15-826: Multimedia Databases and Data Mining

Lecture #10: Fractals - case studies - I

C. Faloutsos

Must-read Material

- Christos Faloutsos and Ibrahim Kamel, *Beyond Uniformity and Independence: Analysis of R-trees Using the Concept of Fractal Dimension*, Proc. ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART PODS, May 1994, pp. 4-13, Minneapolis, MN.

Optional Material

Optional, but **very** useful: Manfred Schroeder *Fractals, Chaos, Power Laws: Minutes from an Infinite Paradise* W.H. Freeman and Company, 1991 (on reserve in the WeH library)



Reminder

- Code at

www.cs.cmu.edu/~christos/SRC/fdnq_h.zip

Also, in ‘R’

> library(fdim);



CMU SCS

Outline

Goal: 'Find similar / interesting things'

- Intro to DB
- ➡ Indexing - similarity search
- Data Mining

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 5



CMU SCS

Indexing - Detailed outline

- primary key indexing
- secondary key / multi-key indexing
- spatial access methods
  - z-ordering
  - R-trees
  - misc
- fractals
  - intro
  - ➡ applications
- text
- ...

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 6



CMU SCS

Indexing - Detailed outline

- fractals
  - intro
  - applications
    - ➡ disk accesses for R-trees (range queries)
    - dimensionality reduction
    - selectivity in M-trees
    - dim. curse revisited
    - "fat fractals"
    - quad-tree analysis [Gaede+]

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 7



CMU SCS

(Fractals mentioned before:)

- for performance analysis of R-trees
- fractals for dim. reduction

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 8



CMU SCS

Case study#1: R-tree performance

Problem

- Given
  - N points in E-dim space
- Estimate # disk accesses for a range query (q1 x ... x q_E)

(assume: 'good' R-tree, with tight, cube-like MBRs)

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 9



CMU SCS

Case study#1: R-tree performance

Problem

- Given
  - N points in E-dim space
- Estimate # disk accesses for a range query (q1 x ... x q_E)

(assume: 'good' R-tree, with tight, cube-like MBRs)
Typically, in DB Q-opt?

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 10



CMU SCS

Case study#1: R-tree performance

Problem

- Given
  - N points in E-dim space
- Estimate # disk accesses for a range query (q1 x ... x q_E)

(assume: 'good' R-tree, with tight, cube-like MBRs)
Typically, in DB Q-opt: uniformity + independence

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 11



CMU SCS

Case study#1: R-tree performance

Problem

- Given
  - N points in E-dim space
  - with fractal dimension D
- Estimate # disk accesses for a range query (q1 x ... x q_E)

(assume: 'good' R-tree, with tight, cube-like MBRs)
Typically, in DB Q-opt: ~~uniformity + independence~~

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2 12



CMU SCS

Examples:World’s countries

- BUT: area vs population for ~200 countries (1991 CIA fact-book).



CMU SCS

Examples:World’s countries

- neither uniform, nor independent!



CMU SCS

For fun: identification



CMU SCS

For fun: identification



CMU SCS

For fun: identification

Highest density

lowest density

47 residents(!)



CMU SCS

For fun: identification

47 residents(!)



CMU SCS

Examples: TIGER files

- neither uniform, nor independent!

MG county LB county



CMU SCS

How to proceed?

- recall the [Pagel+] formula, for range queries of size q1 x q2

$$\#DiskAccesses(q1,q2) = \\ sum\ (x_{i,1} + q1) * (x_{i,2} + q2)$$

But:

formula needs to know the $x_{i,j}$ sizes of MBRs!

How to proceed?

But:

formula needs to know the $x_{i,j}$ sizes of MBRs!

Answer (jumping ahead):

$$\boxed{s = (C/N)^{1/D0}}$$

How to proceed?

But:

formula needs to know the $x_{i,j}$ sizes of MBRs!

Answer (jumping ahead):



$s = (C/N)^{1/D0}$

Hausdorff fd

side of (parent) MBR

# of data points

page capacity

DETAILS

Let's see the rationale

$$\boxed{s = (C/N)^{1/D0}}$$

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

I.e: for range queries - how many disk accesses, if we just now that we have

- N points in E-d space?

A: can not tell! need to know distribution





CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q: OK - so we are told that the **Hausdorff** fractal dim. = D0 - Next step?

(also know that there are at most *C* points per page)

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 25



CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Assumption1: square-like parents (s*s)

Assumption2: fully packed (C points each)

Assumption3: non-overlapping

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 26



CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Assumption1: square-like parents (s*s)

Assumption2: fully packed (N/C non-empty)

Assumption3: non-overlapping

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 27



CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Hint: dfn of Hausdorff f.d.:

Felix Hausdorff (1868-1942)

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 28

CMU SCS

DETAILS

Reminder: Hausdorff or box-counting fd:

- Box counting plot: Log(N (r)) vs Log (r)
- r: grid side
- N (r): count of non-empty cells
- (Hausdorff) fractal dimension D0:

$$D_0 = -\frac{\partial \log(N(r))}{\partial \log(r)}$$

CMU SCS

Reminder

- Hausdorff fd:



CMU SCS

DETAILS

Reminder

- dfn of Hausdorff fd implies that

$$\overset{\nearrow}{} N(r) \sim r^{(-D0)}$$

# non-empty cells of side *r*

CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q (rephrased): what is the side s1, s2, ... of parent nodes, given *N* data points, packed by *C,* with f.d. = *D0*





CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q (rephrased): what is the side s1, s2, ... of parent nodes, given *N* data points, packed by *C,* with f.d. = *D0*



CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q (rephrased): what is the side s1, s2, ... of parent nodes, given *N* data points, packed by *C,* with f.d. = *D0*



CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

A: (educated guess)

- s=s1=s2 (= ...) - square-like MBRs
- N/C non-empty cells = K * $s^{(-D0)}$



CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Details of derivations: in [PODS 94].

