11-parameter family of AdS_3 solutions, connected to the origin and given by the $E_{8(8)}$ coset representative

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{def}} = \exp\left[\sqrt{2} \left(\chi_{1}(t_{(28,2)})_{23,-} + \chi_{2}(t_{(28,2)})_{81,-} + \widetilde{\chi}_{1}(t_{(\overline{28},2)})_{56,-} + \widetilde{\chi}_{2}(t_{(\overline{28},2)})_{74,-}\right)\right] \\
\times \exp\left[\sqrt{2} \left(\zeta_{1}(t_{(\overline{28},2)})_{23,+} + \zeta_{2}(t_{(\overline{28},2)})_{81,+} + \widetilde{\zeta}_{1}(t_{(28,2)})_{56,+} + \widetilde{\zeta}_{2}(t_{(28,2)})_{74,+}\right)\right] \\
\times \exp\left[\frac{\Omega}{120} \left(\left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{1}^{1} - \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{2}^{2} - \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{3}^{3} + \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{8}^{8}\right) \\
+ \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}}{120} \left(\left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{4}^{4} - \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{5}^{5} - \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{6}^{6} + \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{7}^{7}\right)\right] \\
\times \exp\left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{120} \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{I}^{I} - \frac{1}{120} \left(t_{(63,1)}\right)_{A}^{A} - \frac{1}{2} \left(t_{(1,3)}\right)_{1}\right)\right],$$
(6.7)

where we denoted the generators according to the decomposition (5.40). The $(\chi_i, \widetilde{\chi}_i, \zeta_i, \widetilde{\zeta}_i)$ deformations excite the $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_2$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})_2$ generators in the branching (5.26). Note that changing the sign in some terms in (6.7), e.g. changing the factor with Ω to

$$\exp\left[\frac{\Omega'}{120}\left(\left(t_{(\mathbf{63,1})}\right)_{1}^{1} + \left(t_{(\mathbf{63,1})}\right)_{2}^{2} - \left(t_{(\mathbf{63,1})}\right)_{3}^{3} - \left(t_{(\mathbf{63,1})}\right)_{8}^{8}\right)\right],\tag{6.8}$$

creates yet another flat direction of the potential. This highlights how many flat directions the AdS₃ vacuum appears to have.

Kinetic terms? Might be interesting for the S-fold deformations to see what kind of metric we have on the moduli space. This will go below the specific zoom-ins

6.3 Moduli in 10 dimensions

The consistent truncation Ansatz we constructed in this paper can be used to uplift the full 11-parameter family of AdS_3 vacua of the 3-dimensional gauged supergravity in (6.7) to IIB supergravity. However, this full 11-parameter solution can quickly become unwieldy. Therefore, here we will instead focus on a few interesting subsets of deformations.

 $(\chi, \widetilde{\chi}, \Omega, \widetilde{\Omega}, \psi)$ Using Hopf coordinates for both S^3 's we can write the 7-parameter deformation involving $(\chi_i, \widetilde{\chi}_i, \Omega, \widetilde{\Omega}, \psi)$, i = 1, 2, as follows:

$$ds^{2} = \ell_{AdS}^{2} ds^{2} (AdS_{3}) + \alpha^{2} d\theta^{2} + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\cos^{2}(\theta) + e^{\Omega} \sin^{2}(\theta)} \left(e^{\Omega} \cos^{2}(\theta) d\phi_{1}^{\prime 2} + \sin^{2}(\theta) d\phi_{2}^{\prime 2} \right)$$

$$+ d\tilde{\theta}^{2} + \frac{1}{e^{\Omega} \cos^{2}(\tilde{\theta}) + \sin^{2}(\tilde{\theta})} \left(\cos^{2}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\prime 2} + e^{\Omega} \sin^{2}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\phi}_{2}^{\prime 2} \right) + e^{2\psi} dz^{2},$$

$$H_{(3)} = 2 \ell_{AdS}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(AdS_{3})$$

$$+ \frac{2 \alpha^{2} e^{\Omega} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)}{\left(\cos^{2}(\theta) + e^{\Omega} \sin^{2}(\theta) \right)^{2}} d\theta \wedge d\phi_{1}^{\prime} \wedge d\phi_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{2 e^{\Omega} \cos(\tilde{\theta}) \sin(\tilde{\theta})}{\left(e^{\Omega} \cos^{2}(\tilde{\theta}) + \sin^{2}(\tilde{\theta}) \right)^{2}} d\tilde{\theta} \wedge d\tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge d\tilde{\phi}_{2}^{\prime},$$

