

The Hasse principle for generalized Fermat equations of the form $x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$

Christopher Keyes
(King's College London)

Spring of Rational Points II
University of Bath
13 May 2025

The Hasse principle for generalized Fermat equations of the form $x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$

Christopher Keyes
(King's College London)

Integral
Spring of Rational Points II
University of Bath
13 May 2025

Acknowledgment

This work is joint with

- Juanita Duque-Rosero (Boston University)
- Andrew Kobin (CCR La Jolla)
- Manami Roy (Lafayette)
- Soumya Sankar (Utrecht)
- Yidi Wang (Western Ontario)

and started at the **AMS Mathematics Research Community**
Explicit computations with stacks.

Advertisement

This work is joint with

- Juanita Duque-Rosero (Boston University)
- Andrew Kobin (CCR La Jolla)
- Manami Roy (Lafayette)
- Soumya Sankar (Utrecht)
- Yidi Wang (Western Ontario)

and started at the **AMS Mathematics Research Community**
Explicit computations with stacks.

Soumya will be speaking in Bristol next week!

Generalized Fermat equations

Definition

A **generalized Fermat equation** is a Diophantine equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r.$$

We are interested in **primitive integer solutions**: $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$.

Generalized Fermat equations

Definition

A **generalized Fermat equation** is a Diophantine equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r.$$

We are interested in **primitive integer solutions**: $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$.

Example (Fermat's last theorem)

When $A = B = C = 1$ and $p = q = r = n > 2$, we have

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

only has integer solutions with **$xyz = 0$** .

Generalized Fermat equations

Some generalized Fermat equations have lots of solutions.

Example

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ has infinitely many primitive integral solutions.

Generalized Fermat equations

Some generalized Fermat equations have lots of solutions.

Example

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ has infinitely many primitive integral solutions.

Analogue of the **trichotomy** for rational points on curves:

Theorem (Darmon–Granville [DG95], Beukers [Beu98])

$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$ has

- *finitely many solutions if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$,*
- *either none or ∞ -ly many if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} > 1$.*

Generalized Fermat equations

Some generalized Fermat equations have lots of solutions.

Example

$x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ has infinitely many primitive integral solutions.

Analogue of the **trichotomy** for rational points on curves:

Theorem (Darmon–Granville [DG95], Beukers [Beu98])

$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$ has

- *finitely many solutions if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$,*
- *either none or ∞ -ly many if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} > 1$.*

Today: focus on $x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$ for n odd.

Specialize to $(p, q, r) = (2, 2, n)$

Primitive integer solutions to

$$x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$$

correspond to \mathbb{Z} -points on stacky curve $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2)$.

Specialize to $(p, q, r) = (2, 2, n)$

Primitive integer solutions to

$$x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$$

correspond to \mathbb{Z} -points on stacky curve $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2)$.

- $\mathbb{P}(n, n, 2) = [\mathbb{A}^3/\mathbb{G}_m]$ with weighted action

$$\lambda \cdot (x, y, z) = (\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y, \lambda^2 z).$$

- **Stabilizers** at $[0 : 0 : 1]$ and $z = 0 \rightarrow$ stackiness.

Specialize to $(p, q, r) = (2, 2, n)$

Primitive integer solutions to

$$x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$$

correspond to \mathbb{Z} -points on stacky curve $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2)$.

- $\mathbb{P}(n, n, 2) = [\mathbb{A}^3/\mathbb{G}_m]$ with weighted action

$$\lambda \cdot (x, y, z) = (\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y, \lambda^2 z).$$

- Stabilizers at $[0 : 0 : 1]$ and $z = 0 \rightarrow$ stackiness.
- Equation is now “homogeneous” so $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2)$.
- Two (geometric) stacky “ $1/n$ ”-points with $z = 0$.

Fast facts about stacky curves

- A **stacky curve** is a smooth, proper, irreducible Deligne–Mumford stack of dimension 1 over a field.
- Think: an algebraic curve (coarse space) with **finitely many** stacky points x each with stabilizer group G_x .
- Notion of Euler characteristic and **genus**

$$g(\mathcal{X}) = g(X) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}(k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|G_x|} \right).$$

($g(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{n-1}{n}$ for $(2, 2, n)$ case.)

- **Descent**: given $\pi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ étale μ_n -cover over R ,

$$\mathcal{X}(R) = \coprod_{d \in H^1(R, \mu_n)} \pi(\mathcal{Y}_d(R)).$$

Local situation

$$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}: x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2).$$

Definition

$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ is **locally soluble at p** if $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$.

This comes down to Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{-B_0}{p}\right)$ for p dividing C .

Local situation

$$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}: x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2).$$

Definition

$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ is **locally soluble at p** if $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$.

