

The Jacobson radical

$\sim \text{II } \frac{1}{2}$. The Jacobson Radical

Defⁿ: For any ring A define the Jacobson radical
$$\text{Jac}(A) = \bigcap_{m \in A} m,$$

where the intersection runs across all maximal ideals $m \in A$.

Note that this is an ideal in A .

Example: Let k be an infinite field and consider $k[x]$. We have at each $\alpha \in k$ the corresponding max ideal $m_\alpha = (x - \alpha) \in k[x]$. Thus

$$\text{Jac}(k[x]) \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in k} m_\alpha$$

and any $p(x) \in \text{Jac}(k[x])$ has $p(\alpha) = 0$ at all $\alpha \in k$. (This follows since $m_\alpha =$ all functions which vanish at α .) Since k is infinite this forces $p(x) = 0$.
Hence $\text{Jac}(k[x]) = 0$.

Exercise: Generalize that result to $k[x]$ at arbitrary field k .

Example: $\text{Jac}\left(\overline{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_{D_i}(D_i)}\right)$ we have the max ideals

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &= \bigwedge_{j \neq i} A_{D_j}(D_j) \in A \\ &= \ker(\pi_i: A \rightarrow A_{D_i}(D_i)). \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in T_{ac}(A)$ then we have
 $a \in \ker(\pi_i)$ for all i

$$\Rightarrow a = (a_i : 1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ w/ all } a_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a = 0.$$

$$\text{So } T_{ac}(\prod_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(D_i)) = 0.$$

Proposition 1: Any semisimple algebra A has
 $T_{ac}(A) = 0$.

Proof: By Wedderburn $A \cong \prod_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(D_i)$.

$\sim - \prod T_{ac}(A)$ and nilpotence

Let's call an ideal $I \subseteq A$ **nilpotent** if $I^n = 0$
 at some (large) n . Here we've taken

$$I^n = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{x_1 \cdots x_n : x_i \in I\},$$

and we note that this is always an ideal in A

Lemma 2: Given a maximal ideal $m \subseteq A$ and
 ideals I and J for which

$$I \cdot J = \{ \sum_i x_i y_i : x_i \in I, y_i \in J \} \subseteq m,$$

we have $I \subseteq m$ or $J \subseteq m$.

In particular, any nilpotent ideal I is contained
 in every maximal ideal.

Proof: If $m \supseteq I \cup J$ and both $I, J \not\subseteq m$
 then we have $I + m = J + m = A$, since
 $I + m$ and $J + m$ are ideals properly containing m .

Hence $I = x + a = y + b$ for some
 $x \in I, y \in J, a, b \in m$.

This gives $I = J = xy + xb + ay + ab \in m$,
 and hence $A = m$, a contradiction. ~~QED~~

Corollary 3: Any nilpotent ideal $I \subseteq A$ is contained
 in the Jacobson radical.

Now, for any pair of nilpotent ideals I_1 and
 $I_2 \subseteq A$ we have

$$(I_1 + I_2)^{m_1 \cdot m_2} = \sum_k \left(\sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_k = m_1 \cdot m_2} I_1^{r_1} I_2^{r_2} \dots I_1^{r_k} I_2^{r_k} \right) \\
= I_1^{m_1} + I_2^{m_2}.$$

Taking m_1 and m_2 large we find that $I_1 + I_2$
 is nilpotent.

Lemma 4: If R is Noetherian then A contains
 a unique maximal nilpotent ideal. In particular, for
 $\{I_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ the collection of all nilpotent ideals the sum
 $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda = \{ \text{finite sums of elem. in the } I_\lambda \}$
 is a nilpotent ideal in A .

Corollary 5: If A is a finite dimensional alg over a field k , then A contains a maximal nilpotent ideal and

$$\text{Max Nil}(A) \subseteq \text{Jac}(A)$$

Example: Let S_n act on $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_i^m : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ by permuting the generators. Consider

$$\Sigma = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_i^m) \rtimes S_n.$$

and note that

$$\Sigma^{m \cdot n} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \text{length } m \cdot n \text{ products of the } x_i \} \cdot A = 0.$$

Hence Σ is nilpotent and thus $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Jac}(A)$.

