

Für die Algebren und Tannak-Hilber

~ In Ander: Groups algebras!

Let's just take a moment to think about some interesting examples.

Let G be a group and \mathbb{K} be a comm ring (generally a field)

Def: A G -representation is a vector space V equipped with an action $\cdot: G \times V \rightarrow V$ which satisfies

$$g(h \cdot v) = g \cdot h \cdot v \text{ and } g(cv + cw) = c(g \cdot v) + c'(g \cdot w)$$

at all $g, h \in G$, $v, w \in V$ and $c, c' \in \mathbb{K}$.

Equivalently, we specify a group map

$$G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(V).$$

For example we have S_n and D_n acting on \mathbb{R} .

$$\text{by permuting coordinates. } \sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_{\sigma(i)} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_{\sigma(i)}$$

Also we have the 1-dimensional trivial representation

$$\mathbb{C}_{\text{triv}} = \mathbb{C} \text{ with } S_n\text{-action } \sigma \cdot 1 = 1$$

and the t -dimensional sign representation

$$\mathbb{C}_{\text{sign}} = \mathbb{C} \text{ w/ } S_n\text{-actn: } \sigma \cdot 1 = \text{sign}(\sigma) 1.$$

Def²: A homomorphism of Gr-groups is a linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ for which $f(gv) = g f(v)$ at all $v \in V, g \in G$.

Note that we have the notion of \mathbb{C} -vrepr

$G_{\text{top}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $f \mapsto \sum_i c_i$ e.g.

for example.

We can also define the group algebra aG of arbitrary G which is the free vector space with basis G along w/ the expected multiplication

$$(\sum_{g \in G} g_f) \cdot (\sum_{h \in G} g_h h) \quad (*)$$

$$= \sum_{g, h \in G} g_f g_h (g, h).$$

and unit $1 = \mathbb{I}_G$.

Def²: For any ring A , a unit in A is an element a which admits a^{-1} so that $a^{-1}a = aa^{-1} = 1$.
Or let $A^\times = \{a \in A : a \text{ is unit}\}$.

Note that A^\times is a group under mult.

Ex: $M_n(\mathbb{C})^\times = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, or in band-free notation $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)^\times = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ for our vector space V .

Ex: For each finite group G , we have a group embedding $G \rightarrow (\kappa G)^\times$. This is not an isomorphism since, for example $-g$ is invertible at all $\not\in G$.

Obviously, any ring map $A \rightarrow B$ induces a group map $A^\times \rightarrow B^\times$. In particular, any map of k -alg's $w: G \rightarrow A$ restricts to a group map $G \rightarrow A^\times$.

Lemma 1: For any k -alg A and finite group G , restriction provides a bijection

$$\{\text{ k -alg maps } w: G \rightarrow A\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{group maps } G \rightarrow A^\times\}.$$

Proof: This map is obviously injective, since κG is spanned by G as a k -module and any alg map is k -linear.
Now, given a group map $w: G \rightarrow A^\times$ we understand, just via bilinearity of the product on A , that the elements

$\sum g \cdot w(g)$ in A multiply according to the formula $(*)$.

Hence the unique linear map

$$s: wG \rightarrow A$$

$\phi|_G = \phi$ respects multiplication
 $\phi(x \cdot y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$
and has

$$\phi(1_G) = \phi(1_G) = 1_A = f_A.$$

So ϕ is an algebra map w/ $\phi|_G = \phi$ and we see that restriction provides the claimed bijection. \blacksquare

Theorem 2: A G -representation over k is the same thing as a kG -module. More precisely, we have a (strictly invertible) equivalence of categories

$$kG\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} G\text{-rep}_k.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V \text{ w/} \\ \phi: kG \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V \text{ w/} \\ \phi_G: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(V) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\{f: V \rightarrow W\} \mapsto \{f: V \rightarrow W\}.$$

Proof:

Corollary 3: The category of G -reps has kernels and cokernels, quotients, subreps, etc. and they behave in the expected way.

Ex: We saw the inclusion $k[G] \hookrightarrow k^n$ into the permutation representation over S_n . In the case

$a=3$, we take the quotient to get a 2-dim rep
 $L(2) \cong \mathbb{K}^3 / \text{ker } \phi$.

This 2-dim rep is actually simple [HTW].

In fact, will see later that

$$\{\text{affine, sign, } L(2)\}$$

provides a complete list of simple \mathfrak{S}_3 -modules \mathfrak{S}_3 -rep
is characteristic other than 2.

Though $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3} S_3$ and $\mathbb{P} S_3$ have "the same"
simples, the module categories
 $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3} S_3$ -mod and $\mathbb{P} S_3$ -mod
are wild diff event.

