

STA 522, Spring 2022
Introduction to Theoretical Statistics II

Lecture 8

Department of Biostatistics
University at Buffalo

NOTES

- ▶ Exam 2 will be on April 7
- ▶ Will cover everything up to Lecture 9 (first half of April 4).

AGENDA

- ▶ Wrap up discussion on Cramér-Rao Lower Bound
- ▶ Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- ▶ Lehmann–Scheffé Theorem
- ▶ Intro to Hypothesis Testing

Review: UMVUE & Cramér-Rao lower bound

- ▶ An estimator W^* is a **uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator** (UMVUE) of $\tau(\theta)$ if (a) W^* is unbiased, and (b) among all unbiased estimators, the variance (or MSE) of W^* is a minimum.
- ▶ **CRLB:** Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ have pdf $f(\underline{x} | \theta)$, and let $W(\underline{X})$ be any estimator satisfying

(a) $\frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} [W(\underline{X})] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [W(\underline{x}) f(\underline{x} | \theta)] d\underline{x}$; and

(b) $\text{Var}_{\theta} [W(\underline{X})] < \infty$.

$$\text{Then } \text{Var}_{\theta}(W(\underline{X})) \geq \frac{\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta} [W(\underline{X})] \right]^2}{\underbrace{E_{\theta} \left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\underline{X} | \theta) \right]^2 \right]}_{\text{Fisher Information}}}.$$

- ▶ If an estimator satisfies the above two assumptions, and its variance attains the CRLB, then the estimator is UMVUE.
- ▶ There is no guarantee that the bound given in the Cramér-Rao Inequality is sharp. That is, our best unbiased estimator may not

Example: Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Poisson}(\lambda)$. (a) Compute the CRLB for an unbiased estimator for $\tau(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} = P(X_1 = 0)$. (b) Consider $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i = 0)$. Show that W is an unbiased estimator for $\tau(\lambda)$ whose variance is larger than the CRLB.

At the outset, note that Poisson distribution is a member of the (regular) exponential family, and therefore the two conditions in the CRLB hold.

First find Fisher Information (iid form). Here common log pmf is

$$\log f(x | \lambda) = -\lambda + x \log \lambda - \log(x!) \implies \frac{\partial \log f(x | \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -1 + \frac{x}{\lambda} = \frac{(x - \lambda)}{\lambda}$$

Therefore, Fisher information

$$E_{\lambda} \left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log f(\underline{X} | \lambda) \right]^2 \right] = n E_{\lambda} \left[\frac{(X_1 - \lambda)^2}{\lambda^2} \right] = n \frac{\text{Var}_{\lambda}(X_1)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{n}{\lambda}$$

Therefore, the CRLB for an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$ is:

$$\text{CRLB} = \frac{\left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau(\lambda) \right]^2}{E_{\lambda} \left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log f(\underline{X} | \lambda) \right]^2 \right]} = \frac{(-e^{-\lambda})^2}{\frac{n}{\lambda}} = \frac{\lambda e^{-2\lambda}}{n}$$

Now consider $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i = 0)$. To find its variance first define $U_i = I(X_i = 0)$. Then

$$U_i \sim \text{iid Bernoulli}(p = P(X_1 = 0) = e^{-\lambda})$$

which implies $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i = \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i = 0) \sim \text{Binomial}(n, e^{-\lambda})$ and

$$W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i = 0) = \frac{Z}{n} \implies \text{Var}_{\lambda}(W) = \frac{\text{Var}_{\lambda}(Z)}{n^2} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{n}$$

Therefore

$$\text{Var}_{\lambda}(W) - \text{CRLB} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{n} - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\lambda}}{n} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1 - (\lambda + 1)e^{-\lambda})}{n}$$

Now consider the function $g(\lambda) = 1 - (\lambda + 1)e^{-\lambda}$. Then

$$g'(\lambda) = -(e^{-\lambda} - (\lambda + 1)e^{-\lambda}) = \lambda e^{-\lambda} > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda > 0$$

which means that $g(\lambda)$ is increasing in λ for $\lambda > 0$, so that $g(\lambda) > g(0) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$, i.e., $g(\lambda) > 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

$$\text{Hence, } \text{Var}_{\lambda}(W) - \text{CRLB} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1 - (\lambda + 1)e^{-\lambda})}{n} > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

HW: Show that \overline{X} is a UMVUE for λ .

Attainment of Cramér-Rao Inequality

Result (Corollary 7.3.15)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid $f(x | \theta)$, where $f(x | \theta)$ satisfies the conditions of the Cramér-Rao Theorem.

