

36-705 Intermediate Statistics Homework #10 Solutions

December 8, 2016

Problem 1 [20 pts.]

By setting the first derivative of the loss function equal to 0 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial R(\beta)}{\partial \beta} &= 0 \\ \implies \mathbb{E}[-2X(Y - \beta^T X)] &= 0 \\ \implies 2\Lambda\beta - 2\alpha &= 0 \\ \implies \beta_* &= \Lambda^{-1}\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

The loss function $R(\beta)$ is strictly convex so β_* is its unique minimum.

Problem 2 [25 pts.]

Recall that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y - g(X)|] = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}[|Y - g(X)| \mid X]\}.$$

The idea is to choose c such that $\mathbb{E}[|Y - c| \mid X = x]$ is minimized. Now define:

$$r(c) = \mathbb{E}[|Y - c| \mid X = x] = \int |y - c| p_{Y|X=x}(y) dy.$$

The function $h_y(c) = |y - c|$ is differentiable everywhere except when $y = c$. Thus for $c \neq y$

$$h'_y(c) = \begin{cases} 1 & c > y \\ -1 & c < y \end{cases} = \mathbb{1}(c > y) - \mathbb{1}(c < y).$$

Since Y is continuous and has a density function, $P(Y = c) = 0$. So to minimize $r(c)$ we can differentiate under the integral sign and set the derivative equal to 0 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} r'(c) &= \int h'_y(c) p_{Y|X=x}(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^c p_{Y|X=x}(y) dy - \int_c^{\infty} p_{Y|X=x}(y) dy \\ &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^c p_{Y|X=x}(y) dy - 1 = 0 \\ &\iff \int_{-\infty}^c p_{Y|X=x}(y) dy = \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $c = m(x)$, which is the median of $p_{Y|X=x}(y)$. It is a minimum since $r'(c) < 0$ for $c < m(x)$ and $r'(c) > 0$ for $c > m(x)$. Since m minimizes $\mathbb{E}[|Y - c| \mid X = x]$ at every x for any g we get

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y - g(X)| - |Y - m(X)| \mid X = x] \geq 0$$

which implies

$$R(g) - R(m) = \mathbb{E}[|Y - g(X)| - |Y - m(X)|] = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}[|Y - g(X)| - |Y - m(X)| \mid X]\} \geq 0.$$

Problem 3 [25 pts.]

We can write

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i W_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2}.$$

By the Weak Law of Large Numbers, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i W_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[YW]$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[W^2]$. Then by the Continuous Mapping Theorem,

$$\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[YW]}{\mathbb{E}[W^2]}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[YW] &= \mathbb{E}[(\beta X + \epsilon)(X + \delta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\beta X^2 + \beta X\delta + X\epsilon + \epsilon\delta] \\ &= \beta \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \beta \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[\delta] + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon]\mathbb{E}[\delta] \\ &= \beta \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \beta \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot 0 + \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0 \\ &= \beta \mathbb{E}[X^2]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(X + \delta)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[X\delta] + \mathbb{E}[\delta^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[\delta] + (\mathbb{E}[\delta])^2 + \mathbb{V}(\delta) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[X] \cdot 0 + 0^2 + \tau^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \tau^2.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\beta \mathbb{E}[X^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \tau^2}.$$

So $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} a\beta$ where

$$a = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \tau^2}.$$

Problem 4 [30 pts.]

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\hat{\theta} - \theta| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(0, Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[Y_1] + \mathbb{E}[Y_0] \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(0, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz + \int \mu(0, z)p(z)dz \right| \\
 &= \left| \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(0, Z_i) - \int \mu(0, z)p(z)dz \right\} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(0, Z_i) - \int \mu(0, z)p(z)dz \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right|$. Note that $\int p(z)dz = 1$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) \int p(z)dz - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, z))p(z)dz \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, Z_i) + \mu(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, z))p(z)dz \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, Z_i))p(z)dz + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| \\
 &\leq \underbrace{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, Z_i))p(z)dz \right|}_A + \underbrace{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right|}_B.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will show that A is less than or equal to a value that converges in probability to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, Z_i))p(z)dz \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int |\hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \mu(1, Z_i)|p(z)dz \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \left(\sup_{x^*, z^*} |\hat{\mu}(x^*, z^*) - \mu(x^*, z^*)| \right) p(z)dz \\
 &= \int \left(\sup_{x^*, z^*} |\hat{\mu}(x^*, z^*) - \mu(x^*, z^*)| \right) p(z)dz \\
 &= \sup_{x^*, z^*} |\hat{\mu}(x^*, z^*) - \mu(x^*, z^*)| \int p(z)dz \\
 &= \sup_{x^*, z^*} |\hat{\mu}(x^*, z^*) - \mu(x^*, z^*)| \\
 &\xrightarrow{p} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we will show that B converges in probability to 0. By the Weak Law of Large Numbers,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(1, Z_i) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[\mu(1, z)] = \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \xrightarrow{p} 0,$$

which implies that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Now we have shown that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| \leq \sup_{x^*, z^*} |\hat{\mu}(x^*, z^*) - \mu(x^*, z^*)| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right|$$

and the two terms in that sum both converge in probability to 0.

Also, $0 \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right|$. So we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

By a similar argument,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(0, Z_i) - \int \mu(0, z)p(z)dz \right| \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Since

$$|\hat{\theta} - \theta| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, Z_i) - \int \mu(1, z)p(z)dz \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(0, Z_i) - \int \mu(0, z)p(z)dz \right|,$$

we know that $|\hat{\theta} - \theta| \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Finally, we conclude that $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta$.