

The Jacobson radical

~ - II 1. The Jacobson Radical

Defⁿ: For any ring A define the Jacobson radical
 $\text{Jac}(A) = \bigcap_{m \subseteq A} m,$

where the intersection runs across all maximal ideals $m \subseteq A$.

Note that this is an ideal in A .

Example: Let k be an infinite field and consider $k[x]$. We have at each $a \in k$ the corresponding max ideal $m_a = (x-a) \subseteq k[x]$. Thus

$$\overline{\text{Jac}(k[x])} \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in k} m_a$$

and any $p(x) \in \overline{\text{Jac}(k[x])}$ has $p(a) = 0$ at all $a \in k$. (This follows since $m_a = a$ prime's which equals $a \cdot a$.) Since k is infinite this forces $p(x) = 0$. Hence $\overline{\text{Jac}(k[x])} = 0$.

Exercise: Generalize this result to $k[[x]]$ at arbitrary field k .

$$\overbrace{A}^n$$

Example: $\overline{\text{Jac}(\prod_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(D_i))}$ we have the max ideals

$$m_i = \prod_{j \neq i} M_{n_j}(D_j) \subseteq A$$
$$= (\ker (e_i : A \rightarrow M_n(D_i)))$$

For $a \in \text{Tor}(A)$ then we have

$a \in \text{Ker}(\pi_i)$ for all i

$\Rightarrow a = (a_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ w/ all $a_{n+1} = 0$

$\Rightarrow a = 0$.

$$\text{So } \text{Tor}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_n, (D_i)) = 0.$$

Proposition 1: Any semisimple algebra A has $\text{Tor}(A) = 0$.

Proof: By Wedderburn $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_n(D_i)$.

$\sim -\text{II}$ $\text{Tor}(A)$ and nilpotence

Let's call an ideal $I \subseteq A$ nilpotent if $I^n = 0$ at some (large) n . Here we've taken

$$I^n = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{x_1 \cdots x_n : x_i \in I\},$$

and we note that this is always an ideal in A

Lemma 2: Given a maximal ideal $m \subseteq A$ and ideals I and J for which

$$I \cdot J = \{ \sum_i x_i y_i : x_i \in I, y_i \in J \} \subseteq m,$$

we have $I \subseteq m$ or $J \subseteq m$.

In particular, any nilpotent ideal I is contained in every maximal ideal.

Proof: If $m \geq \overline{I} \cdot \overline{J}$ and both $\overline{I}, \overline{J} \notin m$
then we have $\overline{I} + m = \overline{J} + m = A$, since

$\overline{I} + m$ and $\overline{J} + m$ are ideals properly containing m .

Hence $I = x + a = y + b$ the same

$$x \in \overline{I}, y \in \overline{J}, a, b \in m.$$

This gives $I - I^2 = xy + xb + ay + ab \in m$,
and hence $A = m$, a contradiction. \(\blacksquare\)

Corollary 3: Any nilpotent ideal $I \subseteq A$ is contained
in the Jacobson radical.

Now, for any pair of nilpotent ideals I_1 and
 $I_2 \subseteq A$ we have

$$(I_1 + I_2)^{m_1 \cdot m_2} = \bigcap_{r,t} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m_1} I_1^r \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{m_2} I_2^t \right)$$

$$= I_1^{m_1} + I_2^{m_2}.$$

Taking m_1 and m_2 large we find that $I_1 + I_2$
is nilpotent.

Lemma 4: If R is Noetherian then A contains
a unique maximal nilpotent ideal. In particular, for
 $\{\overline{I}_x : x \in A\}$ the collection of all nilpotent ideals the sum
 $\sum_{x \in A} \overline{I}_x = \{\text{min. terms of elem. in } A\}$ is
a nilpotent ideal in A .

Corollary 5: If A is a finite dimensional alg over a field K , then A contains a maximal nilpotent ideal and

$$\text{Max Nil}(A) = \overline{\text{Jac}(A)}$$

Example: Let S_n act on $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_i^{n+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ by permuting the generators. Consider

$$\sum = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_i^{n+1}) \times S_n.$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum^m &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \text{higher m.n products of the } x_i \} \cdot A \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \sum is nilpotent and thus $\sum \subseteq \overline{\text{Jac}(A)}$.

