

Local solubility in families of superelliptic curves

Christopher Keyes (Emory University)

joint work with **Lea Beneish** (UC Berkeley)

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.04697>

Algebra, Geometry, and Number Theory Seminar

University of South Carolina

April 8, 2022

Local solubility

Let C/\mathbb{Q} be a curve and v a place of \mathbb{Q} (i.e. $v = p$ or $v = \infty$).

Definition

C is **locally soluble at v** if $C(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ is nonempty.

C is **everywhere locally soluble (ELS)** if $C(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all v .

Local solubility

Let C/\mathbb{Q} be a curve and v a place of \mathbb{Q} (i.e. $v = p$ or $v = \infty$).

Definition

C is **locally soluble at v** if $C(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ is nonempty.

C is **everywhere locally soluble (ELS)** if $C(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all v .

Question

What proportion of curves over \mathbb{Q} (in some family) are ELS?

Known for genus 1 curves [BCF21], plane cubics [BCF16], some families of hypersurfaces e.g. [BBL16], [FHP21], [PV04], [Bro17].

Motivation

(Everywhere) local solubility is *necessary* for existence of \mathbb{Q} -points,

$$C(\mathbb{Q}) \subset C(\mathbb{Q}_v)$$

but not sufficient!

Motivation

(Everywhere) local solubility is *necessary* for existence of \mathbb{Q} -points,

$$C(\mathbb{Q}) \subset C(\mathbb{Q}_v)$$

but not sufficient!

Curves which are ELS but $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ violate the *Hasse principle*.

Motivation: hyperelliptic curves

Consider *hyperelliptic curves* given by (weighted) homog. equation

$$C: y^2 = f(x, z) = c_{2g+2}x^{2g+2} + \cdots + c_0z^{2g+2}.$$

Theorem (Poonen–Stoll, Bhargava–Cremona–Fisher)

A pos. prop. of hyperelliptics C/\mathbb{Q} are ELS [PS99b].

75.96% of genus 1 curves of this form are ELS [BCF21].

Motivation: hyperelliptic curves

Consider *hyperelliptic curves* given by (weighted) homog. equation

$$C: y^2 = f(x, z) = c_{2g+2}x^{2g+2} + \cdots + c_0z^{2g+2}.$$

Theorem (Poonen–Stoll, Bhargava–Cremona–Fisher)

A pos. prop. of hyperelliptics C/\mathbb{Q} are ELS [PS99b].

75.96% of genus 1 curves of this form are ELS [BCF21].

Theorem (Bhargava–Gross–Wang [BGW17])

*A positive proportion of everywhere locally soluble hyperelliptic curves C/\mathbb{Q} have no points over any **odd degree** extension k/\mathbb{Q} .*

Superelliptic curves

Fix a positive integer $m \geq 2$.

Definition

A **superelliptic curve** C/\mathbb{Q} is a smooth projective curve with a cyclic Galois cover of \mathbb{P}^1 of degree m .

Such C has an equation in weighted projective space

$$C: y^m = f(x, z) = c_d x^d + \cdots + c_0 z^d$$

where f is a binary form of degree d .

Superelliptic curves

Fix a positive integer $m \geq 2$.

Definition

A **superelliptic curve** C/\mathbb{Q} is a smooth projective curve with a cyclic Galois cover of \mathbb{P}^1 of degree m .

Such C has an equation in weighted projective space

$$C: y^m = f(x, z) = c_d x^d + \cdots + c_0 z^d$$

where f is a binary form of degree d .

Warning

Some authors assume $m \mid d$ (or not!), or that f is m -th power free.

Defining the proportion

Question

What proportion of *superelliptic* curves over \mathbb{Q} are ELS?

For $\mathbf{c} = (c_i)_{i=0}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, we associate a binary form and SEC

$$f(x, z) = \sum_{i=0}^d c_i x^i z^{d-i}, \quad C_f: y^m = f(x, z).$$

Definition

We define

$$\rho_{m,d} = \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathbf{c} \in ([-B, B] \cap \mathbb{Z})^{d+1} \mid C_f \text{ is ELS}\}}{\#\{\mathbf{c} \in ([-B, B] \cap \mathbb{Z})^{d+1}\}},$$

the proportion of ELS superelliptic curves of this form.

Main results

Fix $(m, d) \neq (2, 2)$ such that $m \mid d$.

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21])

(A) $0 < \rho_{m,d} < 1$, and $\rho_{m,d}$ is product of local densities,

$$\rho_{m,d} = \rho_{m,d}(\infty) \prod_p \rho_{m,d}(p).$$

$\rho_{m,d}(p)$ is (normalized) Haar measure of space of the \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Main results

Fix $(m, d) \neq (2, 2)$ such that m is prime and $m \mid d$.

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21], continued)

(B) We can find explicit (and sometimes good) bounds for $\rho_{m,d}(p)$ and hence $\rho_{m,d}$. In particular,

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{m,d} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m^{m+1}}\right) \prod_{p \equiv 1(m)} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{mp}\right)^{p+1}\right) \prod_{p \not\equiv 0,1(m)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2(p+1)}}\right).$$

Main results

Fix $(m, d) \neq (2, 2)$ such that m is prime and $m \mid d$.