$$(6.9)$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
d\phi_1' = d\phi_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_1 dz, \\
d\phi_2' = d\phi_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_2 dz,
\end{cases}
\begin{cases}
d\widetilde{\phi}_1' = d\widetilde{\phi}_1 + \widetilde{\chi}_1 dz, \\
d\widetilde{\phi}_2' = d\widetilde{\phi}_2 + \widetilde{\chi}_2 dz.
\end{cases}$$
(6.10)

This 7-parameter deformation generically breaks $SO(3)_L \times SO(3)_R \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_L \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_R$ to $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R \times U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$ and all supersymmetries. The moduli space of the solution is described by the following metric:

$$ds_{\text{mod.}}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-2\psi} \left(e^{\Omega} d\chi_{1}^{2} + e^{-\Omega} d\chi_{2}^{2} + e^{-\widetilde{\Omega}} d\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{2} + e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} d\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{2} \right) + 2 d\psi^{2} + \frac{1}{2} d\Omega^{2} + \frac{1}{2} d\widetilde{\Omega}^{2} \right].$$
 (6.11)

A particularly interesting family of solutions is obtained in the case $\Omega = \widetilde{\Omega} = 0$, where eq. (6.9) reduces to

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}s^2 &= \ell_{\mathrm{AdS}}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s^2 (\mathrm{AdS_3}) + \alpha^2 \left(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \cos^2(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\phi_1'^2 + \sin^2(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\phi_2'^2 \right) \\ &+ \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\theta}^2 + \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1'^2 + \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2'^2 + e^{2\psi} \, \mathrm{d}z^2 \,, \\ H_{(3)} &= 2 \, \ell_{\mathrm{AdS}}^2 \, \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{AdS_3}) + 2 \, \alpha^2 \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\theta \wedge \mathrm{d}\phi_1' \wedge \mathrm{d}\phi_2' + 2 \cos(\widetilde{\theta}) \sin(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\theta} \wedge \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1' \wedge \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2' \,. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{6.12}$$

Again, the deformation generically breaks $SO(3)_L \times SO(3)_R \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_L \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_R$ to $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R \times \widetilde{U(1)}_L \times \widetilde{U(1)}_R$ and all supersymmetries. The χ_i , $\widetilde{\chi}_i$, i=1,2 deformations are analogous to the two-parameter "flat deformations" [29] of $AdS_4 \times S^5 \times S^1$ [30,31]. From the 4-dimensional perspective obtained after reducing on $S^3 \times S^3$, these deformations correspond to turning on Wilson lines for the $SO(3)_L \times SO(3)_R \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_L \times SO(3)_R$ gauge fields along S^1 . The ψ deformation is even simpler:

it just rescales the S^1 radius. These χ_i , $\widetilde{\chi}_i$, ψ deformations are completely analogous to \mathbb{C} -structure deformations of T^2 , with the χ_i , $\widetilde{\chi}_i$ corresponding to the real part and the ψ deformation to the imaginary part of the \mathbb{C} -structure deformation.

Therefore, these deformations affect the Kaluza-Klein spectrum as follows: the conformal dimension of each physical field (c.f. eq. (6.5)) get shifted by replacing

$$2\pi n \longrightarrow e^{-2\psi} \left[2\pi n + \left((q_{\rm L} + q_{\rm R}) \frac{\chi_1}{\alpha} + (q_{\rm L} - q_{\rm R}) \frac{\chi_2}{\alpha} + (\widetilde{q}_{\rm L} + \widetilde{q}_{\rm R}) \widetilde{\chi}_1 + (\widetilde{q}_{\rm R} - \widetilde{q}_{\rm L}) \widetilde{\chi}_2 \right) \right], \quad (6.13)$$

where the q denote the charges under the different U(1).