Suppose now that $\text{char } k = 0$. Then

$$A/\Sigma \cong k S_n \text{ is semisimple}$$

and thus has $\text{Rad}(k S_n) = 0$.

Lemma 4: For any surjective ring map

$$\pi: A \rightarrow B, \quad \pi^{-1}(\text{Jac}(B)) \supseteq \text{Jac}(A).$$

Proof: Follows from the fact that $\pi^{-1}(u)$ is maximal in A whenever u is max. in B . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(\text{Jac}(B)) &= \pi^{-1}\left(\bigcap_u u\right) = \bigcap_u \pi^{-1}(u) \\ &\supseteq \bigcap_u u = \text{Jac}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing our example, Poincaré and Macdonald now give

$$\overline{J_{ac}(A)} \subseteq \varepsilon^{-1}(\overline{J_{ac}(\kappa S_n)}) = \varepsilon^{-1}(0) = \overline{I},$$
 so that $\overline{I} = \overline{J_{ac}(A)}$.

Let's turn about our next example is that nilpotent
 direction. For commutative A we have

$$J_{ac}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$$

since max submodules in A = max ideals in this case, and

hence $A/J_{ac}(A)$ is a sum of simple A -modules.
 Further, each simple A -module appears with positive mult.

i.e. $A/J_{ac}(A)$ since each simple L appears as a
 quotient $A \twoheadrightarrow L$.

Proposition 5: For any finite dimensional commutative
 algebra A over a field κ ,

$$J_{ac}(A) = \text{Max Nil}(A).$$

Proof: We have $\text{Max Nil}(A) \subseteq J_{ac}(A)$
 by Corollary 5. Now for $x \in J_{ac}(A)$ we have
 $x \cdot L = 0$ at any simple A -module, since

$$A/J_{ac}(A) = A/\text{Rad}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i,$$

where $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ runs over a complete list of simple
 A -modules (up to \cong) and each $m_i > 0$.

Considering a composition series

$$0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_r = A$$

for A we now find $x \in M_i \subseteq M_{i-1}$ at each i , for any $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$, giving


$$x^l \cdot A = 0$$

and hence $x^l = 0$. So all $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$ are nilpotent.

Consider any finite basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subseteq \text{Jac}(A)$

for $\text{Jac}(A)$ over K , we find that $\text{Jac}(A)$ itself is nilpotent. This gives

$$\text{Jac}(A) \subseteq \text{MaxNil}(A),$$

and thus $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{MaxNil}(A)$. 

Corollary 6: For any finite-dim commutative K -alg A ,

$$A / \text{Jac}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n K_i,$$

where each K_i is a finite field extension of K .

Corollary 7: Let G be a finite group acting on a finite-dimensional commutative K -alg A , in char 0. Then

$$\text{Jac}(A \rtimes G) = \text{MaxNil}(A \rtimes G)$$

and $A \rtimes G / \text{Jac}(A \rtimes G)$ is a semisimple algebra.

7
 Proof: Since any alg automorphism preserves Max Nil we see that

$$g: \text{Max Nil}(A) = \text{Max Nil}(A)$$

at all $g \in G$, and thus

$$\text{Max Nil}(A) \rtimes G = \text{Max Nil}(A)(A \rtimes G)$$

is a nilpotent ideal in $A \rtimes G$. Consequently,

$$G \curvearrowright A / \text{Max Nil}(A) =: \bar{A} (\cong \prod_i \bar{A}_i \hookrightarrow \prod_i)$$

and we have the alg quotient

$$\pi: A \rtimes G \rightarrow \bar{A} \rtimes G$$

with nilpotent kernel $\text{Max Nil}(A) \rtimes G$. One

can argue now $\bar{A} \rtimes G$ is semisimple [Exercise]

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Jac}(A \rtimes G) &\subseteq \pi^{-1}(0) = \text{Max Nil}(A) \rtimes G \\ &= \text{Max Nil}(A \rtimes G), \end{aligned}$$

giving the proposed equality. \square

~ I. The Main Point.

