# STA 522 Exam 1 Solutions

### Problem 1

**Part** (a): Since  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  are iid Uniform (0, 1), the cdf of each  $X_i$  is given by:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le 0 \\ x & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$P(X_{(1)} < 0.25) = 1 - (X_{(1)} \ge 0.25)$$

$$= 1 - P(X_i \ge 0.25 \text{ for all } i)$$

$$= 1 - \{1 - F(0.25)\}^n$$

$$= 1 - (1 - 0.25)^n = \boxed{1 - (0.75)^n}$$
(iid)

and

$$P(X_{(n)} < 0.25) = P(X_i < 0.25 \text{ for all } i)$$
  
=  $\{F(0.25)\}^n$  (iid)  
=  $(0.25)^n$ 

Because  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(n)}$ , therefore  $X_{(n)} < 0.25$  implies  $X_{(1)} < 0.25$ , so that  $P(X_{(n)} < 0.25) \leq P(X_{(1)} < 0.25)$ .

**Part (b):** Yes, it does. We'll first show that  $X_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$ . This is similar to the solution for Problem 1(b) in the sample exam, with the difference being that here we have a Uniform(0,1) population instead of a Uniform(-1,1) population.

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. We have

$$\begin{split} P(|X_{(n)}-1| \geq \varepsilon) &= P(X_{(n)}-1 \geq \varepsilon) + P(X_{(n)}-1 < -\varepsilon) \\ &= P(X_{(n)} \geq 1 + \varepsilon) + P(X_{(n)} < 1 - \varepsilon) \\ &= 0 + P(X_i < 1 - \varepsilon, \text{ all } i) \\ &= \{P(X_1 < 1 - \varepsilon)\}^n \\ &= \begin{cases} (1-\varepsilon)^n & \text{if } \varepsilon < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \end{split}$$
 (iid)

which means  $X_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$ .

Now apply the continuous mapping result: if  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  then  $h(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} h(X)$  for any continuous function h. Because here  $X_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$  and h(x) = x/2 is a continuous function, therefore,  $X_{(n)}/2 \xrightarrow{P} 1/2$ .

## Problem 2

This is from Lecture 2: see lecture notes. To verify that  $F(v) = e^{-1/v}I(v > 0)$  is a cdf, observe:

- (i)  $F(-\infty) = \lim_{v \to -\infty} F(v) = 0$  and  $F(+\infty) = \lim_{v \to \infty} F(v) = \lim_{v \to \infty} e^{-1/v} = 1$ .
- (ii) F is continuous everywhere on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  (actually differentiable everywhere except v = 0), and hence is right continuous.
- (iii) Note that F(v) is exactly zero for all  $v \leq 0$ , meaning F is non-decreasing in  $(-\infty, 0]$ . On  $(0, \infty)$ , F is differentiable, and  $\frac{d}{dv}e^{-1/v} = e^{-1/v}\left(-\frac{1}{v^2}\right)$   $(-1) = e^{-1/v}\frac{1}{v^2} > 0$  for all v > 0. Hence, F(v) is increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Combining, we see that F is non-decreasing on the entirety of  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

These three collectively imply that F is a cdf.

# Problem 3

Here  $X_i \sim \text{iid Bernoulli}(\theta)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n = 10$ .

**Part** (a): The likelihood of  $\theta$  is

$$L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} \mid \theta) = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i} (1 - \theta)^{10 - \sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i}$$

Part (b): Given that  $\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i = 6$ . The likelihood is:

$$L(\theta \mid \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i = 6) = \theta^6 (1 - \theta)^4$$

Therefore

$$L(\theta = 0.2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i = 6) = (0.2)^6 (0.8)^4 = \boxed{2.61 \times 10^{-5}}$$

and

$$L(\theta = 0.8 \mid \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i = 6) = (0.8)^6 (0.2)^4 = \boxed{4.19 \times 10^{-4}}.$$

This shows that  $\theta = 0.8$  has a higher likelihood. Intuitively, the observed data of 6 successes are more compatible with the configuration where population probability of success  $\theta = 0.8$  than with  $\theta = 0.2$ .

### Problem 4

The joint density of X is:

$$f(\underline{x} \mid \theta, \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \ x_i^{\gamma - 1} e^{-x_i^{\gamma}/\theta} \right) = \left( \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\gamma - 1} \ \exp\left( -\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\gamma} \right)$$

Part (a): If  $\gamma > 0$  is known, then

$$f(\underline{x} \mid \theta) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)^n \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\gamma}\right)}_{=g(T(x)\mid\theta)} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\gamma-1}}_{=h(x)}$$

Therefore, by the Factorization theorem,  $T(\underline{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{\gamma}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Part (b): It follows from the joint density above that a factorization based on any univariate or bivariate or lower (than n) dimensional statistic is not feasible when both  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  are unknown. Therefore, by the necessity half of the factorization theorem (i.e., we need to have a factorization of joint pdf for a lower dimensional sufficient statistic to exit) it follows that no univariate sufficient statistic exist in this case. A (semi-trivial) sufficient statistic would be the order statistics:  $(X_{(1)}, \ldots, X_{(n)})$ .

#### Problem 5

**Part** (a): Because  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid from the scale family  $\frac{1}{\sigma}f(x/\sigma)$ , we can construct iid observations  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  from the density f(x) (the standard density of the family which is free of  $\sigma$ ) such that  $Z_i = X_i/\sigma$ , i.e.,  $X_i = \sigma Z_i$ .

Note that the sample median is:

$$M(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \begin{cases} X_{\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} & n \text{ is odd} \\ X_{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} + X_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \\ \frac{n}{2} & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sigma Z_{\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} & n \text{ is odd} \\ Z_{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} + Z_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \\ \sigma \frac{n}{2} & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

$$= \sigma M(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$$

Again,

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma Z_i) = \sigma \overline{Z}$$

Hence

$$\log M - \log \overline{X} = \log \left( \frac{M(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}{\overline{X}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{\sigma M(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)}{\sigma \overline{Z}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{M(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)}{\overline{Z}} \right)$$

where the RHS contains random variables whose distribution does not depend on the parameter  $\sigma$ . Hence  $\log M - \log \overline{X}$  is an ancillary statistic.

**Part(b):** [NOTE: Recall that for Uniform $(0,\theta)$ ,  $T=X_{(n)}$  is sufficient, and not  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ . So we can't use Basu's theorem directly. However, for this specific types of problem, there is a much quicker way, shown as follows.]

As suggested in the hint, the random variables  $\frac{X_i}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}$  all have the same distribution and hence mean as  $X_i$ 's are iid.

Therefore, for some constant k(>0),  $E\left[\frac{X_i}{X_1+\cdots+X_n}\right]=k$  for all  $i=1,\ldots,n$ .

So,

$$E\left[\frac{X_1}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right] + \dots + E\left[\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right] = \underbrace{k + \dots + k}_{n \text{ many}} = nk$$

$$\Longrightarrow \underbrace{E\left[\frac{X_1}{X_1 + \dots + X_n} + \dots + \frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right]}_{=E\left[\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right] = E(1) = 1}_{1}$$

i.e., 
$$nk = 1 \implies k = \frac{1}{n}$$

Therefore, 
$$E\left[\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right] = k = \frac{1}{n}$$
.