Eq. (6.13) can be used to search for SUSY enhancement points within the 5-parameter landscape. At the undeformed origin, the massless gravitini sit in the two first multiplets in eq. (6.6) with $SO(3)_L \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_L \times SO(3)_R \times \widetilde{SO(3)}_R$ spins

$$(1/2, 1/2; 0, 0)$$
 and $(0, 0; 1/2, 1/2)$. (6.14)

and conformal dimension $\Delta = 3/2$. Turning on the deformation leads to a split into four groups of two modes, with the following $U(1)_L \times \widetilde{U(1)}_L \times U(1)_R \times \widetilde{U(1)}_R$ charges and conformal dimensions within the 3-dimensional truncation:

$$(\pm 1, \pm 1; 0, 0) : \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\left(\chi_{1} + \chi_{2} + \alpha \left(\widetilde{\chi}_{1} - \widetilde{\chi}_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{4 e^{2\psi} \left(1 + \alpha^{2}\right)}},$$

$$(\pm 1, \mp 1; 0, 0) : \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\left(\chi_{1} + \chi_{2} - \alpha \left(\widetilde{\chi}_{1} - \widetilde{\chi}_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{4 e^{2\psi} \left(1 + \alpha^{2}\right)}},$$

$$(0, 0; \pm 1, \pm 1) : \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\left(\chi_{1} - \chi_{2} + \alpha \left(\widetilde{\chi}_{1} + \widetilde{\chi}_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{4 e^{2\psi} \left(1 + \alpha^{2}\right)}},$$

$$(0, 0; \pm 1, \mp 1) : \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\left(\chi_{1} - \chi_{2} - \alpha \left(\widetilde{\chi}_{1} + \widetilde{\chi}_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{4 e^{2\psi} \left(1 + \alpha^{2}\right)}}.$$

SUSY enhancement points are then given by combinations of the parameters that yield $\Delta = 3/2$. This can either happen by leaving some modes with $\Delta = 3/2$ invariant, or where some other, originally massive gravitini outside the consistent truncation, i.e. with conformal dimension given by (6.5) with $n \neq 0$, obtain $\Delta = 3/2$. Here we will focus on the supersymmetry enhancement within the 3-dimensional truncation and will discuss the higher KK modes in section 6.4. The different possibilities are listed below.

• $\mathcal{N}=2$ The four-dimensional hypersurfaces

$$\chi_2 = \chi_1 \pm \alpha \left(\widetilde{\chi}_1 + \widetilde{\chi}_2 \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \chi_2 = -\chi_1 \pm \alpha \left(\widetilde{\chi}_1 - \widetilde{\chi}_2 \right),$$
(6.16)

give enhancements to $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ and $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$, respectively. On this hypersurface (6.16), the spectrum then organizes into multiplets of SU(1|1,1).

• $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Choosing

$$\begin{cases} \chi_2 = \chi_1, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_2 = -\widetilde{\chi}_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \chi_2 = -\chi_1, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_2 = \widetilde{\chi}_1, \end{cases}$$
 (6.17)

leads to enhancements to $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (4,0)$, respectively. On these three-dimensional hyper-surfaces (6.17), the isometry group is $SO(4) \times U(1)^2$ and the spectrum reorganizes into multiplets of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$. In the special limit where

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_1 = \widetilde{\chi}_2 = 0, \\ \psi = 0, \\ \alpha \to 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.18)$$

the solution (6.12) corresponds to the 10-dimensional uplift on T^4 of the one-parameter deformation of $AdS_3 \times S^3$ preserving $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ supersymmetries of ref. [1] (using the notations there, the precise matching is obtained for $\chi = \sqrt{e^{2\omega} - 1}$). In this limit, $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$ breaks into its small counterpart SU(2|1,1).

Another possibility is to set

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\chi}_1 = \pm \chi_1/\alpha, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_2 = \mp \chi_2/\alpha, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \widetilde{\chi}_1 = \pm \chi_2/\alpha, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_2 = \mp \chi_1/\alpha, \end{cases}$$
 (6.19)

giving 3-parameter families of $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ solutions, with multiplets of $SU(1|1,1)_L \times SU(1|1,1)_R$.

• $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Finally, for

$$\begin{cases} \chi_2 = \chi_1, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_1 = \pm \chi_1/\alpha, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_2 = \mp \chi_1/\alpha, \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \chi_2 = -\chi_1, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_1 = \pm \chi_1/\alpha, \\ \widetilde{\chi}_2 = \mp \chi_1/\alpha, \end{cases}$$
 (6.20)

there are enhancements to $\mathcal{N}=(2,4)$ and $\mathcal{N}=(4,2)$, respectively. The isometry group is $SO(4)\times U(1)^2$ and the spectrum is then given by multiplets of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)_{L,R}\times SU(1|1,1)_{R,L}$.