Suppose now that char $K = 0$. Then

$A/\sum \cong K S_n$ is semisimple and thus has $\text{Rad}(K S_n) = 0$.

Lemma 4: For any surjective ring map $\pi: R \rightarrow S$, $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\text{Jac}(S)}) \supseteq \overline{\text{Jac}(R)}$.

Proof: Follow from the fact that $\pi^{-1}(m)$ is maximal in R whenever m is max. in S . Thus

$$\pi^{-1}(\overline{\text{Jac}(S)}) = \pi^{-1}(\bigcap_m m) = \bigcap_m \pi^{-1}(m)$$

$$\supseteq \bigcap_m m = \overline{\text{Jac}(R)}. \blacksquare$$

Continuing our example, Prok & and Mackenzie now give

$$\text{Jac}(A) \leq \pi^{-1}(\overline{\text{Jac}}(\kappa S_n)) = \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{O}) = I,$$

so that $I = \overline{\text{Jac}}(A)$.

Let's think about one more example in this nilpotent direction. For commutative A we have

$$\text{Jac}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$$

Since max submodule in A = max ideal in this case, and hence $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ is a sum of simple A -modules. Further, each simple A -module appears with positive mult. in $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ since each simple L appears as a quotient $A \rightarrow L$.

Proposition 5: For any finite dimensional commutative algebra A over a field κ ,

$$\overline{\text{Jac}}(A) = \text{Max}(N_2(A)).$$

Proof: We have $\text{Max}(N_2(A)) \subset \overline{\text{Jac}}(A)$ by Corollary 5. Now for $x \in \overline{\text{Jac}}(A)$ we have

$x \cdot L_i = 0$ at any simple A -module, since

$$A/\text{Jac}(A) = A/\text{Rad}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n m_i L_i$$

where $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ runs over a complete list of simple A -modules (up to \cong) and each $m_i > 0$.

Considering a composition series

$$0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_k = A$$

for A we now find $x \cdot M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$ at each
; for every $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$, giving
 $x \cdot A = 0$

and hence $x^k = 0$. So all $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$ are nilpotent.

Considering any finite basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subseteq \text{Jac}(A)$

for $\text{Jac}(A)$ over κ , we find that $\text{Jac}(A)$ itself
is nilpotent. This gives

$$\text{Jac}(A) \leq \text{MaxNil}(A),$$

and thus $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{MaxNil}(A)$. ■



Corollary 6: For any finite-dimensional commutative k -algebra A ,

$$A/\text{Jac}(A) = \overline{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i}$$

where each K_i is a finite field extension of κ .

Corollary 7: Let G be finite group acting on a
finite-dimensional commutative k -algebra A , in char
 κ . Then

$$\text{Jac}(A \rtimes G) = \text{MaxNil}(A \rtimes G)$$

and $A \rtimes G / \text{Jac}(A \rtimes G)$ is a semisimple κ -algebra.

Proof: Since any alg automorphism preserves $\text{Max}(A)$ we see that

$$\forall g \in \text{Aut}(A) \quad \text{Max}(g(A)) = \text{Max}(A)$$

as all $g \in G$, and thus

$$\text{Max}(A) \times G = \text{Max}(A)(A \times G)$$

is a nilpotent ideal in $A \times G$. Consequently,

$$G \cap A/\text{Max}(A) = \bar{A} (\cong \mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{C})$$

and we have the alg quotient

$$\pi: A \times G \rightarrow \bar{A} \times G$$

with nilpotent kernel $\text{Max}(\bar{A}) \times G$. One can argue that $\bar{A} \times G$ is semisimple [Exercise] so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Jac}(A \times G) &\leq \pi^{-1}(0) = \text{Max}(\bar{A}) \times G \\ &\leq \text{Max}(A \times G), \end{aligned}$$

giving the proposed equality. #

~ I. The Main Point.