Let A be any finite-dim alg over a field, or more generally, any Artinian ring. We consider the Jacobson radical $\text{Jac}(A) \subseteq A$. We claim that $\text{Jac}(A)$ is a magical person.

Levi's Results (Artinian Rings)

- $A/Jac(A)$ is semisimple
- A A -module M is semisimple if and only if $Jac(A) \subseteq Ann_A(M)$.
- For any A -module M , $Jac(A) \cdot M \subseteq Rad(M)$.
- $Jac(A) = MaxNil(A)$.

Implication

- Any Artinian ring is Noetherian, and hence of finite length $\sim A$ is finite dimensional.

Our first generic ambition is to prove the above results, and hence gain quite a bit of freedom in our study of finite-dimensional algebras.

Our process is first, study semisimple rings
then second, lift our analysis of semisimplicity to the pairing $\{A, Jac(A)\}$.

II The double centralizer theorem

We consider a ring A and a semisimple A -mod M . Take $E = End_A(M)$, and note that

- a) M is naturally an E -module.

b) The left action of A on M provides a ring map $\varphi_M: A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M)$. α

We claim that under advantageous circumstances that map φ_M is surjective, or even an isomorphism.

Theorem (Double centralizer theorem): For any Artinian ring A , finitely generated semisimple A -module M , and $E = \text{End}_A(M)$, the natural map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M)$

is surjective. Furthermore M is A -free over E .

We approach the result in steps.


Lemma 8: Consider any semisimple A -module M , A free over E , and take $E = \text{End}_A(M)$. For any $m \in M$ and $f \in \text{End}_E(M)$ there exists $a \in A$ for which $f(m) = a \cdot m$.

(Proof: Take $M_0 = A \cdot m$ and complementary $M_1 = M$ so that $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$, [Thm 10, Serre]). Hence for $e \in E$ the composite

$$e: M \xrightarrow{\pi_0} M_0 = A \cdot m \xrightarrow{\iota_0} M$$

we have

$$f(m) = f(e \cdot m) = e \cdot f(m) \in A \cdot m.$$

We obtain the result. 


Lemma (Jacobson Density): Take any ring A , semisimple A -module M , and $E = \text{End}_A(M)$. For any $f \in \text{End}_E(M)$ and finite collection $m_1, \dots, m_r \in M$, there exists $a \in A$ for which $f(m_i) = a \cdot m_i$ at all i .

Proof: Consider $M^{\oplus r}$ so that $\text{End}_A(M^{\oplus r}) = M_r(E)$. We have $f \in$

$$f_r = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} : M^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M^{\oplus r}$$

as element of A with

$$(f(m_1), \dots, f(m_r)) = f_r(m_1, \dots, m_r) = a \cdot (m_1, \dots, m_r) \\ = (a m_1, \dots, a m_r),$$

by the previous lemma. 

Sketch **Proof of the double centralizer:** Recall that in this setting E is semisimple [Proposition 6, Almkvist].

Hence every E -module is projective (even co-gen- over) and any inclusion of a submodule $M_0 \leq M$ splits over E . This implies that the restriction maps

$$\text{End}_E(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_E(M_0, M)$$

is a surjective map of left $\text{End}_E(M)$ -mods, and

two of left E -modules. Furthermore Jac. density tells us that the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_E(M) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Hom}_E(M_0, M) \end{array} \quad (*)$$

is a surjective map of left A -modules whenever M_0 is finitely genl over E .

This tells us, in particular, that

$$A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M)$$

is surjective whenever M is finite over E .

Supposing M is not finitely genl over E we can produce an ω -ascending sequence

$$M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots \subseteq M$$

of finitely genl E -submodules which produce an ω -descending sequence of left A -submodules

$$A \supseteq K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$$

for

$$K_i = \text{Ker}(A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_E(M_i, M)).$$

This contradicts Artinian-ness of A , and hence never occurs. We conclude that M is in fact finite over E in this case. ✖

- IV. Consequences of double centralizing

Defⁿ: Call a ring A simple if A has no ideals other than 0 and A itself.