In the above cases, it is easy to see from (6.13) that the spectrum is invariant under $\chi_i \to \chi_i + 2\pi k_i \alpha$, $\widetilde{\chi}_i \to \widetilde{\chi}_i + 2\pi \widetilde{k}_i$, for k_i , $\widetilde{k}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, with the SUSY enhancements also occurring if the relations (6.16), (6.17), (6.19) or (6.20) are satisfied up to integer shifts. We will return to this point below in section 6.4, when discussing compactness of the moduli space.

For generic values of χ_i , $\tilde{\chi}_i$, the AdS₃ vacuum will be completely non-supersymmetric. However, it is easy to see from inspection of the spectrum (6.5) and (6.13) that the spectrum is bounded from below by the masses of the 3-dimensional gauged supergravity modes at the $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ supersymmetric origin. Therefore, these non-supersymmetric AdS₃ vacua are still perturbatively stable within IIB supergravity and, similarly to [31] suggest that there may be a dual non-supersymmetric conformal manifold if there are no instabilities beyond supergravity, such as non-perturbative instabilities triggered by brane nucleation or non-vanishing β -functions of marginal multi-trace operators add citations. However, it is worth stressing that the vacua here may have further protection against such instabilities due to their continuous limits to the SUSY vacua.

 $(\zeta, \widetilde{\zeta}, \Omega, \widetilde{\Omega}, \psi)$ Again in Euler coordinates:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}s^2 &= \ell_{\mathrm{AdS}}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s^2 \, (\mathrm{AdS}_3) - \Delta \Big(\cos^2(\theta) + e^\Omega \sin^2(\theta) \Big) \Big(e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) + \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \Big) \, \mathrm{d}z^2 \\ &+ \alpha^2 \Big[\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \Delta \Big(e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) + \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \Big) \Big(e^\Omega \cos^2(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\phi_1^2 + \sin^2(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\phi_2^2 \Big) \Big] \\ &+ \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\theta}^2 + \Delta \Big(\cos^2(\theta) + e^\Omega \sin^2(\theta) \Big) \Big(\cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1^2 + e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2^2 \Big) \\ &+ \Delta e^{2\psi} \, e^\Omega \cos^2(\theta) \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \Big(\alpha \, \widetilde{\zeta}_2 \, \mathrm{d}\phi_1 - \zeta_2 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1 \Big)^2 + \Delta e^{2\psi} \, e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \sin^2(\theta) \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \Big(\alpha \, \widetilde{\zeta}_1 \, \mathrm{d}\phi_2 - \zeta_1 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2 \Big)^2 \\ &+ \Delta e^{2\psi} \, e^{\Omega + \widetilde{\Omega}} \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \Big(\alpha \, \widetilde{\zeta}_1 \, \mathrm{d}\phi_1 - \zeta_2 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2 \Big)^2 + \Delta e^{2\psi} \sin^2(\theta) \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \Big(\alpha \, \widetilde{\zeta}_2 \, \mathrm{d}\phi_2 - \zeta_1 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1 \Big)^2 \\ &- 2 \, \alpha \, \Delta e^{2\psi} \, \Big(\zeta_1 \, \cos^2(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\phi_1 + e^\Omega \, \zeta_2 \, \sin^2(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\phi_2 \Big) \, \Big(e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \, \widetilde{\zeta}_1 \, \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1 + \widetilde{\zeta}_2 \, \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2 \Big) \\ &+ \Delta \, e^{2\psi} \Big(e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) + \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \Big) \Big[\cos^2(\theta) \, (\alpha \, \zeta_1 \, \mathrm{d}\phi_1 + \mathrm{d}z)^2 + e^\Omega \sin^2(\theta) \, (\alpha \, \zeta_2 \, \mathrm{d}\phi_2 + \mathrm{d}z)^2 \Big] \\ &+ \Delta \, e^{2\psi} \Big(\cos^2(\theta) + e^\Omega \sin^2(\theta) \Big) \Big[e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} \cos^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \Big(\widetilde{\zeta}_1 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_1 - \mathrm{d}z \Big)^2 + \sin^2(\widetilde{\theta}) \, \Big(\widetilde{\zeta}_2 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\phi}_2 - \mathrm{d}z \Big)^2 \Big], \end{split}$$
(6.21)

