

Radicals and simplicity

To begin we discuss another class of rings/algebras -

~ I: Division algebras

Def¹: A division ring D is a ring for which each nonzero $a \in D$ admits $a^{-1} \in D$ with $a^{-1}a = aa^{-1} = 1$.
A division algebra over a field k is an algebra which is also a division ring.

Def²: A ring R is called a domain if for each nonzero a in R an equation $a \cdot b = 0$ or $b \cdot a = 0$, at b arbitrary in R , implies $b = 0$.

Observation 1: Any division ring is a domain.

Ex: H = quaternions are a division alg over R .

Lemma 2: The center of any division ring is a field.

(**Proof:** For a in $Z(D)$ we have for a^{-1} and arbitrary b in D ,

$$a^{-1}b = a^{-1}b a a^{-1} = a^{-1}a b a^{-1} = b a^{-1}.$$

So $a^{-1} \in Z(D)$ as well.

Lemma 3: If K is an algebraically closed field, then the only finite dimensional K -algebra is K itself.

Proof: Take D a div. alg. over K and suppose there exists $x \in D \setminus K$. Then we have the alg. map $\phi: K[X] \rightarrow D$, $X \mapsto x$. If $\ker(\phi) \neq 0$ then $K[X]/\ker(\phi) \subseteq D$ is a domain, and hence $\ker(\phi) = (p(X))$ for an irred poly $p(X)$. Consequently $K \subseteq K[X]/\ker(\phi)$ is a finite field extension of K , $K \subseteq K \subseteq D$, and by alg. closure $K = K$. Thus $x \in K \subseteq D$, a contradiction. So $\ker(\phi) = 0$ necessarily, and $\dim D \geq \dim K[X] = \infty$.

As a consequence, if $\dim D < \infty$ for div. K -alg D then we must have $D = K$.

Corollary 4: No poly. finite division algs. over \mathbb{C} , $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ etc. are \mathbb{C} , $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ themselves, respectively.

Proposition 5: Any finitely generated module M over a div. alg. D is of the form D^l for some uniq. det. l.c.s., and if $M' \subseteq M$ then $M' = D^{l'}$ for $l' \leq l$. Equality holds if and only if $l = l'$.

Let note that any division D has no submodules besides 0 and D . Hence D is both Artinian and Noetherian, and all of its finitely generated D -modules are of finite length [Thm 2, Lemma 10, Fuchs].

Proof: Note that every cyclic D -module is isomorphic to D . Take $\{m_1, \dots, m_l\} \subseteq M \setminus \{0\}$ maximal so that the corresponding map

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^l D \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^l D m_i \rightarrow M \quad (*)$$

is injective, and take $M' = \text{image}$. Note that the size of this set l is bounded above by the length of M .

If $M \not\subseteq M'$ then for any $m \in M \setminus M'$ we have

$$Dm \cap M' = 0$$

by simplicity of $D \cong Dm$, giving an inclusion

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l D m_i \right) \oplus Dm \rightarrow M,$$

contradicting maximality. Hence $M' = M$ and $(*)$

is necessarily an isomorphism.

Note that under such an \cong $(*)$, we have $\text{length}(M) = l$. Since an inclusion of the length submodules $M' \subseteq M$ is an \supseteq if and only if

$$\text{length}(M') = \text{length}(M)$$

(see [Prop 11, Fuchs]), when $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l D \cong M'$

The inclusion $M' \subseteq M$ is an equality if and only if $l=l'$.

In this setting we can refer to the rank of a finitely generated D -module M as the unique integer r at which we have an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r D \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. Obviously this is the same thing as the length of M .

~ II. Matrices over division rings

On the flip side, over K^n is simple over $M_n(K)$, for K a field. Similarly, for any division ring D , D^n is simple module over $M_n(D)$, and $M_n(D)$ admits no ideals other than 0 and $M_n(D)$ itself.

Furthermore we have

$$M_n(D) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n D^n.$$

as a $M_n(D)$ -module.

Here, for any ring R , $M_n(R)$ is the ring with $1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and with $[a_{ij}][b_{ij}] = [c_{ij}]$ as $c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ik} b_{kj}$.

III. Semisimple modules

Defⁿ: A module M over an algebra A is called semisimple if

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda}$$

for simple submodules $L_{\lambda} \subseteq M$.

Exer^c: M is semisimple if and only if M admits an A -module isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$$

for simple M_{λ} .

Observation 6: For a semisimple module M the following are equivalent

a) M is finitely generated

b) M is finite length (admits a composition series)

c) For any isomorphism $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ w/ the L_{λ} simple, the indexing set Λ is finite.


Proof: Exercise.

~~Ex~~

Lemma (Schur) If L and L' are simple A -modules, for any A -module map $f: L \rightarrow L'$ is either

0, a an isomorphism.