Let $L(\theta | \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta)$ denote the likelihood function.

If $W(\underline{X}) = W(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then $W(\underline{X})$ attains the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound if and only if

$$a(\theta) [W(\underline{x}) - \tau(\theta)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | \underline{x})$$

for some function $a(\theta)$.

Rao-Blackwell Theorem

Recall: Tower Property. Let X and Y be any two random variables. Then, provided the expectations exist, we have

(a) $E(X) = E[E(X | Y)]$

(b) $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}[E(X | Y)] + E[\text{Var}(X | Y)]$

Theorem (7.3.17)

Let W be any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, and let T be a sufficient statistic for θ . Define $\phi(T) = E(W | T)$. Then

(a) $E_{\theta}[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ for all θ ; and

(b) $\text{Var}_{\theta}[\phi(T)] \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(W)$ for all θ .

That is, $\phi(T)$ is a uniformly better unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of $\tau(\theta)$.

Proof:

For (a) We have

$$E_{\theta} [\phi(T)] = E_{\theta} [E(W | T)] = E_{\theta}(W) = \tau(\theta) \text{ for all } \theta.$$

For (b) note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\theta}(W) &= \text{Var}_{\theta} [E(W | T)] + E_{\theta} [\text{Var}(W | T)] \\ &= \text{Var}_{\theta} [\phi(T)] + \underbrace{E_{\theta} [\text{Var}(W | T)]}_{\geq 0} \geq \text{Var}_{\theta} [\phi(T)] \end{aligned}$$

for all θ .

It remains to show that $\phi(T) = E(W | T)$ is indeed an estimator, i.e., is a function only of the sample, and is free of θ .

This follows from sufficiency – W being a function of sample the conditional distribution of $W | T$ is free of θ .

Finding UMVUEs

- (a) So conditioning any unbiased estimator on a sufficient statistic will result in a uniform improvement.
- (b) Thus, to find UMVUEs, we need only consider statistics that are functions of a sufficient statistic.
- (c) But if T is sufficient, how do we know that $\phi(T)$ is a best unbiased estimator (UMVUE)?
- (d) If it attains the CRLB, then it is best unbiased (UMVUE).
- (e) What if it does not? We need a few more results to answer this question.

Uniqueness of UMVUEs

Theorem 7.3.19

If W is a best unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of $\tau(\theta)$, then W is unique.

Proof: Suppose W' is another UMVUE and consider $W^* = \frac{1}{2}(W + W')$. Note that $E_{\theta}(W^*) = \tau(\theta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}_{\theta}(W^*) &= \text{Var}_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{2}(W + W')\right) \\&= \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_{\theta}(W) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_{\theta}(W') + \frac{1}{2} \text{Cov}_{\theta}(W, W') \\&\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_{\theta}(W) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_{\theta}(W') + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{Var}_{\theta}(W) \text{Var}_{\theta}(W')} \\&= \text{Var}_{\theta}(W) \quad (\text{Var}_{\theta}(W) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(W'))\end{aligned}$$

Since both W and W^* are UMVUEs the above inequality cannot be strict for any θ , i.e., must have equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Equality in Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds only if $W' = a(\theta)W + b(\theta)$ for some $a(\theta)$ and $b(\theta)$.

Characterization of UMVUE

Theorem (7.3.20; Necessary & Sufficient Condition for UMVUE)

If $E_{\theta}(W) = \tau(\theta)$, then W is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ if and only if W is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0.

NOTE: this theorem can sometimes be used to show that an unbiased estimator is not UMVUE, by showing that the estimator is correlated with an unbiased estimator of 0.

Lehmann–Scheffé Theorem

Let T be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter θ , and let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based only on T . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of its expected value.

Proof: Since T is complete, $X = 0$ is the only unbiased estimator of 0. Since $\phi(T)$ is uncorrelated with 0, and hence uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0, we have that $\phi(T)$ is UMVUE of $E_{\theta}[\phi(T)]$.

Remark: The Lehmann–Scheffé theorem and the Rao-Blackwell theorem together provide UMVUE for parametric functions from many standard probability distributions.

Suppose we want the UMVUE for $\tau(\theta)$. We have a complete sufficient statistic T for θ and we have an unbiased estimator W of $\tau(\theta)$. Then the Rao-Blackwell estimator $\phi(T) = E[W \mid T]$ is UMVUE for $\tau(\theta)$.