with the warp factor

$$\Delta^{-1} = \left(\cos^{2}(\theta) + e^{\Omega}\sin^{2}(\theta)\right) \left(e^{\widetilde{\Omega}}\cos^{2}(\widetilde{\theta}) + \sin^{2}(\widetilde{\theta})\right)
+ e^{2\psi} \left(\cos^{2}(\theta) + e^{\Omega}\sin^{2}(\theta)\right) \left(\widetilde{\zeta}_{2}^{2}\cos^{2}(\widetilde{\theta}) + e^{\widetilde{\Omega}}\widetilde{\zeta}_{1}^{2}\sin^{2}(\widetilde{\theta})\right)
+ e^{2\psi} \left(e^{\widetilde{\Omega}}\cos^{2}(\widetilde{\theta}) + \sin^{2}(\widetilde{\theta})\right) \left(e^{\Omega}\zeta_{2}^{2}\cos^{2}(\theta) + \zeta_{1}^{2}\sin^{2}(\theta)\right).$$
(6.22)

(Expression of H?)

The metric parametrising this moduli space is:

$$ds_{\text{mod.}}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[e^{2\psi} \left(e^{-\Omega} d\zeta_{1}^{2} + e^{\Omega} d\zeta_{2}^{2} + e^{\widetilde{\Omega}} d\widetilde{\zeta}_{1}^{2} + e^{-\widetilde{\Omega}} d\widetilde{\zeta}_{2}^{2} \right) + 2 d\psi^{2} + \frac{1}{2} d\Omega^{2} + \frac{1}{2} d\widetilde{\Omega}^{2} \right].$$
 (6.23)

6.4 Compactification of the moduli space

We can use the Kaluza-Klein spectrum and the uplift of the 3-dimensional gauged supergravity models to IIB supergravity to understand properties of the dual CFTs that are obscured in the lower-dimensional supergravities. One such aspect is the compactness of the conformal manifold. Consider the deformations χ_i , $\tilde{\chi}_i$, which appear non-compact in 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=16$ gauged supergravity, with only the point $\chi_i=\tilde{\chi}_i=0$ having $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ supersymmetry. The subset of these deformations corresponding to the limit (6.18) was analysed in the half-maximal theory in ref. [1]. Again, within 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity, this deformation is non-compact, and remains non-compact even after uplifting to 6-dimensional supergravity on S^3 , with no periodicity in χ observed in the KK spectrum of the 6-dimensional solution [1].

However, from (6.3), (6.5), (6.13) we see that the full Kaluza-Klein spectrum is invariant under the shifts

$$\chi_i \to \chi_i + 2\pi\alpha \, k_i \,, \widetilde{\chi}_i \to \widetilde{\chi}_i + 2\pi \widetilde{k}_i \,,$$

$$(6.24)$$

for k_i , $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In these cases, higher KK modes on the S^1 outside the $\mathcal{N}=16$ truncation replace those within the consistent truncation. Therefore, even though neither the 3-dimensional gauged supergravity, nor 6-dimensional supergravity is invariant under these shifts, upon lifting to the full 10-dimensional IIB theory, the higher KK modes on S^1 restore the invariance under the shifts, just as in the analogous deformations studied in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^5 \times S^1$ [30,31]. This highlights the importance of the S^1 direction in studying the AdS_3 vacua.

The compactification of the moduli space in 10 dimensions can also be understood geometrically. Each of χ_i , $\tilde{\chi}_i$ corresponds to the real part of the complex structure modulus of a T^2 fibration with local coordinates ϕ_i , $\tilde{\phi}_i$ together with z, respectively. Explicitly, the complex structures for the $T^2_{(\phi_i,z)}$ and $T^2_{(\tilde{\phi}_i,z)}$ are given by

$$\tau_i = i \frac{e^{\psi}}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_i, \qquad \widetilde{\tau}_i = i e^{\psi} + \widetilde{\chi}_i.$$
(6.25)

Under this identification, the shifts (6.24) correspond to T-transformations of the tori, which belong to the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, just as for $AdS_4 \times S^5 \times S^1$ [30, 31].