Theorem (Mazurkevich Theorem) Let $k = \mathbb{K}$
be a field. If $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$ then
 $\mathfrak{G}\text{-mod}$ is very easy to understand, theoretically,
but combinatorially interesting. If $\text{char}(k) \mid |G|$
the module category $\mathfrak{G}\text{-mod}$ can (generally speaking)
never be understood in any concrete terms by anyone
ever.

Prel: Future.

Rem: $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3} S_3$ is actually not ^{so bad}, but like
 $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3} S_6$ is an absolute disaster..

- If, Artinian and Noetherian rings
and modules

Def¹. Let A be a ring

A - A -module M , call M Artinian (resp.
Noetherian) if any sequence of submodules
 $M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots$ (resp. $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$)
stabilizes.

We call A Artinian (resp. Noetherian) if every
finitely generated A -module is Artinian (resp.
Noetherian).

Fuke-Defⁿ: Call A ring finitely Artinian
(resp. Noetherian) if A is Artinian (resp. Noetherian)
as a module over itself.

^{Future}
Theorem 4: Any Artinian ring is also Noetherian.

We will focus on a concrete setting where both Artinianity
and Noetherianity are apparent.

Example: Any finite dimensional algebra A ,
i.e. algebra over a field \mathbb{K} at char $\mathbb{K} \neq 0$, is both
Artinian and Noetherian. Indeed, to say M is

for good is to say M admits a seq $A^r \rightarrow M$, given
dim $M < \infty$. So M satisfies ACC/DCC for
simple dimension reasons.

\leftarrow Explain extensions

Proposition: Given an exten^s

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0,$$

N is Artinian (resp. Noetherian) if and only if
 M' and M are Artinian (resp. Noetherian).

Proof: An descending chain $\cdots \subseteq M_3 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_1$,

is a descending chain in N .

Hence stabilize by M implies stabilize for M .

Similarly, any desc. chain $\cdots \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M$

pulls back to a desc. chain $\cdots \subseteq N$

Since $\pi^{-1}(M_i) = M_i$, stabilize by M implies
stabilize for M . $\Rightarrow N$ Artinian $\Rightarrow M$ Artinian.

Conversely, suppose M and M' Artinian, and
take a chain $\cdots \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N$. Define

$$M'_i = M \cap N_i \quad \text{and} \quad M_i = \pi(N_i)$$

To obtain desc. chains. $\cdots \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M$
 $\cdots \subseteq M'_2 \subseteq M'_1$. Take k w/ $M_k = M'_k$
 and $M'_m = M_k$ whenever $m \geq k$. Then we

hence exact sequence of sets $a \in K$

$$0 \rightarrow M_a \rightarrow N_{ia} \rightarrow M_{ia} \rightarrow 0$$

incl. \downarrow $\sqrt{\text{incl.}}$ incl.

$$0 \rightarrow M_{ik} \rightarrow X_k \rightarrow M_k \rightarrow 0$$

in which incl. and incl._{ik} are isomorphisms.

Hence incl._{ik} is an isomorphism by short five lemma,
and thus an equality. So we see that the sequence
 $\dots \subseteq N_3 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq N_1$ stabilizes, and hence
 that N is Artinian.

The Noetherian argument are completely similar. \blacksquare

Corollary 6: i) For all Artinian, any quotient module
or submodule of M is Artinian

ii) Any fin. sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ of Artinian modules
is Artinian.

Furthermore, the same result holds when Artinian is replaced by Noetherian.

Theorem 7: A ring A is Artinian (resp. Noetherian)
(if and only if) A^n is ring theoretically Artinian (resp. Noeth.).

Prof: A module is finitely generated iff M admits
a surjection $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A \rightarrow M$. So far by Corollary 3. \blacksquare

Corollary 8: Any principle ideal domain is Noetherian.
 Prob: H/W.

Observation 9: If $A \rightarrow B$ is a ring map, B finite as a module over A , and A is Artinian (resp. Noetherian) then B is also Artinian (resp. Noetherian).

Example: \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian, but not Artinian.
 For example, we have the infinite ascending chain of ideals $(p) \subset (p^2) \subset (p^3) \subset \dots$ at any prime p . Similarly, if K a field, $K[[x]]$ is Noetherian, but not Artinian.

Example: If R is a commutative Noetherian ring then, for any finitely generated R -module M , the R -algebra $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ is Noetherian. (?)

Example: For any group G and commutative ring R , we have the group ring

$$RG = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Rg$$

with mult $(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) \cdot (\sum_{h \in H} b_h h) = \sum_{g, h} a_g b_h (gh)$.

When G is finite $\mathbb{Q}G$ is finite over \mathbb{Q} .
 Hence $\mathbb{Z}G$ is Noetherian and, for any field K , KG is Artinian and Noetherian.

Example: For X a finite CW complex, the rational cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is Artinian and Noetherian; under the cup product.

