

Aug 28  
Recall, we have some examples of Lie algs (1)  
 $\mathfrak{gl}(V), \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$   
 $\mathfrak{sl}(V), \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{span}\{e, f, h\} \\ [h, e] = 2e \\ [h, f] = -2f \\ [e, f] = h \end{array} \right.$

A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation is a vector space  $V$  equipped with an "action" of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\cdot : \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V$ , which satisfies  
 $[X, Y] \cdot v = X \cdot Y \cdot v - Y \cdot X \cdot v.$

Any rep specifies, and is specified by, its corresponding map to  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ,  $\rho_V : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ,  $\rho_V(X) = X \cdot$ .

Ex: [Adjoint rep] Any Lie alg  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on itself via the adjoint action  $\text{adj} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$

$$X \cdot \text{adj } Y = [X, Y].$$

The requisite eq  $[X, Y]Z = X \cdot Y \cdot Z - Y \cdot X \cdot Z$

$$[ [X, Y], Z ] = [X, [Y, Z]] - [Y, [X, Z]]$$

is equiv to the Jacobi identity

$[X, [Y, Z]] = [X, Y]Z + Y[X, Z],$   
 so that the adj rep  $(\mathfrak{g}, \text{adj})$  is seen to be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation.

Def:  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple if  $\mathfrak{g}$  has no proper nonzero ideals, and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not the 1-dim abelian Lie alg.

Observation 1: If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, then the adj rep map  $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  is surjective Lie alg hom.

Proof: We already know it's a Lie alg hom. Simplicity of  $\mathfrak{g}$  then says  $\ker \text{ad} = 0$  or  $\ker \text{ad} = \mathfrak{g}$ .

The latter case occurs iff  $\mathfrak{g}$  is abelian, which contradicts simplicity of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence  $\ker = 0$ . ■

Short term plan:

Aug 28

(2)

- Provide complete analysis of rep (sl<sub>2</sub>). (3-4 classes)
- Discuss sl<sub>2</sub>.
- Begin w/ general theory for Humphreys.

- Some category stuff

Def<sup>n</sup>: For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ - $\mathfrak{h}$  <sup>finite-dimensional</sup>  $\mathfrak{g}$  we let  $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representations. The objects are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -reps, and morphisms are homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representations, i.e. linear maps  $\phi: V \rightarrow W$  which satisfy

$$\phi(x \cdot v) = x \cdot \phi(v) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V.$$

A subrepresentation  $V' \subseteq V$  is a linear subspace which is stable under the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Note that  $V'$  inherits a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action, or  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep structure, in this case. Call a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep simple if it has no proper, nonzero subrepresentations.

Example: The  $\mathfrak{g}$ -subreps in the adj rep are precisely the ideals  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ . (Hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  has no nontrivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -subreps.)

Lemma 2: If  $\phi: V \rightarrow W$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -reps then

- a) The kernel  $\ker(\phi) \subseteq V$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$ .
- b) The image  $\phi(V) \subseteq W$  is a subrep of  $W$ .
- c) The quotient  $W/\phi(V)$  inherits a unique  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep structure so that the quotient map  $\pi: W \rightarrow W/\phi(V)$  is a map of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -reps.
- d)  $\phi$  is an isomorphism iff  $\ker(\phi) = 0$  and  $\phi(V) = W$ .

Proof: The proof just follows by standard observation. (3)

For example, (a) if  $v \in \ker(\phi)$  then  $\phi(x \cdot v) = x \cdot \phi(v) = x \cdot 0 = 0$ . Hence the kernel is stable

under the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and thus a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -subrep. For

(c) we have the right exact seq  $V \rightarrow W \rightarrow W' \rightarrow 0$   
 or  $W' \cong W / \phi(V)$  and apply the right exact fun  
 $\mathfrak{g} \otimes -$  to get

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes W' \rightarrow 0$$

and by using prop of cokernel of surjective map

$\mathfrak{g} \otimes W' \rightarrow W'$  which completes the diag

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes W' \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \text{!}$$

$$V \rightarrow W \rightarrow W' \rightarrow 0$$

This action is given a closer by  $x \cdot \bar{w} := \overline{x \cdot w}$ ,

and inherits the identity  $[x, y] \cdot \bar{w} = x \cdot y \cdot \bar{w} - y \cdot x \cdot \bar{w}$

for the corresponding  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $W$ .

(d) For the linear inverse  $\phi^{-1}$  we have

$$\phi^{-1}(\phi(x \cdot w)) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(x \cdot \phi^{-1}(w)))$$

$$= x \cdot \phi^{-1}(w),$$

so that  $\phi^{-1}$  seen to be a map of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -reps. □

Also easy to check the following:

• A  $\mathbb{C}$ -scaling  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \phi$  of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep has  $\phi: V \rightarrow W$

isogeny = map of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep, as is any sum  $\phi + \phi'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep maps. Hence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W) := \text{Hom}_{\text{rep}(\mathfrak{g})}(V, W)$$

is a vector subspace in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W)$ .

