Polychronization: Computation with Spikes

Eugene M. Izhikevich
Eugene.Izhikevich@nsi.edu
The Neurosciences Institute, 10640 John Jay Hopkins Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, U.S.A.

We present a minimal spiking network that can polychronize, that is, exhibit reproducible time-locked but not synchronous firing patterns with millisecond precision, as in synfire braids. The network consists of cortical spiking neurons with axonal conduction delays and spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP); a ready-to-use MATLAB code is included. It exhibits sleeplike oscillations, gamma (40 Hz) rhythms, conversion of firing rates to spike timings, and other interesting regimes. Due to the interplay between the delays and STDP, the spiking neurons spontaneously self-organize into groups and generate patterns of stereotypical polychronous activity. To our surprise, the number of coexisting polychronous groups far exceeds the number of neurons in the network, resulting in an unprecedented memory capacity of the system. We speculate on the significance of polychrony to the theory of neuronal group selection (TNGS, neural Darwinism), cognitive neural computations, binding and gamma rhythm, mechanisms of attention, and consciousness as "attention to memories."

1 Introduction

The classical point of view that neurons transmit information exclusively via modulations of their mean firing rates (Shadlen & Newsome, 1998; Mazurek & Shadlen, 2002; Litvak, Sompolinsky, Segev, & Abeles, 2003) seems to be at odds with the growing empirical evidence that neurons can generate spike-timing patterns with millisecond temporal precision in vivo (Lindsey, Morris, Shannon, & Gerstein, 1997; Prut et al., 1998; Villa, Tetko, Hyland, & Najem, 1999; Chang, Morris, Shannon, & Lindsey, 2000; Tetko & Villa, 2001) and in vitro (Mao, Hamzei-Sichani, Aronov, Froemke, & Yuste, 2001; Ikegaya et al., 2004). The patterns can be found in the firing sequences of single neurons (Strehler & Lestienne, 1986; de Ruyter van Steveninck, Lewen, Strong, Koberle, & Bialek, 1997; Reinagel & Reid, 2002; Bryant & Segundo, 1976; Mainen & Sejnowski, 1995) or in the relative timing of spikes of multiple neurons (Prut et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2000) forming a functional neuronal group (Edelman 1987, 1993). Activation of such a neuronal group can be triggered by stimuli or behavioral events (Villa et al., 1999; Riehle,

Grün, Diesmann, & Aertsen, 1997). These findings have been widely used
to support the hypothesis of temporal coding in the brain (Buzsaki, Llinas,
Singer, Berthoz, & Christen, 1994; Abeles, 1991, 2002; Diesmann, Gewaltig,
& Aertsen, 1999; Bienenstock, 1995; Miller, 1996a, 1996b; see also special
issue of *NEURON* (September 1999) on the binding problem).

In addition to the growing empirical evidence of precise spike-timing
dynamics in the brain, there is growing theoretical interest in the artificial
neural networks community to spike timing as an additional variable in
the information processing by the brain. See special issue of *IEEE TNN* on
pulse-coupled neural networks (May 1999); special issue of *Neural Networks*
on spiking neurons (July 2001); and special issue of *IEEE TNN* on temporal
coding (July 2004).

1.1 Spikes. When considering spiking neurons, most researchers em-
phasize synchrony of firing. Indeed, it is widely believed that if two or more
neurons have a common postsynaptic target and fire synchronously, then
their spikes arrive at the target at the same time, thereby evoking potent
postsynaptic responses. If the neurons fire asynchronously (i.e., randomly),
their spikes arrive at the postsynaptic target at different times, evoking
only weak or no response. An implicit assumption here is that the axonal
conduction delays are negligible or equal.

1.2 Delays. A careful measurement of axonal conduction delays in the
mammalian neocortex (Swadlow 1985, 1988, 1992) showed that they could
be as small as 0.1 ms and as large as 44 ms, depending on the type and
location of the neurons. A typical distribution of axonal propagation delays
between different pairs of cortical neurons (depicted in Figure 1A) is broad,
spanning two orders of magnitude. Nevertheless, the propagation delay
between any individual pair of neurons is precise and reproducible with a
submillisecond precision (see Figure 1B; Swadlow 1985, 1994). Why would
the brain maintain different delays with such precision if spike timing were
not important?

The majority of computational neuroscientists discard delays as a nui-
sance that only complicates modeling. From a mathematical point of view,
a system with delays is not finite- but infinite-dimensional,[1] which poses
some mathematical and simulation difficulties.

In this letter, we argue that an infinite dimensionality of spiking networks
with axonal delays is not a nuisance but an immense advantage that results

---

[1]For example, the simplest delay equation $x' = -x(t-1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ has infinite dimension
because to solve it, we need to specify the initial condition on the entire interval $[-1, 0]$,
and not just in the point $t = 0$. Delayed dynamical systems can exhibit astonishingly rich
and complex dynamics (e.g., see Foss & Milton, 2000); however, the mathematical theory
of such equations is still in its infancy (Wiener & Hale, 1992; Bellen & Zennaro, 2003).

A

B

C

connection	delays (ms)	animal	reference
layer 6 → LGN	1 - 44	cat	Ferster & Lindstrom (1983)
	1.7 - 32	rabbit	Swadlow (1994)
cortico-cortical	1 - 35	rabbit	Swadlow (1985)
	1.2 - 19	rabbit	Swadlow (1994)
cortico-(ipsi)cortical	2.2 - 32.5	rabbit	Swadlow (1994)
layer 5 → LGN	0.6 - 2.3	rabbit	Swadlow (1994)
cortico-collicular	0 - 3	cat	Ferster & Lindstrom (1983)
VB → layer 4	2	mice	Salami, Itami, Tsumoto, & Kimura (2003)

Figure 1: (A) Distribution of experimentally measured conduction delays of cortical axons running through the corpus collosum (antidromic stimulation, modified from Figure 3A of Swadlow, 1985). (B) Superposition of two voltage traces recorded in vivo shows the submillisecond precision of axonal conduction delays in the same pair of neurons (modified from Figure 4 of Swadlow, 1994). (C) Summary of experimental evidence of axonal conduction delays in different neurons and species.

in an unprecedented information capacity. In particular, there are stable firing patterns that are not possible without the delays.

1.3 Polychronization. To illustrate the main idea, consider neuron a in Figure 2A receiving inputs from neurons b, c, and d with different

Figure 2: (A) Synaptic connections from neurons b, c, and d to neurons a and e have different axonal conduction delays. (B–D) Firings of neurons are denoted by the vertical bars. Each arrow points to the spike arrival time to the postsynaptic neuron. (B) Synchronous firing is not effective in eliciting a potent postsynaptic response since the spikes arrive at the postsynaptic neurons at different times. (C) The spiking pattern with neuron d firing at 0 ms, neuron c firing at 4 ms, and neuron b firing at 8 ms is optimal to excite neuron a because the spikes arrive at a simultaneously. (D) The reverse order of firing is optimal to excite neuron e.

conduction delays. Synchronous firing, as in Figure 2B, is not effective to excite neuron a, because the spikes arrive at this neuron at different times. To maximize the postsynaptic response in neuron a, the presynaptic neurons should fire with the temporal pattern determined by the delays and depicted in Figure 2C so that the spikes arrive at neuron a simultaneously. A different spike-timing pattern, as in Figure 2D, excites neuron e.

We see that depending on the order and the precise timing of firing, the same three neurons can evoke a spike in either neuron a or neuron e, or possibly in some other neuron not shown in the figure. Notice how the conduction delays make this possible.

If b, c, and d are sensory neurons driven by an external input, then the simple circuit in Figure 2 can recognize and classify simple spatiotemporal patterns (Hopfield, 1995; Seth, Mckinstry, Edelman, & Krichmar, 2004a).

Indeed, sensory input as in Figure 2C results in (d,c,b,a) firing as a group with spike-timing pattern (0, 4, 8, 10) ms. Sensory input as in Figure 2D results in a different set of neurons: (b,c,d,e), firing as a group with a different spike-timing pattern, namely, (0, 3, 7, 9) ms.

Since the firings of these neurons are not synchronous but time-locked to each other, we refer to such groups as polychronous, where *poly* means *many* and *chronous* means *time* or *clock* in Greek. Polychrony should be distinguished from asynchrony, since the latter does not imply a reproducible time-locking pattern, but usually describes noisy, random, nonsynchronous events. It is also different from the notion of clustering, partial synchrony (Hoppensteadt & Izhikevich, 1997), or polysynchrony (Stewart, Golubitsky, & Pivato, 2003), in which some neurons oscillate synchronously while others do not.

1.4 Networks. To explore the issue of spike timing in networks with conduction delays, we simulated an anatomically realistic model consisting of 100,000 cortical spiking neurons having receptors with AMPA, NMDA, $GABA_A$, and $GABA_B$ kinetics and long-term and short-term synaptic plasticity (Izhikevich, Gally, & Edelman, 2004). We found that the network contained large polychronous groups, illustrated in Figure 12, capable of recognizing and classifying quite complicated spatiotemporal patterns.

The existence of such groups, requiring finely tuned synaptic weights and matching (or converging) conduction delays, might seem unlikely in randomly connected networks with distributions of conduction delays. However, spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) can select matching conduction delays and spontaneously organize neurons into such groups, a phenomenon anticipated by M. Abeles (personal communication with his students), Bienenstock (1995) and Gerstner, Kempter, van Hemmen, & Wagner (1996) (see also Changeux & Danchin, 1976, and Edelman, 1987). An unexpected result is that the number of coexisting polychronous groups could be far greater than the number of neurons in the network, sometimes even greater than the number of synapses. That is, each neuron was part of many groups, firing with one group at one time and with another group at another time. This is the main result of this letter. In retrospect, it is not surprising, since the networks we consider have delays, and hence are infinite-dimensional from a purely mathematical point of view.

In this letter we present a minimal model that captures the essence of this phenomenon. It consists of a sparse network of 1000 randomly connected spiking neurons with STDP and conduction delays, thereby representing a cortical column or hypercolumn. The MATLAB code of the model, spnet, and its technical description is given in the appendix. In section 2, we demonstrate that despite its simplicity, the model exhibits cortical-like dynamics, including oscillations in the delta (4 Hz) frequency range, 40 Hz gamma oscillations, and a balance of excitation and inhibition. In section 3, we describe polychronous groups in detail. Our definition differs from the

one used by Izhikevich et al. (2004), who relied on the existence of so-called anchor neurons and hence could not have more groups than neurons (that is, most of the groups in that study went undetected). We also illustrate how polychronous groups contribute to cognitive information processing going beyond the Hopfield-Grossberg or liquid state machine paradigms. In sections 4 and 5, we discuss some open problems and present some speculations on the significance of this finding in modeling binding, attention, and primary (perceptual) consciousness.

2 Dynamics

The model neural network, described in the appendix, preserves important ratios found in the mammalian cortex (Braitenberg & Schuz, 1991). It consists of 1000 randomly connected excitatory (80%) and inhibitory (20%) neurons. The network is sparse with 0.1 probability of connection between any two neurons. Behavior of each neuron is described by the simple spiking model (Izhikevich, 2003), which can reproduce 20 of the most fundamental neurocomputational features of biological neurons (summarized in Figure 3). Despite its versatility, the model can be implemented efficiently (Izhikevich, 2004). Since we cannot simulate an infinite-dimensional system on a finite-dimensional digital computer, we substitute the network by its finite-dimensional approximation having time resolution 1 ms.

2.1 Plasticity. Synaptic connections among neurons have fixed conduction delays, which are random integers between 1 ms and 20 ms. Thus, the delays in the model are not as dramatic as those observed experimentally in Figure 1. Excitatory synaptic weights evolve according to the STDP rule illustrated in Figure 4 (Song, Miller, & Abbott, 2000). The magnitude of change of synaptic weight between a pre- and a postsynaptic neuron depends on the timing of spikes: if the presynaptic spike arrives at the postsynaptic neuron before the postsynaptic neuron fires—for example, it causes the firing—the synapse is potentiated. Its weight is increased according to the positive part of the STDP curve in Figure 4 but does not allow growth beyond a cut-off value, which is a parameter in the model. In this simulation, we use the value 10 mV, which means that two presynaptic spikes are enough to fire a given postsynaptic cell. If the presynaptic spike arrives at the postsynaptic neuron after it fired, that is, it brings the news late, the synapse is depressed. Its weight is decreased according to the negative part of the STDP curve. Thus, what matters is not the timing of spiking per se but the exact timing of the arrival of presynaptic spikes to postsynaptic targets.

2.2 Rhythms. Initially, all synaptic connections have equal weights, and the network is allowed to settle down for 24 hours of model time (which takes 6 hours on a 1 GHz PC) so that some synapses are potentiated and

(A) tonic spiking

(B) phasic spiking

(C) tonic bursting

(D) phasic bursting

input dc-current
20 ms

(E) mixed mode

(F) spike frequency
adaptation

(G) Class 1 excitable

(H) Class 2 excitable

(I) spike latency

(J) subthreshold
oscillations

(K) resonator

(L) integrator

(M) rebound spike

(N) rebound burst

(O) threshold
variability

(P) bistability

(Q) depolarizing
after-potential

DAP

(R) accommodation

(S) inhibition-induced
spiking

(T) inhibition-induced
bursting

Figure 3: Summary of the neurocomputational properties of biological spik-
ing neurons (Izhikevich 2004). Shown are simulations of the same model (see
equations A.1 and A.2) with different choices of parameters. This model is used
in this study. Each horizontal bar denotes 20 ms time interval. The MATLAB
file generating the figure and containing all the parameters can be downloaded
from the author's Web site. This figure is reproduced with permission from
www.izhikevich.com. (An electronic version of the figure and reproduction
permission are freely available online at www.izhikevich.com.)

Figure 4: STDP rule (spike-timing-dependent plasticity, or Hebbian temporally asymmetric synaptic plasticity): The weight of synaptic connection from pre- to postsynaptic neuron is increased if the postneuron fired after the presynaptic spike, that is, the interspike interval $t > 0$. The magnitude of change decreases as $A_+ e^{-t/\tau_+}$. Reverse order results in a decrease of the synaptic weight with magnitude $A_- e^{t/\tau_-}$. Parameters used: $\tau_+ = \tau_- = 20$ ms, $A_+ = 0.1$, and $A_- = 0.12$.

others are depressed. At the beginning of this settling period, the network exhibits high-amplitude rhythmic activity in the delta frequency range around 4 Hz (see Figure 5, top). This rhythm resembles one of the four fundamental types of brain waves, sometimes called deep sleep waves, because it occurs during dreamless states of sleep, during infancy, and in some brain disorders. Of course, the mechanism of generation of this rhythm in the model is probably different from the one in mammals, since the model does not have thalamus.

As the synaptic connections evolve according to STDP, the delta oscillations disappear, and spiking activity of the neurons becomes more Poissonian and uncorrelated. After a while, gamma frequency rhythms in the range 30 to 70 Hz appear, as one can see in Figure 5 (bottom). The mechanism generating this rhythms is often called PING (pyramidal-interneuron network gamma; Whittington, Traub, Kopell, Ermentrout, & Buhl, 2000): strong firings of pyramidal neurons excite enough inhibitory interneurons, leading to transient reciprocal inhibition that temporarily shuts down the activity. These kinds of oscillations, implicated in cognitive tasks in humans and other animals, play an important role in the activation of polychronous groups, as we describe in the next section.

2.3 Balance of Excitation and Inhibition. There are fewer inhibitory neurons in the network, but their firing rate is proportionally higher, as one can see in Figure 5. As a result, the network converges to a state with

Figure 5: Rhythmic activity of the spiking model is evident from the spike raster. As synaptic weights are evolved according to STDP, initial delta frequency oscillations (top, sec = 1) disappear, relatively uncorrelated Poissonian activity (middle, sec = 100), and then gamma frequency oscillations (bottom, sec = 3600) appear.

Figure 6: Activation of a polychronous group: Spiking activity of the entire network (top) contains a pattern (middle) that is generated more often than predicted by chance. The pattern occurs because the connectivity between the neurons has matching axonal conduction delays (bottom). See Figures 2 and 7 for more detail. Dots denote actual spikes generated by the model; circles denote the predicted timing of spikes based on the anatomical connectivity and the delays among the neurons. The simulation time step is 1 ms. Red (black) dots denote firings of excitatory (inhibitory) neurons.

an approximate balance of excitation and inhibition (Shadlen & Newsome, 1994; Shadlen & Movshon, 1999; van Vreeswijk & Sompolinsky, 1996; Amit & Brunel, 1997), so that each excitatory neuron fires in the Poissonian manner with the rate fluctuating between 2 and 7 Hz. Even during the episodes of gamma oscillation, such as the one in Figure 5, the spiking activity of excitatory neurons is not synchronized; the neurons skip most of the gamma cycles and fire just a few spikes per second (see the open circles in Figure 6, middle).

Twofold changes of some of the parameters, such as the maximal synaptic weight, the amount of depression in STDP, or the thalamic input, produce only transient changes in network dynamics. Neurons adjust their synaptic weights, balance the excitation and inhibition, and return to the mean firing

rate between 2 and 7 Hz. Thus, the network maintains a certain homeostatic state despite intrinsic or extrinsic perturbations.

3 Polychronous Spiking

Although spiking of excitatory neurons looks random and uncorrelated, there are certain persistent spike-timing patterns that emerge and reoccur with millisecond precision. An example of such a spike-timing pattern is presented in Figure 6. Although no apparent order can be seen in the network activity at the top of the figure, except for a pronounced gamma oscillation, the pattern denoted by circles in the middle of the figure repeats itself a few times per hour with ±1 ms spike jitter. Statistical considerations standard in the synfire chain literature (not presented here; see, e.g., Prut et al., 1998) suggest that such repetitions are highly unlikely to occur by chance. There must be some underlying connectivity that generates the pattern. Indeed, considering the connections between the neurons, depicted in Figure 6 (bottom), we can see that the neurons are organized into a group, referred here as being polychronous (i.e., multiple timing), which forces the neurons to fire with the pattern.

3.1 Definition. Our definition of polychronous groups is based on the anatomy of the network, that is, on the connectivity between neurons. Let us freeze the simulation and consider the strongest connections among neurons, paying special attention to the conduction delays. In Figure 7 the conduction delays from neurons (125, 275, 490) to neuron 1 are such that when the neurons fire with the timing pattern (0, 3, 7) ms, their spikes arrive at neuron 1 at the same time, thereby making neuron 1 fire at 13 ms. The


Figure 7: Example of a polychronous group: Firing of neurons (125, 275, 490) with the timing pattern (0, 3, 7) ms results in spikes arriving simultaneously at neuron 1, then at neurons 172, 695, and 380. This multitiming (polychronous) activity propagates farther along the network and terminates at neuron 510.

conduction delays from neurons 125 and 1 to neuron 172 are such that when the neurons fire with the pattern (3, 13) ms, their spikes arrive at neuron 172 simultaneously, thereby making it fire at 21 ms. Since we know all delays in the model, we can continue this procedure spike by spike and untangle the entire group. It consists of 15 neurons, some of them inhibitory; the group ends at neuron 510.

The group in Figure 7 is defined on a purely anatomical basis; there is no firing involved yet. The figure tells only that there is a subgraph in the connectivity matrix of the network so that if neurons (125, 275, 490) fire with the indicated pattern, the stereotypical activity propagates through the subgraph. Thus, the group in the figure is only an anatomical prediction of a possible stereotypical firing pattern. One may never see the pattern, for example, if the first three neurons never fire.

Whenever the neurons in the figure do fire with the spike-timing pattern determined by the connectivity and delays, we say that the group is activated and the corresponding neurons polychronize. Typically, firing of the first few neurons with the right timing is enough to activate most of the group, as it happens in Figure 6. Notice how activation of the group is locked to the gamma oscillation; that is, the first three neurons fire at the first gamma cycle, their spikes travel 10 to 20 ms and arrive at the next four neurons in the next gamma cycle, and so on, resulting in precise stereotypical activity.

We stress here that 1 ms spike-timing precision is the consequence of our definition of the group. Of course, neurons in Figure 6 fire many other spiking patterns with large jitter. We ignore those patterns by calling them noise.

3.2 Emergence of Groups. Considering various triplets, such as neurons (125, 275, 490) in Figure 7 (left), firing with various patterns, we can reveal all polychronous groups emanating from the triplets. In the network of 1000 neurons presented in the appendix, we find over 5000 such groups. The groups did not exist at the beginning of simulation but appear as a result of STDP acting on random spiking (Izhikevich et al., 2004). STDP potentiates some synapses corresponding to connections with converging (matching) delays and depresses (prunes) other synapses. The plasticity takes an initially unstructured network and selects firing patterns that are consistent with the underlying anatomy, thereby creating many strongly connected subgraphs corresponding to polychronous groups. Since STDP is always "ON" in the network, groups constantly appear and disappear; their total number fluctuates between 5000 and 6000. We found a core of 471 groups that appeared and survived the entire duration of 24 hour simulation.

The groups have different sizes, lengths, and time spans, as we summarize in Figure 8. (A few examples are depicted in Figure 12). Since an average group consists of 25 neurons, an average neuron is a member of

Figure 8: Characteristics of polychronous groups (total number is 5269 in a network of 1000 neurons). (Top left) An example of a polychronous group. (Top right) Distribution of group sizes, that is, the number of neurons that form each group. The example group has size 15. (Bottom left) Distribution of groups' time spans—the time difference between the firing of the first and the last neuron in the group. The example group has time span 56 ms. (Bottom right) Distribution of the longest paths in the groups. The example group has a path of length 5.

131 different groups. Because different groups activate at different times, the neuron can fire with one group at one time and with another group at another time.

3.3 Groups Share Neurons. Quite often, different polychronous groups can share more than one neuron. Two such cases are illustrated in Figure 9. Neurons (8, 103, 351, 609, 711, 883) belong to two different groups in the upper half of the figure. However, there is no ambiguity because their firing order is different; the neurons fire with one spike-timing pattern at one time (when the first group is activated), with the other pattern at some other time (when the second group is activated), and with no pattern most of the time. The lower half of the figure depicts two groups having eight neurons in common and firing with different spike-timing patterns. In addition, neurons 838 and 983 fire twice during activation of the second group. Again, there is no ambiguity here because each polychronous group is defined not only by its constituent neurons but also by their precise spiking time.

As an extreme illustration of this property, consider a toy fully connected network of 5 neurons in Figure 10A. In principle, such a network can exhibit $5! = 240$ different firing patterns if we require that each neuron fires only

Figure 9: Two examples of pairs of groups consisting of essentially the same neurons but firing with different spike-timing patterns; see also Figure 10. Neurons of interest are marked with numbers. The list of neurons and their firing times are provided for each group in the upper-right corners.

once and we distinguish the patterns only on the basis of the order of firing of the neurons. If we allow for multiple firings, then the number of patterns explodes combinatorially. However, the connectivity among the neurons imposes a severe restriction on the possible sustained and reproducible firing patterns, essentially excluding most of them. Random delays in the network would result in one, and sometimes two, polychronous groups.

Figure 10: (A) A toy network of five neurons with axonal conduction delays and strong synapses (two simultaneous spikes is enough to fire any neuron). (B) The delays in the network are optimized so that it has 14 polychronous groups, including two cyclic groups that exhibit reverberating activity with a time (phase) shift.

The delays in Figure 10A are not random; they were constructed to maximize the number of polychronous groups. Although there are only five neurons, the network has 14 polychronous groups shown in Figure 10B. Adding a sixth neuron triples the number of groups so that there are more groups than synapses in the network. Considering toy examples like this one, it would not be surprising that a network of 10^{11} neurons (which is the size of the human brain) would have more groups than the number of particles in the universe.

Figure 11: Distribution of frequencies of activation of groups in the simulated and surrogate (inverted time) spike trains. Each group is found using anatomical data (connectivity and delays) and then used as a template to scan through the spike train. The group is said to activate when more than 50% of its neurons polychronize, that is, fire with the prescribed spike-timing pattern with ±1 ms jitter, as in Figure 6. Surrogate data emphasize the statistical significance of these events.

3.4 Activation of Groups. Our definition of a polychronous group relies on the anatomy of the network, not on its dynamics. (Of course, the former and the latter are dependent via STDP.) We say that a group is half-activated when at least 50% of its constituent excitatory neurons polychronize (i.e., fire according to the prescribed spike-timing pattern with ±1 ms spike jitter). For example, the group in Figure 6 is 63% activated because 16 of 19 excitatory neurons polychronized. Once all the groups are found using the anatomical data (connectivity and delays), we use each group as a template, scan the spiking data recorded during a 24 hour period, and count how many times the group is half-activated. We apply this procedure only to those groups (total 471) that persist during the 24-hour period.

