# WordNet

```
In [ ]: # a simple sentence describing wordnet
        text = "WordNet is a large lexical database of English. Nouns, verbs, adjectives an
        Imports
In [ ]: import nltk
        from nltk.corpus import wordnet as wn
        Nouns
In []: # select an example noun from the text
        example_noun = 'database'
        # get the synsets for the example noun
        synsets = wn.synsets(example_noun)
        synsets
Out[ ]: [Synset('database.n.01')]
In [ ]: # alright, maybe one with more synsets
        example_noun = 'word'
        synsets = wn.synsets(example_noun)
        synsets
Out[]: [Synset('word.n.01'),
         Synset('word.n.02'),
         Synset('news.n.01'),
         Synset('word.n.04'),
         Synset('discussion.n.02'),
         Synset('parole.n.01'),
         Synset('word.n.07'),
         Synset('son.n.02'),
         Synset('password.n.01'),
         Synset('bible.n.01'),
         Synset('give_voice.v.01')]
In [ ]: # Let's Look at the second synset
        synset = synsets[1]
        # grab definition, usage examples, and lemmas
        print(synset.definition())
        print(synset.examples())
        print(synset.lemma_names())
        a brief statement
        ["he didn't say a word about it"]
        ['word']
```

Wordnet's noun organization is organized into synsets, which are groups of synonyms. Each synset has a unique name, and a unique offset. The offset is the synset's position in the WordNet database file. The name is a concatenation of the synset's offset, the part of speech, and the number of the synset.

Nouns are arranged in a hierarchy, with more general concepts at the top, and more specific concepts at the bottom. The top of the hierarchy is called the root, and is represented by the synset entity.n.01. The root is the most general concept, and all other concepts are more specific (you can see this in the example below).

```
In [ ]: # progress up the hierarchy for our chosen synset
        while synset.hypernyms():
            synset = synset.hypernyms()[0]
            print(synset.name())
        statement.n.01
        message.n.02
        communication.n.02
        abstraction.n.06
        entity.n.01
In [ ]: # reset our synset
        synset = synsets[1]
        # grab all the hyponyms, hypernyms, meronyms, antonyms, and holonyms
        print(synset.hyponyms())
        print(synset.hypernyms())
        print(synset.part_meronyms())
        print(synset.lemmas()[0].antonyms())
        print(synset.member_holonyms())
        Г٦
        [Synset('statement.n.01')]
        In [ ]: # not a great example, let's try a different example noun
        example_noun = 'network'
        synsets = wn.synsets(example_noun)
        synsets
Out[]: [Synset('network.n.01'),
         Synset('network.n.02'),
         Synset('net.n.06'),
         Synset('network.n.04'),
         Synset('network.n.05'),
         Synset('network.v.01')]
In [ ]: synset = synsets[0]
        print(synset.hyponyms())
        print(synset.hypernyms())
        print(synset.part_meronyms())
```

```
print(synset.lemmas()[0].antonyms())
print(synset.member_holonyms())

[Synset('espionage_network.n.01'), Synset('old_boy_network.n.01'), Synset('reticul um.n.02'), Synset('support_system.n.01')]
[Synset('system.n.02')]
[]
[]
[]
```

#### Verbs

```
In [ ]: # Let's try a verb
        example_verb = 'expressing'
        synsets = wn.synsets(example_verb)
        synsets
Out[]: [Synset('express.v.01'),
         Synset('express.v.02'),
         Synset('carry.v.04'),
         Synset('express.v.04'),
         Synset('express.v.05'),
         Synset('press_out.v.03'),
         Synset('express.v.07')]
In [ ]: # same as before, but with a verb
        synset = synsets[1]
        # grab definition, usage examples, and lemmas
        print(synset.definition())
        print(synset.examples())
        print(synset.lemma_names())
        articulate; either verbally or with a cry, shout, or noise
        ['She expressed her anger', 'He uttered a curse']
        ['express', 'verbalize', 'verbalise', 'utter', 'give_tongue_to']
```

WordNet's verb organization is similar to that of nouns, but with a few differences. First, verbs are not organized into a hierarchy. Instead, they are organized into a directed acyclic graph (DAG). This means that verbs can have multiple parents, and multiple children. Second, verbs are organized into frames, which are groups of verbs that share similar meanings. Each frame has a unique name, and a unique offset. The offset is the frame's position in the WordNet database file. The name is a concatenation of the frame's offset, and the number of the frame.

```
In []: # run the verb through morphy to get all possible verb forms
    print(wn.morphy(example_verb, wn.NOUN))
    print(wn.morphy(example_verb, wn.VERB))
    print(wn.morphy(example_verb, wn.ADJ))
    print(wn.morphy(example_verb, wn.ADV))
```