- III. Composition series and Jordan-Hölder

Defn: A composition series for a module M is a sequence of submodules

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_q = M \quad (\star)$$

composition factors

in which each subquotient M_{i+1}/M_i is simple.

The number q is called the length of the series (\star) .

Ex: M has length 0 comp. series $\Leftrightarrow M = 0$

M has length 1 comp. series $\Leftrightarrow M$ is simple.

We do not claim that all modules admit composition series.

Lemma: An A -module M admits a composition series (\star) if and only if M is both Artinian and Noetherian.

Proof: Suppose M has a composition series of length l , and that the only module ref a comp series of length $< l$ is both Artinian and Noetherian. From the supposed series

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_{l-1} \subseteq M_l = M$$

we obtain M as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow M_{l-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M_{l-1} \rightarrow 0$$

with M/M_{l-1} Art and Noeth since, for example, and M_{l-1} Art. and Noeth. by our assumption. Then M is both Art and Noeth by Proposition 2. Since every length 0 module in Art and Noeth, naturally, we see that all modules which admit a composition series are both Artinian and Noetherian.

Conversely, suppose M is both Artinian and Noetherian.

If $M=0$ then it clearly has a comp series $0=M$, so we assume $M \neq 0$. By Artineness, M admits a simple submodule $M_1 \leq M$. Taking the quotient and noting that M/M_1 remains Artinian, by Corollary 3, we find a simple module $\bar{M}_2 \leq M/M_1$. OR take

$\bar{M}_2 = 0$ if $M/M_1 = 0$ aka $M_1 = M$. Pulling back along the projection $\pi_1: M \rightarrow M/M_1$, we obtain a submodule $M_2 = \pi_1^{-1}(\bar{M}_2) \leq M$ with

$M_1 \leq M_2$ and $M_2/M_1 = M_2/\text{simple}$. Proceeding in this way we obtain an ascending sequence

$$0 \leq M_1 \leq M_2 \leq M_3 \leq \dots \subset M$$

By Noetherianity there must be an index ℓ at which $M_\ell = M_n$ for all $n \geq \ell$, and hence at which $M_\ell = M$. We have obtained a composition series for M ,

$$0 = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \dots \leq M_\ell = M.$$



Example: We know each simple module over

$\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is finite dimensional (though there is no bound on the dimension). Hence a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ module M is both Artinian and Noetherian, equivalently, admits a composition series if and only if M is finite dimensional.

For a specific example, given distinct non-zero polys $p(x)$ and $g(x)$ with roots α and β , the module $M = \mathbb{Q}(x^3)/(p^2 g^2)$ has composition series

$$0 = (p^2 g^2) \cdot M \leq (p g^2) \cdot M \leq (p g) \cdot M \leq g \cdot M \leq M$$

$$0 = (p^2 g^2) \cdot M \leq (p^2 g) \cdot M \leq p^2 \cdot M \leq p \cdot M \leq M$$

for example, w/ resp. subquotients

$$\mathbb{Q}(\alpha), \mathbb{Q}(\beta), \mathbb{Q}(\alpha), \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \text{ and}$$

$(1)_{(\beta)}, (2)_{(\beta)}, (3)_{(\alpha)}, (4)_{(\alpha)}$.

So we see, composition series are not unique. Through this example we find that

- (a) The length of the two series is same
- (b) The simple modules which appear as subgroups in these series agree.

Ex: A module M over a finite dimensional Δ admits a comp. series if and only if M is finite dimensional?

Reeser (Jordan-Hölder): Let M be an Δ module w/ composition series

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_r = M$$

and

$$0 = M'_0 \subseteq M'_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M'_r = M.$$

Then $r = r$ and, for same permutation $\sigma \in S_r$, we have

$$\frac{M_i}{M_{i-1}} \cong \frac{M'_{\sigma(i)}}{M'_{\sigma(i)-1}}$$

at each $0 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof: For a module N which admits a composition series, define the length of N to be the minimal length

of a composition series for N . Note that a module of length $\leq L$ and only L it is simple.

The result holds for any length σ or length τ module trivially. Suppose now that the result holds for all modules of length $< L$ and take a \mathfrak{sl} length L . Consider comp. series σ in the statement.

If $\mathfrak{sl}_{\sigma} = \mathfrak{M}'_{\sigma}$, then $\sigma = L$ or \mathfrak{sl}_{σ} is of length $L-1$. Otherwise we have proper inclusion

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma-1} \subset (\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma-1} \cap \mathfrak{M}'_{\sigma}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_{\sigma-1}$$

and hence nonzero injection

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma-1}/\mathfrak{sl}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}/\mathfrak{M}'_{\sigma-1}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{\sigma-1}/\mathfrak{sl}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}/\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma-1}.$$

(*)

By simplicity of the target module those injections are both isomorphisms, so their both quotients by \mathfrak{sl}'' are simple.