In Figure 11 we plot the distribution histogram of the averaged frequency of half-activation of polychronous groups. The mean activation frequency is 7 times per hour, that is, every 8 minutes, implying that there is a spontaneous activation of a group every 1 second ($8 \times 60/471 \approx 1$ sec). Since an averaged neuron is a member of 131 different groups, $131 \times 7 = 917$ of its spikes per hour are part of activation of a group, which is less than 4% of the total number of spikes (up to 25,000) fired during the hour. Thus, the majority of the spikes are noise, and only a tiny fraction is involved in polychrony. The only way to tell which is which is to consider these spikes with relation to the spikes of the other neurons.

To test the significance of our finding, we use surrogate data obtained from the spike data by inverting the time. Such a procedure does not change the mean firing rates, interspike histograms, magnitude of

cross-correlations, and other meaningful statistics of the spike trains. In particular, this approach is free from the criticism (Oram, Wiener, Lestienne, & Richmond, 1999; Baker & Lemon, 2000) that precise firing sequences appear exclusively by chance in spike rasters with covarying firing rates. Activation frequency of (noninverted) groups in the surrogate (inverted) spike raster, depicted as black bars in Figure 11, is much lower, indicating that group activations are statistically significant events.

We emphasize that our method of analysis of spike trains is drastically different from the one used to search for synfire chains in in vivo data. We do not search for patterns in spike data; we know what the patterns are (using the connectivity matrix and delays); we just scan the spikes and count the occurrences of each pattern. Apparently such an approach is feasible only in models.

3.5 Representations. What is the significance of polychronous groups? We hypothesize that polychronous groups could represent memories and experience. In the simulation above, no coherent external input to the system was present. As a result, random groups emerge; that is, the network generates random memories not related to any previous experience.

However, coherent external stimulation builds certain groups that represent this stimulation in the sense that the groups are activated when the stimulation is present. Different stimuli build different groups even when the same neurons are stimulated, as we illustrate in Figure 12. Every second during a 20-minute period, we stimulate 40 neurons, $1, 21, 41, 61, \ldots, 781$, either with the pattern $(1, 2, \ldots, 40)$ ms or with the inverse pattern $(40, \ldots, 2, 1)$ ms, as we show in the top of Figure 12. Initially, no groups starting with stimulated neurons existed (we did not explore whether the stimulation activated any of the existing groups consisting of other neurons). However, after 20 minutes of simulation 25 new groups emerged. Fifteen of them correspond to the first stimulus; they can be activated when the network is stimulated with the first pattern. The other 10 correspond to the second stimulus; that is, they can be activated when the network is stimulated with the second pattern. Thus, the groups represent the memory of the two input patterns, and their activation occurs when the network "sees" the corresponding patterns.

In Figure 13 we depict the time evolution of the largest group corresponding to the first pattern in Figure 12. Notice how the group annexes neurons, probably at the expense of the other groups in the network. Further simulation shows that the initial portion of the group is relatively stable, but its tail expands and shrinks in an unpredictable manner.

Finally, not all groups corresponding to a pattern activate when the network is stimulated. Because the groups share some neurons and have excitatory and inhibitory interconnections, they are in a constant state of competition and cooperation. As a result, each presentation of a stimulus activates only two to three groups (15%) in a random manner.

Figure 12: Persistent stimulation of the network with two spatiotemporal patterns (top) result in the emergence of polychronous groups that represent the patterns; the first few neurons in each group are the ones being stimulated, and the rest of the group activates (polychronizes) whenever the patterns are present.


Figure 13: Time evolution (growth) of the last (largest) polychronous group in Figure 12 corresponding to stimulation pattern 1.

3.6 Rate to Spike-Timing Conversion. Neurons in the model use a spike-timing code to interact and form groups. However, the external input from sensory organs, such as retinal cells and hair cells in cochlear, arrives as a rate code, that is, encoded into the mean firing frequency of spiking. How can the network convert rates to precise spike timings?

It is easy to see how rate to spike-timing conversion could occur at the onset of stimulation. As the input volley arrives, the neurons getting stronger excitation fire first, and the neurons getting weaker excitation fire later or not at all. This mechanism relies on the fact that there is a clear onset

Figure 14: Rate code to spike-timing code conversion by spiking network with fast inhibition. (A) The firing rate input (rate code) induces a phasic inhibition in the network. While the excitatory neurons recover from the inhibition, the ones that get the strongest input fire first, and the ones getting the weakest input fire last (or not fire at all). (B) The strength of the rate code input determines the degree of hyperpolarization of excitatory neurons. Those inhibited less will fire sooner. This mechanism has the desired logarithmic scaling that makes the spike-timing code insensitive to the strength of the input (see the text for detail). Open circles—excitatory neurons; filled circles—populations of inhibitory neurons.

of stimulation, for example, after a visual saccade. What if stimulation is tonic without a clear beginning or end? We hypothesize that intrinsic rhythmicity generates internal "saccades" that can parse the rate input into spike timing, and we discuss three possible mechanisms how this could be accomplished.

First, the intrinsic rhythmic activity can chop tonic input into "mean-firing-rate" packets. Since each packet has a well-defined onset, it can be converted into spike timings according to the mechanism described above: the neuron receiving the strongest input fires first, and so forth. This mechanism is similar, but not equivalent, to the mechanism proposed by Hopfield (1995).

The other two mechanisms rely on the action of inhibitory interneurons. In one case, depicted in Figure 14A, inhibitory neurons, being faster, fire first and inhibit excitatory neurons, thereby resulting in a long inhibitory postsynaptic potential (IPSP). The rate with which excitatory neurons recover from the IPSP depends on their intrinsic properties and the strength of the overall external input. The stronger the input, the sooner the neuron fires after the IPSP. Again, the neuron receiving the strongest input fired first, and the neuron receiving the weakest input fired last.

In the third mechanism depicted in Figure 14B, inputs with different firing rates produce IPSPs of different amplitudes in the excitatory neurons downstream. As the excitatory neurons recover from the inhibition, the neurons are ready to fire spikes (due to some other tonic stimulation) at different moments: the neuron inhibited less would fire first, and the neuron inhibited more would fire last or not at all.

Since the recovery from inhibition is nearly exponential, the system is relatively insensitive to the input scaling. That is, a stronger input results in firing with the same spike-timing pattern but with an earlier onset. Similarly, a weaker input does not change the spike-timing pattern but only delays its onset. Let us illustrate this point using two linear dimensionless equations, $v_i' = -v_i$, $i = 1, 2$, that model the recovery of the membrane potential from the inhibition $v_i(0) = -I_i$, where each I_i denotes the amplitude (peak) of IPSP. The recovery is exponential, $x_i(t) = -I_i e^{-t}$, so the moment of time each membrane reaches a certain threshold value, say, $v = -1$, is $t_i = \log I_i$. If we scale the input by any factor (e.g., kI_i), we translate the threshold moment by a constant because $\log k I_i = \log k + \log I_i$, which is the same for both neurons. Thus, regardless of the scaling of the input, the time difference $\log k I_1 - \log k I_2 = \log I_1 - \log I_2$ is invariant. Thus, in contrast to Hopfield (1995), we do not need to postulate that the input is already somehow converted to the logarithmic scale. Synchronized inhibitory spiking implements the logarithmic conversion and makes spike-timing response relatively insensitive to the input scaling.

3.7 Stimulus-Triggered Averages. Notice that synchronized inhibitory activity occurs during gamma frequency oscillations (see Figure 5). Thus, the network constantly converts rate code to spike-timing code (and back) via gamma rhythm. Each presentation of a rate code stimulus activates an appropriate polychronous group or groups that represent the stimulus. This activation is locked to the phase of the gamma cycle but not to the onset of the stimulus. We explain this point in Figure 15, which illustrates results of a typical in vivo experiment in which a visual, auditory, or tactile stimulus is presented to an animal (we cannot simulate this with the present network because, among many other things, we do not model thalamus, the structure that gates inputs to the cortex). Suppose that we record from neuron A belonging to a polychronous group representing the stimulus. Since the input comes at a random phase of the internal gamma cycle, the activation of the group occurs at random moments, resulting in a typical smeared stimulus-triggered histogram. Such histograms were interpreted by many biologists as "the evidence" of absence of precise spike-timing patterns in the brain, since the only reliable effect that the stimulus evokes is the increased probability of firing of neuron A (i.e., increase in its mean-firing rate). Even recording from two or more neurons belonging to different groups would result in broad histograms and weak correlations among the neurons, because the groups rarely activate together, and when they do,

Figure 15: Noisy, unreliable response of neuron A is due to the unreliable activation of the group representing the stimulus. The activation is locked to the intrinsic gamma cycle, not to the onset of the stimulation, resulting in the smeared stimulus-triggered histogram. One needs to record from two or more neurons belonging to the same group to see the precise spike-timing patterns in the network (the group and the associated gamma rhythm are drawn by hand).

they may activate at different cycles of the gamma rhythm. We see that "noisy," unreliable responses of individual neurons to stimuli are the result of noisy and unreliable activations of polychronous groups. Recordings of two or more neurons belonging to the same group are needed to see the precise patterns (relative to the gamma rhythm).

4 Discussion

Simulating a simple spiking network (the MATLAB code is in the appendix), we discovered a number of interesting phenomena. The most striking one is the emergence of polychronous groups—strongly interconnected groups of neurons having matching conduction delays and capable of firing stereotypical time-locked spikes with millisecond precision. Thus, such groups can be seen not only in anatomically detailed cortical models (Izhikevich et al., 2004) but also in simple spiking networks. Changing some of the parameters of the model twofold changes the number of groups that can be supported by the network but does not eliminate them completely. The self-organization of neurons into polychronous groups is a robust phenomenon that occurs despite the experimentalist's efforts to prevent it.

Our model is minimal; it consists of spiking neurons, axonal conduction delays, and STDP. All are well-established properties of the real brain. We hypothesize that unless the brain has an unknown mechanism that specifically prevents polychronization, the real neurons in the mammalian cortex must also self-organize into such groups. In fact, all the evidence of reproducible spike-timing patterns (Abeles, 1991, 2002; Lindsey et al., 1997; Prut et al., 1998; Villa et al., 1999; Chang et al., 2000; Tetko & Villa, 2001; Mao et al., 2001; Ikegaya et al., 2004; Riehle et al., 1997; Beggs & Plenz, 2003, 2004; Volman, Baruchi, & Ben-Jacob, 2005) can be used as the evidence of the existence and activation of polychronous groups.

4.1 How Is It Different from Synfire Chains? The notion of a synfire chain (Abeles, 1991; Bienenstock, 1995; Diesmann et al., 1999; Ikegaya et al., 2004) is probably the most beautiful theoretical idea in neuroscience. Synfire chains describe pools of neurons firing synchronously, not polychronously. Synfire activity relies on synaptic connections having equal delays or no delays at all. Though easy to implement, networks without delays are finite-dimensional and do not have rich dynamics to support persistent polychronous spiking. Indeed, in the context of synfire activity, the groups in Figure 9 could not be distinguished, and the network of five neurons in Figure 10 would have only one synfire chain showing reverberating activity (provided that all the delays are equal and sufficiently long). Bienenstock (1995) referred to polychronous activity as a synfire braid.

Synfire chain research concerns the stability of a synfire activity. Instead, we employ here population thinking (Edelman, 1987). Although many polychronous groups are short-lived, there is a huge number of them constantly appearing. And although their activation is not reliable, there is a spontaneous activation every second in a network of 1000 neurons. Thus, the system is robust not in terms of individual groups but in terms of populations of groups.

4.2 How Is It Different from Hopfield-Grossberg Attractor Networks? Polychronous groups are not attractors from dynamical system point of view (Hopfield, 1982; Grossberg, 1988). When activated, they result in stereotypical but transient activity that typically lasts three to four gamma cycles (less than 100 ms; see Figure 8). Once the stimulation is removed, the network does not return to a "default" state but continues to be spontaneously active.

4.3 How Is It Different from Feedforward Networks? The anatomy of the spiking networks that we consider is not feedforward but reentrant (Edelman, 1987). Thus, the network does not "wait" for stimulus to come but exhibits an autonomous activity. Stimulation perturbs only the intrinsic activity, as it happens in mammalian brain. As a result, the network does not have a rigid stimulus-response function. The same stimulus can elicit

quite different responses because it activates a different (random) subset of polychronous groups representing the stimulus. Thus, the network operates in a highly degenerate regime (Edelman & Gally, 2001).

4.4 How Is It Different from Liquid-State Machines? Dynamics of a network implementing liquid-state-machine paradigm (Maass, Natschlaeger, & Markram, 2002) is purely stimulus driven. Such a network does not have short-term memory, and it cannot place the input in the context of the previous inputs. The simple model presented here implements some aspects of the liquid-state computing (e.g., it could be the liquid), but its response is not quite stimulus driven; it depends on the current state of the network, which in turn depends on the short-term and long-term experience and previous stimuli. This could be an advantage or a drawback, depending on the task that needs to be solved.

Let us discuss some interesting open problems and implementation issues that are worth exploring further:

- Finding groups: Our algorithm for finding polychronous groups considers various triplets firing with various spiking patterns and determines the groups that are initiated by the patterns. Because of the combinatorial explosion, it is extremely inefficient. In addition, we probably miss many groups that do not start with three neurons.

- Training: Our training strategy is the simplest and probably the least effective one: choose a set of "sensory" neurons, stimulate them with different spike-timing sequences, and let STDP form or select/reinforce appropriate groups. It is not clear whether this strategy is effective when many stimuli are needed to be learned.

- Incomplete activation: When a group is activated, whether in response to a particular stimulation or spontaneously, it rarely activates entirely. Typically, neurons at the beginning of the group polychronize, that is, fire with the precise spike-timing pattern imposed by the group connectivity, but the precision fades away as activation propagates along the group. As a result, the connectivity in the tail of the group does not stabilize, so the group as a whole changes.

- Stability: Because of continuous plasticity, groups appear, grow (see Figure 13), live for a certain period of time, and then could suddenly disappear (Izhikevich et al., 2004). Thus, spontaneous activity of the network leads to a slow degradation of the memory, and it is not clear how to prevent this.

- Sleep states: The network can switch randomly between different states. Some of them correspond to "'vigilance" with gamma oscillations, and others resemble "sleep" states, similar to the one in Figure 5

(top). It is not clear whether such switching should be prevented or whether it provides certain advantages for homeostasis of connections.

- Optimizing performance: Exploring the model, we encounter a regime when the number of polychronous groups was greater than the number of synapses in the network. However, the network was prone to epileptic seizures, which eventually lead to uncontrolled, completely synchronized activity. More effort is required to fine-tune the parameters of the model to optimize the performance of the network without inducing paroxysmal behavior.

- Context dependence: Propagation delays are assumed to be constant in the present simulation. In vivo studies have shown that axonal conduction velocity has submillisecond precision, but it also depends on the prior activity of the neuron during last 100 ms; hence, it can change with time in a context-dependent manner (Swadlow, 1974; Swadlow & Waxman, 1975; Swadlow, Kocsis, & Waxman, 1980). Thus, a polychronous group may exist and be activated in one time, but can temporarily disappear at another time because of the previous activity of its constituent neurons.

- Synaptic scaling: We assumed here that the maximal cut-off synaptic value is 10 mV, which is slightly more than half of the threshold value of the pyramidal neuron in the model. Since the average neuron in the network has 100 presynaptic sources, it implies that 2% of presynaptic spikes is enough to make it fire. It is interesting, but computationally impossible at present, to estimate the number of different polychronous groups when each neuron has, say, 400 presynaptic sources, each having maximal value of 2.5 mV. In this case, each group would be "wider," since at least four neurons (the same 2%) are needed to fire any given postsynaptic cell.

- Network scaling: We simulated a network of 10^3 neurons and found 10^4 polychronous groups. How does the number of groups scale with the number of neurons? In particular, how many polychronous groups are there in a network of 10^{11} neurons, each having 10^4 synapses? This is a fundamental question related to the information capacity of the human brain.

- Closing the loop: An important biological observation is that organisms are part of the environment. The philosophy at the Neurosciences Institute (the author's host institute) is "the brain is embodied, the body is embedded." Thus, to understand and simulate the brain, we need to give the neural network a body and put it into real or virtual environment (Krichmar & Edelman, 2002). In this case, the network becomes part of a closed loop: the environment stimulates "sensory" neurons via sensory organs. Firings of these neurons combined with

the current state of the network (i.e., the context) activate appropriate polychronous groups, which excite "motor" neurons and produce appropriate movements in the environment (i.e., response). The movements change the sensory input and close the causality loop.

- Reward and reinforcement learning: Some stimuli bring the reward (not modeled here) and activate the value system (Krichmar & Edelman, 2002). It strengthens recently active polychronous groups—the groups that resulted in the reward. This increases the probability that the same stimuli in the future would result in activation of the same groups and thereby bring more reward. Thus, in addition to passively learning input stimuli, the system can actively explore those stimuli that bring the reward.

5 Cognitive Computations

Let us discuss possible directions of this research and its connection to studies of neural computation, attention, and consciousness. This section is highly speculative; it is motivated by, but not entirely based on, the simulations described above.

5.1 Synchrony: Good or Bad? Much research on the dynamics of spiking and oscillatory networks is devoted to determining the conditions that ensure synchronization of the network activity. Many researchers (including this author until a few years ago) are under the erroneous assumption that synchronization is something good and desirable. What kind of information processing could possibly go on in a network of synchronously firing neurons? Probably none, since the entire network acts as a single neuron. Here we treat synchronization (or polychronization) of all neurons in the network as being an undesirable property that should be avoided. In fact, synchronization (or polychronization) should be so rare and difficult to occur by chance that when it happens, even transiently in a small subset of the network, it would signify something important, something meaningful, e.g., a stimulus is recognized, two or more features are bound, attention is paid. All these cognitive events are related to the activation of polychronous groups, as we discuss in this section.

5.2 Novel Model of Neural Computation. Most of artificial neural network research concerns supervised or unsupervised training of neural nets, which consists in building a mapping from a given set of inputs to a given set of outputs. For example, the connection weights of Hopfield-Grossberg model (Hopfield, 1982; Grossberg, 1988) are modified so that the given input patterns become attractors of the network. In these approaches, the network is "instructed" what to do.

In contrast, we take a different approach in this article. Instead of using the instructionist approach, we employ a selectionist approach, known as the theory of neuronal group selection (TNGS) and neural Darwinism (Edelman 1987). There are two types of selection constantly going on in the spiking network:

- Selection on the neuronal level: STDP selects subgraphs with matching conduction delays in initially unstructured network, resulting in the formation of a large number of groups, each capable of polychronizing, that is, generating a reproducible spike-timing pattern with millisecond precision. The number of coexisting polychronous groups, called repertoire of the network, is potentially unlimited.

- Selection on the group level: Polychronous groups are representations of possible inputs to the network, so that each input selects groups from the repertoire. That is, every time the input is presented to the network, a polychronous group (or groups) whose spike-timing pattern resonates with the input is activated (i.e., the neurons constituting the group polychronize).

Using the analogy with the immune system, where we have antibodies for practically all possible antigens, even those that do not exist on earth, we can take our point of view to the extreme and say that the network "has memories of all past and future events," with the past events corresponding to certain groups with assigned representations and the future events corresponding to the large, amorphous, potentially unlimited cloud of available groups with no representation. Learning of a new input consists of selecting and reinforcing an appropriate group (or groups) that resonates with the input. Assigning the representation (meaning) to the group consists of potentiating weak connections that link this group with other groups coactive at the same time, that is, putting the group in the context of the other groups that already have representations (see Figure 16). In this sense, each polychronous group represents its stimulus and the context. In addition, persistent stimuli may create new groups, as we show in section 3. In any case, the input constantly shapes the landscape of the groups present in the network, selecting and amplifying some groups and suppressing and destroying others.

The major result of this article is that spiking networks with delays have more groups than neurons. Thus, the system has potentially enormous memory capacity and will never run out of groups, which could explain how networks of mere 10^{11} neurons (the size of the human neocortex) could have such a diversity of behavior. Of course, we need to learn how to use this extraordinary property in models.

5.3 Binding and Gamma Rhythm. Binding is discussed in detail by Bienenstock (1995) in the context of synfire activity (see also the special

Figure 16: Due to the potentially unlimited number of coexisting polychronous groups, the system "has memories of all past and future events," denoted by shaded and empty figures, respectively. (A) Past events are represented by the groups with assigned representations; they activate in response to specific inputs. Connections between the groups provide the context. (B) Experiencing a new event consists of selecting a group out of the amorphous set of "available" groups that resonates with the input. The context is provided by the potentiated connections between the group and recently active groups.

issue of *NEURON* (September 1999) on the binding problem). Bienenstock's major idea is that dynamic binding of various features of a stimulus corresponds to the synchronization of synfire waves propagating along distinct chains. The synchronization is induced by weak reentrant synaptic coupling between these chains (see also Seth et al. 2004b). This idea is equally applicable to polychronous activity.

In Figure 17 we illustrate what could happen when different groups representing different features of a stimulus are activated asynchronously (left) or time locked (right). In the former case, no specific temporal relationship would exist between firings of neurons belonging to different groups, except that the firings would be correlated (they are all triggered by the common input). The dotted lines in Figure 17 (right) are the reentrant connections between groups that establish the context for each group. These connections would coordinate activations of the groups and would be responsible for the time locking (polychronization) in Figure 17 (right). In essence, the four groups in the figure would act as a single meta-group whose reproducible

Figure 17: Time-locked activation of groups representing various features of a stimulus results in binding of the features and increased gamma rhythm. Each group contributes small gamma oscillation to the network gamma. (Left) The oscillations average out during the asynchronous activation. (Right) The oscillations add up during the time-locked activation. Dotted lines: weak reentrant connections between the groups that synchronize (or polychronize) their activation (the groups and the associated gamma rhythm are drawn by hand).

spike-timing pattern represents all features of the stimulus bound together into a whole.

Each group has a gamma signature indicated by dashed boxes in Figure 17 (top left) and discussed in section 3 (see Figure 6). Activation of such a group produces a small oscillation of the local field potential (LFP) in the gamma frequency. When groups activate asynchronously, their LFPs would have random phases and cancel each other. When groups activate polychronously during binding, their LFPs would add up, resulting in the noticeable network gamma rhythm and increased synchrony (Singer & Gray, 1995).

5.4 Modeling Attention. The small size of the system does not allow us to explore other cognitive functions of spiking networks. In September 2005, the author simulated a detailed thalamo-cortical system having 10^{11}

representation A   representation B

A is activated

Figure 18: Stimuli A and B are both represented by pairs of polychronous groups with overlapping neurons. Selective attention to representation A (both groups representing A are active) does not inhibit neurons involved in representation B. Because the neurons are shared, they just fire with the spike-timing pattern corresponding to A.

spiking neurons (i.e., the size of the human brain), 6-layer cortical micro-circuitry, specific, non-specific, and reticular thalamic nuclei. One second of simulation took more than one month on a cluster of 27 3-GHz processors. In a large-scale network, there could be many groups (more than the 15 depicted in Figure 12) that represent any particular input stimulus. The stimulus alone could activate only a small subset of the groups. However, weak reentrant connections among the groups may trigger a regenerative process leading to explosive activation of many other groups representing the stimulus, resulting in its perception (and possibly increases gamma rhythm). These groups take up most of the neurons in the network so that only a relatively few neurons are available for activation of any other group not related to the stimulus. We might say that the stimulus is the focus of attention. If two or more stimuli are present, then activation of groups representing one stimulus essentially precludes the other stimuli from being attended. Remarkably, the groups corresponding to the unattended stimuli are not inhibited. The neurons constituting the groups fire, but with a different spike-timing pattern (see Figure 18). We hypothesize that this mutual exclusion is related to the phenomenon of selective attention.

In our view, attention is not a command that comes from the "higher" or "executive" center and tells which input to attend to. Instead, we view attention as an emerging property of simultaneous and regenerative activation (via positive feedback) of a large subset of groups representing a stimulus, thereby impeding activation of other groups corresponding to other stimuli. Multiple stimuli compete for the focus of attention, and the winner is determined by many factors, mostly the context.

5.5 Consciousness as Attention to Memory. When no stimulation is present, there is a spontaneous activation of polychronous groups, as in Figure 11. We hypothesize that if the size of the network exceeds a certain threshold, a random activation of a few groups representing a previously seen stimulus may activate other groups representing the same stimulus so that the total number of activated groups is comparable to the number of activated groups that occurs when the stimulus is actually present. Not only would such an event exclude all the other groups not related to the stimulus from being activated, but from the network's point of view, it would be similar to the event when the stimulus is actually present and it is the focus of attention. One can say that the network "thinks" of the stimulus—that is, it pays attention to the memory of the stimulus. Such "thinking" resembles "experiencing" the stimulus. A sequence of spontaneous activations corresponding to one stimulus, then another, and so on may be related to the stream of primary (perceptual or sensory) consciousness (Edelman, 2004), which can be found in many nonhuman animals. Of course, it does not explain the high-order (conceptual) consciousness of humans.

