# STA 522, Spring 2021 Introduction to Theoretical Statistics II

Lecture 2

Department of Biostatistics University at Buffalo

08 February, 2021

## Review: Convergence of random variables

A sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  converges in probability to a random variable X (written as  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ ) if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|X_n-X|\geq \varepsilon)=0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P\left(|X_n-X|<\varepsilon\right)=1.$$

A sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  converges almost surely to a random variable X (written  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ ) if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\lim_{n o\infty}|X_n-X|$$

This is same as saying

$$P(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = 1 \text{ i.e., } P(\{s \in \mathcal{S} : \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n(s) = X(s)\}) = 1$$

**Example:** Let the sample space S be the closed interval [0,1] with the uniform probability distribution. Let  $X_n(s) = s + s^n$  and X(s) = s. Show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ . Does this sequence converge in probability?

**a.s. convergence:** For every 
$$s \in [0,1)$$
,  $n \to \infty \implies s^n \to 0 \implies X_n(s) \to s = X(s)$ .

For 
$$s = 1$$
,  $n \to \infty \implies s^n \to 1 \implies X_n(s) \to 2 \neq 1 = X(s)$ .

But the convergence occurs on the set [0,1) and P([0,1))=1.

So  $X_n$  converges to X almost surely.

**convergence in probability:** Fix 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
. we have  $P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = P(\{s \in [0, 1] : |s^n| > \varepsilon\})$ 

$$egin{align} &=Pig(\{s\in[0,1]:s\geqarepsilon^{1/n}\}ig)\ &=\int_{-1/n}^1ds=1-arepsilon^{1/n} o 1-1=0 ext{ as } n o\infty \end{split}$$

So, yes,  $X_n$  does converge to X in probability.

**Example:** Same S = [0, 1] with the uniform probability distribution as before. Define the sequence  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  as follows:

$$X_1(s) = s + I_{[0,1]}(s)$$
  $X_2(s) = s + I_{[0,1/2]}(s)$   
 $X_3(s) = s + I_{[1/2,1]}(s)$   $X_4(s) = s + I_{[0,1/3]}(s)$   
 $X_5(s) = s + I_{[1/3,2/3]}(s)$   $X_6(s) = s + I_{[2/3,1]}(s)$ ,

and so on, and let X(s)=s. Show that this sequence converges in probability, but not almost surely. For any  $\varepsilon>0$ 

$$P(|X_n - X| \ge \varepsilon) = P(\text{interval whose length is going to zero}) \to 0.$$

For every s the value  $X_n(s)$  alternates between s and s+1 infinitely often. For example, if s=3/8,  $X_1(s)=11/8$ ,  $X_2(s)=11/8$ ,  $X_3(s)=3/8$ ,  $X_4(s)=3/8$ ,  $X_5(s)=11/8$ ,  $X_6(s)=3/8$  etc. So no point-wise convergence occurs for this sequence. So  $X_n$  does not converge almost surely.

# Relationship between convergence in probability and convergence almost surely

- convergence almost surely *implies* convergence in probability, but the converse is not true in general
- ► However, a sequence that converges in probability has a sub-sequence that converges almost surely.

# Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN)

Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be iid random variables with  $E(X_i) = \mu$  and  $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Define  $\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}|\overline{X}_n-\mu|<\varepsilon\right)=1,$$

so that

$$\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$$
.

#### Remarks

- 1. "Stronger" analog of WLLN. SLLN  $\implies$  WLLN.
- 2. For both the WLLN and SLLN the assumption of a finite variance is sufficient but not necessary. The only moment condition needed is that  $E|X_i| < \infty$ .
- SLLN and WLLN may hold for non-iid random variables under certain regularity conditions. We can also create examples with non-iid random variables where WLLN holds but not SLLN.

## Frequentist Definition of Probability

Given an event  $A\subseteq \mathcal{S}$ , consider an infinite sequence of independent random experiments/trials, and in each trial check whether or not A occurs. Let  $f_n(A)$  be the frequency of the event A in the first n trials. Then the frequentist probability of A is defined as  $P_n(A)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f_n(A)}{n}$  ("long-run relative frequency of A").

#### Justification via SLLN

Let  $X_i = I(A \text{ occurs in trial-}i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid Bernoulli}(p = P(A))$  with  $E(X_i) = P(A)$  and  $Var(X_i) = P(A)[1 - P(A)] < \infty$ . Also,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \text{frequency of } A$  in first n trials  $= f_n(A) \implies \overline{X}_n = \frac{f_n(A)}{n}$ . Hence, by SLLN,

$$P_n(A) = \frac{f_n(A)}{n} = \overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(X_1) = P(A)$$

## Convergence in Distribution

**Definition:** A sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, ...$  **converges** in distribution to a random variable X if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$$

at all points x where  $F_X(x)$  is continuous. In this case, we write

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$
.

#### Note

This definition is actually about the cdfs of the random variables converge and not the random variables themselves.