Corollary 9: If A is simple and Artinian then A has a unique simple module, up to isomorphism, and $A \cong M_n(D)$ for some division ring D .

Proof: Take any simple module L . Then E is a division algebra $L \cong E^n$ for some n by double centralizer theorem, and we have the isom $\text{End}_E(L) \cong M_n(\text{End}_E(E))$
 $= M_n(D)$

where $D = E^{\text{op}}$.

Via simplicity of A the center map

$$A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(L) \cong M_n(D).$$

is injective, and thus a ring isom by double centralizer.

The fact that $M_n(D)$ has a unique simple module now tells us that A has a unique simple module. \square

Corollary 10: For any maximal ideal $m \subseteq A$ in Artinian A , A/m is a semisimple ring and a faithful simple A -module.

Corollary 11: For Artinian A and simple L , $\text{Ann}_A(L) \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal.

Proof: The Annihilator is the kernel of the action map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(L) \cong M_n(D)$, giving $A/\text{Ann}_A(L) \cong M_n(D)$. \blacksquare

Corollary 12: An Artinian ring A is semisimple if and only if A admits a faithful, finitely generated semisimple module M .

Proof: We have the injective map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_E(M) \subseteq \text{End}_E(\text{cl})$ which is furthermore surjective, by Double Centralizer, and this can be mapped into the semisimple alg $\text{End}_E(\text{cl}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(D_i)$. \blacksquare

~IV. Realis? v. Realis?

Theorem 12: For any Artinian ring A , $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ is semisimple, and in fact $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$.

Proof: By Artinian-ness there is a finite collection of

if

maximal ideals $m_1, \dots, m_r \in A$ for which

$$J_{ac}(A) = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_r,$$

so that $J_{ac}(A)$ is the kernel of the corresponding algebra A -module map

$$A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r A/m_i.$$

By Corollary 10, each A/m_i is semisimple as a module over itself, and hence as a module over A as well. We therefore obtain $A/J_{ac}(A)$ as a submodule of the semisimple module $\prod A/m_i$, giving semisimplicity of $A/J_{ac}(A)$ by [Prop 9, Sample].

By semisimplicity of $A/J_{ac}(A)$ the surjection $A \twoheadrightarrow A/J_{ac}(A)$ has $\text{Rad}(A)$ as its kernel [Lemma 13, Sample]. Thus

$$\text{Rad}(A) \subseteq J_{ac}(A).$$

For the opposite inclusion, it suffices to show that every surjection onto a simple $\pi: A \rightarrow L$ has $J_{ac}(A) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$. Hence we have

$\text{Ann}_A(L) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$ with $\text{Ann}_A(L)$ maximal by Corollary 11. Thus

$$J_{ac}(A) \subseteq \text{Ann}_A(L) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$$

as desired, and we obtain an equality $J_{ac}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$. □

Corollary 13: When A is Artinian, the nonzero
theoretical radical $\text{Rad}(A)$ is a two sided ideal.

Corollary 14: For $\text{Rad}(A) =$ the intersection of all
maximal right submodules, we have

$$\text{Rad}(A) = \overline{\text{Jac}}(A) = \text{Rad}(A).$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rad}(A) &= \text{Rad}(A^{\text{op}}) = \overline{\text{Jac}}(A^{\text{op}}) = \overline{\text{Jac}}(A) \\ &= \text{Rad}(A). \end{aligned}$$

~ IV An alternate characterization of $\overline{\text{Jac}}(A)$

Theorem 15: For any ring A , and $x \in A$ the
following are equivalent

- $x \in \overline{\text{Jac}}(A)$
- For each $a, b \in A$, the element $1 + axb$ is
a unit in A .

To help with the proof, we record a simple lemma

Lemma 16: For any finitoid A -module M , and
proper submodule $M' \subset M$, there is a maximal
submodule M_{\max} with $M' \subset M_{\max} \subset M$.

