ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

CRITERIA			MARKS	(S		
	16-20	13-15	10-12	8-9	0-7	Comments
	Excellent in	Good in	Satisfactory in	Weak in	Unsatisfactory in	
	documenting the	documenting the	documenting the	documenting the	documenting the	
	methodology.	methodology.	methodology.	methodology.	methodology.	
	 Generates 	 Generates 	 Generates 	 Generates 	 Generates 	
	complete, clear and	requirements	requirements	requirements	requirements	
	unambiguous	specification with	specification with	specification with	specification with	
	requirements	minor residual	some residual	substantial	substantial	
	specification.	ambiguity.	ambiguity.	ambiguity.	ambiguity.	
	 Identifies ambiguity 	 Identifies 	 Omits ambiguity 	 Omits ambiguity 	 Omits ambiguity 	
	in givens and states	ambiguity in givens	in givens and states	in given and	in given and	
	necessary	however necessary	necessary	necessary	necessary	
	assumptions.	assumptions are	assumptions	assumptions.	assumptions.	
	 Uses appropriate 	not fully stated.	ambiguously.	Uses	 No diagrams to 	
	diagrams to describe	 Uses appropriate 	 Uses appropriate 	inappropriate	describe software	
	implementation	diagrams to	diagrams to	diagrams to	architecture.	
	clearly including the	describe	describe	describe		
Methodology	design decisions.	implementation	implementation	implementation and	It is possible that	
(30%)		however contain a	however contain a	contain large	the methodology is	
(50,0)	Overall contents	small number of	number of errors,	number of errors,	weak or above in	
	comprehensively	errors, omissions or	omissions or	omissions or	some areas and	
	articulates all	additions.	additions.	additions.	unsatisfactory in	
	relevant and				others.	
	pertinent issues	It is possible that	It is possible that	It is possible that	Unsatisfactory in	
	related to the overall	the methodology is	the methodology is	the methodology is	two or more areas.	
	solution.	outstanding or	good or above in	satisfactory or	Likely to contain	
		excellent in some	some areas and	above in some	errors, omissions,	
	All areas are at least	areas and	satisfactory in	areas and	additions, or	
	good. May be	satisfactory in	others. Weak in no	unsatisfactory in	misunderstandings	
	outstanding is some	others but on	more than two	others. Likely to be	which prevent the	
	areas and good in	balance is good.	areas. Likely to	weak in more than	design model from	
	others and hence is	Satisfactory or	contain a number	three areas. It	being weak. May	
	on balance excellent.	above in all areas.	of errors, omissions	might be	not be recognisable	
	Good or above in all	Likely to contain a	or additions which	unsatisfactory in	as a methodology,	
	areas. Likely to	small number of	prevent the	one area but no	might have majors	
	contain minor errors,	errors, omissions or	methodology from	more. Likely to	errors in content or	
	omissions or	additions which		contain errors,		

Overall an excellent implementation.	Implementation from	prevent the	additions which	omissions or	contain minor errors,	areas. Likely to	Good or above in all	on balance excellent.	others and hence is	areas and good in	outstanding is some	good. May be	All areas are at least	behind the problem.	underlying principles	identifies the	functionalities which	approach. Excellent	originality in its	particular insight or	The work shows	oriented concepts.	following of object-	syntax. Correct	consistency and	indentation,			Implementation Excellent in the	16-20		methodology.	Overall an excellent	being outstanding.	methodology from	process the
				implementation.	good	excellent. Overall a	from being	implementation	prevent the	additions which	errors, omissions or	small number of	Likely to contain a	above in all areas.	Satisfactory or	balance is good.	others but on	satisfactory in	areas and	excellent in some	outstanding or	application is	possible that the	syntax. It is	consistency and	indentation,	comments,	implementation,	Good in the	13-15		!	methodology.	Overall a good	being excellent.	methodology from
						implementation.	satisfactory	Overall a	from being good.	implementation	prevent the	additions which	omissions or	number of errors,	Likely to contain a	than two areas.	weak in no more	others. Likely to be	satisfactory in	areas and	or above in some	application is good	possible that the	syntax. It is	consistency and	indentation,	comments,	implementation,	Satisfactory in the	10-12					satistactory.	caticfactory
problem in focus.	application of the	recognisable as an	satisfactory. Still	from being	implementation	which prevent the	misunderstandings	additions, or	omissions,	contain errors,	more. Likely to	one area but no	unsatisfactory in	might be	three areas. It	weak in more than	others. Likely to be	unsatisfactory in	areas and	above in some	satisfactory or	application is	possible that the	syntax. It is	consistency and	indentation,	comments,	implementation,	Weak in the	8-9	Overall poor.	being satisfactory.	methodology from	which prevent the	misunderstandings	additions or
נות נאס:	or a combination of	in implementation	have majors errors	application, might	object-oriented	recognisable as an	May not be	from being weak.	implementation	which prevent the	misunderstandings	additions, or	errors, omissions,	Likely to contain	two or more areas.	Unsatisfactory in	others.	unsatisfactory in	areas and	or above in some	application is weak	possible that the	syntax. It is	consistency and	indentation,	comments,	implementation,	the	Unsatisfactory in	0-7					נות נאס:	† ho † ho