Proof: By simplicity either $\ker(f) = 0$ or L .
 In the first case f is injective with
 $0 \neq \operatorname{im}(f) \subseteq L$

and by simplicity again $\operatorname{im}(f) = L$. Hence f is
 bijective as a map of sets, and thus invertible as a map
 of A -modules. In the second case, $\ker(f) = L$,
 we have $f = 0$. 

Corollary 7: For any simple A -module L ,
 $\operatorname{End}_A(L)$ is a division ring.

Corollary 8: If A is a finite dimensional algebra
 over an algebraically closed field $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and L
 is simple over A , then

$$\operatorname{End}_A(L) = K \cdot \operatorname{id}_L = K.$$

Proof: Since L is cyclic we have a surjection $A \rightarrow L$
 and hence $\dim_K(L) \leq \dim_K(A) < \infty$. Thus

$$\dim_K \operatorname{End}_A(L) \leq \dim_K \operatorname{End}_K(L) < \infty.$$

Thus $\operatorname{End}_A(L)$ is a finite-dimensional division algebra

over k . Since $k = \bar{k}$, we now have

$$\text{by Lemma 3.} \quad \text{End}_A(L) = k$$

Example: For $L_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}$ the 2-dim simple mod over $\mathbb{C}S_3$, we have

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}S_3}(L_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Also,

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p S_3}(L_{\mathbb{F}}^{(2)}) = \mathbb{F}_p \text{ for all } p \geq 3.$$

More generally, for any finite group G , any simple G -rep L over an alg closed field k has

$$\text{End}_{kG}(L) = k.$$

Proposition 9: Let N be a finitely generated semisimple A -module.

i) Every submodule $M \leq N$ is semisimple and admits a complementary submodule $M' \leq N$ for which $M \oplus M' = N$.

ii) For any quotient module $\pi: N \rightarrow M$, M is semisimple and π admits a section, i.e. a module map $\tau: M \rightarrow N$ with $\pi \circ \tau = \text{id}_M$.

Proof: (i) Proved by induction on the length of N .
 The statements are clear when $\text{length}(N) \leq 1$.
 Suppose now that $\text{length}(N) = l$ and that
 the statement holds for all semisimple modules of length
 $< l$.

Take an expression $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l L_i = N$ for simple
 L_i and consider a submodule $M \leq N$. If
 $M = N$ then there's nothing to prove. So suppose
 $M \neq N$. Then we have some simple $L_j \leq N$
 with $L_j \not\leq M$. Then $M \cap L_j = 0$ via sim-
 plicity of L_j and the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & \rightarrow N/L_j \\ M & \rightarrow & \end{array}$$

provides an injection $M \hookrightarrow N/L_j$. By induction
 hypothesis we conclude M is semisimple as well.

As for the splittings of N , choose $M_0 \leq N$
 maximal with $M \leq M_0$. Then $\text{length}(M_0) =$
 $\text{length}(N) - 1$ and by induction hyp we have a
 complement $M_0 = M \oplus M'_0$. Since $M_0 \neq N$
 we can again pick L_j with $L_j \cap M_0 = 0$, giving
 $M_0 \oplus L_j \leq N$ and $M_0 \oplus L_j = N$ by equal
 of the lengths. This gives finally

$$N = M_0 \oplus L_j = M_0 \oplus (M_0' \oplus L_j) \\ = M_0 \oplus M'.$$

ii) We have for $K_j = \ker(\pi)$ a splitting

$$N = K_j \oplus \tilde{M} \quad (*)$$

by (i), giving an isomorphism $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ via the sequence $\tilde{M} \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\pi} M$. (cf. both (i) and (ii) via surjectivity of π and the splitting (*).)

So, using (i), we see M is semisimple, and we split π via the inverse

$$\pi^{-1}: M \rightarrow \tilde{M} \subseteq N. \quad \blacksquare$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 9 (ii), or Proposition 9 (i), whichever one prefers.

Theorem 10: If M is semisimple, any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ splits.

Remark: The analog of Prop 9 holds for infinite length semisimple modules as well. One can argue the point from the finite case and some Zorn's lemma argument.

Proposition 9.2: For any semisimple A -module V , the following hold. (i) Any submodule $U \subseteq V$ is semisimple and admits a complement. (ii) Any quotient module is semisimple and admits a splitting.

Proof: Omitted. ■

~ III.5 An example: Matrix rings

Example: Consider any division ring D and $M_n(D)$. We have the simple module

$$L_{\text{standard}} = D^n$$

provided by the columnar module under the decomp. of the regular module

$$M_n(D) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_{\text{standard}}.$$

Hence $M_n(D)$ itself is semisimple.