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21], continued)

(B) We can find explicit (and sometimes good) bounds for $\rho_{m,d}(p)$ and hence $\rho_{m,d}$. In particular,

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{m,d} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m^{m+1}}\right) \prod_{p \equiv 1(m)} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{mp}\right)^{p+1}\right) \prod_{p \not\equiv 0,1(m)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2(p+1)}}\right).$$

When $m > 2$, we have

$$0.83511 \leq \liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{m,d} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{m,d} \leq 0.99804.$$

Main results

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21], continued)

(C) *In the case $(m, d) = (3, 6)$, we compute $\rho_{3,6} \approx 96.94\%$.*

Main results

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21], continued)

(C) *In the case $(m, d) = (3, 6)$, we compute $\rho_{3,6} \approx 96.94\%$.
Moreover, \exists rational functions $R_1(t)$ and $R_2(t)$ such that*

$$\rho_{3,6}(p) = \begin{cases} R_1(p), & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p > 43 \\ R_2(p), & p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

Asymptotically,

$$1 - R_1(t) \sim \frac{2}{3}t^{-4},$$

$$1 - R_2(t) \sim \frac{53}{144}t^{-7}.$$

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \left(1296p^{57} + 3888p^{56} + 9072p^{55} + 16848p^{54} + 27648p^{53} + 39744p^{52} + 53136p^{51} + 66483p^{50} + 80019p^{49} + 93141p^{48} \right. \\ + 107469p^{47} + 120357p^{46} + 135567p^{45} + 148347p^{44} + 162918p^{43} + 176004p^{42} + 190278p^{41} + 203459p^{40} \\ + 218272p^{39} + 232083p^{38} + 243639p^{37} + 255267p^{36} + 261719p^{35} + 264925p^{34} + 265302p^{33} + 261540p^{32} \\ + 254790p^{31} + 250736p^{30} + 241384p^{29} + 226503p^{28} + 214137p^{27} + 195273p^{26} + 170793p^{25} + 151839p^{24} + 136215p^{23} \\ + 118998p^{22} + 105228p^{21} + 94860p^{20} + 80471p^{19} + 67048p^{18} + 52623p^{17} + 40617p^{16} + 28773p^{15} + 19247p^{14} \\ + 12109p^{13} + 7614p^{12} + 3420p^{11} + 756p^{10} - 2248p^9 - 4943p^8 - 6300p^7 - 6894p^6 - 5994p^5 - 2448p^4 - 648p^3 \\ + 324p^2 + 1296p + 1296) / \left(1296(p^{12} - p^{11} + p^9 - p^8 + p^6 - p^4 + p^3 - p + 1)(p^8 - p^6 + p^4 - p^2 + 1) \right. \\ \times (p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)^3(p^4 - p^3 + p^2 - p + 1)(p^2 + p + 1) \\ \left. \times (p^2 + 1)p^{11} \right), & \rho \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ \\ \left(144p^{57} + 432p^{56} + 1008p^{55} + 1872p^{54} + 3168p^{53} + 4608p^{52} + 6336p^{51} + 8011p^{50} + 9803p^{49} + 11357p^{48} \right. \\ + 13061p^{47} + 14525p^{46} + 16295p^{45} + 17875p^{44} + 19654p^{43} + 21212p^{42} + 23030p^{41} + 24563p^{40} + 26320p^{39} \\ + 27771p^{38} + 29711p^{37} + 30859p^{36} + 31135p^{35} + 31525p^{34} + 31510p^{33} + 29436p^{32} + 28502p^{31} + 28616p^{30} \\ + 26856p^{29} + 25087p^{28} + 25057p^{27} + 23041p^{26} + 19921p^{25} + 18119p^{24} + 16287p^{23} + 13798p^{22} \\ + 12140p^{21} + 10844p^{20} + 9191p^{19} + 7480p^{18} + 5839p^{17} + 4265p^{16} + 2909p^{15} + 1943p^{14} + 1109p^{13} \\ + 590p^{12} + 604p^{11} + 372p^{10} - 144p^9 - 87p^8 - 84p^7 - 678p^6 - 618p^5 - 144p^4 - 168p^3 - 156p^2 \\ + 144p + 144) / \left(144(p^{12} - p^{11} + p^9 - p^8 + p^6 - p^4 + p^3 - p + 1)(p^8 - p^6 + p^4 - p^2 + 1) \right. \\ \times (p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)^3(p^4 - p^3 + p^2 - p + 1)(p^2 + p + 1) \\ \left. \times (p^2 + 1)p^{11} \right), & \rho \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

Outline

- Set up and state main results,
- Local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p) \rightarrow$ global density $\rho_{m,d}$,
- Study local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p)$,
- Sketch exact computations of $\rho_{3,6}(p)$.

Local densities

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21])

(A) $\rho_{m,d}$ exists and is given by the product of local densities,

$$\rho_{m,d} = \rho_{m,d}(\infty) \prod_p \rho_{m,d}(p) > 0.$$

$\rho_{m,d}(p)$ is (normalized) Haar measure of space of the \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Local densities

Theorem (Beneish–K. [BK21])

(A) $\rho_{m,d}$ exists and is given by the product of local densities,

$$\rho_{m,d} = \rho_{m,d}(\infty) \prod_p \rho_{m,d}(p) > 0.$$

$\rho_{m,d}(p)$ is (normalized) Haar measure of space of the \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Idea

In good situations, imposing conditions at different primes looks independent...even if there's infinitely many.