Let A be any finite-dim alg over a field, or more generally any Artinian ring. We consider the Jacobson radical $\text{Jac}(A) \subseteq A$. We claim that $\text{Jac}(A)$ is a magical person.

Main Results (Artinian Ring)

- $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ is semisimple
- A a A -module M is semisimple if and only if $\text{Jac}(A) \subset \text{Ann}_A(M)$.
- For any A -module M , $\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M = \text{Rad}(M)$.
- $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{Max} \sqrt{J_{\text{irr}}(A)}$.

Implications

- Any Artinian ring is Noetherian, and hence of finite length $\sim A$ is finite dimensional.

Our first (general) ambition is to prove the above results, and hence gain quite a bit of traction in our study of finite-dimensional algebras.

Our process is first, study semisimple rings; then second, lift our analysis of semisimplicity to the surrounding $\{A, \text{Jac}(A)\}$.

II. The double centralizer theorem

We consider a ring A and a semisimple A -module M . Take $E = \text{End}_A(M)$, and note that

- M is naturally an E -module.

b) The left action of A on M provides a ring map $\phi_M: A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M)$.
 We claim that under advantageous circumstances this map $(*)$ is surjective, or even an isomorphism.

Theorem (Double centralizer theorem): For any Artinian ring A , finitely generated semisimple A -module M , and $E = \text{End}_A(M)$, the natural map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M)$

is surjective. Furthermore M is finitely generated over E .

We approach the result in steps.

Lemma 8: Consider any semisimple A -module M , the general A , and field $E = \text{End}_A(M)$. For any $m \in M$ and $f \in \text{End}_E(M)$ there exists $a \in A$ for which $f(m) = a \cdot m$.

Proof: Take $M_0 = A \cdot m$ and complementary $M_1 = M$, so that $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$. [Thm 10, Semide]. Hence for $e \in E$ the composition $e = \{M \xrightarrow{\pi} M_0 = A \cdot m \xrightarrow{\cong} M\}$

we have

$$f(m) = f(e \cdot m) = e \cdot f(m) \in A \cdot m.$$

We obtain the result. 

Lemma (Jacobson Density): Take any ring A , semisimple A -module M , and $E = \text{End}_A(M)$.

For any $f \in \text{End}_E(M)$ and finite collection $m_1, \dots, m_r \in M$, there exists $a \in A$ for which $f(m_i) = a \cdot m_i$ at all i .

Proof: Consider $M^{\oplus r}$ so that $\text{End}_A(M^{\oplus r}) = M_r(E)$. We have for

$$f: \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ 0 & f_{rr} \end{pmatrix}: M^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M^{\oplus r}$$

an element $a \in A$ with

$$(f(m_1), \dots, f(m_r)) = f(m_1, \dots, m_r) = a \cdot (m_1, \dots, m_r)$$

$$= (a \cdot m_1, \dots, a \cdot m_r),$$

by the previous lemma.



Sketch
Proof of the double centralizer: Recall that on this setting E is semisimple [Proposition 6, Maschke].

Hence every E -module is projective (even c-generally) and any inclusion of a E -submodule $N \subseteq M$ splits over E . This implies that the restriction map

$$\text{End}_E(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_E(N, M)$$

or a surjective map of left $\text{End}_E(M)$ -modules, and

Show that left E -module. Furthermore Tor. density tells us that the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_E(\text{all}) \\ & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_E(\text{all}, \text{all}) \end{array} \quad (*)$$

is a surjective map of left A -modules whenever all is finitely generated over E .

This tells us, in particular, that

$$A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M)$$

is surjective whenever M is finite over E .

Suppose all is not finitely generated over E

we can produce an α -ascending sequence

$$\text{all} \subset M_1 \subset \text{all}_2 \subset \dots \subset \text{all}$$

of finitely generated E -submodules which produce an α -descend sequence of left A -submodules

$$A \not\supset K_0 \not\supset K_1 \not\supset K_2 \not\supset \dots$$

for

$$K_i = \text{ker}(A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(\text{all}) \rightarrow (\text{Hom}_E(\text{all}_i, \text{all}))).$$

This contradicts Artinian-ness of A , and hence never occurs. We conclude that all is in fact finite over E in this case. \blacksquare

- III. Consequences of closable centralizing

Defⁿ: Call a ring A simple if A has no ideals other than 0 and A itself.