Given the interpretation of the shifts (6.24) as T-transformations of a T^2 -fibration, it is natural to ask whether S-transformations of these T^2 would also leave the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ solution invariant. The S-transformation acts on the moduli as

$$\chi_i \to -\frac{\alpha^2 \chi_i}{\chi_i^2 + e^{2\psi}}, \qquad e^{\psi} \to \frac{\alpha^2 e^{\psi}}{\chi_i^2 + e^{2\psi}},$$
(6.26)

for the $T^2_{(\phi_i,z)}$ and

$$\widetilde{\chi}_i \to -\frac{\widetilde{\chi}_i}{\widetilde{\chi}_i^2 + e^{2\psi}}, \qquad e^{\psi} \to \frac{e^{\psi}}{\widetilde{\chi}_i^2 + e^{2\psi}},$$
(6.27)

for the $T^2_{(\widetilde{\phi}_i,z)}$. Thus, the S-transformation effectively inverts the radius of the S^1 , while transforming the combinations

$$e^{-\psi}\chi_i \to -e^{-\psi}\chi_i$$
, $e^{-\psi}\widetilde{\chi}_i \to -e^{-\psi}\widetilde{\chi}_i$. (6.28)

EM To clean this up!

The KK spectrum (6.5), (6.13) is clearly not invariant under this transformation. However, the S-transformation takes us out of the regime of validity of supergravity, with new string degrees of freedom potentially becoming light. Indeed, in this case, after the transformation (??), strings wound around S^1 will become light, and one would expect them to replace the KK modes that have become heavy, realising the T-duality on S^1 . Thus, in the full IIB string theory, the moduli space of each T^2 fibration with complex structure (6.25) should be identified under the Möbius group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Can we check the T-dual explicitly? Maybe we need a different gauge choice for C_6 so that it does not depend on z. Can we describe the $T^4 \times S^1$ a bit better instead of as a collection of T^2 's?

7 Conclusions

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to XXX for useful discussions and correspondence. CE is supported by the FWO-Vlaanderen through the project G006119N and by the Vrije Universiteit Brussel through the

Strategic Research Program "High-Energy Physics". MG and EM are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) via the Emmy Noether program "Exploring the landscape of string theory flux vacua using exceptional field theory" (project number 426510644).

A Representations of $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$

The supergroup $D^1(2,1;\alpha)$ has

$$SU(1|1) \times SU(2) \times \widetilde{SU(2)}$$
 (A.1)

as even part. Its multiplets are labelled by the spins ℓ and $\widetilde{\ell}$ of each SU(2) factor and the eigenvalue h of the $\mathfrak{su}(1|1) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ generator. Generic short multiplets $[\ell,\widetilde{\ell}]_s$ are given by [42,43]

$$h_{0} \qquad \qquad (\ell, \widetilde{\ell})$$

$$h_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \quad (\ell + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$h_{0} + 1 \qquad (\ell, \widetilde{\ell} - 1) \oplus (\ell, \widetilde{\ell}) \oplus (\ell - 1, \widetilde{\ell})$$

$$h_{0} + \frac{3}{2} \qquad (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}),$$
(A.2)

with $h_0 = (\alpha \ell + \widetilde{\ell})/(1+\alpha)$. Shortenings occur for $\ell < 1$ or $\widetilde{\ell} < 1$ [42]. For instance:

$$[0,0]_{s}: h_{0} = 0 \quad (0,0), \qquad [1/2,1/2]_{s}: \frac{1}{3/2} \quad \frac{(1/2,1/2)}{(1/2,1/2)}$$

$$(A.3)$$

$$(A.3)$$

Two short multiplets can combine into a long multiplets $[\ell, \widetilde{\ell}]$ as follows:

$$[\ell, \widetilde{\ell}] = [\ell, \widetilde{\ell}]_{s} \oplus [\ell + 1/2, \widetilde{\ell} + 1/2]_{s}. \tag{A.4}$$