Local densities look independent

Idea

In *good situations*, imposing conditions at different primes looks independent...*even if there's infinitely many*.

- Poonen–Stoll [[PS99a](#)] give criterion for when natural density is product of local densities.

Local densities look independent

Idea

In *good situations*, imposing conditions at different primes looks independent...*even if there's infinitely many*.

- Poonen–Stoll [[PS99a](#)] give criterion for when natural density is product of local densities.
- Apply to ELS in families of hyperelliptic curves [[PS99b](#)]; uses sieve of Ekedahl [[Eke91](#)].

Local densities look independent

Idea

In *good situations*, imposing conditions at different primes looks independent...*even if there's infinitely many*.

- Poonen–Stoll [[PS99a](#)] give criterion for when natural density is product of local densities.
- Apply to ELS in families of hyperelliptic curves [[PS99b](#)]; uses sieve of Ekedahl [[Eke91](#)].
- Bright–Browning–Loughran [[BBL16](#)] give *geometric criteria* when family comes from fibers of a morphism.

Setup

oooooooo

Positive proportion

ooo●oooo

Bounding local densities

oooooooo

Exact values

oooooooooooo

Final thoughts

ooo

Geometric picture

A geometric criterion

Theorem (Bright–Browning–Loughran [BBL16])

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ a dominant, quasiproj. morphism of \mathbb{Q} -varieties with geom. int. gen. fiber. Suppose

- (i) fibers above each codim. 1 point of \mathbb{A}^n are geom. integral,
- (ii) $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$,
- (iii) For all $B \geq 1$ we have $B\pi(X(\mathbb{R})) \subseteq \pi(X(\mathbb{R}))$.

A geometric criterion

Theorem (Bright–Browning–Loughran [BBL16])

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ a dominant, quasiproj. morphism of \mathbb{Q} -varieties with geom. int. gen. fiber. Suppose

- (i) fibers above each codim. 1 point of \mathbb{A}^n are geom. integral,
- (ii) $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$,
- (iii) For all $B \geq 1$ we have $B\pi(X(\mathbb{R})) \subseteq \pi(X(\mathbb{R}))$.

Let $\Psi' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded subset of positive measure lying in $\pi(X(\mathbb{R}))$ whose boundary has measure zero. Then the limit

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{P \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B\Psi' \mid X_P(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset\}}{\#\{P \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B\Psi'\}}$$

exists, is nonzero, and is equal to a product of local densities,

$$\prod_{p \nmid \infty} \mu_p(\{P \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \mid X_P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset\}).$$

Geometric setup

We consider

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{d+1} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}[c_0, \dots, c_d],$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, d, 1) \text{ with coordinates } [x : y : z].$$

The variety

$$X: y^m = c_d x^d + \dots + c_0 z^d \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{d+1} \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

comes with a projection map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{d+1}$.

Geometric picture

Think

- A \mathbb{Q} -point $(\mathbf{c}, [x : y : z])$ of X is the data of superelliptic curve C_f/\mathbb{Q} and a \mathbb{Q} -point $[x : y : z] \in C_f(\mathbb{Q})$.
- The fiber X_P of π over a point $P \in \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a superelliptic curve C_f/\mathbb{Q} whose coefficients are encoded in P .

Proof sketch of (A)

Check that π is dominant, projective, and has geom. int. gen. fiber.

Proof sketch of (A)

Check that π is dominant, projective, and has geom. int. gen. fiber.

- (i) Codim. 1 points of \mathbb{A}^{d+1} = single relation on coeffs c_i .
Not enough to be reducible. (Unless $(m, d) = (2, 2)$!)

Proof sketch of (A)

Check that π is dominant, projective, and has geom. int. gen. fiber.

- (i) Codim. 1 points of \mathbb{A}^{d+1} = single relation on coeffs c_i .
Not enough to be reducible. (Unless $(m, d) = (2, 2)!$)
- (ii) $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$; e.g. $y^m = x^d + z^d$ has the point $[1 : 1 : 0]$.

Proof sketch of (A)

Check that π is dominant, projective, and has geom. int. gen. fiber.

- (i) Codim. 1 points of \mathbb{A}^{d+1} = single relation on coeffs c_i .
Not enough to be reducible. (Unless $(m, d) = (2, 2)!$)
- (ii) $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$; e.g. $y^m = x^d + z^d$ has the point $[1 : 1 : 0]$.
- (iii) $\pi(X(\mathbb{R}))$ closed under scaling by $B \geq 1$:
 C_f has a \mathbb{R} -point $\implies C_{Bf}: y^m = Bf(x, z)$ has \mathbb{R} -point.

Proof sketch of (A)

Check that π is dominant, projective, and has geom. int. gen. fiber.

- (i) Codim. 1 points of \mathbb{A}^{d+1} = single relation on coeffs c_i .
Not enough to be reducible. (Unless $(m, d) = (2, 2)!$)
- (ii) $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$; e.g. $y^m = x^d + z^d$ has the point $[1 : 1 : 0]$.
- (iii) $\pi(X(\mathbb{R}))$ closed under scaling by $B \geq 1$:
 C_f has a \mathbb{R} -point $\implies C_{Bf}: y^m = Bf(x, z)$ has \mathbb{R} -point.