Appendix: The Model

The MATLAB code simulating the network activity is in Figure 19. The upper half of the program initializes the network, and it takes approximately 30 sec on a 1 GHz Pentium PC. The lower half of the program executes the model, and it takes 5 seconds to simulate 1 second of network activity. The actual time may vary depending on the firing rate of the neurons.

The MATLAB code and an equivalent 20-times-faster C++ code are also available on the author's Web page. Let us describe the details of the model.

A.1 Anatomy. The network consists of $N = 1000$ neurons with the first $Ne = 800$ of excitatory RS type, and the remaining $Ni = 200$ of inhibitory FS type (Izhikevich, 2003). The ratio of excitatory to inhibitory cells is 4 to 1, as in the mammalian neocortex. Each excitatory neuron is connected to $M = 100$ random neurons, so that the probability of connection is $M/N = 0.1$, again as in the neocortex. Each inhibitory neuron is connected to $M = 100$ excitatory neurons only. The indices of postsynaptic targets are in the $N \times M$-matrix `post`. Corresponding synaptic weights are in the $N \times M$-matrix `s`. Inhibitory weights are not plastic, whereas excitatory weights evolve according to the STDP

```
% spnet.m: Spiking network with axonal conduction delays and STDP
% Created by Eugene M.Izhikevich.              February 3, 2004
M=100;                    % number of synapses per neuron
D=20;                     % maximal conduction delay
% excitatory neurons    % inhibitory neurons      % total number
Ne=800;                   Ni=200;                  N=Ne+Ni;
a=[0.02*ones(Ne,1);    0.1*ones(Ni,1)];
d=[   8*ones(Ne,1);    2*ones(Ni,1)];
sm=10;                    % maximal synaptic strength

post=ceil([N*rand(Ne,M);Ne*rand(Ni,M)]);
s=[6*ones(Ne,M);-5*ones(Ni,M)];        % synaptic weights
sd=zeros(N,M);                         % their derivatives
for i=1:N
  if i<=Ne
    for j=1:D
      delays{i,j}=M/D*(j-1)+(1:M/D);
    end;
  else
    delays{i,1}=1:M;
  end;
  pre{i}=find(post==i&s>0);            % pre excitatory neurons
  aux{i}=N*(D-1-ceil(ceil(pre{i}/N)/(M/D)))+1+mod(pre{i}-1,N);
end;
STDP = zeros(N,1001+D);
v = -65*ones(N,1);                     % initial values
u = 0.2.*v;                            % initial values
firings=[-D 0];                        % spike timings

for sec=1:60*60*24                     % simulation of 1 day
  for t=1:1000                         % simulation of 1 sec
    I=zeros(N,1);
    I(ceil(N*rand))=20;                % random thalamic input
    fired = find(v>=30);               % indices of fired neurons
    v(fired)=-65;
    u(fired)=u(fired)+d(fired);
    STDP(fired,t+D)=0.1;
    for k=1:length(fired)
      sd(pre{fired(k)})=sd(pre{fired(k)})+STDP(N*t+aux{fired(k)});
    end;
    firings=[firings;t*ones(length(fired),1),fired];
    k=size(firings,1);
    while firings(k,1)>t-D
      del=delays{firings(k,2),t-firings(k,1)+1};
      ind = post(firings(k,2),del);
      I(ind)=I(ind)+s(firings(k,2), del)';
      sd(firings(k,2),del)=sd(firings(k,2),del)-1.2*STDP(ind,t+D)';
      k=k-1;
    end;
    v=v+0.5*((0.04*v+5).*v+140-u+I);   % for numerical
    v=v+0.5*((0.04*v+5).*v+140-u+I);   % stability time
    u=u+a.*(0.2*v-u);                  % step is 0.5 ms
    STDP(:,t+D+1)=0.95*STDP(:,t+D);    % tau = 20 ms
  end;
  plot(firings(:,1),firings(:,2),'.');
  axis([0 1000 0 N]); drawnow;
  STDP(:,1:D+1)=STDP(:,1001:1001+D);
  ind = find(firings(:,1) > 1001-D);
  firings=[-D 0;firings(ind,1)-1000,firings(ind,2)];
  s(1:Ne,:)=max(0,min(sm,0.01+s(1:Ne,:)+sd(1:Ne,:)));
  sd=0.9*sd;
end;
```

Figure 19: MATLAB code of the spiking network with axonal conduction delays and spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP). It is available on the author's Web page: www.izhikevich.com.

rule discussed in the next section. Their derivatives are in the `N`×`M`-matrix `sd` , though only the `Ne`×`M`-block of the matrix is used.

Each synaptic connection has a fixed integer conduction delay between 1 ms and `D`=`20` ms, where `D` is a parameter (`M/D` must be integer in the model). We do not model modifiable delays (Huning, Glunder, & Palm, 1998; Eurich, Pawelzik, Ernst, Cowan, & Milton, 1999) or transmission failures (Senn, Schneider, & Ruff, 2002). The list of all synaptic connections from neuron i having delay j is in the cell array `delays{i, j}` . Our MATLAB implementation assigns 1 ms delay to all inhibitory connections, and 1 to `D` ms delay to all excitatory connections. Although the anatomy of the model is random, reflecting the connectivity within a cortical minicolumn, one can implement an arbitrarily sophisticated anatomy by specifying the matrices `post` and `delays`. The details of the anatomy do not matter in the rest of the MATLAB code and do not slow the simulation.

Once the matrices `post` and `delays` are specified, the program initializes cell arrays `pre` and `aux`. The former contains indices of all excitatory neurons presynaptic to a given neuron, and the latter is an auxiliary table of indices needed to speed up STDP implementation.

A.2 Spiking Neurons. Each neuron in the network is described by the simple spiking model (Izhikevich, 2003)

$$v' = 0.04v^2 + 5v + 140 - u + I \qquad (A.1)$$

$$u' = a(bv - u) \qquad (A.2)$$

with the auxiliary after-spike resetting

$$\text{if } v \geq +30 \text{ mV, then } \begin{cases} v \leftarrow c \\ u \leftarrow u + d. \end{cases} \qquad (A.3)$$

Here variable v represents the membrane potential of the neuron, and u represents a membrane recovery variable, which accounts for the activation of K$^+$ ionic currents and inactivation of Na$^+$ ionic currents, and it provides negative feedback to v. After the spike reaches its apex at +30 mV, which is not to be confused with the firing threshold, the membrane voltage and the recovery variable are reset according to equation A.3. Depending on the values of the parameters, the model can exhibit firing patterns of all known types of cortical neurons (Izhikevich, 2003). It can also reproduce all of the 20 most fundamental neurocomputational properties of biological neurons summarized in Figure 3, (see Izhikevich, 2004).

We use $(b, c) = (0.2, -65)$ for all neurons in the network. For excitatory neurons, we use the values $(a, d) = (0.02, 8)$ corresponding to cortical pyramidal neurons exhibiting regular spiking (RS) firing patterns. For inhibitory neurons, we use the values $(a, d) = (0.1, 2)$ corresponding to cortical

interneurons exhibiting fast spiking (FS) firing patterns. Better values of parameters corresponding to different types of cortical neurons, as well as the explanation of the model, can be found in Izhikevich (2006).

Variable I in the model combines two kinds of input to the neuron: (1) random thalamic input and (2) spiking input from the other neurons. This is implemented via N-dimensional vector I.

A.3 Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity. The synaptic connections in the model are modified according to the spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) rule (Song et al., 2000). We use the simplest and the most effective implementation of this rule, depicted in Figure 4. If a spike from an excitatory presynaptic neuron arrives at a postsynaptic neuron (possibly making the postsynaptic neuron fire), then the synapse is potentiated (strengthened). In contrast, if the spike arrives right after the postsynaptic neuron fired, the synapse is depressed (weakened).

If pre- and postsynaptic neurons fire uncorrelated Poissonian spike trains, there are moments when the weight of the synaptic connection is potentiated, and there are moments when it is depressed. We chose the parameters of the STDP curve so that depression is stronger than potentiation and the synaptic weight goes slowly to zero. Indeed, such a connection is not needed and should be eliminated. In contrast, if the presynaptic neuron often fires before the postsynaptic one, then the synaptic connection slowly potentiates. Indeed, such a connection causes the postsynaptic spikes and should be strengthened. In this way, STDP strengthens causal interactions in the network.

The magnitude of potentiation or depression depends on the time interval between the spikes. Each time a neuron fires, the variable STDP is reset to 0.1. Every millisecond, STDP decreases by 0.95*STDP, so that it decays to zero as $0.1e^{-t/20}(\text{ms})$, according to the parameters in Figure 4. This function determines the magnitude of potentiation or depression.

For each fired neuron, we consider all its presynaptic neurons and determine the timings of the last excitatory spikes arrived from these neurons. Since these spikes made the neuron fire, the synaptic weights are potentiated according to the value of STDP at the presynaptic neuron adjusted for the conduction delay. This corresponds to the positive part of the STDP curve in Figure 4. Notice that the largest increase occurs for the spikes that arrived right before the neuron fired, that is, the spikes that actually caused postsynaptic spike.

In addition, when an excitatory spike arrives at a postsynaptic neuron, we depress the synapse according to the value of STDP at the postsynaptic neuron. This corresponds to the negative part of the STDP curve in Figure 4. Indeed, such a spike arrived after the postsynaptic neuron fired, and hence the synapse between the neurons should be weakened. (The same synapse will be potentiated when the postsynaptic neuron fires.)

Instead of changing the synaptic weights directly, we change their derivatives `sd`, and then update the weights once a second according to the rule `s ← s + 0.01 + sd`, and `sd ← 0.9sd`, where 0.01 describes activity-independent increase of synaptic weight needed to potentiate synapses coming to silent neurons (Turrigiano, Leslie, Desai, Rutherford, & Nelson, 1998; Desai, Cudmore, Nelson, & Turrigiano, 2002). Thus, the synaptic change is not instantaneous but slow, taking many seconds to develop. We manually keep the weights in the range between 0 and `sm`, where `sm` is a parameter of the model, typically less than 10 (mV).

Acknowledgments

References

Abeles, M. (1991). *Corticonics: Neural circuits of the cerebral cortex.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Abeles, M. (2002). Synfire chains. In M. A. Arbib (Ed.), *The handbook of Brain theory and neural networks.* (pp. 1143–1146). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Amit, D. J., & Brunel N. (1997). Model of global spontaneous activity and local structured activity during delay periods in the cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex*, 7, 237–252.

Baker, S. N., & Lemon R. N. (2000). Precise spatiotemporal repeating patterns in monkey primary and supplementary motor areas occur at chance levels. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *84*, 1770–1780.

Beggs, J. M., & Plenz, D. (2003). Neuronal avalanches in neocortical circuits. *J. Neuroscience*, *23*, 11167–11177.

Beggs, J. M., & Plenz, D. (2004). Neuronal avalanches are diverse and precise activity patterns that are stable for many hours in cortical slice cultures. *J. Neuroscience*, *24*, 5216–5229.

Bellen, A., & Zennaro, M. (2003). *Numerical methods for delay differential equations.* Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bienenstock, E. (1995). A model of neocortex. *Network: Comput. Neural Syst.*, *6*, 179–224.

Braitenberg, V., & Schuz, A. (1991). *Anatomy of the cortex: Statistics and geometry.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Bryant, H., & Segundo, J. (1976). Spike initiation by transmembrane current: A white-noise analysis. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)*, *260*, 279–314.

Buzsaki, G., Llinas, R., Singer, W., Berthoz, A., & Christen, Y. (Eds.). (1994). *Temporal coding in the brain*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Chang, E. Y., Morris, K. F., Shannon, R., & Lindsey, B. G. (2000). Repeated sequences of interspike intervals in baroresponsive respiratory related neuronal assemblies of the cat brain stem. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *84*, 1136–1148.

Changeux, J. P., & Danchin, A. (1976). Selective stabilization of developing synapses as a mechanism for the recall and recognition. *Cognition*, *33*, 25–62.

de Ruyter van Steveninck, R. R., Lewen, G. D., Strong, S. P., Koberle, R., & Bialek, W. (1997). Reproducibility and variability in neural spike trains. *Science*, *275*, 1805–1808.

Desai, N. S., Cudmore, R. H., Nelson, S. B., & Turrigiano, G. G. (2002). Critical periods for experience-dependent synaptic scaling in visual cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, *5*, 783–789.

Diesmann, M., Gewaltig, M.-O., & Aertsen, A. (1999). Stable propagation of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks. *Nature*, *402*, 529–533.

Edelman, G. M. (1987). *Neural Darwinism: The theory of neuronal group selection.* New York: Basic Books.

Edelman, G. M. (1993). *Neural Darwinism: Selection and reentrant signaling in higher brain function. Neuron*, *10*, 115–125.

Edelman, G. M. (2004). *Wider than the sky: The phenomenal gift of consciousness.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Edelman, G. M., & Gally, J. (2001). Degeneracy and complexity in biological systems. *PNAS*, *98*, 13763–13768.

Eurich, C., Pawelzik, K., Ernst, U., Cowan, J., & Milton, J. (1999). Dynamics of self-organazed delay adaptation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *82*, 1594–1597.

Ferster, D., & Lindstrom, S. (1983). An intracellular analysis of geniculocortical connectivity in area 17 of the cat. *Journal of Physiology*, *342*, 181–215.

Foss, J., & Milton, J. (2000). Multistability in recurrent neural loops arising from delay. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *84*, 975–985.

Gerstner, W., Kempter, R., van Hemmen, J. L., & Wagner, H. (1996). A neuronal learning rule for sub-millisecond temporal coding *Nature*, *383*, 76–78.

Grossberg, S. (1988). Nonlinear neural networks: Principles, mechanisms, and architectures. *Neural Networks*, *1*, 17–61.

Hopfield, J. J. (1982). Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *PNAS*, *79*, 2554–2558.

Hopfield, J. J. (1995). Pattern recognition computation using action potential timing for stimulus representation. *Nature*, *376*, 33–36.

Hoppensteadt, F. C., & Izhikevich, E. M. (1997). *Weekly connected neural networks.* New York: Springer-Verlag.

Huning, H., Glunder, H., & Palm, G. (1998). Synaptic delay learning in pulse-coupled neurons. *Neural Computation*, *10*, 555–565.

Ikegaya, Y., Aaron, G., Cossart, R., Aronov, D., Lampl, I., Fester, D., & Yuste, R. (2004). Synfire chains and cortical songs: Temporal modules of cortical activity. *Science*, *304*, 559–564.

Izhikevich, E. M. (2003). Simple model of spiking neurons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, *14*, 1569–1572.

Izhikevich, E. M. (2004). Which model to use for cortical spiking neurons? *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, *15*, 1063–1070.

Izhikevich, E. M. (2006). Dynamical systems in neuroscience: The geometry of excitability and bursting. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Izhikevich, E. M., Gally, J. A., & Edelman, G. M. (2004). Spike-timing dynamics of neuronal groups. *Cerebral Cortex*, *14*, 933–944.

Krichmar, J. L., & Edelman, G. M. (2002). Machine psychology: Autonomous behavior, perceptual categorization and conditioning in a brain-based device. *Cerebral Cortex*, *12*, 818–830.

Lindsey, B. G., Morris, K. F., Shannon, R., & Gerstein, G. L. (1997). Repeated patterns of distributed synchrony in neuronal assemblies. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *78*, 1714–1719.

Litvak, V., Sompolinsky, H., Segev, I., & Abeles, M. (2003). On the transmission of rate code in long feed-forward networks with excitatory-inhibitory balance. *J. Neurosci.*, *23*, 3006–3015.

Maass, W., Natschlaeger, T., & Markram, H. (2002). Real-time computing without stable states: A new framework for neural computation based on perturbations. *Neural Computation*, *14*, 2531–2560.

Mainen, Z. F., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1995). Reliability of spike timing in neocortical neurons. *Science*, *268*, 1503–1506.

Mao, B.-Q., Hamzei-Sichani, F., Aronov, D., Froemke, R. C., & Yuste, R. (2001). Dynamics of spontaneous activity in neocortical slices. *Neuron*, *32*, 883–898.

Mazurek, M. E., & Shadlen, M. N. (2002). Limits to the temporal fidelity of cortical spike rate signals. *Nat. Neurosci.*, *5*, 463–471.

Miller, R. (1996a). Neural assemblies and laminar interactions in the cerebral cortex. *Biol. Cybern.*, *75*(3), 253–261.

Miller, R. (1996b). Cortico-thalamic interplay and the security of operation of neural assemblies and temporal chains in the cerebral cortex. *Biol. Cybern.*, *75*(3), 263–275.

Oram, M. W., Wiener, M. C., Lestienne, R., & Richmond, B. J. (1999). Stochastic nature of precisely timed spike patterns in visual system neuronal responses. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *81*, 3021–3033.

Prut, Y., Vaadia, E., Bergman, H., Haalman, I., Slovin, H., & Abeles, M. (1998). Spatiotemporal structure of cortical activity: Properties and behavioral relevance. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *79*, 2857–2874.

Reinagel, P., & Reid, R. C. (2002). Precise firing events are conserved across neurons. *J. Neurosci.*, *22*, 6837–6841.

Riehle, A., Grün, S., Diesmann, M., & Aertsen, A. (1997). Spike synchronization and rate modulation differentially involved in motor cortical function. *Science*, *278*, 1950–1953.

Salami, M., Itami, C., Tsumoto, T., & Kimura, F. (2003). Change of conduction velocity by regional myelination yields constant latency irrespective of distance between thalamus and cortex. *PNAS*, *100*, 6174–6179.

Senn, W., Schneider, M., & Ruf, B. (2002). Activity-dependent development of axonal and dendritic delays, or, why synaptic transmission should be unreliable. *Neural Computation*, *14*, 583–619.

Seth, A. K., McKinstry, J. L., Edelman, G. M., & Krichmar, J. L. (2004a). Spatiotemporal processing of whisker input supports texture discrimination by a brain-based device. In S., Schaal, A., Billard, S., Vijayakumar, J., Hallam, & J.-A., Meyer (Eds.), *From animals to animats 8: Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on the Simulation of Adaptive Behavior.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Seth, A. K., McKinstry, J. L., Edelman, G. M., & Krichmar, J. L. (2004b). Visual binding through reentrant connectivity and dynamic synchronization in a brain-based device. *Cerebral Cortex*, *14*, 1185–1199.

Shadlen, M. N., & Newsome, W. T. (1994). Noise, neural codes and cortical organization. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.*, *4*, 569–579.

Shadlen, M. N., & Newsome, W. T. (1998). The variable discharge of cortical neurons: Implications for connectivity, computation and information coding. *J. Neurosci.*, *18*, 3870–3896.

Shadlen, M. N., & Morshon, J. A. (1999). Synchrony unbound: A critical evaluation of the temporal binding hypothesis. *Neuron*, *24*, 67–77.

Singer, W., & Gray, C. M. (1995). Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *18*, 555–586.

Song, S., Miller, K. D., & Abbott, L. F. (2000). Competitive Hebbian learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. *Nature Neurosci.*, *3*, 919–926.

Stewart, I., Golubitsky, M., & Pivato, M. (2003). Symmetry groupoids and patterns of synchrony in coupled cell networks. *SIAM J. Appl. Dynam. Sys.*, *2*, 606–646.

Strehler, B. L., & Lestienne, R. (1986). Evidence on precise time-coded symbols and memory of patterns in monkey cortical neuronal spike trains. *PNAS*, *83*, 9812–9816.

Swadlow, H. A. (1974). Systematic variations in the conduction velocity of slowly conducting axons in the rabbit corpus collosum. *Experimental Neurology*, *43*, 445–451.

Swadlow, H. A. (1985). Physiological properties of individual cerebral axons studied in vivo for as long as one year. *J. Neurophysiology*, *54*, 1346–1362.

Swadlow, H. A. (1988). Efferent neurons and suspected interneurons in binocular visual cortex of the awake rabbit: Receptive fields and binocular properties. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *88*, 1162–1187.

Swadlow, H. A. (1992). Monitoring the excitability of neocortical efferent neurons to direct activation by extracellular current pulses. *J. Neurophysiol.*, *68*, 605–619.

Swadlow, H. A. (1994). Efferent neurons and suspected interneurons in motor cortex of the awake rabbit: Axonal properties, sensory receptive fields, and subthreshold synaptic inputs. *J. Neurophysiology*, *71*, 437–453.

Swadlow, H. A., Kocsis, J. D., & Waxman, S. G. (1980). Modulation of impulse conduction along the axonal tree. *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng.*, *9*, 143–179.

Swadlow, H. A., & Waxman, S. G. (1975). Observations on impulse conduction along central axons. *PNAS*, *72*, 5156–5159.

Tetko, I. V., & Villa, A. E. P. (2001). A pattern grouping algorithm for analysis of spatiotemporal patterns in neuronal spike trains. 2: Application to simultaneous single unit recordings. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *105*, 15–24.

Turrigiano, G. G., Leslie, K. R., Desai N. S., Rutherford, L. C., & Nelson, S. B. (1998). Activity-dependent scaling of quantal amplitude in neocortical neurons. *Nature*, *391*, 892–896.

van Vreeswijk, C., & Sompolinsky, H. (1996). Chaos in neuronal networks with balanced excitatory and inhibitory activity. *Science*, *274*, 1724–1726.

Villa, A. E., Tetko, I. V., Hyland, B., & Najem, A. (1999). Spatiotemporal activity patterns of rat cortical neurons predict responses in a conditioned task. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, *96*, 1106–1111.

Volman, V., Baruchi, I., & Ben-Jacob, E. (2005). Manifestation of function-follow-form in cultured neuronal networks. *Physics Biology*, *2*, 98–110.

Wiener, J., & Hale, J. K. (1992). Ordinary and delay differential equations. New York: Wiley.

Whittington, M. A., Traub, R. D., Kopell, N., Ermentrout, B., & Buhl, E. H. (2000). Inhibition-based rhythms: Experimental and mathematical observations on network dynamics. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.*, *38*, 315–336.