From any comp. series for \mathfrak{M}''

$$\sigma = \mathfrak{M}_0'' \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_t'' = \mathfrak{sl}''$$

we obtain comp. series

$$\sigma = \mathfrak{M}_0'' \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_t'' \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma-1}$$

$$\subseteq \mathfrak{M}'_{\sigma-1}.$$

Then given

$$r-1 = \text{length}(M_{r-1}) = \text{length}(M_{d-1}) = d-1$$

$$\Rightarrow r = d.$$

By our anal. hyp. the comp. factors for the resp. series are

$$M_{i+1}''/M_i'', M_{d-1}'/M_d'', M/M_{d-1}$$

or

$$M_{i+1}''/M_i'', M_{d-1}'/M_d'', M/M_{d-1}'$$

We already calculated isomorphisms

$$M_d/M_d'' \cong M/M_{d-1}$$

$$\text{and } M_{d-1}/M_d'' \cong M/M_{d-1},$$

so that all of the factors are identified (after a permutation). 

Defn: Given finite length M over a ring A , the length of M is the length of any comp. series for M . For any simple A -module L the multiplicity of L in a comp. series for M is the integer

$$[L : M] := \begin{cases} \text{the number of distinct indices} \\ \text{at which } L \cong M_{i+1}/M_i \\ \text{in a given comp. series } M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M. \end{cases}$$

Note that this is independent of the choice of comp. series

for M , by Tardieu-Holder.

Example: For distinct irreducibles p_1, \dots, p_t in $\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$,
and $M = \mathbb{Q}[[x]]/(p_1^{m_1} \dots p_t^{m_t})$ has length
 $\text{length}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^t m_i$ and

$$(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : M) = \begin{cases} m_i & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a root for } p_i \\ 0 & \text{if all } p_i(\alpha) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4: Given an extension of finite length rings
 $0 \rightarrow dI' \rightarrow N \rightarrow dI \rightarrow 0$

$$\text{we have } \text{length}(N) = \text{length}(dI) + \text{length}(dI')$$

and for any simple module L we have

$$[L : N] = [L : dI] + [L : dI'].$$

Proof: From comp series $dI_0 \subset \dots \subset dI_t = M$ and
 $M'_0 \subset \dots \subset dI'_t = M'$ we obtain a comp series

$$N_0 \subset \dots \subset dI_t = M \subset N_{t+1} \subset \dots \subset N_{t+l} = N$$

w/ $N_i = dI_i$; for $i \leq t$ and $N_{t+j} = \pi^{-j}(dI_j)$
 and subsequently,

$$N_{i+1}/N_i = dI_{i+1}/dI_i \text{ for } i \leq t \text{ and}$$

$$N_{t+j+1}/N_{t+j} \cong dI_{j+1}/dI_j.$$

This gives the proposed results. □

\mathcal{H}_W

1. Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$. Prove
 that the quotient $\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} = k^3 / \mathfrak{u}_{\text{tors}}$ of the
 permutations on kS_3 along the inclusion:
 $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow k^3$, $1 \mapsto e_1 + e_2 + e_3$, is a simple module
 over kS_3 .

2. Prove that the action map $kS_3 \rightarrow \text{End}_k(\mathbb{L}_{(2)})$
 is surjective. In particular, observe that the matrix ring

3. a) Prove that any PID is Noetherian.
 b) Prove that \mathbb{Z} and $k[x]$ are Noetherian but not
 Artinian, for any field k .

4. For distinct monic irreducible polynomials p_1, \dots, p_r in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, and integers $m_i > 0$, take

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_r^{m_r}).$$

- For $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, prove that $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(p_i(\alpha)) \cdot m_i \geq 0$
 if and only if $p_i(\alpha) = 0$ at some i , and in this
 case $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(p_i(\alpha)) \cdot m_i = m_i$.

5. a) For any finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}^{5 \times 7}$ -module M , prove that $\text{length}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$.

b) Prove that there are finitely generated $\bar{\mathbb{F}_3}[S_3]$ -modules M for which $\text{length}(M) < \dim(M)$.

6. For any collection Δ modules $\{M_x : x \in \Delta\}$, the ^{inclusion} $i_{\Delta} : M_{\Delta} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} M_x$ and projection $p_{\Delta} : \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} M_x \rightarrow M_{\Delta}$ induce isomorphisms of abelian groups

$$\text{Hom}_{\Delta}(\bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} d_x, V) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} \text{Hom}_{\Delta}(d_x, V)$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_{\Delta}^1 : \text{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} M_x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \Delta} \text{Hom}_{\Delta}(V, d_x)$$

at arbitrary N .