Finally, choose $\Psi' = [-1, 1] \cap \pi(X(\mathbb{R}))$ (verifying $\mu_\infty(\partial\Psi') = 0$), and see this agrees with original definition of $\rho_{m,d}$. □

Outline

- Set up and state main results,
- Local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p) \rightarrow$ global density $\rho_{m,d}$,
- Bound local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p)$,
- Sketch exact computations of $\rho_{3,6}(p)$.

Computing local densities

Question

Once we know

$$\rho_{m,d} = \rho_{m,d}(\infty) \prod_p \rho_{m,d}(p),$$

how do we compute/estimate local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p)$?

Computing local densities

Question

Once we know

$$\rho_{m,d} = \rho_{m,d}(\infty) \prod_p \rho_{m,d}(p),$$

how do we compute/estimate local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p)$?

$\rho_{m,d}(\infty)$: Euclidean measure of \mathbb{R} -soluble C_f with coeffs $\in [-1, 1]$.

- If m or d is odd, then $\rho_{m,d}(\infty) = 1$.
- If m, d even, no analytic solution known for $d > 2$, but rigorous estimates exist, e.g.

$$0.873914 \leq \rho_{2,4}(\infty) \leq 0.874196 \quad [\text{BCF21}].$$

Computing local densities — finite places

$\rho_{m,d}(p)$ is (normalized) Haar measure of space of the \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Computing local densities — finite places

$\rho_{m,d}(p)$ is (normalized) Haar measure of space of the \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Think

Look mod p and check \mathbb{Q}_p -solubility with **Hensel's lemma!**

Computing local densities — finite places

$\rho_{m,d}(p)$ is (normalized) Haar measure of space of the \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Think

Look mod p and check \mathbb{Q}_p -solubility with **Hensel's lemma!**

Theorem (Hensel's lemma)

Let $F(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[t]$ reduce to $\overline{F}(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$. If $\exists \overline{t_0} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$\overline{F}(\overline{t_0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{F}'(\overline{t_0}) \neq 0,$$

then $\exists t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $F(t_0) = 0$ and $t_0 \equiv \overline{t_0} \pmod{p}$.

i.e. smooth \mathbb{F}_p -points on $\overline{C_f}/\mathbb{F}_p$ lift to \mathbb{Z}_p -points on C_f/\mathbb{Q}_p .

An extended example

Example

Consider $(m, d) = (3, 6)$, family of genus 4 curves

$$C_f: y^3 = f(x, z) = c_6x^6 + c_5x^5z + \cdots + c_1xz^5 + c_0z^6.$$

When does $\overline{C_f}$ have smooth \mathbb{F}_p -points?

An extended example

Example

Consider $(m, d) = (3, 6)$, family of genus 4 curves

$$C_f: y^3 = f(x, z) = c_6x^6 + c_5x^5z + \cdots + c_1xz^5 + c_0z^6.$$

When does $\overline{C_f}$ have smooth \mathbb{F}_p -points?

Theorem (Hasse–Weil bound)

If $\overline{C_f}$ is irreducible and smooth of genus g , then

$$\#\overline{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq p + 1 - g \cdot 2\sqrt{p}.$$

An extended example

Example

Consider $(m, d) = (3, 6)$, family of genus 4 curves

$$C_f: y^3 = f(x, z) = c_6 x^6 + c_5 x^5 z + \cdots + c_1 x z^5 + c_0 z^6.$$

When does $\overline{C_f}$ have smooth \mathbb{F}_p -points?

Theorem (Hasse–Weil bound, refined)

If $\overline{C_f}$ is irreducible and smooth of genus g , then

$$\#\overline{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq p + 1 - g \cdot \lfloor 2\sqrt{p} \rfloor.$$

An extended example — bounds from geometry

Whenever $p > 61$, we have

$$p + 1 - 8\sqrt{p} > 0,$$

so if $\overline{C}_f/\mathbb{F}_p$ is smooth for $p > 61$, C_f has \mathbb{Q}_p -point!

An extended example — bounds from geometry

Whenever $p > 61$, we have

$$p + 1 - 8\sqrt{p} > 0,$$

so if $\overline{C_f}/\mathbb{F}_p$ is smooth for $p > 61$, C_f has \mathbb{Q}_p -point!

- $\overline{C_f}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\overline{C_f}/\mathbb{F}_p$ geom. irr. and $p > 61$.

An extended example — bounds from geometry

Whenever $p > 61$, we have

$$p + 1 - 8\sqrt{p} > 0,$$

so if $\overline{C_f}/\mathbb{F}_p$ is smooth for $p > 61$, C_f has \mathbb{Q}_p -point!

- $\overline{C_f}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\overline{C_f}/\mathbb{F}_p$ geom. irr. and $p > 61$.
- Refinement of H-W $\implies p = 61$ is OK.

An extended example — bounds from geometry

Whenever $p > 61$, we have

$$p + 1 - 8\sqrt{p} > 0,$$

so if $\overline{C}_f/\mathbb{F}_p$ is smooth for $p > 61$, C_f has \mathbb{Q}_p -point!