Example: Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Poisson}(\lambda)$. (a) Find the UMVUE of λ , if it exists. (b) Find the UMVUE of $\tau(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} = P(X = 0)$, if it exists.

At the outset note that for Poisson (a member of the exponential family) $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete sufficient for λ . Also, $T \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$.

For part (a), start with T . We have $E_\lambda(T) = n\lambda$ for all λ so that $E_\lambda(T/n) = \lambda$ for all λ . Hence $\phi(T) = T/n = \bar{X}$ is unbiased for λ . Since T is complete sufficient, therefore \bar{X} is UMVUE for λ .

For part (b), consider the simple unbiased estimator $W = I(X_1 = 0)$ of $\tau(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$. Now obtain the Rao-Blackwell estimator

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t) &= E[W \mid T = t] \\&= E(X_1 = 0 \mid T = t) \\&= P(X_1 = 0 \mid T = t) \\&= \frac{P(X_1 = 0, T = t)}{P(T = t)} \\&= \frac{P(X_1 = 0, \sum_{i=2}^n X_i = t)}{P(T = t)} \\&= \frac{P(X_1 = 0) P(\sum_{i=2}^n X_i = t)}{P(T = t)} \\&= \frac{e^{-\lambda} e^{(n-1)\lambda} ((n-1)\lambda)^t / t!}{e^{n\lambda} (n\lambda)^t / t!} = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^t\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lehmann–Scheffé theorem $\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^T = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$ is UMVUE for $e^{-\lambda}$.

Example: Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid binomial}(k, \theta)$.

Let $\tau(\theta) = P_\theta(X = 1) = k\theta(1 - \theta)^{k-1}$.

Find the UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$, if it exists.

Reading exercise. Example 7.3.24 in the textbook.

Hypothesis Testing

Definition: A **hypothesis** is a statement about a population parameter.

The two complementary hypotheses in a hypothesis testing problem are called the **null hypothesis** and the **alternative hypothesis**, denoted H_0 and H_1 (or sometimes H_a), respectively.

For instance,

$$H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_0^c,$$

where $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_0^c$ is the parameter space.

Definition: A **hypothesis testing procedure**, or **hypothesis test**, is a rule that specifies

(1) for which sample values we accept H_0 as true; and

(2) for which sample values we reject H_0 and accept H_1 ,

i.e., for what $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ do we accept or reject H_0 .

The subset of \mathcal{X} where we reject H_0 is called the **rejection region** (or **critical region**). The complement is sometimes called the **acceptance region**.

Likelihood Ratio Test

Definition: Recall the **likelihood function**,

$$L(\theta | \underline{x}) = f(\underline{x} | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta).$$

The **likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic** for testing

$$H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_0^c$$

is

$$\lambda(\underline{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\Theta_0} L(\theta | \underline{x})}{\sup_{\Theta} L(\theta | \underline{x})}.$$

Some texts define the reciprocal as the LRT statistic. We shall follow the convention in the textbook and define the statistic as above.

Definition: A **likelihood ratio test (LRT)** is any test that has a rejection region of the form

$$\{\underline{x} : \lambda(\underline{x}) \leq c\},$$

where $c \in [0, 1]$.

Questions:

(a) How to choose c ? Later...

(b) Note that the likelihood ratio test statistic can be viewed as

$$\lambda(\underline{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0 | \underline{x})}{L(\hat{\theta} | \underline{x})} = \frac{\text{restricted maximization}}{\text{unrestricted maximization}},$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE obtained by maximizing $L(\theta | \underline{x})$ over the entire parameter space Θ , and $\hat{\theta}_0$ is the MLE obtained by maximizing over the restricted parameter space Θ_0 .

Example: Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid } N(\theta, 1)$. We want to test

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0.$$

Find the LRT rejection region.

Under H_0 , there is only one value of θ_0 . So the restricted maximum in the numerator of LRT statistic $\lambda(\underline{x})$ is simply $L(\theta_0 \mid \underline{x})$.

The unrestricted MLE of θ is \bar{X} . So the denominator of $\lambda(\underline{x})$ is $L(\bar{x} \mid \underline{x})$.

So the LRT statistic is

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\underline{x}) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2 \right]}{(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right]} \\ &= \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right\} \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

The LRT rejection region is $\{\underline{x} : \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \right] < c\}$ for $0 < c < 1$.

Homework

- ▶ Method of evaluating estimators: Read p. 342 – 348.
- ▶ Hypothesis Tests: Read p. 373 – 376.
- ▶ Exercises: TBA.