Proof: After specifying a min gen set $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$

\mathcal{M} , proper submodules. $N \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ are precisely those submodules with $m_i \notin N$ at some i . Hence any totally ordered sequence of proper submodules $\{M_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ with $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq M_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ at all λ we can find some common m_i with $m_i \notin M_\lambda$ at each λ . Hence $m_i \notin \bigcup_\lambda M_\lambda$ and we obtain an upper bound among the collection of proper submodules in \mathcal{M} which contain \mathcal{M}' . By Zorn it follows that this collection contains a maximal element

$$\mathcal{M}' \subseteq M_{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{M}.$$

Proof of Prop 15: If $x \in \text{Jac}(A)$ then for each $a, b \in A$, $y = axb \in \text{Jac}(A)$ and we consider the endomorphism

$$-(1+y): A \rightarrow A.$$

If $(1+y)$ has no left inverse then $M_y = A \cdot (1+y)$ is a proper left submodule in A and we can choose max left submodule $M_y \subseteq M_{\max} \subseteq A$ gives

$\overline{(1+y)} = 0$ in $A/M_{\max} \Rightarrow \bar{y} = \bar{1}$
 in A/M_{\max} . But $y \in M_{\max}$ by the equality $\text{Jac}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$, so that $\bar{1} = \bar{y} = 0$ is the zero element giving $A/M_{\max} = 0$, a contradiction. So we see.

$(1+axb)$ has a left inverse whenever x is in $J_{ac}(A)$.

Repeating the argument with the right module map

$$(1+y) \cdot - : A \rightarrow A,$$

and employing the right radical $J_{ec}(A)$, with Corollary

14, we see that $(1+y) = (1+axb)$ admits a right inverse as well.

Conversely, if $x \notin J_{ac}(A)$, take $M \subseteq A$ max s.t. module with $x \notin M$. Then, since A/M is simple, we can find $a \in A$ with $1-ax = 0 \pmod{M}$. Then $1-ax$ admits no left inverse in A , since otherwise we can find z with

$$1 = z \cdot (1-ax) = 0 \pmod{M} \Rightarrow M = A,$$

a contradiction. 

~ VI Nakayama!

Theorem (Nakayama Lemma): For any ring A , and finitely generated A -module M , we have

$$J_{ac}(A) \cdot M = M$$

if and only if $M = 0$.

Proof: Suppose $M \neq 0$ and let m_1, \dots, m_r be a generating set of minimal size. If $M = \text{Jac}(A) \cdot M$ then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r m_i = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i m_i \quad \text{for some } x_i \in \text{Jac}(A).$$

giving


$$\sum_{i=1}^r (1 - x_i) m_i = 0.$$

By Theorem 15 we find now $u_i \in A$ with

$$u_i \cdot (1 - x_i) = 1 \quad \text{giving}$$


$$0 = u_i \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^r (1 - x_j) m_j \right) = m_i + \sum_{j \neq i} (u_i - u_i x_j) m_j \\ \Rightarrow m_i = \sum_{j \neq i} (u_i x_j - u_i) m_j,$$

contradicting minimality of our generating set.

We conclude that $\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M \subsetneq M$ whenever M is finitely generated and nonzero. 

Corollary 17: For any map of finitely generated A -modules $f: M \rightarrow N$, f is surjective if and only if the induced map

$\bar{f}: M/\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M \rightarrow N/\text{Jac}(A) \cdot N$ is surjective.

Proof: Exercise. [HWS] 

Anti-Example: Consider the ring of power series

$A = \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ and Laurent series $\mathbb{C}((x))$,
 $\mathbb{C}((x)) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n x^n : c_{-N} = 0 \text{ at all sufficiently large } N \right\}$.

One can show that

- a) $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ has a unique max ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x)$
- b) $\mathbb{C}((x))$ is a field.

Thus $\text{Jac}(\mathbb{C}[[x]]) = \mathfrak{m}$.

We have the ring inclusion $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x))$ realizing $\mathbb{C}((x))$ as a (non-f.g.) module over $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$. Since all elem. in $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ become invertible in $\mathbb{C}((x))$ we have

$$\text{Jac}(\mathbb{C}[[x]]) \cdot \mathbb{C}((x)) = \mathbb{C}((x)),$$

even though $\mathbb{C}((x))$ is unzered. So we see the fin. gen. hypothesis in Nakayama is necessary at general A .