- $\overline{C}_f^{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\overline{C}_f/\mathbb{F}_p$ geom. irr. and $p > 61$.
- Refinement of H-W $\implies p = 61$ is OK.
- Irreducibility over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \iff \overline{f}(x, z) \neq h(x, z)^3$ (when $p \neq 3$).

An extended example — bounds from geometry

Whenever $p > 61$, we have

$$p + 1 - 8\sqrt{p} > 0,$$

so if $\overline{C}_f/\mathbb{F}_p$ is smooth for $p > 61$, C_f has \mathbb{Q}_p -point!

- $\overline{C}_f^{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\overline{C}_f/\mathbb{F}_p$ geom. irr. and $p > 61$.
- Refinement of H-W $\implies p = 61$ is OK.
- Irreducibility over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \iff \overline{f}(x, z) \neq h(x, z)^3$ (when $p \neq 3$).

$$\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq \frac{p^7 - p^3}{p^7} = 1 - \frac{1}{p^4} \text{ for all } p \geq 61.$$

An extended example — bounds for $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

Exploit fact that cubing map $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^3} \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma

If $p > 2$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then C_f has a \mathbb{Z}_p -point whenever reduction \bar{f} is nonzero.

An extended example — bounds for $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

Exploit fact that cubing map $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^3} \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma

If $p > 2$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then C_f has a \mathbb{Z}_p -point whenever reduction \bar{f} is nonzero.

What goes wrong? $\bar{f}(x, z)$ has multiple roots everywhere.

Example

If $p = 2$, could have $f(x, z) = x^2(x + z)z^2$

An extended example

- $\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{p^4}$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p > 43$
- $\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{p^7}$ when $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $p > 2$

An extended example

- $\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{p^4}$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p > 43$
- $\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{p^7}$ when $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $p > 2$
- Enumerate all $\bar{f}(x, z)$ in Magma and count liftable solutions:

p	$\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq$	p	$\rho_{3,6}(p) \geq$
2	$\frac{63}{64} \approx 0.98437$	19	$\frac{893660256}{893871739} \approx 0.99976$
3	$\frac{26}{27} \approx 0.96296$	31	$\frac{27512408250}{27512614111} \approx 0.99999$
7	$\frac{810658}{823543} \approx 0.98435$	37	$\frac{94931742132}{94931877133} \approx 0.999998$
13	$\frac{62655132}{62748517} \approx 0.99851$	43	$\frac{271818511748}{271818611107} \approx 0.9999996$

Put together, we find

$$\rho_{3,6} = \prod_p \rho_{3,6}(p) \geq 0.93134.$$

Bounds more generally for $m = 3$

For $d > 6$ such that $3 \mid d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{3,d} \geq & \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^4}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 2(3) \\ p \leq d/2-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2(p+1)}}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 2(3) \\ p > d/2-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+1}}\right) \\ & \times \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1(3) \\ p < d}} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{3p}\right)^{p+1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1(3) \\ d < p < 4(d-2)^2}} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{3p}\right)^{d+1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1(3) \\ p \geq 4(d-2)^2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\frac{2d}{3}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Bounds more generally for $m = 3$

For $d > 6$ such that $3 \mid d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{3,d} \geq & \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^4}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 2(3) \\ p \leq d/2-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2(p+1)}}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 2(3) \\ p > d/2-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+1}}\right) \\ & \times \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1(3) \\ p < d}} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{3p}\right)^{p+1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1(3) \\ d < p < 4(d-2)^2}} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{3p}\right)^{d+1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1(3) \\ p \geq 4(d-2)^2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\frac{2d}{3}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $d \rightarrow \infty$ gives large *genus* limit

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{3,d} & \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^4}\right) \prod_{p \equiv 1(3)} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{3p}\right)^{p+1}\right) \prod_{p \equiv 2(3)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2(p+1)}}\right) \\ & \approx 0.90061. \end{aligned}$$

Outline

- Set up and state main results,
- Local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p) \rightarrow$ global density $\rho_{m,d}$,
- Bound local densities $\rho_{m,d}(p)$,
- Sketch exact computations of $\rho_{3,6}(p)$.

Getting exact answer

Question

How do we go from bounds to exact values for $\rho_{3,6}(p)$?

Getting exact answer

Question

How do we go from bounds to exact values for $\rho_{3,6}(p)$?

Let $F(x, y, z) = y^3 - f(x, z)$ and look at reduction modulo p .

Recall \overline{F} irreducible/ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \iff f(x, z) \neq h(x, z)^3$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Getting exact answer

Question

How do we go from bounds to exact values for $\rho_{3,6}(p)$?

Let $F(x, y, z) = y^3 - f(x, z)$ and look at reduction modulo p .

Recall \overline{F} irreducible/ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \iff f(x, z) \neq h(x, z)^3$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Factorization type	$p = 3$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	$p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
1. Abs. irr.	2160	$p^3(p^4 - 1)$	$p^3(p^4 - 1)$
2. 3 distinct linear over \mathbb{F}_p	0	$\frac{1}{3}(p^3 - 1)$	0
3. Linear + conj.	0	0	$p^3 - 1$
4. 3 conjugate factors	0	$\frac{2}{3}(p^3 - 1)$	0
5. Triple factor	27	1	1
Total	3^7	p^7	p^7

Getting exact answer

Let ξ_i be the proportion of \bar{f} for which \bar{F} has type i .