The value of h is then not constrained. The explicit content of the long representation $[\ell, \widetilde{\ell}]$ is

$$h \qquad \qquad (\ell,\ell)$$

$$h + \frac{1}{2} \quad (\ell + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} + \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} + \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2})$$

$$h + 1 \qquad (\ell + 1, \widetilde{\ell}) \oplus (\ell, \widetilde{\ell} - 1) \oplus (\ell, \widetilde{\ell}) \oplus (\ell, \widetilde{\ell}) \oplus (\ell - 1, \widetilde{\ell}) \oplus (\ell, \widetilde{\ell} + 1) \qquad (A.5)$$

$$h + \frac{3}{2} \quad (\ell + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} + \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} + \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\ell - \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\ell} - \frac{1}{2})$$

$$h + 2 \qquad (\ell, \widetilde{\ell}).$$

References

- [1] C. Eloy, G. Larios, and H. Samtleben, Triality and the consistent reductions on $AdS_3 \times S^3$, JHEP **01** (2022) 055, [arXiv:2111.01167].
- [2] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Consistent Kaluza-Klein Truncations via Exceptional Field Theory, JHEP 01 (2015) 131, [arXiv:1410.8145].

- [3] K. Lee, C. Strickland-Constable, and D. Waldram, Spheres, generalised parallelisability and consistent truncations, Fortsch. Phys. 65 (2017), no. 10-11 1700048, [arXiv:1401.3360].
- [4] E. Malek and H. Samtleben, Dualising consistent IIA/IIB truncations, JHEP 12 (2015) 029, [arXiv:1510.03433].
- [5] A. Baguet, O. Hohm, and H. Samtleben, Consistent Type IIB Reductions to Maximal 5D Supergravity, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015), no. 6 065004, [arXiv:1506.01385].
- [6] A. Baguet, C. N. Pope, and H. Samtleben, Consistent Pauli reduction on group manifolds, Phys. Lett. B752 (2016) 278–284, [arXiv:1510.08926].
- [7] K. Lee, C. Strickland-Constable, and D. Waldram, New gaugings and non-geometry, arXiv:1506.03457.
- [8] E. Malek, 7-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ Consistent Truncations using SL(5) Exceptional Field Theory, JHEP **06** (2017) 026, [arXiv:1612.01692].
- [9] F. Ciceri, A. Guarino, and G. Inverso, *The exceptional story of massive IIA supergravity*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 154, [arXiv:1604.08602].
- [10] D. Cassani, O. de Felice, M. Petrini, C. Strickland-Constable, and D. Waldram, Exceptional generalised geometry for massive IIA and consistent reductions, JHEP 08 (2016) 074, [arXiv:1605.00563].
- [11] G. Inverso, H. Samtleben, and M. Trigiante, Type II supergravity origin of dyonic gaugings, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2017), no. 6 066020, [arXiv:1612.05123].
- [12] E. Malek and H. Samtleben, Ten-dimensional origin of Minkowski vacua in N=8 supergravity, Phys. Lett. **B776** (2018) 64–71, [arXiv:1710.02163].
- [13] E. Malek, Half-Maximal Supersymmetry from Exceptional Field Theory, Fortsch. Phys. 65 (2017), no. 10-11 1700061, [arXiv:1707.00714].
- [14] E. Malek, H. Samtleben, and V. Vall Camell, Supersymmetric AdS₇ and AdS₆ vacua and their minimal consistent truncations from exceptional field theory, Phys. Lett. **B786** (2018) 171–179, [arXiv:1808.05597].
- [15] E. Malek, H. Samtleben, and V. Vall Camell, Supersymmetric AdS₇ and AdS₆ vacua and their consistent truncations with vector multiplets, JHEP **04** (2019) 088, [arXiv:1901.11039].
- [16] D. Cassani, G. Josse, M. Petrini, and D. Waldram, Systematics of consistent truncations from generalised geometry, JHEP 11 (2019) 017, [arXiv:1907.06730].
- [17] E. Malek and V. Vall Camell, Consistent truncations around half-maximal AdS₅ vacua of 11-dimensional supergravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **39** (2022), no. 7 075026, [arXiv:2012.15601].
- [18] D. Cassani, G. Josse, M. Petrini, and D. Waldram, $\mathcal{N}=2$ consistent truncations from wrapped M5-branes, JHEP **02** (2021) 232, [arXiv:2011.04775].