		Results & Discussion (40%)	
results discussion. The explanation and justification of how it meets specified requirements shows outstanding insight into the issues involved and alternatives available. The presentation clearly and concisely demonstrates a deep understanding of the project implementation. May be outstanding is some areas and good in others and hence is on balance excellent. Good or above in all areas. Likely to contain minor errors, omissions or additions which prevent the results discussion from being outstanding. Overall an excellent results discussion.	Excellent in the	16-20	
explanation and justification of how it meets specified requirements shows good understanding into the issues involved and alternatives available. The presentation demonstrates a good understanding of the project implementation. It is possible that the presentation is outstanding or excellent in some areas and satisfactory in others but on balance is good. Satisfactory or above in all areas. Likely to contain a small number of errors, omissions or additions which prevent the results discussion from being excellent. Overall a good results discussion.	Good in the results	13-15	
The explanation and justification of how it meets specified requirements covers relevant aspects into the issues involved and alternatives available, but is not outstanding in any respect. It is possible that the presentation is good or above in some areas and satisfactory in others. Likely to be weak in no more than two areas. Likely to contain a number of errors, omissions or additions which prevent the results discussion from being good. Overall a satisfactory results discussion.	Satisfactory in the	10-12	
discussion. The explanation and justification of how it meets specified required is inadequate. It is possible that the presentation is satisfactory or above in some areas and unsatisfactory in others. Likely to be weak in more than three areas. It might be unsatisfactory in one area but no more. Likely to contain errors, omissions, additions, or misunderstandings which prevent the results discussion from being satisfactory. Overall poor but satisfactory.	Weak in the areas	8-9	Overall poor but satisfactory.
the areas or the results discussion. It conveys little understanding of solution of the problem or no useful explanation and justification of how it meets specified requirements. It is possible that the presentation is weak or above in some areas and unsatisfactory in others. Unsatisfactory in two or more areas. Likely to contain errors, omissions, additions, or misunderstandings which prevent the results discussion from being weak.	Unsatisfactory in	0-7	

Presentation (50%)	16-20	13-15	10-12	8-9	0-7	Comments
	Excellent, well-	Good presentation,	Satisfactory	Weak presentation	Unsatisfactory	
	directed	logically structured.	presentation, well	and structure.	presentation and	
	presentation,	There are	structured. It	Spelling and	structure.	
	logically and	occasional spelling	contains number of	grammatical errors	Numerous spelling	
	coherently	and grammatical	spelling and	force the reader to	and grammatical	
	structured. It is free	errors, but the	grammatical errors,	struggle to	errors and a lack of	
	or almost free	reader does not	but the reader does	determine the	clear consistent	
	grammatical errors.	struggle to interpret	not struggle to	intended meaning.	organization	
	The format is clear	the writer's	interpret the	Organizational tools	interfere with the	
	and consistent with	intended meaning.	writer's intended	such as headings,	writer's ability to	
	appropriate use of	The writing would	meaning. It is	paragraphs are	communicate to the	
	headings and	benefit from the	possible that the	used inconsistently.	key points. The	
	paragraphs. English	use of	use of	References are not	reader frequently	
	usage is easily	organizational tools	organizational tools	used properly to	cannot determine	
	understandable.	(e.g. headings,	(e.g. headings and	indicate the sources	the intended	
	References and	paragraphs) and	paragraphs) are	of material.	meaning. There are	
	quotations are	more consistent	good or above in		no references to	
	utilized appropriately	use of references to	some areas and	Weak in the areas	indicate material	
	to indicate sources.	sources.	satisfactory in	of the presentation	taken from other	
			others.	and handling some	sources.	
	Excellent in the	Good in the		of the questions		
	presentation and	presentation and	Satisfactory in the	but most answers	Unsatisfactory in	
	handling all	handling all	presentation and	are inaccurate. The	the areas of the	
	questions. The	questions with	handling most of	explanation and	presentation and	
	explanation and	some minor	the questions with	justification of how	handling all	
	justification of how it	inaccurate answers.	some inaccurate	it meets specified	questions and not	
	meets specified	The explanation	answers. The	required is	able to answer any	
	requirements shows	and justification of	explanation and	inadequate. It is	questions posed. It	
	outstanding insight	how it meets	justification of how	possible that the	conveys little	
	into the issues	specified	it meets specified	presentation is	understanding of	
	involved and	requirements	requirements	satisfactory or	solution of the	
	alternatives available.	shows good	covers relevant	above in some	problem or no	
	The presentation	understanding into	aspects into the	areas and	useful explanation	
	clearly and concisely	the issues involved	issues involved and	unsatisfactory in	and justification of	
	demonstrates a deep	and alternatives	alternatives	others. Likely to be	how it meets	
	understanding of the	available. The	available, but is not	weak in more than	specified	
	project	presentation	outstanding in any	three areas. It	requirements. It is	
	implementation. May	demonstrates a	respect. It is	might be	possible that the	
	be outstanding is	good understanding	possible that the	unsatisfactory in	presentation is	

		presentation.	Overall an excellent	being outstanding.	presentation from	prevent the	additions which	omissions or	minor errors,	Likely to contain	above in all areas.	excellent. Good or	is on balance	in others and hence	some areas and good
prevent the presentation from being excellent. Overall a good presentation.	errors, omissions or additions which	small number of	Likely to contain a	above in all areas.	Satisfactory or	balance is good.	others but on	satisfactory in	areas and	excellent in some	outstanding or	presentation is	is possible that the	implementation. It	of the project
	a satisfactory presentation.	being good. Overall	presentation from	prevent the	additions which	omissions or	number of errors,	Likely to contain a	than two areas.	weak in no more	others. Likely to be	satisfactory in	some areas and	good or above in	presentation is
					satisfactory.	Overall poor but	being satisfactory.	presentation from	which prevent the	misunderstandings	additions, or	omissions,	contain errors,	more. Likely to	one area but no
			being weak.	presentation from	which prevent the	misunderstandings	additions, or	errors, omissions,	Likely to contain	two or more areas.	Unsatisfactory in	others.	unsatisfactory in	some areas and	weak or above in