Let σ_i be the probability that $F(x, y, z) = 0$ has \mathbb{Z}_p -solution when \bar{F} has type i . Then

$$\rho_{3,6}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \xi_i \sigma_i.$$

Getting exact answer

Let ξ_i be the proportion of \bar{f} for which \bar{F} has type i .

Let σ_i be the probability that $F(x, y, z) = 0$ has \mathbb{Z}_p -solution when \bar{F} has type i . Then

$$\rho_{3,6}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \xi_i \sigma_i.$$

Proposition

We have

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 1$$

for all primes $p \geq 61$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ except $p = 2$.

Getting exact answer

Proposition

We have

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 1$$

for all primes $p \geq 61$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ except $p = 2$.

Proof. We (essentially) already did this! Use Hasse–Weil bound on all components, possibly avoiding desingularized points. □

Getting exact answer

Proposition

We have

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 1$$

for all primes $p \geq 61$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ except $p = 2$.

Proof. We (essentially) already did this! Use Hasse–Weil bound on all components, possibly avoiding desingularized points. □

To improve on previous bounds, we

- carefully analyze σ_4 , σ_5 and
- deal with more delicate primes $p = 2, 3, 7, 13, 19, 31, 37, 43$.

An example: computing σ_5

Suppose $f(x, z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, but $f(x, z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Set $f(x, z) \equiv pf_1(x, z)$ for nonzero $f_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, z]$.

An example: computing σ_5

Suppose $f(x, z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, but $f(x, z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Set $f(x, z) \equiv pf_1(x, z)$ for nonzero $f_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, z]$.

Observation

\mathbb{Z}_p -solution to $C_f: y^3 = f(x, z)$ must have $p \mid y$,

$$p^3 \mid f(x, z) \implies p^2 \mid f_1(x, z).$$

An example: computing σ_5

Suppose $f(x, z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, but $f(x, z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Set $f(x, z) \equiv pf_1(x, z)$ for nonzero $f_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, z]$.

Observation

\mathbb{Z}_p -solution to $C_f: y^3 = f(x, z)$ must have $p \mid y$,

$$p^3 \mid f(x, z) \implies p^2 \mid f_1(x, z).$$

(0) If $\overline{f_1}(x, z)$ has no roots modulo p , then C_f has no \mathbb{Z}_p -points.

An example: computing σ_5

Suppose $f(x, z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, but $f(x, z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Set $f(x, z) \equiv pf_1(x, z)$ for nonzero $f_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, z]$.

Observation

\mathbb{Z}_p -solution to $C_f: y^3 = f(x, z)$ must have $p \mid y$,

$$p^3 \mid f(x, z) \implies p^2 \mid f_1(x, z).$$

- (0) If $\overline{f_1}(x, z)$ has no roots modulo p , then C_f has no \mathbb{Z}_p -points.
- (1) If $\overline{f_1}(x, z)$ has a root of mult. 1, it lifts to \mathbb{Z}_p -point of C_f .

An example: computing σ_5

Suppose $f(x, z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, but $f(x, z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Set $f(x, z) \equiv pf_1(x, z)$ for nonzero $f_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, z]$.

Observation

\mathbb{Z}_p -solution to $C_f: y^3 = f(x, z)$ must have $p \mid y$,

$$p^3 \mid f(x, z) \implies p^2 \mid f_1(x, z).$$

- (0) If $\overline{f_1}(x, z)$ has no roots modulo p , then C_f has no \mathbb{Z}_p -points.
- (1) If $\overline{f_1}(x, z)$ has a root of mult. 1, it lifts to \mathbb{Z}_p -point of C_f .
- (2) Suppose $\overline{f_1}(x, z)$ has a double root (and no other roots).

Dealing with the double root

Assume $x^2 \mid \overline{f_1}$, giving p -adic valuations below (original coeffs of f):

$v(c_6)$	$v(c_5)$	$v(c_4)$	$v(c_3)$	$v(c_2)$	$v(c_1)$	$v(c_0)$
≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	$= 1$	≥ 2	≥ 2
≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	$= 1$	≥ 2	≥ 3
≥ 4	≥ 3	≥ 2	≥ 1	$= 0$	≥ 0	≥ 0

Probability of lifting $[0 : 0 : 1]$ in this case is

$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{p} = \text{Prob} \left(p^3 \mid c_0 : p^2 \mid c_0 \text{ and } p \parallel c_2 \right).$$

Computing σ_5

$$\sigma_5 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^7}\right) \sum_{i=0}^9 \eta_i \tau_i + \left(\frac{1}{p^7} - \frac{1}{p^{14}}\right) \sum_{i=0}^9 \eta_i \theta_i + \frac{1}{p^{14}} \rho$$

- Index i indicates factorization type of $f_1(x, z)$ (or $f_2(x, z)$)
- η_i = proportion of sextic forms/ \mathbb{F}_p with i -th type
- τ_i (resp. θ_i) are proportion of f with f_1 (resp. f_2) of type i such that C_f has a \mathbb{Z}_p -point.