Now, since any fin. gen. $M_n(D)$ -module M admits a surjection

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_n(D) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n \cdot m} L_{\text{standard}} \rightarrow M$$

Prop 9 (ii) tells us that M is also semisimple, and [Prop 9, Fuchs] shows (as expected)

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t L_{\text{standard}}.$$

Corollary 11: For any division ring D , any finitely generated $M_n(D)$ -module is semisimple, and

The standard column module is the only simple $M_n(D)$ module, up to isomorphism.

IV Quotients for modules

$\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{M}_0 \supseteq$ proper submodule $\mathcal{M}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$ maximal if any larger module $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ has either $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_0$ or $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 11: A proper submodule $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is maximal if and only if $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_0$ is simple.

(Proof: Consider the surjection $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, with $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_0$. We have the bijection:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Submodules } \mathcal{M}_1 \\ \text{with } \mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M} \end{array} \right\} &\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Submodules} \\ \mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N} \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_1 &\longmapsto \pi(\mathcal{M}_1) \\ \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1) &\longleftarrow \mathcal{N}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for $m \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\pi(m) = \pi(m')$ for m' in \mathcal{M}_1 , we have $m - m' \in \ker(\pi) = \mathcal{M}_0$ so that $m = m' + (m - m') \in \mathcal{M}_1$. This gives

$$\pi^{-1}(\pi(\mathcal{M}_1)) = \mathcal{M}_1.$$

The equality $\pi(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1)) = \mathcal{N}_1$, at any submodule $\mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ follows by surjectivity of π . Hence

The only submodules⁰ between M_0 and M are M_0 and M itself if and only if the only submodules in N are 0 and N itself. Rather, M_0 is maximal if and only if $N = M/M_0$ is simple. 1

Def¹: Given a ring A and a nonzero A -module M , the radical in M is the intersection

$$\text{Rad}(M) = \bigcap_{M_0 \in \text{Max}} M_0.$$

Example: For an infinite field K (e.g. \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{C} , or $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$) and any $\alpha \in K$ we have the maximal / submodule $(x - \alpha)$ in the regular module $K[x]$, and the quotient

$$K[x] / (x - \alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\alpha)$$

is the 1-dimensional $K[x]$ -module $K(\alpha)$ which

$$x \cdot \ell_\alpha = \alpha \ell_\alpha. \quad \text{Now, for any poly}$$

$$p(x) \in \text{Rad}(K[x])$$

we have $p(x) \cdot \ell_\alpha = p(\alpha) \cdot \ell_\alpha = 0$ at all $\alpha \in K$.

Hence $p(x)$ has as many zeros, and we conclude

$$p(x) = 0.$$

So

$$\text{Rad}(K[x]) = 0.$$

Example: At each $i=1, \dots, n$, and k a field, we have the surjection

$$\pi_i: M_n(k) \rightarrow L_{\text{standard}} = k^n$$

with kernel $\ker(\pi_i) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \text{stuff} & 0 & \text{stuff} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$
↑
its column

Since L_{standard} is simple this gives

$$\text{Rad}(M_n(k)) \subseteq \bigcap_i \ker(\pi_i) = 0.$$

Similarly, for any division ring D , $\text{Rad}(M_n(D)) = 0$.

Example: For $M = k[x] / (x^n)$

as a module over $k[x]$, any simple quotient

$$\pi: M \rightarrow L$$

we have $x \cdot -: L \rightarrow L$ an endomorphism. By Schur either $x \cdot -$ is 0 or an isomorphism. Since

$$(x \cdot -)^{n-1} = x^{n-1} \cdot - = 0$$

we conclude $x \cdot - = 0$. Rather, x annihilates L .

We see now $x \in \ker(\pi)$ at each such π , giving

$$\bar{x} \in \text{Rad}(M) \Rightarrow k[x] \cdot \bar{x} = x \cdot M \subseteq \text{Rad}(M).$$

Since the quotient $M / x \cdot M \cong k$ is simple, we get $\text{Rad}(M) = x \cdot M$.

~ IV 2 Radicals and Semisimplicity

Theorem 12: For any finite length module M , $M/\text{Rad}(M)$ is semisimple. Furthermore, for any surjective A -module map $\pi: M \rightarrow N$, N is semisimple if and only if $\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$.

Before we prove the result, we note the following

Lemma 13: For any surjective module map $\pi: M \rightarrow N$ to nonzero N , $\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\text{Rad}(N))$.

Proof: For maximal $N_0 \subseteq N$, $\pi^{-1}(N_0)$ is max in M . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(\text{Rad}(N)) &= \pi^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{N_0 \text{ max}} N_0\right) \\ &= \bigcap_{N_0 \text{ max}} \pi^{-1}(N_0) \supseteq \bigcap_{N_0 \text{ max}} M_0 = \text{Rad}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 14: If N is finite length and semisimple, then $\text{Rad}(N) = 0$.