This comes down to Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{-B_0}{p}\right)$ for p dividing C .

Example ($x^2 + 29y^2 = 7z^3$)

7 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-29})$. Thus $x^2 + 29y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \implies 7 \mid x, y$.
Conclude $\mathcal{Y}_{29,7}(\mathbb{Z}_7) = \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{Y}_{29,7}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$.

Local situation

$$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}: x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n \subset \mathbb{P}(n, n, 2).$$

Definition

$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ is **locally soluble at p** if $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$.

This comes down to Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{-B_0}{p}\right)$ for p dividing C .

Example $(x^2 + 29y^2 = 7z^3)$

7 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-29})$. Thus $x^2 + 29y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \implies 7 \mid x, y$.
Conclude $\mathcal{Y}_{29,7}(\mathbb{Z}_7) = \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{Y}_{29,7}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$.

Example $(x^2 + 29y^2 = 3z^3)$

3 is split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-29})$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{29,3}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for all primes p .

The Hasse principle for \mathbb{Z} -points

Definition

$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ satisfies the **Hasse principle for \mathbb{Z} -points** if

$$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } p \implies \mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset.$$

The Hasse principle for \mathbb{Z} -points

Definition

$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ satisfies the **Hasse principle for \mathbb{Z} -points** if

$$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } p \implies \mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Example ($x^2 + 29y^2 = 3z^3$)

We have $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for all p .

Brute force: $(9, 0, 3)$, $(10, 10, 10)$, ... but no **primitive** solutions.

The Hasse principle for \mathbb{Z} -points

Definition

$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ satisfies the **Hasse principle for \mathbb{Z} -points** if

$$\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } p \implies \mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Example ($x^2 + 29y^2 = 3z^3$)

We have $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for all p .

Brute force: $(9, 0, 3)$, $(10, 10, 10)$, ... but no **primitive** solutions.

Goal

- 1 **Decide** whether $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ satisfies Hasse principle for any (B, C) .
- 2 Understand **how often** Hasse principle satisfied/fails.

Known results

Theorem (Darmon–Granville [DG95])

Assume $B \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ squarefree and C odd, squarefree, coprime to B . Then $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$$C\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})} = \mathfrak{j}\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$$

for \mathfrak{j} coprime to $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$ satisfying

$$[\mathfrak{j}] \in n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})}).$$

Class group obstruction to the Hasse principle.

Known results

Theorem (Darmon–Granville [DG95])

Assume $B \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ squarefree and C odd, squarefree, coprime to B . Then $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$$C\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})} = \mathfrak{j}\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$$

for \mathfrak{j} coprime to $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$ satisfying

$$[\mathfrak{j}] \in n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})}).$$

Class group obstruction to the Hasse principle.

Goal

Reinterpret geometrically and remove restrictions.

A statistical aside

$$\text{Prob}(\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2p} \text{ (odd } p).$$

$$N^{\text{loc}}(T) = \#\{(B, C) \in (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-T, T])^2 : \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \text{ loc sol}\} = o(T^2).$$

i.e. $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ locally soluble for 0% of (B, C) .

A statistical aside

$$\text{Prob}(\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2^p} \text{ (odd } p).$$

$$N^{\text{loc}}(T) = \#\{(B, C) \in (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-T, T])^2 : \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \text{ loc sol}\} = o(T^2).$$

i.e. $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ locally soluble for 0% of (B, C) .

Suspicion

$N^{\text{loc}}(T) \asymp \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{\log T}}$. This feels reminiscent of [LS16, Theorem 1.5]

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, T) \ll \frac{T^n}{(\log T)^{\Delta(\pi)}} \text{ for } X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

A statistical aside

$$\text{Prob}(\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq \emptyset) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2^p} \text{ (odd } p\text{)}.$$

$$N^{\text{loc}}(T) = \#\{(B, C) \in (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-T, T])^2 : \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \text{ loc sol}\} = o(T^2).$$

i.e. $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$ locally soluble for 0% of (B, C) .

Suspicion

$N^{\text{loc}}(T) \asymp \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{\log T}}$. This feels reminiscent of [LS16, Theorem 1.5]

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, T) \ll \frac{T^n}{(\log T)^{\Delta(\pi)}} \text{ for } X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

Really want to understand $\frac{N^{\text{glob}}(T)}{N^{\text{loc}}(T)}$.

Related results

Bhargava–Poonen [BP22]:

- Stacky curves with (stacky) genus $< 1/2$ satisfy integral HP
- Explicit infinite family of (stacky) genus $1/2$ of form

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = z^2$$

which fail the Hasse principle for $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -points.