Factorization types

Fact. type	η_i	η'_i (monic forms only)
0. No roots	$\frac{(53p^4 + 26p^3 + 19p^2 - 2p + 24)(p-1)p}{144(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{(53p^4 + 26p^3 + 19p^2 - 2p + 24)(p-1)}{144p^5}$
1. (1*)	$\frac{(91p^4 + 26p^3 + 23p^2 + 16p - 12)(p+1)p}{144(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{(91p^3 - 27p^2 + 50p - 48)(p+1)(p-1)}{144p^5}$
2. (1 ² 4) or (1 ² 22)	$\frac{(3p^2 + p + 2)(p+1)(p-1)p}{8(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{(3p^2 + p + 2)(p-1)}{8p^4}$
3. (1 ² 1 ² 2)	$\frac{(p+1)(p-1)p^2}{4(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{(p-1)^2}{4p^4}$
4. (1 ² 1 ² 1 ²)	$\frac{(p+1)(p-1)p}{6(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{6p^5}$
5. (1 ³ 3)	$\frac{(p+1)^2(p-1)p}{3(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{3p^4}$
6. (1 ³ 1 ³)	$\frac{(p+1)p}{2(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{p-1}{2p^5}$
7. (1 ⁴ 2)	$\frac{(p+1)(p-1)p}{2(p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}$	$\frac{p-1}{2p^4}$
8. (1 ² 1 ⁴)	$\frac{(p+1)p}{p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1}$	$\frac{p-1}{p^5}$
9. (1 ⁶)	$\frac{p+1}{p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1}$	$\frac{1}{p^5}$

Type 9: yikes!

Type 9, e.g. $f(x, z) \equiv px^6 \pmod{p^2}$.

τ_9 is a degree 44 rational function in p .

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_9 &= \tau_{9a} = \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9b} \\ \tau_{9b} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9c} \\ \tau_{9c} &= \Phi(p) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9d} \\ \tau_{9d} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9e} \\ \tau_{9e} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9f} \\ \tau_{9f} &= \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9g} \\ \tau_{9g} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \alpha'' + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9h} \\ \tau_{9h} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2p} + \frac{\theta_2}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9i} \\ \tau_{9i} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9j} \\ \tau_{9j} &= \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9k} \\ \tau_{9k} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9\ell} \\ \tau_{9\ell} &= \Phi(p) + \left(1 - \Phi(p) - \frac{1}{p}\right) \beta + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9m} \\ \tau_{9m} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9n} \\ \tau_{9n} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9o} \\ \tau_{9o} &= \Phi(p) + \frac{1}{p} \tau_{9p} \\ \tau_{9p} &= \sigma'_5\end{aligned}$$

[illegible]

What is $\rho_{3,6}(p)$?

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho = \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 & \left(1296p^{57} + 3888p^{56} + 9072p^{55} + 16848p^{54} + 27648p^{53} + 39744p^{52} + 53136p^{51} + 66483p^{50} + 80019p^{49} + 93141p^{48} \right. \\
 & + 107469p^{47} + 120357p^{46} + 135567p^{45} + 148347p^{44} + 162918p^{43} + 176004p^{42} + 190278p^{41} + 203459p^{40} \\
 & + 218272p^{39} + 232083p^{38} + 243639p^{37} + 255267p^{36} + 261719p^{35} + 264925p^{34} + 265302p^{33} + 261540p^{32} \\
 & + 254790p^{31} + 250736p^{30} + 241384p^{29} + 226503p^{28} + 214137p^{27} + 195273p^{26} + 170793p^{25} + 151839p^{24} + 136215p^{23} \\
 & + 118998p^{22} + 105228p^{21} + 94860p^{20} + 80471p^{19} + 67048p^{18} + 52623p^{17} + 40617p^{16} + 28773p^{15} + 19247p^{14} \\
 & + 12109p^{13} + 7614p^{12} + 3420p^{11} + 756p^{10} - 2248p^9 - 4943p^8 - 6300p^7 - 6894p^6 - 5994p^5 - 2448p^4 - 648p^3 \\
 & + 324p^2 + 1296p + 1296 \Big) / \left(1296(p^{12} - p^{11} + p^9 - p^8 + p^6 - p^4 + p^3 - p + 1)(p^8 - p^6 + p^4 - p^2 + 1) \right. \\
 & \times (p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)^3 (p^4 - p^3 + p^2 - p + 1)(p^2 + p + 1) \\
 & \times (p^2 + 1)p^{11} \Big), \\
 \\
 & \left(144p^{57} + 432p^{56} + 1008p^{55} + 1872p^{54} + 3168p^{53} + 4608p^{52} + 6336p^{51} + 8011p^{50} + 9803p^{49} + 11357p^{48} \right. \\
 & + 13061p^{47} + 14525p^{46} + 16295p^{45} + 17875p^{44} + 19654p^{43} + 21212p^{42} + 23030p^{41} + 24563p^{40} + 26320p^{39} \\
 & + 27771p^{38} + 29711p^{37} + 30859p^{36} + 31135p^{35} + 31525p^{34} + 31510p^{33} + 29436p^{32} + 28502p^{31} + 28616p^{30} \\
 & + 26856p^{29} + 25087p^{28} + 25057p^{27} + 23041p^{26} + 19921p^{25} + 18119p^{24} + 16287p^{23} + 13798p^{22} \\
 & + 12140p^{21} + 10844p^{20} + 9191p^{19} + 7480p^{18} + 5839p^{17} + 4265p^{16} + 2909p^{15} + 1943p^{14} + 1109p^{13} \\
 & + 590p^{12} + 604p^{11} + 372p^{10} - 144p^9 - 87p^8 - 84p^7 - 678p^6 - 618p^5 - 144p^4 - 168p^3 - 156p^2 \\
 & + 144p + 144 \Big) / \left(144(p^{12} - p^{11} + p^9 - p^8 + p^6 - p^4 + p^3 - p + 1)(p^8 - p^6 + p^4 - p^2 + 1) \right. \\
 & \times (p^6 + p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)^3 (p^4 - p^3 + p^2 - p + 1)(p^2 + p + 1) \\
 & \times (p^2 + 1)p^{11} \Big),
 \end{aligned} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

