

Self Evaluation: Ben Trettin

After completing this project, the most value part I found from working as a team is being able to effectively spread out the workload of each part of the project. The least valuable I would say is geared towards not having enough time to really put together the final report. If I had more time, I think our team could have put more thought into how our report should play out. My biggest contribution, looking back at the project, was writing out some of the reports and taking the time to confirm different parts of the project with the other teammates. From my teammates, I think the biggest thing I appreciated was for everyone in the team was committed to both making the team meetings and the meetings with the professor.

The project definitely took a bit of time to get going, but for me it had a lot of difficult parts along the one. One of the most difficult and challenging parts of the project was understanding how the different packages inside of testng were aligned. The strongest aspect of our feature that I feel really came together was the diagrams that showcased our design feature. These diagrams would really give a person looking at our design feature a good idea of what our feature was. One change in the project that would help the design feature would be more time dedicated to the design feature as a whole. This would definitely improve this exercise in the future. In terms of preserving things, I would argue that keeping the group size is a must. Anything less than 4 people in a group would be difficult, because that collaboration is so vital to understanding the project. If I were to do this project again, I think I would spend much more time trying to understand the actual classes within testng so I would better know how our feature works in with the already existing code.