Corollary 9: If A is simple and Artinian then A has a unique simple module, up to isomorphism, and $A \cong M_n(D)$ for some division ring D .

Proof: Take any simple module L . Then E is a division algebra $L \cong E^n$ for some n by double centralizer theorem, and we have the chain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_E(L) &\cong M_n(\text{End}_E(E)) \\ &= M_n(D) \end{aligned}$$

where $D = E^{\text{op}}$.

Via simplicity of A for certain maps

$$A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(L) \cong M_n(D).$$

is injective, and thus a ring map by double centralizer.

The fact that $M_n(D)$ has a unique simple module now tells us that A has a unique simple module. \blacksquare

Corollary 10: For any maximal ideal $m \subset A$ in Artinian A , A/m is a semisimple ring and a semi-simple A -module.

Corollary 11: For Artinian A and simple L ,
 $\text{Ann}_A(L) \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal.

Proof: The Annihilator is the kernel of the
 action map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(L) \cong M_n(D)$, gives
 $A/\text{Ann}_A(L) \cong M_n(D)$. \blacksquare

Corollary 12: An Artinian ring A is semisimple
 if and only if A admits a faithful, finitely generated
 semisimple module M .

Proof: We have the surjective map

$$A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M) = \text{End}_D(M)$$

which is furthermore surjective, by Double Centrality, and
 thus an isomorphism onto the semisimple alg $\text{End}_E(M) \cong$
 $\prod_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(D_i)$. \blacksquare

IV. Radical? v. Radical?

Theorem 12: For any Artinian ring A ,
 $A/Jac(A)$ is semisimple and in fact
 $Jac(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$.

Proof: By Artinian-ness there is a finite collection of

maximal ideals $m_1, \dots, m_r \subseteq A$ for which

$$\text{Jac}(A) = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_r,$$

so that $\text{Jac}(A)$ is the kernel of the corresponding algebra/ A -module map

$$A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r A/m_i.$$

By Corollary 10, each A/m_i is semisimple as a module over itself, and hence as a module over A as well. (Or therefore obtain $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ as a submodule of the semisimple module $\prod_i A/m_i$, giving semisimplicity of $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ by [Prop 9, Simole].)

By semisimplicity of $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ the surjection $A \rightarrow A/\text{Jac}(A)$ has $\text{Res}(A)$ in its kernel by Lemma 13, Simole 3. Thus

$$\text{Res}(A) \subseteq \text{Jac}(A).$$

For the opposite inclusion, it suffices to show that every surjection onto a simple $\pi: A \rightarrow L$ has $\text{Jac}(A) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$. However we have

$\text{Ann}_A(L) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$ with $\text{Ann}_A(L)$ maximal by Corollary 11. Thus

$$\text{Jac}(A) \subseteq \text{Ann}_A(L) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$$

as desired, and we obtain an equality $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{Res}(A)$. ■

Corollary 13: When A is Artinian, the module theoretic radical $\text{Radel}(A)$ is a two-sided ideal.

Corollary 14: For $\text{Real}(A) = \text{The intersection of all maximal right submodules}$, we have

$$\text{Real}(A) = \overline{\text{Jac}(A)} = \overline{\text{Radel}'(A)}.$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Radel}'(A) &= \text{Real}(A^{op}) = \overline{\text{Jac}(A^{op})} = \overline{\text{Jac}(A)} \\ &= \text{Real}(A). \end{aligned}$$



IV An alternate characterization of $\text{Jac}(A)$

Theorem 15: For any ring A and $x \in A$ the following are equivalent

- a) $x \in \overline{\text{Jac}(A)}$
- b) For each $a, b \in A$, the element $(1+axb)^{-1}$ is a unit in A .

To help with the proof, we record a simple lemma

Lemma 16: For any finitely generated module M , and proper submodule $M' \subseteq M$, there is a maximal submodule M_{\max} with $M' \subseteq M_{\max} \subseteq M$.