$p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

What is $\rho_{3,6}(p)$? Small primes edition

$$\rho(2) = \frac{45948977725819217081}{46164832540903014400} \approx 0.99532$$

$$\rho(3) = \frac{900175334869743731875930997281}{908381960435133191895132960000} \approx 0.99096$$

$$\rho(7) = \frac{63104494755178622851603292623187277054743730183645677893972}{64083174787206696882429945655801281538844149896400159815375} \approx 0.98472$$

$$\rho(13) = \frac{7877728357244577414025901931296747409682076255666526984515273526822853}{7890643570620106747776737292792780623510727026420779539893772399701475} \approx 0.99836$$

$$\rho(19) = \frac{3122673715489206150449285868243361150392235799365815266879438393279346795671}{3123410013311365155035964479837966797560851333614271490136481337080636454180} \approx 0.99976$$

$$\rho(31) = \frac{9196796457678318869139089936786462146535210039832850454297877482020635073857159758299}{9196865061587843544830989041473808798913128587425995645857828572610918436035833907250} \approx 0.999992$$

$$\rho(37) = \frac{171128647900820194784458101787952920169924464886519055453844647154184805036447476640345735119}{171128889636157060536894474187017088464271236509977199491208939449738127658679723715588944500} \approx 0.999998$$

$$\rho(43) = \frac{84000121343283090388653356431804100707331364779290664490547105768867844862712134447832720508750281}{84000151671513555191647712567596101710800846209116830568013729377404991150901973105093039939237500} \approx 0.9999996$$

Use Magma to help when Hasse–Weil doesn't apply, modify calculations accordingly.

What is $\rho_{3,6}$?

Theorem (Beneish-K.)

(C) *We have determined $\rho_{3,6}(p)$ exactly for all p .*

Taking product over $p \leq 10000$ gives

$$\rho_{3,6} \approx \prod_{p \leq 10000} \rho_{3,6}(p) = 0.96943,$$

with error of $O(10^{-14})$.

Further questions

What proportion of superelliptic curves $C_f: y^m = f(x, z)$

- are *globally* soluble?
- satisfy/fail the Hasse principle?
- satisfy/fail weak approximation?

Analogues to theorems like *a pos. prop. of loc. sol. hyperelliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} have no odd degree points* [BGW17].

Study these/other solubility questions for more families. Can methods be adapted to integral pts. on stacky curves (see [BP20])?

Thank you I

Thank you for the invitation and for your attention!



M. J. Bright, T. D. Browning, and D. Loughran, [Failures of weak approximation in families](#), Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 7, 1435–1475. MR 3530447



Manjul Bhargava, John Cremona, and Tom Fisher, [The proportion of plane cubic curves over \$\mathbb{Q}\$ that everywhere locally have a point](#), Int. J. Number Theory **12** (2016), no. 4, 1077–1092. MR 3484299



———, [The proportion of genus one curves over \$\mathbb{Q}\$ defined by a binary quartic that everywhere locally have a point](#), Int. J. Number Theory **17** (2021), no. 4, 903–923. MR 4262272



Manjul Bhargava, Benedict H. Gross, and Xiaoheng Wang, [A positive proportion of locally soluble hyperelliptic curves over \$\mathbb{Q}\$ have no point over any odd degree extension](#), J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 2, 451–493, With an appendix by Tim Dokchitser and Vladimir Dokchitser. MR 3600041



Lea Beneish and Christopher Keyes, [On the proportion of locally soluble superelliptic curves](#), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.04697>, 2021.



Manjul Bhargava and Bjorn Poonen, [The local-global principle for integral points on stacky curves](#), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.00167>, 2020.



T. D. Browning, [Many cubic surfaces contain rational points](#), Mathematika **63** (2017), no. 3, 818–839. MR 3731306

Thank you II



Torsten Ekedahl, [An infinite version of the Chinese remainder theorem](#), Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **40** (1991), no. 1, 53–59. MR 1104780



Tom Fisher, Wei Ho, and Jennifer Park, [Everywhere local solubility for hypersurfaces in products of projective spaces](#), Res. Number Theory **7** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 6, 27. MR 4199457



Bjorn Poonen and Michael Stoll, [The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties](#), Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 3, 1109–1149. MR 1740984



———, [A local-global principle for densities](#), Topics in number theory (University Park, PA, 1997), Math. Appl., vol. 467, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 241–244. MR 1691323



Bjorn Poonen and José Felipe Voloch, [Random Diophantine equations](#), Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), Progr. Math., vol. 226, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004, With appendices by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Nicholas M. Katz, pp. 175–184. MR 2029869