Proof: Given an expression $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L_i$ with the L_i simple, the kernel $(R_i) \subseteq N$ of each

projection $p_i: N \rightarrow L_i$ satisfying

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r K_i = \ker([p_1 \dots p_r]^t: N \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L_i).$$

But $[p_1 \dots p_r]^t$ just recovers the identity on N , and hence $\text{Rad}(N) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^r K_i = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Rad}(N) = 0$. \square

We now prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 12: We have, via Artinian-ness of M , the quotient $M/\text{Rad}(M)$ the existence of finitely many maximal submodules $K_1, \dots, K_r \subseteq M$ for which $\text{Rad}(M) = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_r$. Hence, $\text{Rad}(M)$ is the kernel of the map

$$M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L_i, \quad L_i := M/K_i,$$


induced by the individual quotients $M \rightarrow L_i$, giving $M/\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L_i$ semisimple.

By Proposition 9 we conclude $M/\text{Rad}(M)$ is semisimple.

As for the second claim, for semisimple N we have $\text{Rad}(N) = 0$ by Lemma 14 and hence

$$\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \ker(\pi) = \pi^{-1}(\text{Rad}(N)) \text{ by Lemma 13.}$$

Conversely, if $\pi: M \rightarrow N$ is surj with $\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \ker \pi$ then N is the quotient of the semisimple module $M/\text{Rad}(M)$.

By Proposition 9 we conclude that N is semisimple. 

~ V Socles for modules

Theorem 15: For a Noetherian module M (e.g. a finitely generated module over $R/\dim A$), the sum $\text{soc}(M) = \sum_{L \in \Delta} L$ is a finite length semisimple submodule of M (e.g. Δ is a finite collection of all simple submodules $L \subseteq M$) is a finite length semisimple submodule in M .

Furthermore, for any semisimple module N , any module map $f: N \rightarrow M$ has image in $\text{soc}(M)$.

Proof: Since M is Noetherian, the sum in (*) is finite. $\sum_{i=1}^r L_i = L_1 + \dots + L_r$ for some simple $L_i \subseteq M$. The sum is the image of the map

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r L_i \rightarrow M$$

induced by the inclusions, giving $\text{soc}(M)$ as a quotient of a finite length semisimple module. Hence $\text{soc}(M)$ is finite length semisimple, by Proposition 9. For the second claim, write $N = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ for simple V_{α} to get $\text{im}(N) = \sum_{\alpha} \text{im}(V_{\alpha})$ with each $\text{im}(V_{\alpha})$

either simple or zero, by simplicity. Hence $\text{soc}(M) \subseteq \text{soc}(A)$.

Def¹: The sum of all simple submodules is a Noether A -module. M is called the socle in M .

Ex: For $K[X]/(X^n)$, considered as a module over $K[X]$, any simple submodule $M \subseteq K[X]/(X^n)$ is annihilated by X^n , and has simplicity forced $X \cdot M = 0$. This gives

$$\text{soc}(K[X]/(X^n)) = K \cdot \bar{X}^{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K \bar{X}^i.$$

the 1-dim simple on which K acts as 0.

HW

18

1. For the regular A -module A , prove that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$A^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_A(A).$$

2. Let G be a group and suppose G act on a k -algebra A by algebra automorphisms. Suppose k is a field, and take

$$A \rtimes G := A \otimes_k k[G] \text{ with } \lambda \text{ unique bilinear map}$$

$$\text{map } \cdot : A \rtimes G \times A \rtimes G \rightarrow A \rtimes G \text{ satisfying}$$

$$(a \otimes g) \cdot (b \otimes h) = a(g \cdot b) \otimes gh$$

is monomial. Prove that $A \rtimes G$ is a k -algebra.

3. Let $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ act on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ via the automorphism $m \cdot p(x) = p(g \cdot x)$ for $g \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ an n -th root of unity. Take $A_g = \mathbb{C}[x] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

a) Prove that $M_r = A_g / A_g \cdot x^r$ is a free module over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of rank r . Give a basis for M_r over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

b) Calculate $\text{Rank}(M_r)$, $M_r / \text{Rad}(M_r)$, and $\text{soc}(M_r)$.

2. a) For $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Prove that $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has precisely n non-isomorphic (simple) 1-dimensional representations L_i over \mathbb{C} .

b) Prove that each simple 1-dimensional representation L_i over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ admits an injective $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module map $L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and that this map is unique up to scaling.

c) Provide an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

d) Prove that every finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module M over \mathbb{C} decomposes as

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot L_i$$

where each $m_i = [L_i : M]$.

5. For any finite length semi-simple module M over a ring A , prove that there are division rings D_1, \dots, D_k and integers n_1, \dots, n_k for which

$$\text{End}_A(M) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

as rings.