• The sum  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  inherits a unique  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep

structure so that the two inclusions  $V_i \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2$  are

maps of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep. Furthermore, their sum is both a

product and coproduct in  $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{g})$  (check it w/ T).

(4)

Taken together we conclude that

$\text{rep}(\mathcal{C})$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear abelian category.

can force inner corners  
of morphisms

has kernels and  
cokernels

Def<sup>n</sup>: Call an abelian cat  $\mathcal{C}$  Artinian if every  
seq of subobjects  $V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \dots$  stabilizes.

Call  $\mathcal{C}$  semisimple if every exact sequence  
 $0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\phi} W \xrightarrow{\phi'} V' \rightarrow 0$   
splits, i.e. if there exists  $\psi: W \rightarrow V$  satisfying  
 $\psi\phi = \text{id}_V$  or  $\psi': V' \rightarrow W$  w/  $\phi'\psi' = \text{id}_{V'}$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{C} = \text{rep}(\mathcal{C})$  is Artinian.

Indeed, since each obj is fin. dim /  $\mathbb{C}$  and desc. seq  
of subobj must stabilize for dim reasons. Goal:  
 $\text{rep}(\mathcal{C})$  is  
semisimple.  
- And: Lengths as JH series.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an Artinian cat, and  $V$  be an  
object. A Jordan-Hölder series for  $V$  is a seq  
of proper submodules

$$0 = V_n \subsetneq V_{n-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V_0 = V \quad (*)$$

for which each quotient  $V_i / V_{i+1}$  is a nonzero  
simple object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . (Here simple means cont.  
no proper nonzero subobj.) The length of such a series is

den.

Theorem 3 (JH series) For any two JH

series  $0 = V'_n \subsetneq V'_{n-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V'_0 = V$

$$0 = V_n \subsetneq V_{n-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V_0 = V$$

we have  $n=m$ , and for some permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$

there are isms  $V_i / V_{i+1} \cong V_{\sigma(i)} / V_{\sigma(i)+1}$   
in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Proof: Exercise.

Def<sup>n</sup>: For any object  $V$  in an Abelian cat  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  
the length of  $V$  is the length  $n$  of any JH seq  
 $0 = V_n \subseteq V_{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_0 = V$ .

The composition factors are, up to isomorphism, the  
simple objects which appear in the collection  $\{V_i/V_{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ .

Proposition 4: For an Abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  the  
following are equivalent.

- a)  $\mathcal{C}$  is semisimple.
- b) Any extension  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow V' \rightarrow 0$  is  
which  $V$  and  $V'$  are simple objects.
- c) Every obj  $V$  decomposes as a sum of simple objects  
 $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i$ .

Sketch Proof: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is trivial. Assume also that

(a) holds. Then any seq  
 $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow V' \rightarrow 0$  (\*)  
is exact

$$\text{length}(W) = \text{length}(V) + \text{length}(V') \leq 2$$

or split. Suppose now that a seq (\*) is s.t.

$\text{length}(W) = n+1$  and that obj seq w middle term of  
 $\text{length} \leq n$  split. We can assume  $n > 2$ , so

that one of  $\text{length}(V)$  or  $\text{length}(V') > 1$ . Assume  
first that  $\text{length}(V') > 1$ , and consider  
an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V'_0 \rightarrow 0$$

with  $V'_0$  simple.

By taking fiber products we obtain an exact

seq  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W_1 = W \times_{V'} V' \rightarrow V' \rightarrow 0,$

which is split since  $\text{length}(W_1) = \text{length}(V) + \text{length}(V'_0) = n$ .

So we have a splitting

$W_1 \cong V \oplus V'_0$

(6)

Take now  $W_0 = W / \text{im } V_1'$ , via the  
 splitting map  $V_1' \xrightarrow{\sigma} W_1 \hookrightarrow W$ , and into the exact  
 seq  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow V_0' \rightarrow 0$  and a  
 diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \downarrow \\
 V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & V \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 W & \longrightarrow & W_0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 V' & \longrightarrow & V_0' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

and the induced map to the fiber product

$$W \rightarrow V' \times_{V_0'} W_0$$

is an isomorphism. So we see that the project  $W \rightarrow V'$  is split if the project  $W_0 \rightarrow V_0'$  is split.  
 However, the latter splitting occurs by our induction  
 hypothesis, so that the seq  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow V' \rightarrow 0$   
 is in fact split.

The argument in the case  $\text{length}(V) > 1$  is  
 similar. 