1. Luis H. Favela. Fundamental Theories in Neuroscience: Why Neural Darwinism Encompasses Neural Reuse 143-162. [Crossref]

2. David Gabrieli, Samantha N. Schumm, Nicholas F. Vigilante, David F. Meaney. 2021. NMDA Receptor Alterations After Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Induce Deficits in Memory Acquisition and Recall. *Neural Computation* **33**:1, 67-95. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

3. Elisa Capecci, Jesus L. Lobo, Ibai Laña, Josafath I. Espinosa-Ramos, Nikola Kasabov. 2020. Modelling gene interaction networks from time-series gene expression data using evolving spiking neural networks. *Evolving Systems* **11**:4, 599-613. [Crossref]

4. Colin Klein. 2020. Polychrony and the Process View of Computation. *Philosophy of Science* **87**:5, 1140-1149. [Crossref]

5. Zohreh Doborjeh, Maryam Doborjeh, Mark Crook-Rumsey, Tamasin Taylor, Grace Y. Wang, David Moreau, Christian Krägeloh, Wendy Wrapson, Richard J. Siegert, Nikola Kasabov, Grant Searchfield, Alexander Sumich. 2020. Interpretability of Spatiotemporal Dynamics of the Brain Processes Followed by Mindfulness Intervention in a Brain-Inspired Spiking Neural Network Architecture. *Sensors* **20**:24, 7354. [Crossref]

6. Heonsoo Lee, Sean Tanabe, Shiyong Wang, Anthony G. Hudetz. 2020. Differential Effect of Anesthesia on Visual Cortex Neurons with Diverse Population Coupling. *Neuroscience* . [Crossref]

7. Kaixuan Sun, Jingsheng Chen, Xiaobing Yan. 2020. The Future of Memristors: Materials Engineering and Neural Networks. *Advanced Functional Materials* **9**, 2006773. [Crossref]

8. Mircea Hulea, Zabih Ghassemlooy, Sujan Rajbhandari, Othman Isam Younus, Alexandru Barleanu. 2020. Optical Axons for Electro-Optical Neural Networks. *Sensors* **20**:21, 6119. [Crossref]

9. Horacio Rostro-Gonzalez, Jesus Pablo Lauterio-Cruz, Olivier Pottiez. 2020. Modelling Neural Dynamics with Optics: A New Approach to Simulate Spiking Neurons through an Asynchronous Laser. *Electronics* **9**:11, 1853. [Crossref]

10. Tengxiao Wang, Cong Shi, Xichuan Zhou, Yingcheng Lin, Junxian He, Ping Gan, Ping Li, Ying Wang, Liyuan Liu, Nanjian Wu, Gang Luo. 2020. CompSNN: A lightweight spiking neural network based on spatiotemporally compressive spike features. *Neurocomputing* . [Crossref]

11. Yan Liu, Jiawei Chen, Liujun Chen. Neurobiological Determinants of Self-Organized Criticality in Neuronal Avalanches 882-887. [Crossref]

12. Angela J. Langdon, Rishidev Chaudhuri. 2020. An evolving perspective on the dynamic brain: Notes from the Brain Conference on Dynamics of the brain: Temporal aspects of computation. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **31**. . [Crossref]

13. Ander Arriandiaga, Eva Portillo, Josafath Israel Espinosa-Ramos, Nikola K. Kasabov. 2020. Pulsewidth Modulation-Based Algorithm for Spike Phase Encoding and Decoding of Time-Dependent Analog Data. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **31**:10, 3920-3931. [Crossref]

14. Leonardo Tanzi, Pietro Piazzolla, Enrico Vezzetti. 2020. Intraoperative surgery room management: A deep learning perspective. *The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery* **16**:5, 1-12. [Crossref]

15. Amir Zjajo. Dynamic Homeostatic Regulation in Energy-Efficient Time-Locked Neuromorphic Systems 719-722. [Crossref]

16. Robert Egger, Yevhen Tupikov, Margot Elmaleh, Kalman A. Katlowitz, Sam E. Benezra, Michel A. Picardo, Felix Moll, Jörgen Kornfeld, Dezhe Z. Jin, Michael A. Long. 2020. Local Axonal Conduction Shapes the Spatiotemporal Properties of Neural Sequences. *Cell* **183**:2, 537-548.e12. [Crossref]

17. Yuhan Liu, Vasily Grigorovsky, Berj Bardakjian. 2020. Excitation and Inhibition Balance Underlying Epileptiform Activity. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **67**:9, 2473-2481. [Crossref]

18. Lin Zuo, Yi Chen, Lei Zhang, Changle Chen. 2020. A spiking neural network with probability information transmission. *Neurocomputing* **408**, 1-12. [Crossref]

19. Marina Fiene, Bettina C. Schwab, Jonas Misselhorn, Christoph S. Herrmann, Till R. Schneider, Andreas K. Engel. 2020. Phase-specific manipulation of rhythmic brain activity by transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Brain Stimulation* **13**:5, 1254-1262. [Crossref]

20. Hartmut Fitz, Marvin Uhlmann, Dick van den Broek, Renato Duarte, Peter Hagoort, Karl Magnus Petersson. 2020. Neuronal spike-rate adaptation supports working memory in language processing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**:34, 20881-20889. [Crossref]

21. Shunsuke Yamamoto, George G. Malliaras. 2020. Controlling the Neuromorphic Behavior of Organic Electrochemical Transistors by Blending Mixed and Ion Conductors. *ACS Applied Electronic Materials* **2**:7, 2224-2228. [Crossref]

22. Mauro Ursino, Giulia Ricci, Elisa Magosso. 2020. Transfer Entropy as a Measure of Brain Connectivity: A Critical Analysis With the Help of Neural Mass Models. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **14**. . [Crossref]

23. Ewelina Wlaźlak, Dawid Przyczyna, Rafael Gutierrez, Gianaurelio Cuniberti, Konrad Szaciłowski. 2020. Towards synthetic neural networks: can artificial electrochemical neurons be coupled with artificial memristive synapses?. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* **59**:SI, SI0801. [Crossref]

24. Patrick Murer, Hans-Andrea Loeliger. Online Memorization of Random Firing Sequences by a Recurrent Neural Network 2504-2509. [Crossref]

25. Jérémie Cabessa, Aubin Tchaptchet. 2020. Automata complete computation with Hodgkin–Huxley neural networks composed of synfire rings. *Neural Networks* **126**, 312-334. [Crossref]

26. Germain Haessig, Moritz B. Milde, Pau Vilimelis Aceituno, Omar Oubari, James C. Knight, André van Schaik, Ryad B. Benosman, Giacomo Indiveri. 2020. Event-Based Computation for Touch Localization Based on Precise Spike Timing. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **14**. . [Crossref]

27. Mana Higashihara, Mehdi A.J. Van den Bos, Parvathi Menon, Matthew C. Kiernan, Steve Vucic. 2020. Interneuronal networks mediate cortical inhibition and facilitation. *Clinical Neurophysiology* **131**:5, 1000-1010. [Crossref]

28. Silvia Scarpetta, Antonio de Candia. 2020. Information capacity of a network of spiking neurons. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **545**, 123681. [Crossref]

29. Takuma Sumi, Hideaki Yamamoto, Ayumi Hirano-Iwata. 2020. Suppression of hypersynchronous network activity in cultured cortical neurons using an ultrasoft silicone scaffold. *Soft Matter* **16**:13, 3195-3202. [Crossref]

30. Chaofei Hong, Xile Wei, Jiang Wang, Bin Deng, Haitao Yu, Yanqiu Che. 2020. Training Spiking Neural Networks for Cognitive Tasks: A Versatile Framework Compatible With Various Temporal Codes. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **31**:4, 1285-1296. [Crossref]

31. Ting Zhang, Bradley S. Duerstock, Juan P. Wachs. 2020. Classification of Blind Users' Image Exploratory Behaviors Using Spiking Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **28**:4, 1032-1041. [Crossref]

32. Rachel A Swanson, Daniel Levenstein, Kathryn McClain, David Tingley, György Buzsáki. 2020. Variable specificity of memory trace reactivation during hippocampal sharp wave ripples. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* **32**, 126-135. [Crossref]

33. Samantha N. Schumm, David Gabrieli, David F. Meaney. 2020. Neuronal Degeneration Impairs Rhythms Between Connected Microcircuits. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **14**. . [Crossref]

34. Carlos Calvo Tapia, Valeri A. Makarov, Cees van Leeuwen. 2020. Basic principles drive self-organization of brain-like connectivity structure. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **82**, 105065. [Crossref]

35. Gyorgy Csaba, Wolfgang Porod. 2020. Coupled oscillators for computing: A review and perspective. *Applied Physics Reviews* **7**:1, 011302. [Crossref]

36. Nabil Imam, Thomas A. Cleland. 2020. Rapid online learning and robust recall in a neuromorphic olfactory circuit. *Nature Machine Intelligence* **2**:3, 181-191. [Crossref]

37. Fredrik Sandin, Mattias Nilsson. 2020. Synaptic Delays for Insect-Inspired Temporal Feature Detection in Dynamic Neuromorphic Processors. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **14**. . [Crossref]

38. Aboozar Taherkhani, Ammar Belatreche, Yuhua Li, Georgina Cosma, Liam P. Maguire, T.M. McGinnity. 2020. A review of learning in biologically plausible spiking neural networks. *Neural Networks* **122**, 253-272. [Crossref]

39. Samira Abbasi, Selva Maran, Dieter Jaeger. 2020. A general method to generate artificial spike train populations matching recorded neurons. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **48**:1, 47-63. [Crossref]

40. Ulises Pereira, Nicolas Brunel. 2020. Unsupervised Learning of Persistent and Sequential Activity. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **13**. . [Crossref]

41. Kaushalya Kumarasinghe, Nikola Kasabov, Denise Taylor. 2020. Deep learning and deep knowledge representation in Spiking Neural Networks for Brain-Computer Interfaces. *Neural Networks* **121**, 169-185. [Crossref]

42. Alexandre Pitti, Mathias Quoy, Catherine Lavandier, Sofiane Boucenna. 2020. Gated spiking neural network using Iterative Free-Energy Optimization and rank-order coding for structure learning in memory sequences (INFERNO GATE). *Neural Networks* **121**, 242-258. [Crossref]

43. Sonja Grün, Pietro Quaglio, Alessandra Stella, Emiliano Torre. Statistical Evaluation of Spatio-temporal Spike Patterns 1-4. [Crossref]

44. Satoshi Moriya, Hideaki Yamamoto, Ayumi Hirano-Iwata, Shigeru Kubota, Shigeo Sato. 2020. Modular networks of spiking neurons for applications in time-series information processing. *Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, IEICE* **11**:4, 590-600. [Crossref]

45. Ling Kang, Zhenhua Wang, Siyu Huo, Changhai Tian, Zonghua Liu. 2020. Remote synchronization in human cerebral cortex network with identical oscillators. *Nonlinear Dynamics* **99**:2, 1577-1586. [Crossref]

46. Mahsa Khoshkhou, Afshin Montakhab. 2019. Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity With Axonal Delay Tunes Networks of Izhikevich Neurons to the Edge of Synchronization Transition With Scale-Free Avalanches. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **13**. . [Crossref]

47. Tian Zhou, Juan P Wachs. 2019. Spiking Neural Networks for early prediction in human–robot collaboration. *The International Journal of Robotics Research* **38**:14, 1619-1643. [Crossref]

48. Daniel Aguilar-Velázquez, Lev Guzmán-Vargas. 2019. Critical synchronization and 1/f noise in inhibitory/excitatory rich-club neural networks. *Scientific Reports* **9**:1. . [Crossref]

49. June Hoan Kim, Ho Jun Lee, Wonshik Choi, Kyoung J. Lee. 2019. Encoding information into autonomously bursting neural network with pairs of time-delayed pulses. *Scientific Reports* **9**:1. . [Crossref]

50. Yusuke Takeda, Takashi Itahashi, Masa-aki Sato, Okito Yamashita. 2019. Estimating repetitive spatiotemporal patterns from many subjects' resting-state fMRIs. *NeuroImage* **203**, 116182. [Crossref]

51. Ling Kang, Changhai Tian, Siyu Huo, Zonghua Liu. 2019. A two-layered brain network model and its chimera state. *Scientific Reports* **9**:1. . [Crossref]

52. David J. Heeger, Wayne E. Mackey. 2019. Oscillatory recurrent gated neural integrator circuits (ORGaNICs), a unifying theoretical framework for neural

dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**:45, 22783-22794. [Crossref]

53. Matthew Egbert, Andrew Keane, Claire Postlethwaite, Nelson Wong. 2019. Can Signal Delay be Functional? Including Delay in Evolved Robot Controllers. *Artificial Life* **25**:4, 315-333. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

54. Alessandra Stella, Pietro Quaglio, Emiliano Torre, Sonja Grün. 2019. 3d-SPADE: Significance evaluation of spatio-temporal patterns of various temporal extents. *Biosystems* **185**, 104022. [Crossref]

55. Maryam Doborjeh, Nikola Kasabov, Zohreh Doborjeh, Reza Enayatollahi, Enmei Tu, Amir H. Gandomi. 2019. Personalised modelling with spiking neural networks integrating temporal and static information. *Neural Networks* **119**, 162-177. [Crossref]

56. Basawaraj, Janusz A. Starzyk, Adrian Horzyk. 2019. Episodic Memory in Minicolumn Associative Knowledge Graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **30**:11, 3505-3516. [Crossref]

57. Jérémie Cabessa. 2019. Turing complete neural computation based on synaptic plasticity. *PLOS ONE* **14**:10, e0223451. [Crossref]

58. Vladimir Kornijcuk, Doo Seok Jeong. 2019. Recent Progress in Real-Time Adaptable Digital Neuromorphic Hardware. *Advanced Intelligent Systems* **1**:6, 1900030. [Crossref]

59. Mike Hemberger, Mark Shein-Idelson, Lorenz Pammer, Gilles Laurent. 2019. Reliable Sequential Activation of Neural Assemblies by Single Pyramidal Cells in a Three-Layered Cortex. *Neuron* **104**:2, 353-369.e5. [Crossref]

60. Torsten Bullmann, Milos Radivojevic, Stefan T. Huber, Kosmas Deligkaris, Andreas Hierlemann, Urs Frey. 2019. Large-Scale Mapping of Axonal Arbors Using High-Density Microelectrode Arrays. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **13**. . [Crossref]

61. E. Paxon Frady, Friedrich T. Sommer. 2019. Robust computation with rhythmic spike patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**:36, 18050-18059. [Crossref]

62. Dorian Florescu, Daniel Coca. 2019. Learning with Precise Spike Times: A New Decoding Algorithm for Liquid State Machines. *Neural Computation* **31**:9, 1825-1852. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

63. Zonglu He. 2019. Cellular and Network Mechanisms for Temporal Signal Propagation in a Cortical Network Model. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **13**. . [Crossref]

64. Vladimir K. Vanag. 2019. Hierarchical network of pulse coupled chemical oscillators with adaptive behavior: Chemical neurocomputer. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **29**:8, 083104. [Crossref]

65. Shinichi Tamura, Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto. 2019. Asynchronous Multiplex Communication Channels in 2-D Neural Network

With Fluctuating Characteristics. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **30**:8, 2336-2345. [Crossref]

66. Sebastian Brusca, Giacomo Capizzi, Grazia Lo Sciuto, Gianluca Susi. 2019. A new design methodology to predict wind farm energy production by means of a spiking neural network–based system. *International Journal of Numerical Modelling: Electronic Networks, Devices and Fields* **32**:4. . [Crossref]

67. Nikesh Lama, Alan Hargreaves, Bob Stevens, T.M. McGinnity. Transfer Entropy Based Connectivity Estimation of Spontaneously Firing Hippocampal Cultures on Multi Electrode Arrays 1-8. [Crossref]

68. Ivan Y. Tyukin, Dmitriy Iudin, Feodor Iudin, Tatiana Tyukina, Victor Kazantsev, Irina Mukhina, Alexander N. Gorban. 2019. Simple model of complex dynamics of activity patterns in developing networks of neuronal cultures. *PLOS ONE* **14**:6, e0218304. [Crossref]

69. Ronaldo V. Nunes, Marcelo B. Reyes, Raphael Y. de Camargo. 2019. Evaluation of connectivity estimates using spiking neuronal network models. *Biological Cybernetics* **113**:3, 309-320. [Crossref]

70. Vishalini Emmenegger, Marie Engelene J. Obien, Felix Franke, Andreas Hierlemann. 2019. Technologies to Study Action Potential Propagation With a Focus on HD-MEAs. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **13**. . [Crossref]

71. Sergio Verduzco-Flores, Erik De Schutter. 2019. Draculab: A Python Simulator for Firing Rate Neural Networks With Delayed Adaptive Connections. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **13**. . [Crossref]

72. Chunming Zheng, Arkady Pikovsky. 2019. Stochastic bursting in unidirectionally delay-coupled noisy excitable systems. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **29**:4, 041103. [Crossref]

73. Jongeun Koo, Jinseok Kim, Sungju Ryu, Chulsoo Kim, Jae-Joon Kim. Area-Efficient Transposable 6T SRAM for Fast Online Learning in Neuromorphic Processors 1-4. [Crossref]

74. Pavel Cejnar, Oldrich Vysata, Martin Valis, Ales Prochazka. 2019. The Complex Behaviour of a Simple Neural Oscillator Model in the Human Cortex. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **27**:3, 337-347. [Crossref]

75. Jihoon Park, Koki Ichinose, Yuji Kawai, Junichi Suzuki, Minoru Asada, Hiroki Mori. 2019. Macroscopic Cluster Organizations Change the Complexity of Neural Activity. *Entropy* **21**:2, 214. [Crossref]

76. Maurizio De Pittà, Hugues Berry. A Neuron–Glial Perspective for Computational Neuroscience 3-35. [Crossref]

77. Mikhail Kiselev. A General Purpose Algorithm for Coding/Decoding Continuous Signal to Spike Form 184-189. [Crossref]

78. Ophélie Guinaudeau, Gilles Bernot, Alexandre Muzy, Daniel Gaffé, Franck Grammont. Formal Neuron Models: Delays Offer a Simplified Dendritic Integration for Free 167-191. [Crossref]

79. Nikola K. Kasabov. Deep Learning and Deep Knowledge Representation of fMRI Data 361-395. [Crossref]

80. Nikola K. Kasabov. Integrating Time-Space and Orientation. A Case Study on fMRI+DTI Brain Data 397-427. [Crossref]

81. Nikola K. Kasabov. Computational Modelling and Pattern Recognition in Bioinformatics 505-543. [Crossref]

82. Nikola K. Kasabov. Computational Neuro-genetic Modelling 545-561. [Crossref]

83. Stefano De Blasi, Manuel Ciba, Andreas Bahmer, Christiane Thielemann. 2019. Total spiking probability edges: A cross-correlation based method for effective connectivity estimation of cortical spiking neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **312**, 169-181. [Crossref]

84. Eunjin Baek, Hunjun Lee, Youngsok Kim, Jangwoo Kim. FlexLearn 304-318. [Crossref]

85. George N. Reeke. 2019. Not just a bad metaphor, but a little piece of a big bad metaphor. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **42**. . [Crossref]

86. Robin Gutzen, Michael von Papen, Guido Trensch, Pietro Quaglio, Sonja Grün, Michael Denker. 2018. Reproducible Neural Network Simulations: Statistical Methods for Model Validation on the Level of Network Activity Data. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **12**. . [Crossref]

87. Zohreh Gholami Doborjeh, Nikola Kasabov, Maryam Gholami Doborjeh, Alexander Sumich. 2018. Modelling Peri-Perceptual Brain Processes in a Deep Learning Spiking Neural Network Architecture. *Scientific Reports* **8**:1. . [Crossref]

88. Alexander D. Rast, Samantha V. Adams, Simon Davidson, Sergio Davies, Michael Hopkins, Andrew Rowley, Alan Barry Stokes, Thomas Wennekers, Steve Furber, Angelo Cangelosi. 2018. Behavioral Learning in a Cognitive Neuromorphic Robot: An Integrative Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **29**:12, 6132-6144. [Crossref]

89. Andrea Soltoggio, Kenneth O. Stanley, Sebastian Risi. 2018. Born to learn: The inspiration, progress, and future of evolved plastic artificial neural networks. *Neural Networks* **108**, 48-67. [Crossref]

90. Guido Trensch, Robin Gutzen, Inga Blundell, Michael Denker, Abigail Morrison. 2018. Rigorous Neural Network Simulations: A Model Substantiation Methodology for Increasing the Correctness of Simulation Results in the Absence of Experimental Validation Data. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **12**. . [Crossref]

91. Jinseok Kim, Jongeun Koo, Taesu Kim, Jae-Joon Kim. 2018. Efficient Synapse Memory Structure for Reconfigurable Digital Neuromorphic Hardware. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **12**. . [Crossref]

92. Thania Frias, Giovanny Sanchez, Luis Garcia, Marco Abarca, Carlos Diaz, Gabriel Sanchez, Hector Perez. 2018. A new scalable parallel adder based on spiking neural P systems, dendritic behavior, rules on the synapses and astrocyte-like control to compute multiple signed numbers. *Neurocomputing* **319**, 176-187. [Crossref]

93. Pavel M. Esir, Susan Yu. Gordleeva, Alexander Yu. Simonov, Alexander N. Pisarchik, Victor B. Kazantsev. 2018. Conduction delays can enhance formation of up and down states in spiking neuronal networks. *Physical Review E* **98**:5. . [Crossref]

94. Gianluca Susi, Luis Antón Toro, Leonides Canuet, Maria Eugenia López, Fernando Maestú, Claudio R. Mirasso, Ernesto Pereda. 2018. A Neuro-Inspired System for Online Learning and Recognition of Parallel Spike Trains, Based on Spike Latency, and Heterosynaptic STDP. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **12**. . [Crossref]

95. Stephen J. Verzi, Fredrick Rothganger, Ojas D. Parekh, Tu-Thach Quach, Nadine E. Miner, Craig M. Vineyard, Conrad D. James, James B. Aimone. 2018. Computing with Spikes: The Advantage of Fine-Grained Timing. *Neural Computation* **30**:10, 2660-2690. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

96. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Luke Fraser, Monica Nicolescu, Mircea Nicolescu. 2018. A real-time spike-timing classifier of spatio-temporal patterns. *Neurocomputing* **311**, 183-196. [Crossref]

97. Hui Wu, Mukesh Dhamala. 2018. Dynamics of Kuramoto oscillators with time-delayed positive and negative couplings. *Physical Review E* **98**:3. . [Crossref]

98. Yuji Kawai, Tomohiro Takimoto, Jihoon Park, Minoru Asada. Efficient Reward-Based Learning through Body Representation in a Spiking Neural Network 191-196. [Crossref]

99. Runchun Wang, André van Schaik. 2018. Breaking Liebig's Law: An Advanced Multipurpose Neuromorphic Engine. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **12**. . [Crossref]

100. Vito Paolo Pastore, Paolo Massobrio, Aleksandar Godjoski, Sergio Martinoia. 2018. Identification of excitatory-inhibitory links and network topology in large-scale neuronal assemblies from multi-electrode recordings. *PLOS Computational Biology* **14**:8, e1006381. [Crossref]

101. Mahsa Khoshkhou, Afshin Montakhab. 2018. Beta-Rhythm Oscillations and Synchronization Transition in Network Models of Izhikevich Neurons: Effect of Topology and Synaptic Type. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **12**. . [Crossref]

102. Michael Hopkins, Garibaldi Pineda-García, Petruţ A. Bogdan, Steve B. Furber. 2018. Spiking neural networks for computer vision. *Interface Focus* **8**:4, 20180007. [Crossref]

103. James B. Isbister, Akihiro Eguchi, Nasir Ahmad, Juan M. Galeazzi, Mark J. Buckley, Simon Stringer. 2018. A new approach to solving the feature-binding problem in primate vision. *Interface Focus* **8**:4, 20180021. [Crossref]

104. Robin Pauli, Philipp Weidel, Susanne Kunkel, Abigail Morrison. 2018. Reproducing Polychronization: A Guide to Maximizing the Reproducibility of Spiking Network Models. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **12**. . [Crossref]

105. Changhai Tian, Liang Cao, Hongjie Bi, Kesheng Xu, Zonghua Liu. 2018. Chimera states in neuronal networks with time delay and electromagnetic induction. *Nonlinear Dynamics* **93**:3, 1695-1704. [Crossref]

106. Hesam Setareh, Moritz Deger, Wulfram Gerstner. 2018. Excitable neuronal assemblies with adaptation as a building block of brain circuits for velocity-controlled signal propagation. *PLOS Computational Biology* **14**:7, e1006216. [Crossref]

107. Irina Higgins, Simon Stringer, Jan Schnupp. 2018. A Computational Account of the Role of Cochlear Nucleus and Inferior Colliculus in Stabilizing Auditory Nerve Firing for Auditory Category Learning. *Neural Computation* **30**:7, 1801-1829. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus] [Supplemental Material]

108. Nooraini Yusoff, Mohammed Fadhil-Ibrahim. 2018. Spatio-temporal event association using reward-modulated spike-time-dependent plasticity. *Information Sciences* **451-452**, 143-160. [Crossref]

109. Kingsley P. Storer, George N. Reeke. 2018. γ-Aminobutyric Acid Type A Receptor Potentiation Inhibits Learning in a Computational Network Model. *Anesthesiology* **129**:1, 106-117. [Crossref]

110. Sudheer Kumar Sharma, Sanjeev Kumar, Karmeshu. 2018. Suppression of Multimodality in Inter-Spike Interval Distribution: Role of External Damped Oscillatory Input. *IEEE Transactions on NanoBioscience* **17**:3, 329-341. [Crossref]

111. Ting-Shuo Chou, Hirak J Kashyap, Jinwei Xing, Stanislav Listopad, Emily L Rounds, Michael Beyeler, Nikil Dutt, Jeffrey L Krichmar. CARLsim 4: An Open Source Library for Large Scale, Biologically Detailed Spiking Neural Network Simulation using Heterogeneous Clusters 1-8. [Crossref]

112. Carolina Zambelli, Joao Ranhel. Half-precision Floating Point on Spiking Neural Networks Simulations in FPGA 1-6. [Crossref]

113. David Reid, Abir Jaafar Hussain, Hissam Tawfik, Rozaida Ghazali, Dhiya Al-Jumeily. Forecasting Natural Events Using Axonal Delay 1-6. [Crossref]

114. Zohreh G Doborjeh, Maryam Doborjeh, Nikola Kasabov. EEG Pattern Recognition using Brain-Inspired Spiking Neural Networks for Modelling Human Decision Processes 1-7. [Crossref]

115. A. G. Khobotov, A. I. Khil'ko, A. A. Tel'nykh. 2018. Studying the Possibilities and Efficiency of Operation with Signals in Neural Networks. *Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics* **61**:1, 69-76. [Crossref]

116. Tiffany Hwu, Alexander Y. Wang, Nicolas Oros, Jeffrey L. Krichmar. 2018. Adaptive Robot Path Planning Using a Spiking Neuron Algorithm With Axonal Delays. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems* **10**:2, 126-137. [Crossref]

117. Boris Duran, Yulia Sandamirskaya. 2018. Learning Temporal Intervals in Neural Dynamics. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems* **10**:2, 359-372. [Crossref]

118. Tian Zhou, Juan P. Wachs. Early Turn-Taking Prediction with Spiking Neural Networks for Human Robot Collaboration 3250-3256. [Crossref]

119. Pietro Quaglio, Vahid Rostami, Emiliano Torre, Sonja Grün. 2018. Methods for identification of spike patterns in massively parallel spike trains. *Biological Cybernetics* **112**:1-2, 57-80. [Crossref]