Related results

Bhargava–Poonen [BP22]:

- Stacky curves with (stacky) genus $< 1/2$ satisfy integral HP
- Explicit infinite family of (stacky) genus $1/2$ of form

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = z^2$$

which fail the Hasse principle for $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -points.

Santens [San23]:

- Brauer–Manin is only obstruction for certain stacky curves.
- Explain (and expand) B–P results via elementary obstruction.

Setup

- $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}: x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$
- $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})$
- S contains $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2nC$
- $R = \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is PID

Setup

- $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}: x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$
- $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})$
- S contains $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2nC$
- $R = \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is PID

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}': UV = CW & & \\ \downarrow \mu_n & & \\ \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \xrightarrow{\sim/R} \mathcal{Y}': uv = Cw^n & & \end{array}$$

Setup

- $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}: x^2 + By^2 = Cz^n$
- $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B})$
- S contains $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2nC$
- $R = \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is PID

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}': UV = CW \\ \downarrow \mu_n \\ \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \xrightarrow{\sim/R} \mathcal{Y}': uv = Cw^n \end{array}$$

$$\pi: \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}' \text{ is étale: } \mathcal{Y}'(R) = \coprod_{d \in R^\times / (R^\times)^n} \pi_d(\mathcal{C}'_d(R)).$$

Descent

\mathcal{C}' is a genus zero (non-stacky) curve!

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}': UV = CW & & \mathcal{C}'_d: UV = dCW \\ \downarrow \mu_n & \swarrow & \\ \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \xrightarrow{\sim/R} \mathcal{Y}': uv = Cw^n & & \end{array}$$

Look for $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \pi_d(\mathcal{C}'(R))$ in $\mathcal{Y}'(R)$:

- Explicit parametrization of $\mathcal{C}'_d(R)$ and image.

Descent

\mathcal{C}' is a genus zero (non-stacky) curve!

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}': UV = CW & & \mathcal{C}'_d: UV = dCW \\ \downarrow \mu_n & \swarrow & \\ \mathcal{Y}_{B,C} \xrightarrow[\sim/R]{} \mathcal{Y}': uv = Cw^n & & \end{array}$$

Look for $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \pi_d(\mathcal{C}'(R))$ in $\mathcal{Y}'(R)$:

- Explicit parametrization of $\mathcal{C}'_d(R)$ and image.
- Admissible d have certain valuations at inverted primes.

A successful failure

Example $(x^2 + 29y^2 = 3z^3)$

$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-29})$, $R = \mathcal{O}_K[1/6]$, $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2^2$, $3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_3\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_3$.

Admissible $d \in R^\times / (R^\times)^3$ satisfy

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(d) = \pm 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$v_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_3}(d) = \mp 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(d) = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

A successful failure

Example $(x^2 + 29y^2 = 3z^3)$

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-29}), R = \mathcal{O}_K[1/6], 2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2^2, 3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_3\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_3.$$

Admissible $d \in R^\times / (R^\times)^3$ satisfy

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(d) = \pm 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$v_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_3}(d) = \mp 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(d) = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Computation of $R^\times / (R^\times)^3$ reveals no such d exist; $\mathcal{Y}_{29,3}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$.

A successful failure

Example $(x^2 + 29y^2 = 3z^3)$

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-29}), R = \mathcal{O}_K[1/6], 2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2^2, 3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_3\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_3.$$

Admissible $d \in R^\times / (R^\times)^3$ satisfy

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(d) = \pm 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$v_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_3}(d) = \mp 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(d) = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Computation of $R^\times / (R^\times)^3$ reveals no such d exist; $\mathcal{Y}_{29,3}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$.

Darmon–Granville: $\mathcal{Y}_{29,3}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ because $[\mathfrak{p}_3] \notin 3\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Equivalent statements

Darmon–Granville: $\mathcal{Y}_{29,3}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ because $[p_3] \notin 3 \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

$$0 \rightarrow R^\times / (R^\times)^n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p|N} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)/n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow 0$$

Equivalent statements

Darmon–Granville: $\mathcal{Y}_{29,3}(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ because $[p_3] \notin 3 \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

$$0 \rightarrow R^\times / (R^\times)^n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p|N} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)/n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow 0$$

$$d \mapsto \frac{n+1}{2}j + \frac{n-1}{2}\bar{j} \mapsto [j] = 0$$

Existence of admissible twist $\implies [j] \in n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$

Equivalent statements

Write $\mathcal{Y}_{f^2B_0,C}: x^2 + f^2B_0y^2 = Cz^n$, $B_0 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ squarefree.

Equivalent statements

Write $\mathcal{Y}_{f^2 B_0, C}: x^2 + f^2 B_0 y^2 = Cz^n$, $B_0 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ squarefree.

