

# Getting Started with Haskell

Munich Lambda - Paul Koerbitz

January 20, 2014

Basic Functions

Datatypes

Higher Order Functions

Additional Points

Introduction to IO in Haskell (Heinrich)

Hackathon

# Getting started

- ▶ Clone:

```
git clone
```

```
git@github.com:defworkshop/haskell-workshop.git
```

# Getting started

- ▶ Clone:

```
git clone
```

```
git@github.com:defworkshop/haskell-workshop.git
```

- ▶ Get dependencies:

```
$ cabal install --dependencies-only
```

# Getting started

- ▶ Clone:

```
git clone
```

```
git@github.com:defworkshop/haskell-workshop.git
```

- ▶ Get dependencies:

```
$ cabal install --dependencies-only
```

- ▶ use GHCi:

```
$ cabal repl
```

# Basic Functions

# Functions

```
abs :: Int -> Int
```

```
abs x = if x < 0 then (-x) else x
```

# Functions - Pattern Matching, Recursion, Precedence

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
add x 0 = x
add x y = if y > 0
           then add (succ x) (pred y)
           else add (pred x) (succ y)
```



## where Bindings

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
add x 0 = x
add x y = if y > 0
           then add succ_x pred_y
           else add pred_x succ_y
  where
    pred_x = pred x
    succ_x = succ x
    pred_y = pred y
    succ_y = succ y
```

# let Bindings

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
add x 0 = x
add x y = if y > 0
           then let succ_x = succ x
                  pred_y = pred y
                 in add succ_x pred_y
           else let pred_x = pred x
                  succ_y = succ y
                 in add pred_x succ_y
```

# Datatypes

# Datatypes - Basics

- ▶ Some datatypes are built in, for example `Int`, `Integer`, `Char`, `Double`, ...

# Datatypes - Basics

- ▶ Some datatypes are built in, for example `Int`, `Integer`, `Char`, `Double`, ...
- ▶ Datatypes can be defined with the `data` keyword:

*-- This is like a 'struct' in other languages*

```
data IntPair = IntPair Int Int
```

*-- This is like an 'enum' in other languages*

```
data Color = Red
           | Green
           | Blue
```

# Datatypes - Product Types and Records

- ▶ *Product types* are much like structs in C, C++, etc.

```
data Car = Car String String Int Int
```

# Datatypes - Product Types and Records

- ▶ *Product types* are much like structs in C, C++, etc.

```
data Car = Car String String Int Int
```

- ▶ The fields of product types can also be named, such a definition is referred to as a *record*:

```
data Car = Car { carMake :: String,  
                  carModel :: String,  
                  carYear  :: Int,  
                  carHorsepower :: Int }
```

# Datatypes - Product Types and Records

- ▶ *Product types* are much like structs in C, C++, etc.

```
data Car = Car String String Int Int
```

- ▶ The fields of product types can also be named, such a definition is referred to as a *record*:

```
data Car = Car { carMake :: String,  
                  carModel :: String,  
                  carYear :: Int,  
                  carHorsepower :: Int }
```

- ▶ Fieldnames live in the same namespace as other bindings, so they must be unique in a module.



# Datatypes - Sum Types aka Disjoint Unions

- ▶ *Sum types* can have several representations:

```
data MaybeInt = MIJust Int  
              | MINothing
```

# Datatypes - Sum Types aka Disjoint Unions

- ▶ *Sum types* can have several representations:

```
data MaybeInt = MIJust Int
              | MINothing
```

- ▶ We can work with sum types by pattern matching their constructors:

```
maybeAdd :: MaybeInt -> Int -> MaybeInt
maybeAdd (MIJust x) y = MIJust (x+y)
maybeAdd MINothing _  = MINothing
```

# Datatypes - Recursive Datatypes

- ▶ Datatype definitions can refer to themselves:

```
data IntList = ILNil  
             | ILCons Int IntList
```

# Datatypes - Recursive Datatypes

- ▶ Datatype definitions can refer to themselves:

```
data IntList = ILNil
             | ILCons Int IntList
```

- ▶ ... and can be processed by recursion

```
length :: IntList -> Int
length ILNil           = 0
length (ILCons _ xs) = length xs + 1
```

# Type Parameters

- ▶ We don't want to define lists for every single datatype! Type parameters allow this:

```
data List a = Nil  
           | Cons a (List a)
```

# Type Parameters

- ▶ We don't want to define lists for every single datatype! Type parameters allow this:

```
data List a = Nil
            | Cons a (List a)
```

- ▶ Type parameters can also be used in functions:

```
length :: List a -> Int
length Nil          = 0
length (Cons _ xs) = length xs + 1
```

# Type Parameters

- ▶ We don't want to define lists for every single datatype! Type parameters allow this:

```
data List a = Nil
            | Cons a (List a)
```

- ▶ Type parameters can also be used in functions:

```
length :: List a -> Int
length Nil          = 0
length (Cons _ xs) = length xs + 1
```

- ▶ Haskell has syntactic sugar for lists: [] is Nil, x:xs is Cons x xs and [a] is List a:

```
length :: [a] -> Int
length []      = 0
length (_:xs) = length xs + 1
```

# Typeclasses 101

- ▶ Many operations should work for values of many, but not all types. This can be achieved with *typeclasses* in Haskell.

```
qsort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
qsort []      = []
qsort (x:xs) = lessOrEqual ++ [x] ++ greater
  where
    lessOrEqual = qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
    greater     = qsort (filter (> x) xs)
```



# Typeclasses 101

- ▶ Many operations should work for values of many, but not all types. This can be achieved with *typeclasses* in Haskell.

```
qsort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
qsort []      = []
qsort (x:xs) = lessOrEqual ++ [x] ++ greater
  where
    lessOrEqual = qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
    greater     = qsort (filter (> x) xs)
```

- ▶ Useful typeclasses include `Eq`, `Ord`, `Show`, `Num`, `Enum`

# Typeclasses 101

- ▶ Many operations should work for values of many, but not all types. This can be achieved with *typeclasses* in Haskell.

```
qsort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
qsort []      = []
qsort (x:xs) = lessOrEqual ++ [x] ++ greater
  where
    lessOrEqual = qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
    greater     = qsort (filter (> x) xs)
```

- ▶ Useful typeclasses include `Eq`, `Ord`, `Show`, `Num`, `Enum`
- ▶ New datatypes can sometimes be given instances in typeclasses with the deriving keyword:

```
data Pair a b = Pair a b
               deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

# Higher Order Functions

# Higher Order Functions

- ▶ Higher order functions take functions as arguments.

# Higher Order Functions

- ▶ Higher order functions take functions as arguments.
- ▶ Example: Apply a function to every element in a list:

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

```
map _ [] = []
```

```
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

# Higher Order Functions

- ▶ Higher order functions take functions as arguments.
- ▶ Example: Apply a function to every element in a list:

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

```
map _ [] = []
```

```
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

- ▶ Example: *Fold* a list to a single element:

```
foldl :: (a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
```

```
foldl _ acc [] = acc
```

```
foldl f acc (x:xs) = foldl f (f acc x) xs
```

# Where is my for loop?

- ▶ There are no `for`, `while`, or similar loops in Haskell.

# Where is my for loop?

- ▶ There are no `for`, `while`, or similar loops in Haskell.
- ▶ Many specific iteration patterns are factored into higher-order-functions such as `map` and `foldl`.



# Where is my for loop?

- ▶ There are no for, while, or similar loops in Haskell.
- ▶ Many specific iteration patterns are factored into higher-order-functions such as `map` and `foldl`.
- ▶ You can write your own loops via recursion:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = go 0 p xs
  where
    go cnt _ []      = cnt
    go cnt p (x:xs) = if p x
                        then go (cnt+1) p xs
                        else go cnt p xs
```

## Where is my for loop?

- ▶ There are no for, while, or similar loops in Haskell.
- ▶ Many specific iteration patterns are factored into higher-order-functions such as `map` and `foldl`.
- ▶ You can write your own loops via recursion:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = go 0 p xs
  where
    go cnt _ []      = cnt
    go cnt p (x:xs) = if p x
                        then go (cnt+1) p xs
                        else go cnt p xs
```

- ▶ It is usually a good idea to use existing HOFs:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = length (filter p xs)
```

# Making functions tail recursive

- ▶ When using recursion there is a danger of blowing the stack:

```
length :: [a] -> Int
```

```
length [] = 0
```

```
length (x:xs) = length xs + 1
```

# Making functions tail recursive

- ▶ When using recursion there is a danger of blowing the stack:

```
length :: [a] -> Int
```

```
length [] = 0
```

```
length (x:xs) = length xs + 1
```

- ▶ Haskell provides *tail call optimization* (TCO), but for this two work functions must be tail recursive.

# Making functions tail recursive

- ▶ When using recursion there is a danger of blowing the stack:

```
length :: [a] -> Int
length []      = 0
length (x:xs) = length xs + 1
```

- ▶ Haskell provides *tail call optimization* (TCO), but for this two work functions must be tail recursive.
- ▶ Usual trick: transfer results in an 'accumulator'

```
length :: [a] -> Int
length xs = len 0 xs
  where
    len acc []      = acc
    len acc (x:xs) = len (acc+1) xs
```

# Lambdas

- ▶ Higher order functions are even more convenient to use if we can create functions in place.

# Lambdas

- ▶ Higher order functions are even more convenient to use if we can create functions in place.
- ▶ Lambdas can be created with the `\ ->` syntax:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = foldl (\cnt x -> if p x
                               then (cnt+1)
                               else cnt) 0 xs
```

# Lambdas

- ▶ Higher order functions are even more convenient to use if we can create functions in place.
- ▶ Lambdas can be created with the `\ ->` syntax:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = foldl (\cnt x -> if p x
                                then (cnt+1)
                                else cnt) 0 xs
```

- ▶ Long lambdas can be a bit awkward. Remember that you can also define functions in `let` and `where` expressions:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = foldl counter 0 xs
  where
    counter cnt x = if p x then (cnt+1) else cnt
```



## Additional Points

# Laziness

- ▶ Lazy evaluation is the default in Haskell, so arguments are not evaluated until they have to be.

# Laziness

- ▶ Lazy evaluation is the default in Haskell, so arguments are not evaluated until they have to be.
- ▶ You can see this in *ghci*:

```
ghci> let a = sum [1..10*1000*1000]
-- This is very fast
ghci> show a
-- This takes some time
"50000005000000"
```

# Laziness

- ▶ Lazy evaluation is the default in Haskell, so arguments are not evaluated until they have to be.
- ▶ You can see this in *ghci*:

```
ghci> let a = sum [1..10*1000*1000]
-- This is very fast
ghci> show a
-- This takes some time
"50000005000000"
```

- ▶ The great thing about laziness is that it decouples production from consumption.

# When Laziness bites

- Unfortunately laziness can sometimes have unexpected consequences:

```
length :: Int -> [a] -> Int
length acc []      = acc
length acc (x:xs) = length (1+acc) xs
```

This uses a huge amount of memory, blows the stack if in a compiled program:

```
ghci> length [1..10*1000*1000]
```

# When Laziness bites

- ▶ Unfortunately laziness can sometimes have unexpected consequences:

```
length :: Int -> [a] -> Int
length acc []          = acc
length acc (x:xs) = length (1+acc) xs
```

This uses a huge amount of memory, blows the stack if in a compiled program:

```
ghci> length [1..10*1000*1000]
```

- ▶ The problem is that (+) is lazy, so we build up a huge *thunk* (1+(1+(1+(1+(1+ ...)))))

# When Laziness bites

- ▶ We can avoid this by forcing the evaluation of `acc` with `seq`:

```
len :: Int -> [a] -> Int
len acc []      = acc
len acc (x:xs) = let z = acc+1
                  in z 'seq' len z xs
```

# When Laziness bites

- ▶ We can avoid this by forcing the evaluation of `acc` with `seq`:

```
len :: Int -> [a] -> Int
len acc []      = acc
len acc (x:xs) = let z = acc+1
                  in z 'seq' len z xs
```

- ▶ Instead of rolling our own, we can also use existing combinators such as `foldl'` from `Data.List`.



# Currying and Partial Function Application

- ▶ *Currying*: Take a function of  $n$  parameters into a function of  $n-k$  parameters which returns a function of  $k$  parameters.

# Currying and Partial Function Application

- ▶ *Currying*: Take a function of  $n$  parameters into a function of  $n-k$  parameters which returns a function of  $k$  parameters.
- ▶ Currying is the default *modus operandi* in Haskell:

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
```

```
add x y = x + y
```

add is a function that takes an `Int` and returns a function of type `Int -> Int`.

# Currying and Partial Function Application

- ▶ *Currying*: Take a function of  $n$  parameters into a function of  $n-k$  parameters which returns a function of  $k$  parameters.
- ▶ Currying is the default *modus operandi* in Haskell:

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
```

```
add x y = x + y
```

add is a function that takes an `Int` and returns a function of type `Int -> Int`.