120. Vladimir Klinshov, Dmitry Shchapin, Serhiy Yanchuk, Vladimir Nekorkin. Dynamics of Oscillatory Networks with Pulse Delayed Coupling 219-246. [Crossref]

121. Krzysztof J. Cios. Deep Neural Networks—A Brief History 183-200. [Crossref]

122. Fred Highland. 2018. Unsupervised Learning of Polychronous Wavefront Computation Configurations for Pattern Recognition. *Procedia Computer Science* **140**, 134-143. [Crossref]

123. Mike Davies, Narayan Srinivasa, Tsung-Han Lin, Gautham Chinya, Yongqiang Cao, Sri Harsha Choday, Georgios Dimou, Prasad Joshi, Nabil Imam, Shweta Jain, Yuyun Liao, Chit-Kwan Lin, Andrew Lines, Ruokun Liu, Deepak Mathaikutty, Steven McCoy, Arnab Paul, Jonathan Tse, Guruguhanathan Venkataramanan, Yi-Hsin Weng, Andreas Wild, Yoonseok Yang, Hong Wang. 2018. Loihi: A Neuromorphic Manycore Processor with On-Chip Learning. *IEEE Micro* **38**:1, 82-99. [Crossref]

124. Yuling Luo, Qian Lu, Junxiu Liu, Qiang Fu, Jim Harkin, Liam McDaid, Jordi Martínez-Corral, Guillermo Biot-Marí. Forest fire detection using spiking neural networks 371-375. [Crossref]

125. Pavel S. Smelov, Vladimir K. Vanag. 2018. A 'reader' unit of the chemical computer. *Royal Society Open Science* **5**:1, 171495. [Crossref]

126. Joseph Chrol-Cannon, Yaochu Jin, André Grüning. 2017. An efficient method for online detection of polychronous patterns in spiking neural networks. *Neurocomputing* **267**, 644-650. [Crossref]

127. Toshihiro Kobayashi, Yutaka Shimada, Kantaro Fujiwara, Tohru Ikeguchi. 2017. Reproducing Infra-Slow Oscillations with Dopaminergic Modulation. *Scientific Reports* **7**:1. . [Crossref]

128. Andrey V. Zakharov. Information Capacity of a Neural Network with Redundant Connections Between Neurons 16-19. [Crossref]

129. Nikola Kasabov, Lei Zhou, Maryam Gholami Doborjeh, Zohreh Gholami Doborjeh, Jie Yang. 2017. New Algorithms for Encoding, Learning and Classification of fMRI Data in a Spiking Neural Network Architecture: A Case on Modeling and Understanding of Dynamic Cognitive Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems* **9**:4, 293-303. [Crossref]

130. Felix Weissenberger, Florian Meier, Johannes Lengler, Hafsteinn Einarsson, Angelika Steger. 2017. Long Synfire Chains Emerge by Spike-Timing Dependent Plasticity Modulated by Population Activity. *International Journal of Neural Systems* **27**:08, 1750044. [Crossref]

131. Takashi Matsubara. 2017. Conduction Delay Learning Model for Unsupervised and Supervised Classification of Spatio-Temporal Spike Patterns. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **11**. . [Crossref]

132. Roman Baravalle, Osvaldo A. Rosso, Fernando Montani. 2017. A path integral approach to the Hodgkin–Huxley model. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **486**, 986-999. [Crossref]

133. Basawaraj, Janusz A. Starzyk, Adrian Horzyk. Lumped mini-column associative knowledge graphs 1-8. [Crossref]

134. Fariba Bahrami, Shiva Farashahi. How Do We Navigate Our Way to Places? 357-372. [Crossref]

135. Matthew Egbert, Andrew Keane, Claire Postlethwaite. 2017. Lag in situated, embodied and dynamical adaptive systems. *The 2019 Conference on Artificial Life* 130-137. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

136. Tomohiro Takimoto, Yuji Kawai, Jihoon Park, Minoru Asada. Self-organization based on auditory feedback promotes acquisition of babbling 120-125. [Crossref]

137. Haipeng Lin, Amir Zjajo, Rene van Leuken. Digital spiking neuron cells for real-time reconfigurable learning networks 163-168. [Crossref]

138. Joseph B. Dechery, Jason N. MacLean. 2017. Emergent cortical circuit dynamics contain dense, interwoven ensembles of spike sequences. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **118**:3, 1914-1925. [Crossref]

139. Sam Tilsen. 2017. Exertive modulation of speech and articulatory phasing. *Journal of Phonetics* **64**, 34-50. [Crossref]

140. Irina Higgins, Simon Stringer, Jan Schnupp. 2017. Unsupervised learning of temporal features for word categorization in a spiking neural network model of the auditory brain. *PLOS ONE* **12**:8, e0180174. [Crossref]

141. Pierre Bonzon. 2017. Towards neuro-inspired symbolic models of cognition: linking neural dynamics to behaviors through asynchronous communications. *Cognitive Neurodynamics* **11**:4, 327-353. [Crossref]

142. Beatriz E.P. Mizusaki, Everton J. Agnes, Rubem Erichsen, Leonardo G. Brunnet. 2017. Learning and retrieval behavior in recurrent neural networks with pre-synaptic dependent homeostatic plasticity. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **479**, 279-286. [Crossref]

143. Yang Zhang, Dirk Bucher, Farzan Nadim. 2017. Ionic mechanisms underlying history-dependence of conduction delay in an unmyelinated axon. *eLife* **6**. . [Crossref]

144. Ferdinand Peper. 2017. The End of Moore's Law: Opportunities for Natural Computing?. *New Generation Computing* **35**:3, 253-269. [Crossref]

145. Lia Papadopoulos, Jason Z. Kim, Jürgen Kurths, Danielle S. Bassett. 2017. Development of structural correlations and synchronization from adaptive rewiring in networks of Kuramoto oscillators. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **27**:7, 073115. [Crossref]

146. Igor Franović, Oleg V. Maslennikov, Iva Bačić, Vladimir I. Nekorkin. 2017. Mean-field dynamics of a population of stochastic map neurons. *Physical Review E* **96**:1. . [Crossref]

147. O. Lukyanova, O. Nikitin. 2017. Neuronal topology as set of braids: Information processing, transformation and dynamics. *Optical Memory and Neural Networks* **26**:3, 172-181. [Crossref]

148. Pietro Quaglio, Alper Yegenoglu, Emiliano Torre, Dominik M. Endres, Sonja Grün. 2017. Detection and Evaluation of Spatio-Temporal Spike Patterns in Massively Parallel Spike Train Data with SPADE. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **11**. . [Crossref]

149. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Monica Nicolescu, Mircea Nicolescu, Sushil Louis. 2017. Using patterns of firing neurons in spiking neural networks for learning and early recognition of spatio-temporal patterns. *Neural Computing and Applications* **28**:5, 881-897. [Crossref]

150. Thania Frias, Marco Abarca, Carlos Diaz, Gonzalo Duchen, Hector Perez, Giovanny Sanchez. 2017. A compact divisor based on SN P systems along with dendritic behavior. *Neurocomputing* **238**, 152-156. [Crossref]

151. Takashi Matsubara. Spike timing-dependent conduction delay learning model classifying spatio-temporal spike patterns 1831-1839. [Crossref]

152. Stephen J. Verzi, Craig M. Vineyard, Eric D. Vugrin, Meghan Galiardi, Conrad D. James, James B. Aimone. Optimization-based computation with spiking neurons 2015-2022. [Crossref]

153. Khuong N. Nguyen, Yoonsuck Choe. Dynamic control using feedforward networks with adaptive delay and facilitating neural dynamics 2987-2994. [Crossref]

154. Laura Wiles, Shi Gu, Fabio Pasqualetti, Brandon Parvesse, David Gabrieli, Danielle S. Bassett, David F. Meaney. 2017. Autaptic Connections Shift Network Excitability and Bursting. *Scientific Reports* **7**:1. . [Crossref]

155. Nikola K. Kasabov, Maryam Gholami Doborjeh, Zohreh Gholami Doborjeh. 2017. Mapping, Learning, Visualization, Classification, and Understanding of fMRI Data in the NeuCube Evolving Spatiotemporal Data Machine of Spiking Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **28**:4, 887-899. [Crossref]

156. Serhiy Yanchuk, Giovanni Giacomelli. 2017. Spatio-temporal phenomena in complex systems with time delays. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50**:10, 103001. [Crossref]

157. Ronan Chéreau, G. Ezequiel Saraceno, Julie Angibaud, Daniel Cattaert, U. Valentin Nägerl. 2017. Superresolution imaging reveals activity-dependent plasticity of axon

morphology linked to changes in action potential conduction velocity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**:6, 1401-1406. [Crossref]

158. Dmitry I. Iudin, Yaroslav D. Sergeyev. Percolation Transition and Related Phenomena in Terms of Grossone Infinity Computations 239-266. [Crossref]

159. Peter Cariani. Temporal Memory Traces as Anticipatory Mechanisms 105-136. [Crossref]

160. Sadique Sheik. Spike Based Information Processing in Spiking Neural Networks 177-188. [Crossref]

161. Lucas Ferreira Alves, Fernando Lopes Araujo Junior, Bruno Andre Santos, Rogerio Martins Gomes. A Network of Spiking Neurons Performing a Relational Categorization Task 3-16. [Crossref]

162. Leonhard Lücken, David P. Rosin, Vasco M. Worlitzer, Serhiy Yanchuk. 2017. Pattern reverberation in networks of excitable systems with connection delays. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **27**:1, 013114. [Crossref]

163. Liying Zhu, Zhengrong Xiang. 2017. Aggregation Analysis for Competitive Multiagent Systems With Saddle Points via Switching Strategies. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 1-13. [Crossref]

164. Shun Sakuma, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto, Yoshi Nishitani, Shinichi Tamura. 2017. Learning Times Required to Identify the Stimulated Position and Shortening of Propagation Path by Hebb's Rule in Neural Network. *AIMS Neuroscience* **4**:4, 238-253. [Crossref]

165. Yubing Gong, Baoying Wang, Huijuan Xie. 2016. Spike-timing-dependent plasticity enhanced synchronization transitions induced by autapses in adaptive Newman-Watts neuronal networks. *Biosystems* **150**, 132-137. [Crossref]

166. Akihiro Eguchi, Simon M. Stringer. 2016. Neural network model develops border ownership representation through visually guided learning. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* **136**, 147-165. [Crossref]

167. Garibaldi Pineda Garcia, Patrick Camilleri, Qian Liu, Steve Furber. pyDVS: An extensible, real-time Dynamic Vision Sensor emulator using off-the-shelf hardware 1-7. [Crossref]

168. Pritam Bose, Nikola K. Kasabov, Lorenzo Bruzzone, Reggio N. Hartono. 2016. Spiking Neural Networks for Crop Yield Estimation Based on Spatiotemporal Analysis of Image Time Series. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* **54**:11, 6563-6573. [Crossref]

169. André David Kovac, Maximilian Koall, Gordon Pipa, Hazem Toutounji. 2016. Persistent Memory in Single Node Delay-Coupled Reservoir Computing. *PLOS ONE* **11**:10, e0165170. [Crossref]

170. Haoqi Sun, Olga Sourina, Guang-Bin Huang. 2016. Learning Polychronous Neuronal Groups Using Joint Weight-Delay Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity. *Neural Computation* **28**:10, 2181-2212. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

171. Baoying Wang, Yubing Gong, Huijuan Xie, Qi Wang. 2016. Optimal autaptic and synaptic delays enhanced synchronization transitions induced by each other in Newman–Watts neuronal networks. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **91**, 372-378. [Crossref]

172. Lisandro Montangie, Fernando Montani. 2016. Effect of interacting second- and third-order stimulus-dependent correlations on population-coding asymmetries. *Physical Review E* **94**:4. . [Crossref]

173. Adam H. Marblestone, Greg Wayne, Konrad P. Kording. 2016. Toward an Integration of Deep Learning and Neuroscience. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **10**. . [Crossref]

174. Ilya Kashchenko, Sergey Kaschenko. 2016. Normal and quasinormal forms for systems of difference and differential-difference equations. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **38**, 243-256. [Crossref]

175. Gaetano Valenza, Gianluca Vannucci, Enzo Wanke, Enzo Pasquale Scilingo. Stochastic modeling of spontaneous bursting activity to simulate neural responses of in-vitro networks on multielectrode arrays 1616-1619. [Crossref]

176. Sou Nobukawa, Haruhiko Nishimura. 2016. Enhancement of Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity in Spiking Neural Systems with Noise. *International Journal of Neural Systems* **26**:05, 1550040. [Crossref]

177. Qi Wang, Yubing Gong. 2016. Multiple coherence resonance and synchronization transitions induced by autaptic delay in Newman–Watts neuron networks. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **40**:15-16, 7147-7155. [Crossref]

178. Emiliano Torre, Carlos Canova, Michael Denker, George Gerstein, Moritz Helias, Sonja Grün. 2016. ASSET: Analysis of Sequences of Synchronous Events in Massively Parallel Spike Trains. *PLOS Computational Biology* **12**:7, e1004939. [Crossref]

179. Vladimir Klinshov, Dmitry Shchapin, Serhiy Yanchuk, Vladimir Nekorkin. 2016. Jittering waves in rings of pulse oscillators. *Physical Review E* **94**:1. . [Crossref]

180. Elahe Eskandari, Arash Ahmadi, Shaghayegh Gomar, Majid Ahmadi, Mehrdad Saif. Evolving Spiking Neural Networks of artificial creatures using Genetic Algorithm 411-418. [Crossref]

181. Mikhail Kiselev. Rate coding vs. temporal coding - is optimum between? 1355-1359. [Crossref]

182. Kun Zhan, Jicai Teng, Jinhui Shi, Qiaoqiao Li, Mingying Wang. 2016. Feature-Linking Model for Image Enhancement. *Neural Computation* **28**:6, 1072-1100. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

183. Yusuke Takeda, Nobuo Hiroe, Okito Yamashita, Masa-aki Sato. 2016. Estimating repetitive spatiotemporal patterns from resting-state brain activity data. *NeuroImage* **133**, 251-265. [Crossref]

184. G. Lo Sciuto, G. Susi, G. Cammarata, G. Capizzi. A spiking neural network-based model for anaerobic digestion process 996-1003. [Crossref]

185. Qi Wang, Yubing Gong. 2016. Effect of Autaptic Activity on Intrinsic Coherence Resonance in Newman–Watts Networks of Stochastic Hodgkin–Huxley Neurons. *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **15**:02, 1650016. [Crossref]

186. Carlos Diaz, Giovanny Sanchez, Gonzalo Duchen, Mariko Nakano, Hector Perez. 2016. An efficient hardware implementation of a novel unary Spiking Neural Network multiplier with variable dendritic delays. *Neurocomputing* **189**, 130-134. [Crossref]

187. Elahe Eskandari, Arash Ahmadi, Shaghayegh Gomar. 2016. Effect of spike-timing-dependent plasticity on neural assembly computing. *Neurocomputing* **191**, 107-116. [Crossref]

188. Bhavin J. Shastri, Mitchell A. Nahmias, Alexander N. Tait, Alejandro W. Rodriguez, Ben Wu, Paul R. Prucnal. 2016. Spike processing with a graphene excitable laser. *Scientific Reports* **6**:1. . [Crossref]

189. Qi Wang, Yubing Gong, Huijuan Xie. 2016. Mutual and intermittent enhancements of synchronization transitions by autaptic and synaptic delay in scale-free neuron networks. *The European Physical Journal B* **89**:5. . [Crossref]

190. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Monica Nicolescu, Mircea Nicolescu, Mohammad Taghi Saffar, Richard Kelley. 2016. A Scale and Translation Invariant Approach for Early Classification of Spatio-Temporal Patterns Using Spiking Neural Networks. *Neural Processing Letters* **43**:2, 327-343. [Crossref]

191. Robert J. Colvin. 2016. Modelling and analysing neural networks using a hybrid process algebra. *Theoretical Computer Science* **623**, 15-64. [Crossref]

192. Hideyuki Kato, Tohru Ikeguchi. 2016. Oscillation, Conduction Delays, and Learning Cooperate to Establish Neural Competition in Recurrent Networks. *PLOS ONE* **11**:2, e0146044. [Crossref]

193. Chris Trengove, Markus Diesmann, Cees van Leeuwen. 2016. Dynamic effective connectivity in cortically embedded systems of recurrently coupled synfire chains. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **40**:1, 1-26. [Crossref]

194. Ferdinand Peper, Kenji Leibnitz, Jun-nosuke Teramae, Tetsuya Shimokawa, Naoki Wakamiya. 2016. Low-Complexity Nanosensor Networking Through Spike-Encoded Signaling. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* **3**:1, 49-58. [Crossref]

195. S. Lobov, A. Simonov, I. Kastalskiy, V. Kazantsev. 2016. Network response synchronization enhanced by synaptic plasticity. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* **225**:1, 29-39. [Crossref]

196. Ryan Toole, Alexander N. Tait, Thomas Ferreira de Lima, Mitchell A. Nahmias, Bhavin J. Shastri, Paul R. Prucnal, Mable P. Fok. 2016. Photonic Implementation of Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity and Learning Algorithms of Biological Neural Systems. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* **34**:2, 470-476. [Crossref]

197. Kit Cheung, Simon R. Schultz, Wayne Luk. 2016. NeuroFlow: A General Purpose Spiking Neural Network Simulation Platform using Customizable Processors. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **9**. . [Crossref]

198. Matei Mancas, Vincent P. Ferrera, Nicolas Riche. The Future of Attention Models: Information Seeking and Self-awareness 447-459. [Crossref]

199. Mircea Hulea. Bioinspired Control Method Based on Spiking Neural Networks and SMA Actuator Wires for LASER Spot Tracking 13-38. [Crossref]

200. Josef Ladenbauer, Moritz Augustin, Klaus Obermayer. Intrinsic Control Mechanisms of Neuronal Network Dynamics 441-460. [Crossref]

201. Mikhail V. Kiselev. Conversion from Rate Code to Temporal Code – Crucial Role of Inhibition 665-672. [Crossref]

202. Alper Yegenoglu, Pietro Quaglio, Emiliano Torre, Sonja Grün, Dominik Endres. Exploring the Usefulness of Formal Concept Analysis for Robust Detection of Spatio-temporal Spike Patterns in Massively Parallel Spike Trains 3-16. [Crossref]

203. Mireya Zapata, Jordi Madrenas. Compact Associative Memory for AER Spike Decoding in FPGA-Based Evolvable SNN Emulation 399-407. [Crossref]

204. Dirk Bucher. Contribution of Axons to Short-Term Dynamics of Neuronal Communication 245-263. [Crossref]

205. Dmitriy I. Iudin, Ivan Y. Tyukin, Alexander N. Gorban, Feodor D. Iudin, Victor B. Kazantsev, Irina V. Muhina, Tatiana T. Tyukina. 2016. Simple model of complex bursting dynamics in developing networks of neuronal cultures. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* **49**:14, 68-73. [Crossref]

206. Taho Dorta, Mireya Zapata, Jordi Madrenas, Giovanny Sánchez. 2016. AER-SRT: Scalable spike distribution by means of synchronous serial ring topology address event representation. *Neurocomputing* **171**, 1684-1690. [Crossref]

207. Fred Highland, Corey Hart. 2016. Implementing Multilayer Neural Network Behavior Using Polychronous Wavefront Computation. *Procedia Computer Science* **95**, 159-167. [Crossref]

208. Fred Highland, Corey Hart. 2016. Unsupervised Learning of Patterns Using Multilayer Reverberating Configurations of Polychronous Wavefront Computation. *Procedia Computer Science* **95**, 175-184. [Crossref]

209. Mahmood S. Hoseini, Ralf Wessel. 2016. Coherent and intermittent ensemble oscillations emerge from networks of irregular spiking neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **115**:1, 457-469. [Crossref]

210. Shinichi Tamura, Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa, Tomomitsu Miyoshi, Hajime Sawai. 2016. Simulation of Code Spectrum and Code Flow of Cultured Neuronal Networks. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2016**, 1-12. [Crossref]

211. Shinichi Tamura, Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa, Tomomitsu Miyoshi, Hajime Sawai, Takuya Kamimura, Yasushi Yagi, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto, Yen-Wei Chen. 2016. Spike Code Flow in Cultured Neuronal Networks. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2016**, 1-11. [Crossref]

212. Shinichi Tamura, Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa. 2016. Feasibility of Multiplex Communication in a 2D Mesh Asynchronous Neural Network with Fluctuations. *AIMS Neuroscience* **3**:4, 385-397. [Crossref]

213. Shun Sakuma, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto, Yoshi Nishitani, Shinichi Tamura. 2016. Simulation of Spike Wave Propagation and Two-to-one Communication with Dynamic Time Warping. *AIMS Neuroscience* **3**:4, 474-486. [Crossref]

214. Gytis Baranauskas. 2015. Can Optogenetic Tools Determine the Importance of Temporal Codes to Sensory Information Processing in the Brain?. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **9**. . [Crossref]

215. Fernando Montani, Roman Baravalle, Lisandro Montangie, Osvaldo A. Rosso. 2015. Causal information quantification of prominent dynamical features of biological neurons. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **373**:2056, 20150109. [Crossref]

216. Brian Gardner, Ioana Sporea, André Grüning. 2015. Learning Spatiotemporally Encoded Pattern Transformations in Structured Spiking Neural Networks. *Neural Computation* **27**:12, 2548-2586. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

217. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Monica Nicolescu, Richard Kelley, Mircea Nicolescu. 2015. An Unsupervised Approach to Learning and Early Detection of Spatio-Temporal Patterns Using Spiking Neural Networks. *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems* **80**:S1, 83-97. [Crossref]

218. Aboozar Taherkhani, Ammar Belatreche, Yuhua Li, Liam P. Maguire. 2015. DL-ReSuMe: A Delay Learning-Based Remote Supervised Method for Spiking Neurons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **26**:12, 3137-3149. [Crossref]

219. Simon M Vogt, Ulrich G Hofmann. 2015. Balancing the critical period of spiking neurons with attractor-less STDP. *BMC Neuroscience* **16**:S1. . [Crossref]

220. Romain Brette. 2015. Philosophy of the Spike: Rate-Based vs. Spike-Based Theories of the Brain. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **9**. . [Crossref]

221. William Benjamin St. Clair, David C. Noelle. 2015. Implications of polychronous neuronal groups for the continuity of mind. *Cognitive Processing* **16**:4, 319-323. [Crossref]

222. Qi Wang, Yubing Gong, Yanan Wu. 2015. Synchronization transitions induced by the fluctuation of adaptive coupling strength in delayed Newman–Watts neuronal networks. *Biosystems* **137**, 20-25. [Crossref]

223. Byungik Ahn. Special-purpose hardware architecture for neuromorphic computing 209-210. [Crossref]

224. Kourosh Kiani, Elmira Mohsenzadeh Korayem. Classification of Persian handwritten digits using spiking neural networks 1113-1116. [Crossref]

225. Markéta Tomková, Jakub Tomek, Ondřej Novák, Ondřej Zelenka, Josef Syka, Cyril Brom. 2015. Formation and disruption of tonotopy in a large-scale model of the auditory cortex. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **39**:2, 131-153. [Crossref]

226. June Hoan Kim, Ho Jun Lee, Cheol Hong Min, Kyoung J. Lee. 2015. Coherence resonance in bursting neural networks. *Physical Review E* **92**:4. . [Crossref]

227. Serhiy Yanchuk, Giovanni Giacomelli. 2015. Dynamical systems with multiple long-delayed feedbacks: Multiscale analysis and spatiotemporal equivalence. *Physical Review E* **92**:4. . [Crossref]

228. Peter Cariani. 2015. Outline of a cybernetic theory of brain function based on neural timing nets. *Kybernetes* **44**:8/9, 1219-1232. [Crossref]

229. Oren Kolodny, Shimon Edelman. 2015. The problem of multimodal concurrent serial order in behavior. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **56**, 252-265. [Crossref]

230. Saumyendra Sarkar, Sujung Jun, James W. Simpkins. 2015. Estrogen amelioration of Aβ-induced defects in mitochondria is mediated by mitochondrial signaling pathway involving ERβ, AKAP and Drp1. *Brain Research* **1616**, 101-111. [Crossref]

231. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Monica Nicolescu, Mircea Nicolescu, Richard Kelley. A biologically inspired approach to learning spatio-temporal patterns 291-297. [Crossref]

232. Shinichi Tamura, Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto, Yen-Wei Chen. Base of brain intelligence: Information flow in cultured neuronal networks and its simulation on 2D mesh network 1747-1752. [Crossref]

233. Ting-Shuo Chou, Liam D. Bucci, Jeffrey L. Krichmar. 2015. Learning touch preferences with a tactile robot using dopamine modulated STDP in a model of insular cortex. *Frontiers in Neurorobotics* **9**. . [Crossref]