~ VII Importance of Jacobson radical

Theorem 18: For any Artinian ring A , the Jacobson radical $\text{Jac}(A)$ is nilpotent. In particular, $\text{Jac}(A)$ is the unique maximal nilpotent ideal in A .

Proof: By Nakayama, $J_{ac}(A)^{n+1}$ is properly contained in $J_{ac}(A)^n$ at all n , unless $J_{ac}(A)^n = 0$. However, by Artinianness, there exists n at which the descending sequence of ideals (and these submodules)

$A \supseteq J_{ac}(A) \supseteq J_{ac}(A)^2 \supseteq \dots$ stabilizes. This forces $J_{ac}(A)^n = 0$ at large n . \square

This essentially immediately implies Noetherianity of A , after we note the following.

Lemma 19: A module M over a semisimple ring is Artinian if and only if it is Noetherian, if and only if M is a finite sum of simple submodules, if and only if M is finitely genl.

Proof: If M is fin genl then it is both Artinian and Noetherian, since any semisimple ring is both Art. and Noeth. If M is not fin genl then, by a Zorn argument, M admits an embedding from an α -sum of simples $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i \hookrightarrow M$. Such an α sum is easily seen to neither be Artinian nor Noetherian, which implies M is neither Artinian nor Noetherian. \square Prop 9, Grundle 3.

Corollary 20: Any Artinian ring is also Noetherian, and hence a fin. length module over itself.

Proof: We have the sequence of submodules $A \supseteq \text{Jac}(A) \supseteq \text{Jac}(A)^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \text{Jac}(A)^{n+1} = 0$ with each subquotient $\text{Jac}(A)^m / \text{Jac}(A)^{m+1}$ having A -action factoring through the surjection

$$A \rightarrow A / \text{Jac}(A)$$

onto the semisimple alg. $A / \text{Jac}(A)$ (Theorem 12).

Since each subquotient is Artinian, Lemma 19 tells us that each subquotient is Noetherian as well.

Take $\mathcal{M}_r = \text{Jac}(A)^r / \text{Jac}(A)^{r+1}$. Use the sequence of extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^n \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A)^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n-2} \rightarrow 0$$

...

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Jac}(A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow 0$$

Noetherianness of the \mathcal{M}_r , and $\mathcal{M}_n = \text{Jac}(A)^n$, implies Noetherianness of A . 

HW

1. For any Artinian ring A , and arbitrary (non-fg) M , prove that

$$\text{Jac}(A) \cdot M = M$$

if and only if $M = 0$.

2. For any map of rings $A \rightarrow B$, prove that the base change functor $B \otimes_A - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$ is right exact. Further, prove that any exact sequence of A -modules $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{i} N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence

$$B \otimes_A M' \rightarrow B \otimes_A N \rightarrow B \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0.$$

Prove, by way of example, that $B \otimes_A M' \rightarrow B \otimes_A N$ can be non-injective for $B \otimes_A -$ injective $i: M' \rightarrow N$.

2. For a general ring A , and map between f.g. A -modules $f: M \rightarrow N$, prove that f is surjective if and only if the induced map

$$\bar{f}: M / \text{Jac}(A) \cdot M \rightarrow N / \text{Jac}(A) \cdot N$$

is surjective.

4. Suppose A is Artinian, and consider any A -module M . Prove that M is semisimple if and only if $Jac(A) \cdot M = 0$.

5. Prove that restricting along the projection map provides a fully faithful functor

$$A/Jac(A)\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$$

which is an equivalence onto the subcategory of all semisimple A -modules.

6. Prove that every simple S_3 -rep over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_3}$ is of dimension 1. Classify all such reps.

[Hint: You know S_3 has at least two 1-dim simples, and you know something about $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_3}} S_3 \cdot Jac$.]

7. Describe the Jacobson radical $Jac(\overline{\mathbb{F}_3} S_3)$. Provide, at least, its dimension.