- ▶ Since functions are *curried* by default, partial function application is very natural in Haskell:

```
add3 :: Int -> Int
```

```
add3 = add 3
```

```
map add3 [1..5] -- [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]
```

# Operators are just functions

- ▶ Haskell may seem like it is full of operators, but operators are just functions:

```
(!?) :: [a] -> Int -> Maybe a  
(!?) []      _ = Nothing  
(!?) (x:xs) 0 = Just x  
(x:xs) !? n  = xs !? (n-1)
```

# Operators are just functions

- ▶ Haskell may seem like it is full of operators, but operators are just functions:

```
(!?) :: [a] -> Int -> Maybe a  
(!?) []      _ = Nothing  
(!?) (x:xs) 0 = Just x  
(x:xs) !? n  = xs !? (n-1)
```

- ▶ Operators are written inline by default, but don't have to be:

```
ghci> [0,1,2,3,4] !? 3  
Just 3  
ghci> (!?) [0,1,2,3,4] 3  
Just 3
```

# Operators are just functions

- ▶ Haskell may seem like it is full of operators, but operators are just functions:

```
(!?) :: [a] -> Int -> Maybe a
(!?) []      _ = Nothing
(!?) (x:xs) 0 = Just x
(x:xs) !? n   = xs !? (n-1)
```

- ▶ Operators are written inline by default, but don't have to be:

```
ghci> [0,1,2,3,4] !? 3
Just 3
ghci> (!?) [0,1,2,3,4] 3
Just 3
```

- ▶ We can write regular functions *inline* by surrounding them with backticks:

```
ghci> 6 `mod` 3
0
```

## (\$) and (.)

- ▶ The (\$) operator is *function application*, but has very low precedence and binds to the right. This can be convenient to avoid writing too many parentheses:

```
concat $ map show $ take 10 [1..]
```

## (\$) and (.)

- ▶ The (\$) operator is *function application*, but has very low precedence and binds to the right. This can be convenient to avoid writing too many parentheses:

```
concat $ map show $ take 10 [1..]
```

- ▶ Functions can be composed with the function composition operator (.):

```
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
```

```
(.) f g x = f (g x)
```



# Pointfree vs. Pointful Style

- So far we have written our Haskell in what is called *pointful* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int  
countIf p xs = length (filter p xs)
```

# Pointfree vs. Pointful Style

- So far we have written our Haskell in what is called *pointful* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int  
countIf p xs = length (filter p xs)
```

- An alternative is *pointfree* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int  
countIf p = length . filter p
```

# Pointfree vs. Pointful Style

- ▶ So far we have written our Haskell in what is called *pointful* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = length (filter p xs)
```

- ▶ An alternative is *pointfree* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p = length . filter p
```

- ▶ Pointfree style focuses on how functions can be defined in terms of other functions.

# Pointfree vs. Pointful Style

- ▶ So far we have written our Haskell in what is called *pointful* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p xs = length (filter p xs)
```

- ▶ An alternative is *pointfree* style:

```
countIf :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
countIf p = length . filter p
```

- ▶ Pointfree style focuses on how functions can be defined in terms of other functions.
- ▶ Ironically 'pointfree' style has more `(.)`!

# Introduction to IO in Haskell (Heinrich)

# Hackathon

# Happy hacking

Need a project?

- ▶ Write a Sudoku solver

# Happy hacking

Need a project?

- ▶ Write a Sudoku solver
- ▶ Auf wie viele Arten kann man das 'Haus-vom-Nikolaus' zeichnen?

[http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haus\\_vom\\_Nikolaus](http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haus_vom_Nikolaus)



# Happy hacking

Need a project?

- ▶ Write a Sudoku solver
- ▶ Auf wie viele Arten kann man das 'Haus-vom-Nikolaus' zeichnen?  
`http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haus\_vom\_Nikolaus`
- ▶ Hashlife: `http://www.drdobbs.com/jvm/an-algorithm-for-compressing-space-and-t/184406478`