234. Richard J. Tomsett, Matt Ainsworth, Alexander Thiele, Mehdi Sanayei, Xing Chen, Marc A. Gieselmann, Miles A. Whittington, Mark O. Cunningham, Marcus Kaiser. 2015. Virtual Electrode Recording Tool for EXtracellular potentials (VERTEX): comparing multi-electrode recordings from simulated and biological mammalian cortical tissue. *Brain Structure and Function* **220**:4, 2333-2353. [Crossref]

235. Markus Kantner, Eckehard Schöll, Serhiy Yanchuk. 2015. Delay-induced patterns in a two-dimensional lattice of coupled oscillators. *Scientific Reports* **5**:1. . [Crossref]

236. Shinichi Tamura, Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto. Spike code and information flow in cultured neuronal networks and its simulation on 2D mesh network 191-195. [Crossref]

237. Haoqi Sun, Yan Yang, Olga Sourina, Guang-Bin Huang. Runtime detection of activated polychronous neuronal group towards its spatiotemporal analysis 1-8. [Crossref]

238. Zhijun Yang, Vaibhav Gandhi, Mehmet Karamanoglu, Bruce Graham. Characterising information correlation in a stochastic Izhikevich neuron 1-5. [Crossref]

239. Filipe Peliz Pinto Teixeira, Murray Shanahan. Local and global criticality within oscillating networks of spiking neurons 1-7. [Crossref]

240. Joao Paulo Cerquinho Cajueiro, Joao Ranhel. Limits of coincidence detector neurons as decoders of Polychronous Neuronal Groups firing completely 1-5. [Crossref]

241. Panagiotis Ioannou, Matthew Casey, Andre Gruning. Spike-timing neuronal modelling of forgetting in immediate serial recall 1-8. [Crossref]

242. Jing Dai, Ganesh K. Venayagamoorthy, Ronald G. Harley, Yi Deng, Steve M. Potter. Adaptive-critic-based control of a synchronous generator in a power system using biologically inspired artificial neural networks 1-8. [Crossref]

243. Aboozar Taherkhani, Ammar Belatreche, Yuhua Li, Liam P. Maguire. Multi-DL-ReSuMe: Multiple neurons Delay Learning Remote Supervised Method 1-7. [Crossref]

244. Rahmi Elibol, Neslihan Serap Sengor. A computational model to investigate the effect of dopamine on neural synchronization in striatum 1-5. [Crossref]

245. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Monica Nicolescu, Mircea Nicolescu, Richard Kelley. Scale and translation invariant learning of spatio-temporal patterns using longest common subsequences and spiking neural networks 1-7. [Crossref]

246. Sou Nobukawa, Haruhiko Nishimura. Stochastic resonance effects in Izhikevich neural system with spike-timing dependent plasticity 270-275. [Crossref]

247. Sun Zhe, Ruggero Micheletto. Study on the influence of connection strength on the pacemaker in coupled neurons 325-330. [Crossref]

248. Mehdi Bayati, Alireza Valizadeh, Abdolhossein Abbassian, Sen Cheng. 2015. Self-organization of synchronous activity propagation in neuronal networks driven by local excitation. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **9**. . [Crossref]

249. Hazem Toutounji, Johannes Schumacher, Gordon Pipa. 2015. Homeostatic Plasticity for Single Node Delay-Coupled Reservoir Computing. *Neural Computation* **27**:6, 1159-1185. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

250. Runchun M. Wang, Tara J. Hamilton, Jonathan C. Tapson, André van Schaik. 2015. A neuromorphic implementation of multiple spike-timing synaptic plasticity rules for large-scale neural networks. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **9**. . [Crossref]

251. Mark D. McDonnell, Nicolangelo Iannella, Minh-Son To, Henry C. Tuckwell, Jürgen Jost, Boris S. Gutkin, Lawrence M. Ward. 2015. A review of methods for identifying stochastic resonance in simulations of single neuron models. *Network: Computation in Neural Systems* **26**:2, 35-71. [Crossref]

252. Yanan Wu, Yubing Gong, Qi Wang. 2015. Autaptic activity-induced synchronization transitions in Newman–Watts network of Hodgkin–Huxley neurons. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **25**:4, 043113. [Crossref]

253. Yilda Irizarry-Valle, Alice Cline Parker. 2015. An Astrocyte Neuromorphic Circuit That Influences Neuronal Phase Synchrony. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems* **9**:2, 175-187. [Crossref]

254. Bhavin J. Shastri, Mitchell A. Nahmias, Alexander N. Tait, Ben Wu, Paul R. Prucnal. 2015. SIMPEL: Circuit model for photonic spike processing laser neurons. *Optics Express* **23**:6, 8029. [Crossref]

255. Samat Moldakarimov, Maxim Bazhenov, Terrence J. Sejnowski. 2015. Feedback stabilizes propagation of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**:8, 2545-2550. [Crossref]

256. Mira Guise, Alistair Knott, Lubica Benuskova. 2015. Enhanced polychronization in a spiking network with metaplasticity. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **9**. . [Crossref]

257. Nikola Kasabov, Elisa Capecci. 2015. Spiking neural network methodology for modelling, classification and understanding of EEG spatio-temporal data measuring cognitive processes. *Information Sciences* **294**, 565-575. [Crossref]

258. Francesco Galluppi, Xavier Lagorce, Evangelos Stromatias, Michael Pfeiffer, Luis A. Plana, Steve B. Furber, Ryad B. Benosman. 2015. A framework for plasticity implementation on the SpiNNaker neural architecture. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **8**. . [Crossref]

259. Elisa Capecci, Francesco Carlo Morabito, Maurizio Campolo, Nadia Mammone, Domenico Labate, Nikola Kasabov. A Feasibility Study of Using the NeuCube Spiking Neural Network Architecture for Modelling Alzheimer's Disease EEG Data 159-172. [Crossref]

260. Alessandro Cristini, Mario Salerno, Gianluca Susi. A Continuous-Time Spiking Neural Network Paradigm 49-60. [Crossref]

261. Mark Hereld, Jyothsna Suresh, Mihailo Radojicic, Lorenzo L. Pesce, Janice Wang, Jeremy Marks, Wim van Drongelen. Toward Networks from Spikes 277-292. [Crossref]

262. Damien Coyle, Kamal Abuhassan, Liam Maguire. Modelling Cortical and Thalamocortical Synaptic Loss and Compensation Mechanisms in Alzheimer's Disease 221-275. [Crossref]

263. David Reid, Hissam Tawfik, Abir Jaafar Hussain, Haya Al-Askar. Forecasting Weather Signals Using a Polychronous Spiking Neural Network 116-123. [Crossref]

264. Aboozar Taherkhani, Ammar Belatreche, Yuhua Li, Liam P. Maguire. EDL: An Extended Delay Learning Based Remote Supervised Method for Spiking Neurons 190-197. [Crossref]

265. N.K. Kasabov. Integrative Computational Neurogenetic Modeling 667-674. [Crossref]

266. James J. Bonaiuto, Sven Bestmann. Understanding the nonlinear physiological and behavioral effects of tDCS through computational neurostimulation 75-103. [Crossref]

267. P. Szymczyk, M. Szymczyk. 2015. Classification of geological structure using ground penetrating radar and Laplace transform artificial neural networks. *Neurocomputing* **148**, 354-362. [Crossref]

268. Fred Highland, Corey B. Hart. 2015. Adaptation of Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity to Unsupervised Learning for Polychronous Wavefront Computing. *Procedia Computer Science* **61**, 314-321. [Crossref]

269. Felix Wang. 2015. Simulation Tool for Asynchronous Cortical Streams (STACS): Interfacing with Spiking Neural Networks. *Procedia Computer Science* **61**, 322-327. [Crossref]

270. Jan Müller, Marco Ballini, Paolo Livi, Yihui Chen, Milos Radivojevic, Amir Shadmani, Vijay Viswam, Ian L. Jones, Michele Fiscella, Roland Diggelmann, Alexander Stettler, Urs Frey, Douglas J. Bakkum, Andreas Hierlemann. 2015. High-resolution CMOS MEA platform to study neurons at subcellular, cellular, and network levels. *Lab on a Chip* **15**:13, 2767-2780. [Crossref]

271. Ning Ning, Guoqi Li, Wei He, Kejie Huang, Li Pan, Kiruthika Ramanathan, Rong Zhao, Luping Shi. 2015. Modeling Neuromorphic Persistent Firing Networks. *International Journal of Intelligence Science* **05**:02, 89-101. [Crossref]

272. Sven Jahnke, Raoul-Martin Memmesheimer, Marc Timme. 2014. Oscillation-Induced Signal Transmission and Gating in Neural Circuits. *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**:12, e1003940. [Crossref]

273. Zahra Ghasemi Esfahani, Alireza Valizadeh. 2014. Zero-Lag Synchronization Despite Inhomogeneities in a Relay System. *PLoS ONE* **9**:12, e112688. [Crossref]

274. Önder Gürcan. 2014. Effective connectivity at synaptic level in humans: a review and future prospects. *Biological Cybernetics* **108**:6, 713-733. [Crossref]

275. Maryam Gholami Doborjeh, Elisa Capecci, Nikola Kasabov. Classification and segmentation of fMRI Spatio-Temporal Brain Data with a NeuCube evolving Spiking Neural Network model 73-80. [Crossref]

276. Bhavin J. Shastri, Alexander N. Tait, Mitchell A. Nahmias, Ben Wu, Paul R. Prucnal. Spatiotemporal pattern recognition with cascadable graphene excitable lasers 573-574. [Crossref]

277. Takashi Hayakawa, Takeshi Kaneko, Toshio Aoyagi. 2014. A biologically plausible learning rule for the Infomax on recurrent neural networks. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **8**. . [Crossref]

278. Saeed Afshar, Libin George, Jonathan Tapson, André van Schaik, Tara J. Hamilton. 2014. Racing to learn: statistical inference and learning in a single spiking neuron with adaptive kernels. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **8**. . [Crossref]

279. Alexander W. Churchill, Chrisantha Fernando. 2014. An evolutionary cognitive architecture made of a bag of networks. *Evolutionary Intelligence* **7**:3, 169-182. [Crossref]

280. Joseph Chrol-Cannon, Yaochu Jin. 2014. Computational modeling of neural plasticity for self-organization of neural networks. *Biosystems* **125**, 43-54. [Crossref]

281. Alexander N. Tait, Mitchell A. Nahmias, Bhavin J. Shastri, Paul R. Prucnal. 2014. Broadcast and Weight: An Integrated Network For Scalable Photonic Spike Processing. *Journal of Lightwave Technology* **32**:21, 4029-4041. [Crossref]

282. James E. Smith. 2014. Efficient digital neurons for large scale cortical architectures. *ACM SIGARCH Computer Architecture News* **42**:3, 229-240. [Crossref]

283. Petra E. Vértes, Aaron Alexander-Bloch, Edward T. Bullmore. 2014. Generative models of rich clubs in Hebbian neuronal networks and large-scale human brain networks. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **369**:1653, 20130531. [Crossref]

284. Günther Palm, Andreas Knoblauch, Florian Hauser, Almut Schüz. 2014. Cell assemblies in the cerebral cortex. *Biological Cybernetics* **108**:5, 559-572. [Crossref]

285. Daniel Liewald, Robert Miller, Nikos Logothetis, Hans-Joachim Wagner, Almut Schüz. 2014. Distribution of axon diameters in cortical white matter: an electron-microscopic study on three human brains and a macaque. *Biological Cybernetics* **108**:5, 541-557. [Crossref]

286. Dongshu Wang, Lihong Huang. 2014. Almost periodic dynamical behaviors for generalized Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with discontinuous activations via differential inclusions. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **19**:10, 3857-3879. [Crossref]

287. Mira Guise, Alistair Knott, Lubica Benuskova. 2014. A Bayesian Model of Polychronicity. *Neural Computation* **26**:9, 2052-2073. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

288. S. Pajevic, P.J. Basser, R.D. Fields. 2014. Role of myelin plasticity in oscillations and synchrony of neuronal activity. *Neuroscience* **276**, 135-147. [Crossref]

289. Shaista Hussain, Arindam Basu, Runchun Mark Wang, Tara Julia Hamilton. 2014. Delay learning architectures for memory and classification. *Neurocomputing* **138**, 14-26. [Crossref]

290. Hao Song, Chun-Chung Chen, Jyh-Jang Sun, Pik-Yin Lai, C. K. Chan. 2014. Reconstruction of network structures from repeating spike patterns in simulated bursting dynamics. *Physical Review E* **90**:1. . [Crossref]

291. Jose Rodrigues de Oliveira-Neto, Felipe Duque Belfort, Rafael Cavalcanti-Neto, Joao Ranhel. Magnitude comparison in analog spiking neural assemblies 3186-3191. [Crossref]

292. Mark Hereld, Jyothsna Suresh, Mihailo Radojicic, Lorenzo L Pesce, Wim van Drongelen. 2014. Connectivity from spike trains of neocortex neuron populations. *BMC Neuroscience* **15**:S1. . [Crossref]

293. Pengsheng Zheng, Jochen Triesch. 2014. Robust development of synfire chains from multiple plasticity mechanisms. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **8**. . [Crossref]

294. Nikola Kasabov, Valery Feigin, Zeng-Guang Hou, Yixiong Chen, Linda Liang, Rita Krishnamurthi, Muhaini Othman, Priya Parmar. 2014. Evolving spiking

neural networks for personalised modelling, classification and prediction of spatio-temporal patterns with a case study on stroke. *Neurocomputing* **134**, 269-279. [Crossref]

295. James E. Smith. Efficient digital neurons for large scale cortical architectures 229-240. [Crossref]

296. Runchun Wang, Tara Julia Hamilton, Jonathan Tapson, Andre van Schaik. A compact reconfigurable mixed-signal implementation of synaptic plasticity in spiking neurons 862-865. [Crossref]

297. Richard James Sofatzis, Saeed Afshar, Tara Julia Hamilton. The Synaptic Kernel Adaptation Network 2077-2080. [Crossref]

298. Fernando Montani, Emilia B. Deleglise, Osvaldo A. Rosso. 2014. Efficiency characterization of a large neuronal network: A causal information approach. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **401**, 58-70. [Crossref]

299. Serhiy Yanchuk, Giovanni Giacomelli. 2014. Pattern Formation in Systems with Multiple Delayed Feedbacks. *Physical Review Letters* **112**:17. . [Crossref]

300. Liam D. Bucci, Ting-Shuo Chou, Jeffrey L. Krichmar. Sensory decoding in a tactile, interactive neurorobot 1909-1914. [Crossref]

301. Daisuke Uragami, Hiroyuki Ohta. 2014. Multilayered neural network with structural lateral inhibition for incremental learning and conceptualization. *Biosystems* **118**, 8-16. [Crossref]

302. Nikola K. Kasabov. 2014. NeuCube: A spiking neural network architecture for mapping, learning and understanding of spatio-temporal brain data. *Neural Networks* **52**, 62-76. [Crossref]

303. Hazem Toutounji, Gordon Pipa. 2014. Spatiotemporal Computations of an Excitable and Plastic Brain: Neuronal Plasticity Leads to Noise-Robust and Noise-Constructive Computations. *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**:3, e1003512. [Crossref]

304. Runchun M. Wang, Tara J. Hamilton, Jonathan C. Tapson, André van Schaik. 2014. A mixed-signal implementation of a polychronous spiking neural network with delay adaptation. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **8**. . [Crossref]

305. Dongshu Wang, Lihong Huang. 2014. Periodicity and global exponential stability of generalized Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with discontinuous activations and mixed delays. *Neural Networks* **51**, 80-95. [Crossref]

306. Tobias C. Potjans, Markus Diesmann. 2014. The Cell-Type Specific Cortical Microcircuit: Relating Structure and Activity in a Full-Scale Spiking Network Model. *Cerebral Cortex* **24**:3, 785-806. [Crossref]

307. Subrata Ghosh, Krishna Aswani, Surabhi Singh, Satyajit Sahu, Daisuke Fujita, Anirban Bandyopadhyay. 2014. Design and Construction of a Brain-Like Computer: A New Class of Frequency-Fractal Computing Using Wireless Communication in a Supramolecular Organic, Inorganic System. *Information* **5**:1, 28-100. [Crossref]

308. Kamal Abuhassan, Damien Coyle, Ammar Belatreche, Liam Maguire. 2014. Compensating for synaptic loss in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **36**:1, 19-37. [Crossref]

309. F Macià, F C Hoppensteadt, A D Kent. 2014. Spin wave excitation patterns generated by spin torque oscillators. *Nanotechnology* **25**:4, 045303. [Crossref]

310. Moritz Helias, Tom Tetzlaff, Markus Diesmann. 2014. The Correlation Structure of Local Neuronal Networks Intrinsically Results from Recurrent Dynamics. *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**:1, e1003428. [Crossref]

311. Martin Pyka, Sen Cheng. 2014. Pattern Association and Consolidation Emerges from Connectivity Properties between Cortex and Hippocampus. *PLoS ONE* **9**:1, e85016. [Crossref]

312. Ke-Lin Du, M. N. S. Swamy. Introduction 1-14. [Crossref]

313. Moshe Abeles. Spatial Temporal Spike Pattern Analysis 1-4. [Crossref]

314. Marc-Oliver Gewaltig. Spiking Network Models and Theory: Overview 1-12. [Crossref]

315. Marc-Oliver Gewaltig. Spiking Network Models and Theory: Overview 1-13. [Crossref]

316. Marc-Oliver Gewaltig. Spiking Network Models and Theory: Overview 1-13. [Crossref]

317. Joachim Hass, Daniel Durstewitz. Neurocomputational Models of Time Perception 49-71. [Crossref]

318. Banafsheh Rekabdar, Monica Nicolescu, Richard Kelley, Mircea Nicolescu. Unsupervised Learning of Spatio-temporal Patterns Using Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity 254-257. [Crossref]

319. David Reid, Abir Jaafar Hussain, Hissam Tawfik, Rozaida Ghazali. Prediction of Physical Time Series Using Spiking Neural Networks 816-824. [Crossref]

320. Panagiotis Ioannou, Matthew Casey, André Grüning. Factors Influencing Polychronous Group Sustainability as a Model of Working Memory 723-731. [Crossref]

321. Nooraini Yusoff, Farzana Kabir Ahmad. Spiking Neural Network with Lateral Inhibition for Reward-Based Associative Learning 327-334. [Crossref]

322. Nikola Kasabov. Brain-like Information Processing for Spatio-Temporal Pattern Recognition 813-834. [Crossref]

323. Alexander N. Tait, Mitchell A. Nahmias, Yue Tian, Bhavin J. Shastri, Paul R. Prucnal. Photonic Neuromorphic Signal Processing and Computing 183-222. [Crossref]

324. Qian Zhou, Guizhi Xu, Yunzhi Chen, Miaomiao Guo. Stable Frequency Transmission Emerging from Spiking-Timing-Dependent Plasticity in Spiking Neural Network 361-370. [Crossref]

325. . Notes and References 243-270. [Crossref]

326. Taufik A. Valiante, Peter L. Carlen. Electrophysiological Mechanisms of Network Control 121-133. [Crossref]

327. Paul L. Nunez, Ramesh Srinivasan. 2014. Neocortical dynamics due to axon propagation delays in cortico-cortical fibers: EEG traveling and standing waves with implications for top-down influences on local networks and white matter disease. *Brain Research* **1542**, 138-166. [Crossref]

328. Gábor Orosz. 2014. Decomposition of Nonlinear Delayed Networks around Cluster States with Applications to Neurodynamics. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems* **13**:4, 1353-1386. [Crossref]

329. Mikhail V. Kiselev. 2014. Homogenous Chaotic Network Serving as a Rate/ Population Code to Temporal Code Converter. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2014**, 1-8. [Crossref]

330. Peter Batchelor. 2013. The Intimate and the Immersive in Grids: Multichannel Sound Installations. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 6-7. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

331. Marc Berghaus. 2013. Three Environments. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 7-8. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

332. Jane Grant, John Matthias. 2013. Plasticities and Ghosts: Relationships between Stimulus and Memory in Noisy Networks. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 9-10. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

333. Mike Blow. 2013. Solar Work #2: A Solar-Powered Sound Artwork. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 10-11. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

334. Yolande Harris. 2013. Presentness in Displaced Sound. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 13-14. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

335. Jessica Thompson. 2013. Mobile Sound and Locative Practice. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 14-15. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

336. Edwin van der Heide. 2013. Radioscape: Into Electromagnetic Space. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 15-16. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

337. Colin Wambsgans. 2013. Musical Phonography: Upending Listening Expectations. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 19-20. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

338. Florian Hollerweger. 2013. Sound Installation 24/7: Aestheticizing Everyday Sound and Rhythm. *Leonardo Music Journal* **23**, 21-21. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus] [Supplemental Material]

339. Marcello Mulas, Paolo Massobrio. 2013. NeuVision: A novel simulation environment to model spontaneous and stimulus-evoked activity of large-scale neuronal networks. *Neurocomputing* **122**, 441-457. [Crossref]

340. Giovanni Giacomelli, Francesco Marino, Michael A. Zaks, Serhiy Yanchuk. 2013. Nucleation in bistable dynamical systems with long delay. *Physical Review E* **88**:6. . [Crossref]

341. Yan Liu, Jiawei Chen, Liujun Chen. A dynamic neural network model of self-organized criticality in neuronal avalanches 580-584. [Crossref]

342. Shiva Ghaani Farashahi, Fariba Bahrami. Does deficits in place field formation cause spatial navigation impairment in Alzheimer's disease? 177-182. [Crossref]

343. Nooraini Yusoff, Farzana Kabir Ahmad. Stimulus-stimulus association via reinforcement learning in spiking neural network 131-136. [Crossref]

344. Ferdinand Peper, Naoki Wakamiya, Akifumi Kasamatsu, Shukichi Tanaka, Kenji Leibnitz, Junnosuke Teramae, Katsuyuki Kasai, Akira Otomo. On Neuro-inspired Wireless Sensor Networks 589-594. [Crossref]

345. Mathieu N. Galtier, Jonathan Touboul. 2013. Macroscopic Equations Governing Noisy Spiking Neuronal Populations with Linear Synapses. *PLoS ONE* **8**:11, e78917. [Crossref]

346. Renan C. Moioli, Phil Husbands. 2013. Neuronal Assembly Dynamics in Supervised and Unsupervised Learning Scenarios. *Neural Computation* **25**:11, 2934-2975. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

347. M. Girardi-Schappo, M.H.R. Tragtenberg, O. Kinouchi. 2013. A brief history of excitable map-based neurons and neural networks. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **220**:2, 116-130. [Crossref]

348. Volker Steuber, Dieter Jaeger. 2013. Modeling the generation of output by the cerebellar nuclei. *Neural Networks* **47**, 112-119. [Crossref]

349. Gian Carlo Cardarilli, Alessandro Cristini, Luca Di Nunzio, Marco Re, Mario Salerno, Gianluca Susi. Spiking neural networks based on LIF with latency: Simulation and synchronization effects 1838-1842. [Crossref]

350. Chrisantha Fernando. 2013. From Blickets to Synapses: Inferring Temporal Causal Networks by Observation. *Cognitive Science* **37**:8, 1426-1470. [Crossref]

351. Christian Tetzlaff, Christoph Kolodziejski, Marc Timme, Misha Tsodyks, Florentin Wörgötter. 2013. Synaptic Scaling Enables Dynamically Distinct Short- and Long-Term Memory Formation. *PLoS Computational Biology* **9**:10, e1003307. [Crossref]

352. Katrina M. Kutchko, Flavio Fröhlich. 2013. Emergence of Metastable State Dynamics in Interconnected Cortical Networks with Propagation Delays. *PLoS Computational Biology* **9**:10, e1003304. [Crossref]

353. Toby Lightheart, Steven Grainger, Tien-Fu Lu. 2013. Spike-Timing-Dependent Construction. *Neural Computation* **25**:10, 2611-2645. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

354. Federico Bizzarri, Angelo Brambilla, Giancarlo Storti Gajani. 2013. Lyapunov exponents computation for hybrid neurons. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **35**:2, 201-212. [Crossref]

355. Dongshu Wang, Lihong Huang, Zuowei Cai. 2013. On the periodic dynamics of a general Cohen–Grossberg BAM neural networks via differential inclusions. *Neurocomputing* **118**, 203-214. [Crossref]

356. Douglas J. Bakkum, Urs Frey, Milos Radivojevic, Thomas L. Russell, Jan Müller, Michele Fiscella, Hirokazu Takahashi, Andreas Hierlemann. 2013. Tracking

axonal action potential propagation on a high-density microelectrode array across hundreds of sites. *Nature Communications* **4**:1. . [Crossref]

357. Martin Bogdan, Karim El Laithy. 2013. Synaptic energy drives the information processing mechanisms in spiking neural networks. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering* **11**:2, 233-256. [Crossref]