Finally, expected side *s* of parent MBRs:

$$\boxed{s = (C/N)^{1/D0}}$$

Q: sanity check: how does *s* change with *D0?*

A:

R-trees - performance analysis

Details of derivations: in [Kamel+, PODS 94].



Finally, expected side *s* of parent MBRs:

$$s = (C/N)^{1/D0}$$

Q: sanity check: how does *s* change with *D0?*

A: *s* grows with *D0*

Q: does it make sense?

Q: does it suffer from (intrinsic) dim. curse?

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q: Final-final formula (# disk accesses for range queries *q1* x *q2* x ...):

A:

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q: Final-final formula (# disk accesses for range queries *q1* x *q2* x ...):

A: # of parent-node accesses:

$$N/C * (s + q1) * (s + q2) * \ldots (s + q_E)$$

A: # of grand-parent node accesses

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q: Final-final formula (# disk accesses for range queries *q1* x *q2* x ...):

A: # of parent-node accesses:

$$N/C * (s + q1) * (s + q2) * \ldots (s + q_E)$$

A: # of grand-parent node accesses

$$N/(C\char`^2) * (s' + q1) * (s' + q2) * \ldots (s' + q_E)$$

$$s' = ??$$

CMU SCS

DETAILS

R-trees - performance analysis

Q: Final-final formula (# disk accesses for range queries *q1* x *q2* x ...):

A: # of parent-node accesses:

$$N/C * (s + q1) * (s + q2) * \ldots (s + q_E)$$

A: # of grand-parent node accesses

$$N/(C\^{}2) * (s' + q1) * (s' + q2) * \ldots (s' + q_E)$$

$$s' = (C\^{}2/N)^{1/D0}$$

CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Results: IUE (x-y star coordinates)

# leaf accesses



query side

CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Results: LB County

# leaf accesses





query side

CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Results: MG-county

# leaf accesses





query side

CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Results: 2D- uniform





CMU SCS

R-trees - performance analysis

Conclusions: usually, <5% relative error, for range queries

CMU SCS

Optional

Indexing - Detailed outline

- fractals
  - intro
  - applications
    - ✔ disk accesses for R-trees (range queries)
    - ➡ dimensionality reduction
    - dim. curse revisited
    - quad-tree analysis [Gaede+]
    - ....

CMU SCS

Optional

Case study #2: Dim. reduction

Problem definition: 'Feature selection'

- given *N* points, with *E* dimensions
- keep the *k* most 'informative' dimensions

[Traina+,SBBD'00]

Caetano Traina

Agma Traina

Leejay Wu



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

not informative

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 49



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction

Problem definition: 'Feature selection'

- given *N* points, with *E* dimensions
- keep the *k* most 'informative' dimensions

Re-phrased: spot and drop attributes with strong (non-)linear correlations

Q: how do we do that?

y
(a) Quarter-circle
1
0
0
1
x

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017)



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction

A: Hint: correlated attributes do not affect the intrinsic/fractal dimension, e.g., if

$$y = f(x,z,w)$$

we can drop *y*

(hence: '*partial fd*' (PFD) of a set of attributes = the fd of the dataset, when projected on those attributes)

y
(a) Quarter-circle
1
0
0
1
x

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017)



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

global FD=1

PFD=1

PFD~0

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 52



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

global FD=1

PFD=1

PFD=1

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 53



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

global FD=1

PFD~1

PFD~1

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 54



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

- (problem: given N points in E-d, choose k best dimensions)
- Q: Algorithm?

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 55



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

- Q: Algorithm?
- A: e.g., greedy - forward selection:
  - keep the attribute with highest partial fd
  - add the one that causes the highest increase in pfd
  - etc., until we are within *epsilon* from the full f.d.

15-826 Copyright: C. Faloutsos (2017) 56



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

- (backward elimination: ~ reverse)
  - drop the attribute with least impact on the p.f.d.
  - repeat
  - until we are *epsilon* below the full f.d.



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

- Q: what is the smallest # of attributes we should keep?



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

- Q: what is the smallest # of attributes we should keep?
- A: we should keep at least as many as the f.d. (and probably, a few more)



CMU SCS

Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

- Results: E.g., on the ‘currency’ dataset
- (daily exchange rates for USD, HKD, BP, FRF, DEM, JPY - i.e., 6-d vectors, one per day - base currency: CAD)

e.g.:

Optional

E.g., on the ‘currency’ dataset

log(#pairs(<=r))

correlation integral



Optional

E.g., on the ‘currency’ dataset



Optional

Dim. reduction - w/ fractals

Conclusion:

- can do non-linear dim. reduction

global FD=1



PFD~1

References

- [PODS94] Faloutsos, C. and I. Kamel (May 24-26, 1994). *Beyond Uniformity and Independence: Analysis of R-trees Using the Concept of Fractal Dimension*. Proc. ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART PODS, Minneapolis, MN.
- [Traina+, SBBD’00] Traina, C., A. Traina, et al. (2000). *Fast feature selection using the fractal dimension*. XV Brazilian Symposium on Databases (SBBD), Paraiba, Brazil.