None express None None

## **Similarity**

```
In [ ]: # grab two words that are similar
        word1 = 'interlinked'
        word2 = 'network'
        # get the synsets for each word
        synsets1 = wn.synsets(word1)
        synsets2 = wn.synsets(word2)
        print(synsets1)
        print(synsets2)
        [Synset('complect.v.01'), Synset('interconnect.v.02')]
        [Synset('network.n.01'), Synset('network.n.02'), Synset('net.n.06'), Synset('netwo
        rk.n.04'), Synset('network.n.05'), Synset('network.v.01')]
In [ ]: #wu-palmer similarity
        print(synsets1[1].wup_similarity(synsets2[0]))
        print(synsets2[0].wup_similarity(synsets1[1]))
        # lesk similarity
        from nltk.wsd import lesk
        print(lesk(text, word1))
        print(lesk(text, word2))
        0.22222222222222
        0.22222222222222
        Synset('interconnect.v.02')
        Synset('network.v.01')
        Observations:
```

Similarity in this case seems to be symmetric. If a is similar to b, then b is similar to a. This is not always the case, but it is in this case.

The lesk similarity was largely able to capture the meaning in this case.

### Sentiwordnet

Sentiwordnet is a database of words and their associated sentiment. Each word is associated with a positive score, a negative score, and an objective score. The positive score is the probability that the word is positive. The negative score is the probability that the word is negative. The objective score is the probability that the word is objective.

```
In [ ]: from nltk.corpus import sentiwordnet as swn
```

```
In [ ]: # an example of a word that is emotionally charged
        word = 'hate'
        synsets = wn.synsets(word)
        # create a sentisynset for each synset
        sentisysnsets = []
        for synset in synsets:
            sentisysnsets.append(swn.senti_synset(synset.name()))
        # print the positive and negative scores for each synset
        for sentisynset in sentisysnsets:
            print(sentisynset)
            print(sentisynset.pos_score())
            print(sentisynset.neg_score())
            print(sentisynset.obj_score())
        <hate.n.01: PosScore=0.125 NegScore=0.375>
        0.125
        0.375
        0.5
        <hate.v.01: PosScore=0.0 NegScore=0.75>
        0.0
        0.75
        0.25
In [ ]: example_sentence = 'You are a very nice person and I enjoy being around you.'
        # tokenize the sentence
        tokens = nltk.word_tokenize(example_sentence)
        # find the polarity of each word in the sentence
        print('Word\tPositive\tNegative\tObjective')
        for token in tokens:
            synsets = wn.synsets(token)
            if synsets:
                sentisynset = swn.senti_synset(synsets[0].name())
                print(token + '\t' + str(sentisynset.pos_score()) + '\t' + str(sentisynset.
               Positive
                                              Objective
        Word
                               Negative
               0.0 0.0
                               1.0
        are
               0.0 0.0
                               1.0
             0.5
                     0.0
                               0.5
        very
               0.0 0.0
        nice
                               1.0
        person 0.0
                      0.0 1.0
                       0.0
                               1.0
               0.0
        enjoy 0.375 0.0 0.625
              0.0
                       0.0
                               1.0
        being
        around 0.0
                       0.0
                               1.0
```

These scores could be used for sentiment analysis of input text and, importantly, could also be used for tonal matching of response text. I expect these would be especially useful in stock market analysis, where the sentiment of a company's stock could be used to predict its future performance, and a trading bot could rapidly respond to changes in sentiment.

## **Collocations**

A collocation is a sequence of words that occur together more often than would be expected by chance. Some examples of collocations are "New York", "United States", and "United Kingdom".

```
In []: # import text4 from nltk book
from nltk.book import text4

# find collocations in text4
collocations = text4.collocations()
collocations
```

United States; fellow citizens; years ago; four years; Federal Government; General Government; American people; Vice President; God bless; Chief Justice; one another; fellow Americans; Old World; Almighty God; Fellow citizens; Chief Magistrate; every citizen; Indian tribes; public debt; foreign nations

```
In []: # calculate mutual information for the 'United States' collocation
    import math
    text = ' '.join(text4.tokens)
    vocab = len(set(text4))
    print(vocab)
    hg = text.count('United States')/vocab
    print("p(United States) = ",hg )
    h = text.count('United')/vocab
    print("p(United) = ", h)
    g = text.count('States')/vocab
    print('p(States) = ', g)
    pmi = math.log2(hg / (h * g))
    print('pmi = ', pmi)
```

10025 p(United States) = 0.015860349127182045 p(United) = 0.0170573566084788 p(States) = 0.03301745635910224 pmi = 4.815657649820885

A higher pmi score indicates a higher likelihood that the words are a collocation. What seems most interesting to myself though is that the "States" has a much higher frequency than "United", which indicates that "United" is frequently used with "States", but not the other way around. For the purposes of NLP, this could be useful for determining the most important words in a sentence, and for determining the most important words in a document.