358. Joao Ranhel. Neural Assemblies and Finite State Automata 28-33. [Crossref]

359. Mitchell A. Nahmias, Bhavin J. Shastri, Alexander N. Tait, Paul R. Prucnal. 2013. A Leaky Integrate-and-Fire Laser Neuron for Ultrafast Cognitive Computing. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* **19**:5, 1-12. [Crossref]

360. R. Vardi, S. Guberman, A. Goldental, I. Kanter. 2013. An experimental evidence-based computational paradigm for new logic-gates in neuronal activity. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **103**:6, 66001. [Crossref]

361. Zhaohui Li, Xiaoli Li. 2013. Estimating Temporal Causal Interaction between Spike Trains with Permutation and Transfer Entropy. *PLoS ONE* **8**:8, e70894. [Crossref]

362. Jerry B. Ahn. Extension of neuron machine neurocomputing architecture for spiking neural networks 1-8. [Crossref]

363. David Reid, Abir Jaafar Hussain, Hissam Tawfik. Spiking neural networks for financial data prediction 1-10. [Crossref]

364. Fernando Montani, Elena Phoka, Mariela Portesi, Simon R. Schultz. 2013. Statistical modelling of higher-order correlations in pools of neural activity. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **392**:14, 3066-3086. [Crossref]

365. Gaetano Valenza, Luciano Tedesco, Antonio Lanata, Danilo De Rossi, Enzo Pasquale Scilingo. Novel Spiking Neuron-Astrocyte Networks based on nonlinear transistor-like models of tripartite synapses 6559-6562. [Crossref]

366. Edward Wallace, Hamid Reza Maei, Peter E. Latham. 2013. Randomly Connected Networks Have Short Temporal Memory. *Neural Computation* **25**:6, 1408-1439. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

367. Steven Ravett Brown. 2013. Emergence in the central nervous system. *Cognitive Neurodynamics* **7**:3, 173-195. [Crossref]

368. I. Can Cevikbas, Tulay Yildirim. Digit recognition in a simplified visual cortex model 1-3. [Crossref]

369. Kenneth Harris. Information Coding by Cortical Populations 391-410. [Crossref]

370. Nikola Kasabov, Kshitij Dhoble, Nuttapod Nuntalid, Giacomo Indiveri. 2013. Dynamic evolving spiking neural networks for on-line spatio- and spectro-temporal pattern recognition. *Neural Networks* **41**, 188-201. [Crossref]

371. Runchun Wang, Gregory Cohen, Tara Julia Hamilton, Jonathan Tapson, Andre van Schaik. An improved aVLSI axon with programmable delay using spike timing dependent delay plasticity 1592-1595. [Crossref]

372. Shiva Ghaani Farashahi, Fariba Bahrami. Modelling place field formation in hippocampus considering recurrent connections in CA3 and STDP 1-5. [Crossref]

373. Bryan L. Jackson, Bipin Rajendran, Gregory S. Corrado, Matthew Breitwisch, Geoffrey W. Burr, Roger Cheek, Kailash Gopalakrishnan, Simone Raoux, Charles T. Rettner, Alvaro Padilla, Alex G. Schrott, Rohit S. Shenoy, Bülent N. Kurdi, Chung H. Lam, Dharmendra S. Modha. 2013. Nanoscale electronic synapses using phase change devices. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems* **9**:2, 1-20. [Crossref]

374. Andrea Soltoggio, Jochen J. Steil. 2013. Solving the Distal Reward Problem with Rare Correlations. *Neural Computation* **25**:4, 940-978. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

375. Chris Trengove, Cees van Leeuwen, Markus Diesmann. 2013. High-capacity embedding of synfire chains in a cortical network model. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **34**:2, 185-209. [Crossref]

376. Silvia Scarpetta, Ferdinando Giacco. 2013. Associative memory of phase-coded spatiotemporal patterns in leaky Integrate and Fire networks. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **34**:2, 319-336. [Crossref]

377. Toomas Kirt, Talis Bachmann. 2013. Perceptual retouch theory derived modeling of interactions in the processing of successive visual objects for consciousness: Two-stage synchronization of neuronal oscillators. *Consciousness and Cognition* **22**:1, 330-347. [Crossref]

378. Robert R. Kerr, Anthony N. Burkitt, Doreen A. Thomas, Matthieu Gilson, David B. Grayden. 2013. Delay Selection by Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity in Recurrent Networks of Spiking Neurons Receiving Oscillatory Inputs. *PLoS Computational Biology* **9**:2, e1002897. [Crossref]

379. P.K. Fung, A.L. Haber, P.A. Robinson. 2013. Neural field theory of plasticity in the cerebral cortex. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **318**, 44-57. [Crossref]

380. Premysl Jiruska, Marco de Curtis, John G. R. Jefferys, Catherine A. Schevon, Steven J. Schiff, Kaspar Schindler. 2013. Synchronization and desynchronization in epilepsy: controversies and hypotheses. *The Journal of Physiology* **591**:4, 787-797. [Crossref]

381. Sadique Sheik, Michael Pfeiffer, Fabio Stefanini, Giacomo Indiveri. Spatio-temporal Spike Pattern Classification in Neuromorphic Systems 262-273. [Crossref]

382. Chrisantha Fernando. Design for a Darwinian Brain: Part 1. Philosophy and Neuroscience 71-82. [Crossref]

383. Francis Jeanson, Tony White. Dynamic Memory for Robot Control Using Delay-Based Coincidence Detection Neurones 280-287. [Crossref]

384. Nikola Kasabov, Jin Hu, Yixiong Chen, Nathan Scott, Yulia Turkova. Spatio-temporal EEG Data Classification in the NeuCube 3D SNN Environment: Methodology and Examples 63-69. [Crossref]

385. Alexandre Pitti, Yasuo Kuniyoshi. Contribution of the Cholinergic Innervation to Early Memory Development in the Neonate Para-Hippocampal System 727-743. [Crossref]

386. Vincenzo Di Lazzaro. Biological effects of non-invasive brain stimulation 367-374. [Crossref]

387. Corey B. Hart. 2013. Variable Time Delays and Representational Capacity in Sparsely Connected Populations of Spiking Neurons. *Procedia Computer Science* **20**, 22-26. [Crossref]

388. Frank Klefenz, Adam Williamson. 2013. Modeling the Formation Process of Grouping Stimuli Sets through Cortical Columns and Microcircuits to Feature Neurons. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2013**, 1-10. [Crossref]

389. Simei Gomes Wysoski, Lubica Benuskova, Nikola K. Kasabov. Brain-Like System for Audiovisual Person Authentication Based on Time-to-First Spike Coding 662-689. [Crossref]

390. John Rothwell, Martin Sommer, Vincenzo Di Lazzaro. Physiological Basis of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation 41-54. [Crossref]

391. Thibaud Taillefumier, Jonathan Touboul, Marcelo Magnasco. 2012. Exact Event-Driven Implementation for Recurrent Networks of Stochastic Perfect Integrate-and-Fire Neurons. *Neural Computation* **24**:12, 3145-3180. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

392. Christian Tetzlaff, Christoph Kolodziejski, Irene Markelic, Florentin Wörgötter. 2012. Time scales of memory, learning, and plasticity. *Biological Cybernetics* **106**:11-12, 715-726. [Crossref]

393. Shaista Hussain, Arindam Basu, Mark Wang, Tara Julia Hamilton. DELTRON: Neuromorphic architectures for delay based learning 304-307. [Crossref]

394. Letizia Zullo, Michela Chiappalone, Sergio Martinoia, Fabio Benfenati. 2012. A "Spike-Based" Grammar Underlies Directional Modification in Network Connectivity: Effect on Bursting Activity and Implications for Bio-Hybrids Systems. *PLoS ONE* **7**:11, e49299. [Crossref]

395. Cameron Patterson, Jim Garside, Eustace Painkras, Steve Temple, Luis A. Plana, Javier Navaridas, Thomas Sharp, Steve Furber. 2012. Scalable communications for a million-core neural processing architecture. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing* **72**:11, 1507-1520. [Crossref]

396. Anne S. Warlaumont. A spiking neural network model of canonical babbling development 1-6. [Crossref]

397. Hiroyuki Ohta, Daisuke Uragami, Yasuhiro Nishida, James C. Houk. Presynaptic inhibition balances the trade-off between differential sensitivity and reproducibility 1172-1175. [Crossref]

398. V. Di Lazzaro, P. Profice, F. Ranieri, F. Capone, M. Dileone, A. Oliviero, F. Pilato. 2012. I-wave origin and modulation. *Brain Stimulation* **5**:4, 512-525. [Crossref]

399. Kingsley P. Storer, George N. Reeke. 2012. γ-Aminobutyric Acid Receptor Type A Receptor Potentiation Reduces Firing of Neuronal Assemblies in a Computational Cortical Model. *Anesthesiology* **117**:4, 780-790. [Crossref]

400. Gabriela E. Soares, Henrique E. Borges, Rogério M. Gomes, Gustavo M. Zeferino, Antônio P. Braga. 2012. Emergence of synchronicity in a self-organizing spiking neuron network: an approach via genetic algorithms. *Natural Computing* **11**:3, 405-413. [Crossref]

401. Zuowei Cai, Lihong Huang, Zhenyuan Guo, Xiaoyan Chen. 2012. On the periodic dynamics of a class of time-varying delayed neural networks via differential inclusions. *Neural Networks* **33**, 97-113. [Crossref]

402. Toshikazu Samura, Hatsuo Hayashi. 2012. Directional spike propagation in a recurrent network: Dynamical firewall as anisotropic recurrent inhibition. *Neural Networks* **33**, 236-246. [Crossref]

403. F. Chersi. 2012. Learning Through Imitation: a Biological Approach to Robotics. *IEEE Transactions on Autonomous Mental Development* **4**:3, 204-214. [Crossref]

404. Philip Sterne. 2012. Information Recall Using Relative Spike Timing in a Spiking Neural Network. *Neural Computation* **24**:8, 2053-2077. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

405. Markus Schütt, Jens Christian Claussen. 2012. Desynchronizing effect of high-frequency stimulation in a generic cortical network model. *Cognitive Neurodynamics* **6**:4, 343-351. [Crossref]

406. Tyrus Berry, Franz Hamilton, Nathalia Peixoto, Timothy Sauer. 2012. Detecting connectivity changes in neuronal networks. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **209**:2, 388-397. [Crossref]

407. S. Davies, F. Galluppi, A.D. Rast, S.B. Furber. 2012. A forecast-based STDP rule suitable for neuromorphic implementation. *Neural Networks* **32**, 3-14. [Crossref]

408. Jonathan Touboul. 2012. Mean-field equations for stochastic firing-rate neural fields with delays: Derivation and noise-induced transitions. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **241**:15, 1223-1244. [Crossref]

409. Matthieu Gilson, Tomoki Fukai, Anthony N. Burkitt. 2012. Spectral Analysis of Input Spike Trains by Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity. *PLoS Computational Biology* **8**:7, e1002584. [Crossref]

410. Ying-Mei Qin, Jiang Wang, Cong Men, Jia Zhao, Xi-Le Wei, Bin Deng. 2012. Self-sustained firing activities of the cortical network with plastic rules in weak AC electrical fields. *Chinese Physics B* **21**:7, 078702. [Crossref]

411. Sergio Oscar Verduzco-Flores, Mark Bodner, Bard Ermentrout. 2012. A model for complex sequence learning and reproduction in neural populations. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **32**:3, 403-423. [Crossref]

412. Daqing Guo, Qingyun Wang, Matjaž Perc. 2012. Complex synchronous behavior in interneuronal networks with delayed inhibitory and fast electrical synapses. *Physical Review E* **85**:6. . [Crossref]

413. Paul W Wright, Janet Wiles. Learning transmission delays in spiking neural networks: A novel approach to sequence learning based on spike delay variance 1-8. [Crossref]

414. Sadique Sheik, Elisabetta Chicca, Giacomo Indiveri. Exploiting device mismatch in neuromorphic VLSI systems to implement axonal delays 1-6. [Crossref]

415. Jing Dai, Ganesh Kumar Venayagamoorthy, Ronald G. Harley, Steve M. Potter. Reservoir-computing-based, biologically-inspired artificial neural network for modeling of a single machine infinite bus power system 1-8. [Crossref]

416. Scott V. Notley, Andre Gruning. Improved spike-timed mappings using a tri-phasic spike timing-dependent plasticity rule 1-6. [Crossref]

417. Joseph Chrol-Cannon, Andre Gruning, Yaochu Jin. The emergence of polychronous groups under varying input patterns, plasticity rules and network connectivities 1-6. [Crossref]

418. J. Ranhel. 2012. Neural Assembly Computing. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **23**:6, 916-927. [Crossref]

419. K. Minkovich, N. Srinivasa, J. M. Cruz-Albrecht, Youngkwan Cho, A. Nogin. 2012. Programming Time-Multiplexed Reconfigurable Hardware Using a Scalable Neuromorphic Compiler. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **23**:6, 889-901. [Crossref]

420. Jia Zhao, Bin Deng, Xile Wei, Jiang Wang, Cong Men, Yingmei Qin, Jianbing Sun. The effects of external electrical field on a neural network with synaptic plasticity and conduction delays 2449-2454. [Crossref]

421. B. Belhadj, A. Joubert, O. Temam, R. Heliot. Configurable conduction delay circuits for high spiking rates 2091-2094. [Crossref]

422. Runchun Wang, Jonathan Tapson, Tara Julia Hamilton, Andre van Schaik. An aVLSI programmable axonal delay circuit with spike timing dependent delay adaptation 2413-2416. [Crossref]

423. Raoul-Martin Memmesheimer, Marc Timme. 2012. Non-Additive Coupling Enables Propagation of Synchronous Spiking Activity in Purely Random Networks. *PLoS Computational Biology* **8**:4, e1002384. [Crossref]

424. George L. Gerstein, Elizabeth R. Williams, Markus Diesmann, Sonja Grün, Chris Trengove. 2012. Detecting synfire chains in parallel spike data. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **206**:1, 54-64. [Crossref]

425. V.B. Kazantsev, A.S. Tchakoutio Nguetcho, S. Jacquir, S. Binczak, J.M. Bilbault. 2012. Active spike transmission in the neuron model with a winding threshold manifold. *Neurocomputing* **83**, 205-211. [Crossref]

426. Victor Kazantsev, Ivan Tyukin. 2012. Adaptive and Phase Selective Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity in Synaptically Coupled Neuronal Oscillators. *PLoS ONE* **7**:3, e30411. [Crossref]

427. Sergio Verduzco-Flores, Bard Ermentrout, Mark Bodner. 2012. Modeling neuropathologies as disruption of normal sequence generation in working memory networks. *Neural Networks* **27**, 21-31. [Crossref]

428. Joon Ho Choi, June Hoan Kim, Ryoun Heo, Kyoung J. Lee. 2012. Modulating the Precision of Recurrent Bursts in Cultured Neural Networks. *Physical Review Letters* **108**:13. . [Crossref]

429. Dhanya Parameshwaran, Nathan E. Crone, Tara C. Thiagarajan. 2012. Coherence Potentials Encode Simple Human Sensorimotor Behavior. *PLoS ONE* **7**:2, e30514. [Crossref]

430. Peter A. van der Helm. 2012. Cognitive architecture of perceptual organization: from neurons to gnosons. *Cognitive Processing* **13**:1, 13-40. [Crossref]

431. Alexander D. Rast, M. Mukaram Khan, Xin Jin, Luis A. Plana, Steve B. Furber. A Universal Abstract-Time Platform for Real-Time Neural Networks 135-157. [Crossref]

432. Peter Cariani, Christophe Micheyl. Toward a Theory of Information Processing in Auditory Cortex 351-390. [Crossref]

433. Hélène Paugam-Moisy, Sander Bohte. Computing with Spiking Neuron Networks 335-376. [Crossref]

434. Nikola Kasabov. Evolving Spiking Neural Networks and Neurogenetic Systems for Spatio- and Spectro-Temporal Data Modelling and Pattern Recognition 234-260. [Crossref]

435. Peter Cariani. Creating New Informational Primitives in Minds and Machines 383-417. [Crossref]

436. Nikola Kasabov. NeuCube EvoSpike Architecture for Spatio-temporal Modelling and Pattern Recognition of Brain Signals 225-243. [Crossref]

437. Nooraini Yusoff, André Grüning, Scott Notley. Pair-Associate Learning with Modulated Spike-Time Dependent Plasticity 137-144. [Crossref]

438. Panagiotis Ioannou, Matthew Casey, André Grüning. Evaluating the Effect of Spiking Network Parameters on Polychronization 255-263. [Crossref]

439. Chrisantha Fernando. Fluid Construction Grammar in the Brain 312-330. [Crossref]

440. Nooraini Yusoff, André Grüning. Learning Anticipation through Priming in Spatio-temporal Neural Networks 168-175. [Crossref]

441. Li Guo, Zhijun Yang, Bruce Graham, Daqiang Zhang. Characterisation of Information Flow in an Izhikevich Network 392-400. [Crossref]

442. Mark D. McDonnell, Ashutosh Mohan, Christian Stricker, Lawrence M. Ward. 2012. Input-rate modulation of gamma oscillations is sensitive to network topology, delays and short-term plasticity. *Brain Research* **1434**, 162-177. [Crossref]

443. Nooraini Yusoff, André Grüning. 2012. Biologically Inspired Temporal Sequence Learning. *Procedia Engineering* **41**, 319-325. [Crossref]

444. I.Y. Tyukin, V.B. Kazantsev. 2012. Precise Self-tuning of Spiking Patterns in Coupled Neuronal Oscillators. *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena* **7**:6, 67-94. [Crossref]

445. Yoshi Nishitani, Chie Hosokawa, Yuko Mizuno-Matsumoto, Tomomitsu Miyoshi, Hajime Sawai, Shinichi Tamura. 2012. Detection of M-Sequences from Spike Sequence in Neuronal Networks. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2012**, 1-9. [Crossref]

446. Serhiy Yanchuk, Przemyslaw Perlikowski, Oleksandr V. Popovych, Peter A. Tass. 2011. Variability of spatio-temporal patterns in non-homogeneous rings of spiking neurons. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **21**:4, 047511. [Crossref]

447. Elias Yee, Jason Teo. Evolutionary spiking neural networks as racing car controllers 411-416. [Crossref]

448. Runchun Wang, Jonathan Tapson, Tara Julia Hamilton, Andre van Schaik. An analogue VLSI implementation of polychromous spiking neural networks 97-102. [Crossref]

449. Nikola Kasabov, Reinhard Schliebs, Hiroshi Kojima. 2011. Probabilistic Computational Neurogenetic Modeling: From Cognitive Systems to Alzheimer's Disease. *IEEE Transactions on Autonomous Mental Development* **3**:4, 300-311. [Crossref]

450. Petra E Vertes, Danielle S Bassett, Thomas Duke. 2011. Scale-free statistics of neuronal assemblies predict learning performance. *BMC Neuroscience* **12**:S1. . [Crossref]

451. Debprakash Patnaik, Srivatsan Laxman, Naren Ramakrishnan. 2011. Discovering excitatory relationships using dynamic Bayesian networks. *Knowledge and Information Systems* **29**:2, 273-303. [Crossref]

452. Oleksandr V. Popovych, Serhiy Yanchuk, Peter A. Tass. 2011. Delay- and Coupling-Induced Firing Patterns in Oscillatory Neural Loops. *Physical Review Letters* **107**:22. . [Crossref]

453. Matthieu Gilson, Timothée Masquelier, Etienne Hugues. 2011. STDP Allows Fast Rate-Modulated Coding with Poisson-Like Spike Trains. *PLoS Computational Biology* **7**:10, e1002231. [Crossref]

454. Dirk Bucher, Jean-Marc Goaillard. 2011. Beyond faithful conduction: Short-term dynamics, neuromodulation, and long-term regulation of spike propagation in the axon. *Progress in Neurobiology* **94**:4, 307-346. [Crossref]

455. V. B. Kazantsev, S. Yu. Asatryan. 2011. Bistability induces episodic spike communication by inhibitory neurons in neuronal networks. *Physical Review E* **84**:3. . [Crossref]

456. Chrisantha Fernando, Vera Vasas, Eörs Szathmáry, Phil Husbands. 2011. Evolvable Neuronal Paths: A Novel Basis for Information and Search in the Brain. *PLoS ONE* **6**:8, e23534. [Crossref]

457. Francesco Galluppi, Steve Furber. Representing and decoding rank order codes using polychronization in a network of spiking neurons 943-950. [Crossref]

458. Alexandre Pitti, Yasuo Kuniyoshi. Modeling the cholinergic innervation in the infant cortico-hippocampal system and its contribution to early memory development and attention 1409-1416. [Crossref]

459. C. Johnson, S. Roychowdhury, G. K. Venayagamoorthy. A reversibility analysis of encoding methods for spiking neural networks 1802-1809. [Crossref]

460. Sergio Davies, Alexander Rast, Francesco Galluppi, Steve Furber. A forecast-based biologically-plausible STDP learning rule 1810-1817. [Crossref]

461. Heiko Hoffmann, Michael D. Howard, Michael J. Daily. Fast pattern matching with time-delay neural networks 2424-2429. [Crossref]

462. Romain Brette, Dan F. M. Goodman. 2011. Vectorized Algorithms for Spiking Neural Network Simulation. *Neural Computation* **23**:6, 1503-1535. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus] [Supplemental Material]

463. Jean-Philippe Thivierge, Paul Cisek. 2011. Spiking neurons that keep the rhythm. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **30**:3, 589-605. [Crossref]

464. Robert Z. Stodilka, Julien Modolo, Frank S. Prato, John A. Robertson, Charles Cook, John Patrick, Anne Beuter, Alex W. Thomas, Alexandre Legros. 2011. Pulsed magnetic field exposure induces lasting changes in neural network dynamics. *Neurocomputing* **74**:12-13, 2164-2175. [Crossref]

465. Emili Balaguer-Ballester, Christopher C. Lapish, Jeremy K. Seamans, Daniel Durstewitz. 2011. Attracting Dynamics of Frontal Cortex Ensembles during Memory-Guided Decision-Making. *PLoS Computational Biology* **7**:5, e1002057. [Crossref]

466. Ramin Pichevar, Hossein Najaf-Zadeh, Louis Thibault, Hassan Lahdili. 2011. Auditory-inspired sparse representation of audio signals. *Speech Communication* **53**:5, 643-657. [Crossref]

467. Runchun Wang, Craig Jin, Alistair McEwan, Andre van Schaik. A programmable axonal propagation delay circuit for time-delay spiking neural networks 869-872. [Crossref]

468. Chrisantha Fernando. 2011. Symbol manipulation and rule learning in spiking neuronal networks. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **275**:1, 29-41. [Crossref]

469. B. Ibarz, J.M. Casado, M.A.F. Sanjuán. 2011. Map-based models in neuronal dynamics. *Physics Reports* **501**:1-2, 1-74. [Crossref]

470. Joao Ranhel, Cacilda V. Lima, Julio L.R. Monteiro, Joao E. Kogler, Marcio L. Netto. Bistable memory and binary counters in spiking neural network 66-73. [Crossref]

471. Dominique Debanne, Emilie Campanac, Andrzej Bialowas, Edmond Carlier, Gisèle Alcaraz. 2011. Axon Physiology. *Physiological Reviews* **91**:2, 555-602. [Crossref]

472. Ferran Macià, Andrew D Kent, Frank C Hoppensteadt. 2011. Spin-wave interference patterns created by spin-torque nano-oscillators for memory and computation. *Nanotechnology* **22**:9, 095301. [Crossref]

473. Mario Negrello. Neural Communication: Messages Between Modules 213-238. [Crossref]

474. Arvind Datadien, Pim Haselager, Ida Sprinkhuizen-Kuyper. The Right Delay 90-99. [Crossref]

475. Pablo González-Nalda, Blanca Cases. Topos 2: Spiking Neural Networks for Bipedal Walking in Humanoid Robots 479-485. [Crossref]

476. Daniel de Santos, José Manuel Cuadra, Félix de la Paz, Víctor Lorente, José Ramón Álvarez-Sánchez, José Manuel Ferrández. Tools for Controlled Experiments and Calibration on Living Tissues Cultures 472-481. [Crossref]

477. Mayur Sarangdhar, Chandrasekhar Kambhampati. Quantification of Similarity Using Amplitudes and Firing Times of a Hodgkin–Huxley Neural Response 687-698. [Crossref]

478. Bruno van Swinderen. Attention in Drosophila 51-85. [Crossref]

479. E Vassilieva, G Pinto, José Acacio de Barros, P Suppes. 2011. Learning Pattern Recognition Through Quasi-Synchronization of Phase Oscillators. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **22**:1, 84-95. [Crossref]