Theorem (Duque-Rosero, Kobin, K., Roy, Sankar, Wang, 2025+)

Assume C and f are coprime. TFAE:

- (i) $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}; \gcd(x, fy) = 1) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) *there exists admissible $d \in R^\times / (R^\times)^n$;*
- (iii) $C\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{j}\bar{\mathfrak{j}}\mathfrak{r}^2\mathfrak{i}^2$ *with $\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{i}$ supported on split, ramified, inert primes and*

$$[\mathfrak{j} \cap \mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-B_0}]] \in n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-B_0}]).$$

Equivalent statements

Write $\mathcal{Y}_{f^2 B_0, C}: x^2 + f^2 B_0 y^2 = Cz^n$, $B_0 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ squarefree.

Theorem (Duque-Rosero, Kobin, K., Roy, Sankar, Wang, 2025+)

Assume C and f are coprime. TFAE:

- (i) $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}(\mathbb{Z}; \gcd(x, fy) = 1) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) *there exists admissible $d \in R^\times / (R^\times)^n$;*
- (iii) $C\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{j}\bar{\mathfrak{j}}\mathfrak{r}^2\mathfrak{i}^2$ *with $\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{i}$ supported on split, ramified, inert primes and*

$$[\mathfrak{j} \cap \mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-B_0}]] \in n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-B_0}]).$$

(iii) \implies (i): find \mathfrak{z} with $\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{z}^n = u\mathbb{Z}[f\sqrt{-B_0}]$ and take norms.

Takeaways

Complete recipe for deciding HP when $\gcd(f, C) = 1$:

- $B_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$: also allow $[j]$ in certain cosets of $n\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$.
- If $\gcd(x, fy) > 1$, points come from different $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$.

Takeaways

Complete recipe for deciding HP when $\gcd(f, C) = 1$:

- $B_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$: also allow $[j]$ in certain cosets of $n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$.
- If $\gcd(x, fy) > 1$, points come from different $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$.

What about $\gcd(f, C) > 1$? OK when $\gcd(f, C, n) = 1$.

Example

$\mathcal{Y}_{3^5, 3 \cdot 31}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathcal{Y}_{3, 31}(\mathbb{Z}; 3 \nmid y) = \emptyset$, but $\mathcal{Y}_{3, 31}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$.

Takeaways

Complete recipe for deciding HP when $\gcd(f, C) = 1$:

- $B_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$: also allow $[j]$ in certain cosets of $n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$.
- If $\gcd(x, fy) > 1$, points come from different $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$.

What about $\gcd(f, C) > 1$? OK when $\gcd(f, C, n) = 1$.

Example

$\mathcal{Y}_{3^5, 3 \cdot 31}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathcal{Y}_{3, 31}(\mathbb{Z}; 3 \nmid y) = \emptyset$, but $\mathcal{Y}_{3, 31}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$.

$31 = (-2 + 3\sqrt{-3})(-2 - 3\sqrt{-3})$ and \mathcal{O}_K is a PID; this forces

$$(-2 + 3\sqrt{-3})z^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[3\sqrt{-3}] \implies Cz^3 = x^2 + 3(3y)^2.$$

Takeaways

Complete recipe for deciding HP when $\gcd(f, C) = 1$:

- $B_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$: also allow $[j]$ in certain cosets of $n \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$.
- If $\gcd(x, fy) > 1$, points come from different $\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}$.

What about $\gcd(f, C) > 1$? OK when $\gcd(f, C, n) = 1$.

Example

$\mathcal{Y}_{3^5, 3 \cdot 31}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathcal{Y}_{3, 31}(\mathbb{Z}; 3 \nmid y) = \emptyset$, but $\mathcal{Y}_{3, 31}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$.

$31 = (-2 + 3\sqrt{-3})(-2 - 3\sqrt{-3})$ and \mathcal{O}_K is a PID; this forces

$$(-2 + 3\sqrt{-3})z^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[3\sqrt{-3}] \implies Cz^3 = x^2 + 3(3y)^2.$$

Still to come: statistics, other GFEs, Brauer groups?

Thank you!

Thank you for your attention!



Frits Beukers, The Diophantine equation $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **91** (1998), 61–88.



Manjul Bhargava and Bjorn Poonen, The local-global principle for integral points on stacky curves, *Journal of Algebraic Geometry* **31** (2022), no. 4, 773–782. MR 4484553



Henri Darmon and Andrew Granville, On the equations $z^m = F(x, y)$ and $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **27** (1995), no. 6, 513–543. MR 1348707



D. Loughran and A. Smeets, Fibrations with few rational points, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26** (2016), no. 5, 1449–1482. MR 3568035



Tim Santens, The Brauer-Manin obstruction for stacky curves, 2023, Preprint, [arXiv:2210.17184](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.17184).