- [19] M. Galli and E. Malek, Consistent truncations to 3-dimensional supergravity, JHEP **09** (2022) 014, [arXiv:2206.03507].
- [20] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Exceptional Field Theory III: $E_{8(8)}$, Phys.Rev. **D90** (2014) 066002, [arXiv:1406.3348].
- [21] M. Cederwall and J. A. Rosabal, E₈ geometry, JHEP **07** (2015) 007, [arXiv:1504.04843].
- [22] T. Fischbacher, H. Nicolai, and H. Samtleben, Nonsemisimple and complex gaugings of N=16 supergravity, Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004) 475–496, [hep-th/0306276].
- [23] J. M. Maldacena and H. Ooguri, Strings in AdS(3) and SL(2,R) WZW model 1.: The Spectrum, J. Math. Phys. 42 (2001) 2929–2960, [hep-th/0001053].
- [24] L. Eberhardt, M. R. Gaberdiel, and R. Gopakumar, The Worldsheet Dual of the Symmetric Product CFT, JHEP 04 (2019) 103, [arXiv:1812.01007].
- [25] L. Eberhardt and M. R. Gaberdiel, Strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$, JHEP **06** (2019) 035, [arXiv:1904.01585].
- [26] L. Eberhardt, M. R. Gaberdiel, and R. Gopakumar, *Deriving the AdS*₃/*CFT*₂ correspondence, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 136, [arXiv:1911.00378].
- [27] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Effective actions for massive Kaluza-Klein states on AdS(3) x S**3 x S**3, JHEP 05 (2005) 027, [hep-th/0503088].
- [28] A. Guarino, C. Sterckx, and M. Trigiante, $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric S-folds, JHEP **04** (2020) 050, [arXiv:2002.03692].
- [29] A. Guarino and C. Sterckx, Flat deformations of type IIB S-folds, JHEP 11 (2021) 171, [arXiv:2109.06032].
- [30] A. Giambrone, E. Malek, H. Samtleben, and M. Trigiante, Global properties of the conformal manifold for S-fold backgrounds, JHEP 06 (2021), no. 111 111, [arXiv:2103.10797].
- [31] A. Giambrone, A. Guarino, E. Malek, H. Samtleben, C. Sterckx, and M. Trigiante, Holographic evidence for nonsupersymmetric conformal manifolds, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022), no. 6 066018, [arXiv:2112.11966].
- [32] H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, Maximal gauged supergravity in three-dimensions, Phys.Rev.Lett. 86 (2001) 1686–1689, [hep-th/0010076].
- [33] H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, Compact and noncompact gauged maximal supergravities in three-dimensions, JHEP 04 (2001) 022, [hep-th/0103032].
- [34] G. Bossard, F. Ciceri, G. Inverso, and A. Kleinschmidt, Consistent Kaluza-Klein Truncations and Two-Dimensional Gauged Supergravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022), no. 20 201602, [arXiv:2209.02729].
- [35] G. Bossard, F. Ciceri, G. Inverso, A. Kleinschmidt, and H. Samtleben, E₉ exceptional field theory. Part I. The potential, JHEP **03** (2019) 089, [arXiv:1811.04088].

- [36] G. Bossard, F. Ciceri, G. Inverso, A. Kleinschmidt, and H. Samtleben, E₉ exceptional field theory. Part II. The complete dynamics, JHEP 05 (2021) 107, [arXiv:2103.12118].
- [37] D. S. Berman, E. T. Musaev, and D. C. Thompson, Duality Invariant M-theory: Gauged supergravities and Scherk-Schwarz reductions, JHEP 1210 (2012) 174, [arXiv:1208.0020].
- [38] E. T. Musaev, Gauged supergravities in 5 and 6 dimensions from generalised Scherk-Schwarz reductions, JHEP 1305 (2013) 161, [arXiv:1301.0467].
- [39] C. D. A. Blair and E. Malek, Geometry and fluxes of SL(5) exceptional field theory, JHEP 1503 (2015) 144, [arXiv:1412.0635].
- [40] O. Hohm, E. T. Musaev, and H. Samtleben, O(d+1,d+1) enhanced double field theory, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 086, [arXiv:1707.06693].
- [41] H. Samtleben and O. Sarioglu, Consistent S³ reductions of six-dimensional supergravity, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019), no. 8 086002, [arXiv:1907.08413].
- [42] J. de Boer, A. Pasquinucci, and K. Skenderis, AdS / CFT dualities involving large 2-D N=4 superconformal symmetry, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999) 577–614, [hep-th/9904073].
- [43] L. Eberhardt, M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, and W. Li, *BPS spectrum on* $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$, *JHEP* **03** (2017) 124, [arXiv:1701.03552].