Proof: After specifying a min gen set $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$

M_i , proper submodules. $N \subseteq M_i$ are precisely those submodules with $m_i \in N$ at some i . Hence any totally ordered sequence of proper submodules $\{M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ with $M_i \subseteq M_\lambda \subseteq M_j$ at all λ we can find two common m_i with $m_i \in M_\lambda$ at each λ . Hence $m_i \in \bigcup_\lambda M_\lambda$ and we obtain an upper bound among the collection of proper submodules in M which contain M . By Zorn it follows that this collection contains a maximal element

$$M' \subseteq M_{\max} \subseteq M.$$



Proof of Prop 15: If $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$ given for each $a, b \in A$, $y = axb \in \text{Jac}(A)$ and we consider the endomorphism

$$-(1+y) : A \rightarrow A.$$

If $(1+y)$ has no left inverse then $M = A \cdot (1+y)$ is a proper left submodule in A and we can choose max left submodule $M_{\max} \subseteq M \subseteq A$ gives $\overline{(1+y)} = 0$ in $A/M_{\max} \Rightarrow \bar{y} = \bar{1}$

in A/M_{\max} . But $y \in M_{\max}$ by the equality $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$, so that $\bar{1} = \bar{y} = 0$ is the quotient giving $A/M_{\max} = 0$, a contradiction. So we see

$(1 + axb)$ has a left inverse whenever $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$.

Repeating the argument with the right module map

$$(1+y) - : A \rightarrow A,$$

and employing the right radical $\text{Rad}^r(A)$, with Corollary 14, we see that (ay) $= (1 + axb)$ admits a right inverse as well.

Conversely, if $x \notin \text{Jac}(A)$, take $M = A$ max submodule with $x \notin M$. Then, since A/M is simple, we can find $a \in A$ with $1 - ax = 0 \pmod{M}$. Then

$1 - ax$ admits no left inverse in A , since otherwise we can find $a' \in A$ with

$$(1 - ax)(1 - a'x) = 0 \pmod{M} \Rightarrow a' = 1,$$

a contradiction.



~ VI ~~Nakayama!~~

Theorem (Nakayama Lemma): For any ring A , and finitely generated A -module M , we have

$$\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M = M$$

if and only if $M = 0$.

Prof: Suppose $M \neq 0$ and let m_1, \dots, m_r be a generating set of minimal size. If $M = \text{Jac}(A) \cdot M$ then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r m_i = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i m_i \quad \text{for some } x_i \in \text{Jac}(A).$$

giving

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (1-x_i) m_i = 0.$$

By Theorem 15 we find now $x_i \in A$ with

$$x_i \cdot (1-x_i) = 1 \quad \text{giving}$$

$$0 = x_i \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (1-x_i) m_i \right) = m_i + \sum_{j \neq i} (x_i - x_j) m_j$$

$$\Rightarrow m_i = \sum_{j \neq i} (x_j - x_i) m_j,$$

contradicting minimality of an generating set.

We conclude that $\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M \subseteq M$
whenever M is finitely generated and nonzero.



Corollary 17: For any map of finitely generated A -modules $f: M \rightarrow N$, f is surjective if and only if the induced map

$$\bar{f}: M/\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M \rightarrow N/\text{Jac}(A) \cdot N$$

is surjective.

Prof: Exercise. EHW5



Anti-Example: Consider the ring of power series

$\Delta = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}]$ and current series $\mathbb{C}((x))$,

$$\mathbb{C}((x)) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n x^n : c_{-N} = 0 \text{ at all sufficiently large } N \right\}.$$

One can show that

a) $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}]$ has a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x)$

b) $\mathbb{C}((x))$ is a field.

Then $\text{Tac}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}]) = \mathfrak{m}$.

We have the ring inclusion $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x))$

realizing $\mathbb{C}((x))$ as a (non-fir) module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}]$. Since all elements in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}]$ become invertible in $\mathbb{C}((x))$ we have

$$\text{Tac}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{T}]) \cdot \mathbb{C}((x)) = \mathbb{C}((x)),$$

even though $\mathbb{C}((x))$ is unital. So we see the fin. gen. hypothesis in Nakayama is necessary at general Δ .