480. Hugo de Garis, Chen Shuo, Ben Goertzel, Lian Ruiting. 2010. A world survey of artificial brain projects, Part I: Large-scale brain simulations. *Neurocomputing* **74**:1-3, 3-29. [Crossref]

481. Yan Liu, Hongfei Xiao, Liujun Chen, Jiawei Chen. The Influence of the Parameters on the Rhythm in Excitatory-Inhibitory Neural Network 1-4. [Crossref]

482. Chrisantha Fernando, Richard Goldstein, Eörs Szathmáry. 2010. The Neuronal Replicator Hypothesis. *Neural Computation* **22**:11, 2809-2857. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus] [Supplemental Material]

483. Jose M. Carmena, Ryan T. Canolty, Kelvin So, Michael C. Gastpar. Applying information-theoretic measures to computation and communication in neural ensembles 2169-2171. [Crossref]

484. Joo-Heon Shin, David Smith, Waldemar Swiercz, Kevin Staley, J Terry Rickard, Javier Montero, Lukasz A Kurgan, Krzysztof J Cios. 2010. Recognition of Partially Occluded and Rotated Images With a Network of Spiking Neurons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **21**:11, 1697-1709. [Crossref]

485. G E Soares, H E Borges, R M Gomes, G M C Oliveira. Emergence of Neuronal Groups on a Self-Organized Spiking Neurons Network Based on Genetic Algorithm 43-48. [Crossref]

486. Simei Gomes Wysoski, Lubica Benuskova, Nikola Kasabov. 2010. Evolving spiking neural networks for audiovisual information processing. *Neural Networks* **23**:7, 819-835. [Crossref]

487. Gabriela E. Soares, Henrique E. Borges, Rogerio M. Gomes, Geraldo M. C. Oliveira. Synthesis of frequency generator via spiking neurons network: A genetic algorithm approach 1613-1620. [Crossref]

488. Jayram Moorkanikara Nageswaran, Micah Richert, Nikil Dutt, Jeffrey L Krichmar. Towards reverse engineering the brain: Modeling abstractions and simulation frameworks 1-6. [Crossref]

489. Botond Szatmáry, Eugene M. Izhikevich. 2010. Spike-Timing Theory of Working Memory. *PLoS Computational Biology* **6**:8, e1000879. [Crossref]

490. Tayfun Gürel, Stefan Rotter, Ulrich Egert. 2010. Functional identification of biological neural networks using reservoir adaptation for point processes. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **29**:1-2, 279-299. [Crossref]

491. Michael London, Arnd Roth, Lisa Beeren, Michael Häusser, Peter E. Latham. 2010. Sensitivity to perturbations in vivo implies high noise and suggests rate coding in cortex. *Nature* **466**:7302, 123-127. [Crossref]

492. Cameron Johnson, G. K. Venayagamoorthy. Encoding real values into polychronous spiking networks 1-7. [Crossref]

493. Xin Jin, Alexander Rast, Francesco Galluppi, Sergio Davies, Steve Furber. Implementing spike-timing-dependent plasticity on SpiNNaker neuromorphic hardware 1-8. [Crossref]

494. Lei Zhang, Qianxi Lai, Yong Chen. 2010. Configurable Neural Phase Shifter With Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity. *IEEE Electron Device Letters* **31**:7, 716-718. [Crossref]

495. Xiao-Jing Wang. 2010. Neurophysiological and Computational Principles of Cortical Rhythms in Cognition. *Physiological Reviews* **90**:3, 1195-1268. [Crossref]

496. Susanne Kunkel, Markus Diesmann, Abigail Morrison. 2010. Random wiring limits the development of functional structure in large recurrent neuronal networks. *BMC Neuroscience* **11**:S1. . [Crossref]

497. Debprakash Patnaik, Srivatsan Laxman, Naren Ramakrishnan. 2010. Learning probabilistic models of connectivity from multiple spike train data. *BMC Neuroscience* **11**:S1. . [Crossref]

498. Christoph S. Herrmann, Ingo Fründ, Daniel Lenz. 2010. Human gamma-band activity: A review on cognitive and behavioral correlates and network models. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **34**:7, 981-992. [Crossref]

499. Michael H. Goldstein, Heidi R. Waterfall, Arnon Lotem, Joseph Y. Halpern, Jennifer A. Schwade, Luca Onnis, Shimon Edelman. 2010. General cognitive principles for learning structure in time and space. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **14**:6, 249-258. [Crossref]

500. Daqing Guo, Chunguang Li. 2010. Self-Sustained Irregular Activity in 2-D Small-World Networks of Excitatory and Inhibitory Neurons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **21**:6, 895-905. [Crossref]

501. Petra E. Vertes, Thomas Duke. 2010. Effect of network topology on neuronal encoding based on spatiotemporal patterns of spikes. *HFSP Journal* **4**:3-4, 153-163. [Crossref]

502. J.J McDowell. 2010. Behavioral and neural Darwinism: Selectionist function and mechanism in adaptive behavior dynamics. *Behavioural Processes* **84**:1, 358-365. [Crossref]

503. James S. Albus. 2010. A model of computation and representation in the brain. *Information Sciences* **180**:9, 1519-1554. [Crossref]

504. Javier Iglesias, Alessandro E.P. Villa. 2010. Recurrent spatiotemporal firing patterns in large spiking neural networks with ontogenetic and epigenetic processes. *Journal of Physiology-Paris* **104**:3-4, 137-146. [Crossref]

505. Péter Érdi, Tamás Kiss, Balázs Ujfalussy. Multi-level Models 527-554. [Crossref]

506. Dominique Debanne, Sami Boudkkazi. New Insights in Information Processing in the Axon 55-83. [Crossref]

507. Chrisantha Fernando, Eörs Szathmáry. Natural Selection in the Brain 291-322. [Crossref]

508. Roberto Santana, Concha Bielza, Pedro Larrañaga. Synergies between Network-Based Representation and Probabilistic Graphical Models for Classification, Inference and Optimization Problems in Neuroscience 149-158. [Crossref]

509. Filip Piekniewski. Persistent Activation Blobs in Spiking Neural Networks with Mexican Hat Connectivity 64-71. [Crossref]

510. Mario Antoine Aoun. STDP within NDS Neurons 33-43. [Crossref]

511. Giovanny Sánchez, Jordi Madrenas, Juan Manuel Moreno. Performance Evaluation and Scaling of a Multiprocessor Architecture Emulating Complex SNN Algorithms 145-156. [Crossref]

512. J. Modolo, R. Edwards, J. Campagnaud, B. Bhattacharya, A. Beuter. 2010. Past, Present and Future of Brain Stimulation. *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena* **5**:2, 185-207. [Crossref]

513. Michael Krumin, Shy Shoham. 2010. Multivariate Autoregressive Modeling and Granger Causality Analysis of Multiple Spike Trains. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2010**, 1-9. [Crossref]

514. Yasuo Kuniyoshi, Shinji Sangawa, Yuuki Tsukahara, Shinsuke Suzuki, Hiroki Mori. 2010. A Constructivist Approach to the Principles of Emergence of Intelligence from Humanoid Embodiment. *Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan* **28**:4, 415-434. [Crossref]

515. Mark Wildie, Wayne Luk, Simon R. Schultz, Philip H. W. Leong, Andreas K. Fidjeland. Reconfigurable acceleration of neural models with gap junctions 439-442. [Crossref]

516. Debprakash Patnaik, Srivatsan Laxman, Naren Ramakrishnan. Discovering Excitatory Networks from Discrete Event Streams with Applications to Neuronal Spike Train Analysis 407-416. [Crossref]

517. R. Caminiti, H. Ghaziri, R. Galuske, P. R. Hof, G. M. Innocenti. 2009. Evolution amplified processing with temporally dispersed slow neuronal connectivity in primates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**:46, 19551-19556. [Crossref]

518. Jon G. Hall. 2009. The polychronic economy. *Expert Systems* **26**:5, 361-363. [Crossref]

519. Reinoud Maex, Volker Steuber. 2009. The first second: Models of short-term memory traces in the brain. *Neural Networks* **22**:8, 1105-1112. [Crossref]

520. Alfredo Pereira, Fábio Augusto Furlan. 2009. On the role of synchrony for neuron–astrocyte interactions and perceptual conscious processing. *Journal of Biological Physics* **35**:4, 465-480. [Crossref]

521. Ingo Fründ, Frank W. Ohl, Christoph S. Herrmann. 2009. Spike-timing-dependent plasticity leads to gamma band responses in a neural network. *Biological Cybernetics* **101**:3, 227-240. [Crossref]

522. Jason Fleischer, Gerald Edelman. 2009. Brain-based devices. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* **16**:3, 33-41. [Crossref]

523. Petra E Vertes, Tom Duke. 2009. Neural networks with small-world topology are optimal for encoding based on spatiotemporal patterns of spikes. *BMC Neuroscience* **10**:S1. . [Crossref]

524. Dean V. Buonomano. 2009. Harnessing Chaos in Recurrent Neural Networks. *Neuron* **63**:4, 423-425. [Crossref]

525. Jayram Moorkanikara Nageswaran, Nikil Dutt, Jeffrey L. Krichmar, Alex Nicolau, Alexander V. Veidenbaum. 2009. A configurable simulation environment for the efficient simulation of large-scale spiking neural networks on graphics processors. *Neural Networks* **22**:5-6, 791-800. [Crossref]

526. Andreas K. Fidjeland, Etienne B. Roesch, Murray P. Shanahan, Wayne Luk. NeMo: A Platform for Neural Modelling of Spiking Neurons Using GPUs 137-144. [Crossref]

527. G. Orosz, P. Ashwin, S. Townley. 2009. Learning of Spatio–Temporal Codes in a Coupled Oscillator System. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **20**:7, 1135-1147. [Crossref]

528. Mark A. Kramer, Uri T. Eden, Sydney S. Cash, Eric D. Kolaczyk. 2009. Network inference with confidence from multivariate time series. *Physical Review E* **79**:6. . [Crossref]

529. M. V. Kiselev. Self-organized spiking neural network recognizing phase/frequency correlations 1633-1639. [Crossref]

530. Jayram Moorkanikara Nageswaran, Nikil Dutt, Jeffrey L. Krichmar, Alex Nicolau, Alex Veidenbaum. Efficient simulation of large-scale Spiking Neural Networks using CUDA graphics processors 2145-2152. [Crossref]

531. Danko Nikolic. Model this! Seven empirical phenomena missing in the models of cortical oscillatory dynamics 2272-2279. [Crossref]

532. Timothée Masquelier, Rudy Guyonneau, Simon J. Thorpe. 2009. Competitive STDP-Based Spike Pattern Learning. *Neural Computation* **21**:5, 1259-1276. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

533. Paolo Arena, Luigi Fortuna, Davide Lombardo, Luca Patanè, Manuel G. Velarde. 2009. The winnerless competition paradigm in cellular nonlinear networks: Models and applications. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications* **37**:4, 505-528. [Crossref]

534. Yuko K. Takahashi, Hiroshi Kori, Naoki Masuda. 2009. Self-organization of feed-forward structure and entrainment in excitatory neural networks with spike-timing-dependent plasticity. *Physical Review E* **79**:5. . [Crossref]

535. A. Pitti, H. Mori, S. Kouzuma, Y. Kuniyoshi. 2009. Contingency Perception and Agency Measure in Visuo-Motor Spiking Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Autonomous Mental Development* **1**:1, 86-97. [Crossref]

536. EUGENE M. IZHIKEVICH, FRANK C. HOPPENSTEADT. 2009. POLYCHRONOUS WAVEFRONT COMPUTATIONS. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **19**:05, 1733-1739. [Crossref]

537. Maoz Shamir, Oded Ghitza, Steven Epstein, Nancy Kopell. 2009. Representation of Time-Varying Stimuli by a Network Exhibiting Oscillations on a Faster Time Scale. *PLoS Computational Biology* **5**:5, e1000370. [Crossref]

538. Aaditya V. Rangan. 2009. Diagrammatic Expansion of Pulse-Coupled Network Dynamics. *Physical Review Letters* **102**:15. . [Crossref]

539. Robert C. Wilson. 2009. Parallel Hopfield Networks. *Neural Computation* **21**:3, 831-850. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

540. Alex Pitti, Hassan Alirezaei, Yasuo Kuniyoshi. 2009. Cross-modal and scale-free action representations through enaction. *Neural Networks* **22**:2, 144-154. [Crossref]

541. Raul Vicente, Leonardo L. Gollo, Claudio R. Mirasso, Ingo Fischer, Gordon Pipa. Far in Space and Yet in Synchrony: Neuronal Mechanisms for Zero-Lag Long-Range Synchronization 143-167. [Crossref]

542. Régis Martinez, Hélène Paugam-Moisy. Algorithms for Structural and Dynamical Polychronous Groups Detection 75-84. [Crossref]

543. Xin Jin, Alexander Rast, Francesco Galluppi, Mukaram Khan, Steve Furber. Implementing Learning on the SpiNNaker Universal Neural Chip Multiprocessor 425-432. [Crossref]

544. Mayur Sarangdhar, Chandrasekhar Kambhampati. Spiking Neurons and Synaptic Stimuli: Neural Response Comparison Using Coincidence-Factor 681-692. [Crossref]

545. S.J. Nasuto, J.M. Bishop, K. De Meyer. 2009. Communicating neurons: A connectionist spiking neuron implementation of stochastic diffusion search. *Neurocomputing* **72**:4-6, 704-712. [Crossref]

546. Yan Liu, Liujun Chen, Jiawei Chen, Jiaxin Cui, Fukang Fang. Burst Spike Trains Recognition Based on the Dynamic Properties of Hodgkin-Huxley Neurons and Synapses 404-407. [Crossref]

547. Victor B. Kazantsev, Irina V. Mukhina, Yury V. Zaytsev, Marina O. Galkina. 2009. Emergence of spiking activity in a network of synaptically coupled neurons with axonal delays. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* **42**:7, 5-10. [Crossref]

548. Joachim Haß, Stefan Blaschke, Thomas Rammsayer, J. Michael Herrmann. 2008. A neurocomputational model for optimal temporal processing. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **25**:3, 449-464. [Crossref]

549. Yan Liu, Xiaping Xie, Fukang Fang. Emergence Mechanisms of Brain Functions from Synaptic Dynamics 975-979. [Crossref]

550. Chrisantha Fernando, K. K. Karishma, Eörs Szathmáry. 2008. Copying and Evolution of Neuronal Topology. *PLoS ONE* **3**:11, e3775. [Crossref]

551. T. Kano, S. Kinoshita. 2008. Method to control the coupling function using multilinear feedback. *Physical Review E* **78**:5. . [Crossref]

552. Mijail Demian Serruya, Michael J. Kahana. 2008. Techniques and devices to restore cognition. *Behavioural Brain Research* **192**:2, 149-165. [Crossref]

553. Ruggero Scorcioni, David J. Hamilton, Giorgio A. Ascoli. 2008. Self-sustaining non-repetitive activity in a large scale neuronal-level model of the hippocampal circuit. *Neural Networks* **21**:8, 1153-1163. [Crossref]

554. Xi Shen, Xiaobin Lin, Philippe De Wilde. 2008. Oscillations and Spiking Pairs: Behavior of a Neuronal Model with STDP Learning. *Neural Computation* **20**:8, 2037-2069. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

555. JAVIER IGLESIAS, ALESSANDRO E. P. VILLA. 2008. EMERGENCE OF PREFERRED FIRING SEQUENCES IN LARGE SPIKING NEURAL NETWORKS DURING SIMULATED NEURONAL DEVELOPMENT. *International Journal of Neural Systems* **18**:04, 267-277. [Crossref]

556. Andreas Huemer, Mario Gongora, David Elizondo. Evolving a neural network using dyadic connections 1019-1025. [Crossref]

557. Conrad Attard, Andreas A. Albrecht. On axon delay functions and spiking activity 2190-2194. [Crossref]

558. Changjian Gao, Mazad S. Zaveri, Dan Hammerstrom. CMOS / CMOL architectures for spiking cortical column 2441-2448. [Crossref]

559. M.M. Khan, D.R. Lester, L.A. Plana, A. Rast, X. Jin, E. Painkras, S.B. Furber. SpiNNaker: Mapping neural networks onto a massively-parallel chip multiprocessor 2849-2856. [Crossref]

560. Andreas Herzog, Karsten Kube, Bernd Michaelis, Ana D. de Lima, Thomas Baltz, Thomas Voigt. Transmission of spatio-temporal patterns from biological to artificial neural networks by a multi-electrode array 3685-3689. [Crossref]

561. Douglas J. Bakkum, Zenas C. Chao, Steve M. Potter. 2008. Long-Term Activity-Dependent Plasticity of Action Potential Propagation Delay and Amplitude in Cortical Networks. *PLoS ONE* **3**:5, e2088. [Crossref]

562. Evgueniy V. Lubenov, Athanassios G. Siapas. 2008. Decoupling through Synchrony in Neuronal Circuits with Propagation Delays. *Neuron* **58**:1, 118-131. [Crossref]

563. Takahiro Omi, Shigeru Shinomoto. 2008. Can distributed delays perfectly stabilize dynamical networks?. *Physical Review E* **77**:4. . [Crossref]

564. E. M. Izhikevich, G. M. Edelman. 2008. Large-scale model of mammalian thalamocortical systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**:9, 3593-3598. [Crossref]

565. Murray Shanahan. 2008. A spiking neuron model of cortical broadcast and competition. *Consciousness and Cognition* **17**:1, 288-303. [Crossref]

566. Hélène Paugam-Moisy, Régis Martinez, Samy Bengio. 2008. Delay learning and polychronization for reservoir computing. *Neurocomputing* **71**:7-9, 1143-1158. [Crossref]

567. Ryosuke Hosaka, Osamu Araki, Tohru Ikeguchi. 2008. STDP Provides the Substrate for Igniting Synfire Chains by Spatiotemporal Input Patterns. *Neural Computation* **20**:2, 415-435. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

568. Steve Furber, Steve Temple. Neural Systems Engineering 763-796. [Crossref]

569. Kazuya Ishibashi, Kosuke Hamaguchi, Masato Okada. 2007. Sparse and Dense Encoding in Layered Associative Network of Spiking Neurons. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **76**:12, 124801. [Crossref]

570. Sami Boudkkazi, Edmond Carlier, Norbert Ankri, Olivier Caillard, Pierre Giraud, Laure Fronzaroli-Molinieres, Dominique Debanne. 2007. Release-Dependent Variations in Synaptic Latency: A Putative Code for Short- and Long-Term Synaptic Dynamics. *Neuron* **56**:6, 1048-1060. [Crossref]

571. Andreas Kaltenbrunner, Vicenç Gómez, Vicente López. 2007. Phase Transition and Hysteresis in an Ensemble of Stochastic Spiking Neurons. *Neural Computation* **19**:11, 3011-3050. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

572. Takahiro Omi, Shigeru Shinomoto. 2007. Reverberating activity in a neural network with distributed signal transmission delays. *Physical Review E* **76**:5. . [Crossref]

573. Takaaki Aoki, Toshio Aoyagi. 2007. Synchrony-Induced Switching Behavior of Spike Pattern Attractors Created by Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity. *Neural Computation* **19**:10, 2720-2738. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

574. E. M. Izhikevich. 2007. Solving the Distal Reward Problem through Linkage of STDP and Dopamine Signaling. *Cerebral Cortex* **17**:10, 2443-2452. [Crossref]

575. Kosuke Hamaguchi, Masato Okada, Kazuyuki Aihara. 2007. Variable Timescales of Repeated Spike Patterns in Synfire Chain with Mexican-Hat Connectivity. *Neural Computation* **19**:9, 2468-2491. [Abstract] [PDF] [PDF Plus]

576. Cristina M. González, M. C. Torrent, Jordi García-Ojalvo. 2007. Controlling the leader-laggard dynamics in delay-synchronized lasers. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **17**:3, 033122. [Crossref]

577. Zenas C Chao, Douglas J Bakkum, Steve M Potter. 2007. Region-specific network plasticity in simulated and living cortical networks: comparison of the center of activity trajectory (CAT) with other statistics. *Journal of Neural Engineering* **4**:3, 294-308. [Crossref]

578. J.D. Rolston, D.A. Wagenaar, S.M. Potter. 2007. Precisely timed spatiotemporal patterns of neural activity in dissociated cortical cultures. *Neuroscience* **148**:1, 294-303. [Crossref]

579. B. Tripp, C. Eliasmith. 2007. Neural Populations Can Induce Reliable Postsynaptic Currents without Observable Spike Rate Changes or Precise Spike Timing. *Cerebral Cortex* **17**:8, 1830-1840. [Crossref]

580. Naoki Masuda, Hiroshi Kori. STDP enhances frequency synchrony in neural networks with a pacemaker 96-101. [Crossref]

581. Sohrab Saeb, Aydin Farajidavar, Shahriar Gharibzadeh. A Model of Wind-up based on Short-term and Long-term Synaptic Plasticity Mechanisms 1055-1060. [Crossref]

582. Naoki Masuda, Kazuyuki Aihara. 2007. Dual coding hypotheses for neural information representation. *Mathematical Biosciences* **207**:2, 312-321. [Crossref]

583. Naoki Masuda, Hiroshi Kori. 2007. Formation of feedforward networks and frequency synchrony by spike-timing-dependent plasticity. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **22**:3, 327-345. [Crossref]

584. Steve Furber, Steve Temple. 2007. Neural systems engineering. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* **4**:13, 193-206. [Crossref]

585. Yan Liu, Liujun Chen, Jiawei Chen, Fangfeng Zhang, Fukang Fang. Discussion on the Spike Train Recognition Mechanisms in Neural Circuits 59-66. [Crossref]

586. Tayfun Gürel, Luc De Raedt, Stefan Rotter. Mining Structure-Activity Relations in Biological Neural Networks using NeuronRank 49-65. [Crossref]

587. Pulin Gong, Cees van Leeuwen. 2007. Dynamically Maintained Spike Timing Sequences in Networks of Pulse-Coupled Oscillators with Delays. *Physical Review Letters* **98**:4. . [Crossref]

588. Vladimir N. Belykh, Evgeniya V. Pankratova. 2007. SYNCHRONIZATION AND CONTROL IN ENSEMBLES OF PERIODIC AND CHAOTIC NEURONAL ELEMENTS WITH TIME DEPENDENT COUPLING. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* **40**:14, 120-125. [Crossref]

589. Naoki Masuda, Hiroshi Kori. 2007. *The Brain & Neural Networks* **14**:3, 173-185. [Crossref]

590. Krešimir Josić, Andrei Török. 2006. Network architecture and spatio-temporally symmetric dynamics. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **224**:1-2, 52-68. [Crossref]

591. Paolo Arena, Manuel G. Bedia, Luigi Fortuna, Davide Lombardo, Luca Patane, Manuel G. Velarde. Spatio-temporal patterns in CNNs for classification: the winnerless competition principle 1-6. [Crossref]

592. ROMAN R. POZNANSKI, JORGE J. RIERA. 2006. fMRI MODELS OF DENDRITIC AND ASTROCYTIC NETWORKS. *Journal of Integrative Neuroscience* **05**:02, 273-326. [Crossref]

593. Peter Fine, Ezequiel Di Paolo, Andrew Philippides. Spatially Constrained Networks and the Evolution of Modular Control Systems 546-557. [Crossref]

594. Ryosuke Hosaka, Tohru Ikeguchi, Kazuyuki Aihara. Self-organizing Rhythmic Patterns with Spatio-temporal Spikes in Class I and Class II Neural Networks 39-48. [Crossref]

595. B. Schrauwen, J. Van Campenhout. Backpropagation for Population-Temporal Coded Spiking Neural Networks 1797-1804. [Crossref]

596. Martin Vejmelka, Ingo Fründ, Ajay Pillai. Traversing Scales: Large Scale Simulation of the Cat Cortex Using Single Neuron Models 331-342. [Crossref]

597. Lucia Zemanová, Changsong Zhou, Jürgen Kurths. Building a Large-Scale Computational Model of a Cortical Neuronal Network 251-266. [Crossref]

598. Steve M. Potter. What Can AI Get from Neuroscience? 174-185. [Crossref]

599. Chrystopher L. Nehaniv, Naeem Assif Mirza, Lars Olsson. Development Via Information Self-structuring of Sensorimotor Experience and Interaction 87-98. [Crossref]

600. Hideyuki Kato, Tohru Ikeguchi. Self-organized Complex Neural Networks through Nonlinear Temporally Asymmetric Hebbian Plasticity 623-631. [Crossref]

601. Raul C. Mureşan, Wolf Singer, Danko Nikolić. The InfoPhase Method or How to Read Neurons with Neurons 498-507. [Crossref]

602. Simei Gomes Wysoski, Lubica Benuskova, Nikola K. Kasabov. Brain-Like System for Audiovisual Person Authentication Based on Time-to-First Spike Coding 384-412. [Crossref]