\sim VII. Independence of Tac bra radical

Theorem 18: For any Artinian ring Δ , the Tac bra radical $\text{Tac}(\Delta)$ is nilpotent. In particular, $\text{Tac}(\Delta)$ is the unique maximal nilpotent ideal in Δ .

Proof: By Nakayama, $\text{Tac}(A)^{n+1}$ is properly contained in $\text{Tac}(A)^n$ at all n , unless $\text{Tac}(A)^n = 0$. However, by Artinianess, there exist n at which the descending sequence of ideals (and their submodules)

$$A \supseteq \text{Tac}(A) \supseteq \text{Tac}(A)^2 \supseteq \dots$$

stabilizes. This forces $\text{Tac}(A)^n = 0$ at large n . \blacksquare

This essentially immediately implies Noetherianity of A , after we note the following.

Lemma 19: A module M over a semisimple ring is Artinian if and only if it is Noetherian, if and only if M is a finite sum of simple submodules, if and only if M is finitely generated.

Proof: If M is finitely generated then it is both Artinian and Noetherian, since any semisimple ring is both Artinian and Noetherian. If M is not finitely generated, by a Zorn's argument, M admits an embedding from an extension of simples $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_2} L_i \hookrightarrow M$. Such an extension easily seen to neither be Artinian nor Noetherian, which implies M is neither Artinian nor Noetherian. \square Prop 9, Sec 3. \blacksquare

Corollary 20: Any Artinian ring is also Noetherian, and hence a fin. length module over itself.

Proof: We have the sequence of submodules
 $A \supseteq \text{Jac}(A) \supseteq \text{Jac}(A)^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \text{Jac}(A)^{n+1} = 0$
with each subquotient $\text{Jac}(A)^m / \text{Jac}(A)^{m+1}$
having A -artinian factoring through the surjection
 $A \rightarrow A / \text{Jac}(A)$
onto the semisimple alg. $A / \text{Jac}(A)$ (Theorem 12).

Since each subquotient is Artinian, Lemma 19 tells us that each subquotient is Noetherian as well.

Take $M_r = \text{Jac}(A)^r / \text{Jac}(A)^{r+1}$. Use
the sequence of extensions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^n \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^{n-1} \rightarrow M_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^{n-2} \rightarrow M_{n-2} \rightarrow 0 \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Jac}(A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Noetherianity of the M_r , and $M_n = \text{Jac}(A)^n$, implies Noetherianity of A .

HW

1. For any Artinian ring A , and arbitrary (non-finitely generated) M , prove that

$$\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M = M$$

if and only if $M = 0$.

2. For any map of rings $A \rightarrow B$, prove that the base change functor $B \otimes_A - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$ is right exact. Further, prove that any exact sequence of A -modules $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{i} N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence

$$B \otimes_A M' \rightarrow B \otimes_A N \rightarrow B \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

Prove, by way of example, that $B \otimes_A M' \rightarrow B \otimes_A N$ can be non-injective for $B \otimes_A^{\text{left}}$:
injective $i : M' \rightarrow N$.

3. For a general ring A , and maps between finitely generated A -modules $f : M \rightarrow N$, prove that f is surjective if and only if the induced map $\bar{f} : M / \text{Jac}(A) \cdot M \rightarrow N / \text{Jac}(A) \cdot N$ is surjective.

4. Suppose A is Artinian, and consider any A -module M . Prove that M is semisimple if and only if $\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M = 0$.

5. Prove that restricting along the projection maps provides a fully faithful functor

$$\Delta / \text{Jac}(\Delta)\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$$

which is an equivalence onto the subcategory of all semisimple A -modules.

6. Prove that every simple S_3 -rep over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3}$ is of dimension 1. Classify all such rep's.

Hint: You know S_3 has at least two 1-dim simples, and you know something about $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_3}} S_3 / \text{Jac} S_3$.

7. Describe the Jacobson radical $\text{Jac}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_3}[S_3])$.

Provide, at least, its dimension.