**Example:** Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be a sequence of continuous random variables with cdf given by

$$F_{X_n}(x) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n \text{ for } 0 < x \le n.$$

Then For x > 0,  $F_{X_n}(x) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n \to 1 - e^{-x} =: F(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Note that F(x) is the cdf of Exponential(1) distribution, i.e.,  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{Exponential}(\lambda = 1)$ .

**Example:** Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be a sequence of continuous random variables with cdf given by

$$F_{X_n}(x) = \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^n \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Then  $F_{X_n}(x) \to 0$  for all x. But a function equal to 0 everywhere is not a cdf (if F is a cdf then  $\lim_{x\to\infty} F(x)$  must be 1), so  $X_n$  does **not** converge in distribution.

**Example (contd.)** Consider  $V_n = \frac{X_n}{n}$  in previous example. Does  $V_n$  converge in distribution?

$$F_{V_n}(v) = F_{X_n}(nv)$$

$$= \left(\frac{nv}{1+nv}\right)^n$$

$$= \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+nv}\right)^{nv}\right]^{1/v} \to e^{-1/v} \text{ for } v > 0$$

Since  $F(v) = e^{-1/v}I(v > 0)$  is a cdf (verify), so  $V_n$  converges in distribution to  $V \sim F$ .

**NOTE:** F is the cdf of the inverse-Gamma( $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ) distribution.

**Example:** Suppose  $X_1, X_2, ...$  are iid Uniform(0,1), and let  $X_{(n)} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$ . Does  $X_{(n)}$  converge in probability? Can we say anything about the convergence of  $n(1 - X_{(n)})$ ?

Heuristically,  $X_{(n)}$  will get closer and closer to 1 as  $n\to\infty$ . To prove this formally, fix  $\varepsilon>0$ . We have

$$P(|X_{(n)} - 1| \ge \varepsilon) = P(X_{(n)} \ge 1 + \varepsilon) + P(X_{(n)} \le 1 - \varepsilon)$$

$$= 0 + P(X_{(n)} \le 1 - \varepsilon)$$

$$= P(X_i \le 1 - \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, n) = (1 - \varepsilon)^n \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . This means  $X_{(n)} \stackrel{P}{\to} 1$ .

Let 
$$Y_n = n(1 - X_{(n)})$$
. Then for  $t > 0$ 

$$F_{Y_n}(t) = P\left(n(1 - X_{(n)}) \le t\right)$$
  
=  $P\left(X_{(n)} \ge 1 - t/n\right) = 1 - (1 - t/n)^n \to 1 - e^{-t}$ 

as  $n \to \infty$ . This means that  $Y_n = n(1 - X_{(n)}) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Exponential}(1)$ .

# Relationship between convergence in probability & convergence in distribution

#### Theorem 5.5.12

If the sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  converges in probability to a random variable X, the sequence also converges in distribution to X.

**Proof** See exercise 5.40 (homework).

#### Remark

almost sure convergence  $\implies$  convergence in probability  $\implies$  convergence in distribution. Reverse implications may not hold in general.

#### Theorem 5.5.13

The sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  converges in probability to a constant  $\mu$  if and only if the sequence also converges in distribution to  $\mu$ . That is, the statement

$$P(|X_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0$$
 for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

is equivalent to

$$P(X_n \le x) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < \mu \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \mu. \end{cases}$$

### Central Limit Theorem

#### Theorem 5.5.14

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be a sequence of iid random variables whose mgfs exist in a neighborhood of 0 (that is,  $M_{X_i}(t)$  exists for |t| < h, for some positive h). Let  $E(X_i) = \mu$  and  $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 > 0$  (both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  must be finite since the mgf exists).

Define 
$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
 and  $Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$ .

Let  $G_n(x)$  denote the cdf of  $Z_n$ . Then for any x,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy.$$

In other words,

$$Z_n = rac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \stackrel{d}{ o} Z \sim \mathsf{N}(0,1) \ \ ( ext{or simply } Z_n \stackrel{d}{ o} \mathsf{N}(0,1)).$$

#### Remarks

- Note that no assumption on the distribution of  $X_i$  is being made, only requirement is that they are iid and the mgf exists. Existence of mgf can be relaxed by just assuming  $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$  (next theorem).
- ► Heuristic idea: normality comes from sums of "small" (finite variance), independent disturbances.
- ▶ DOES NOT hold in general if the regularity conditions are not satisfied. Example:  $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim$  iid Cauchy(0, 1). Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, n)$  (see Example 5.2.10 in CB 2E; discussed last semester) and  $\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1)$ .

Proof of Theorem 5.5.14. Using Taylor's series on the mgf.

Define  $Y_i = (X_i - \mu)/\sigma$ ,  $M_Y(t) = \text{common mgf of the } Y_i \text{s which exists for } |t| < \sigma h$ . Then

$$M_{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)/\sigma}(t) = M_{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/\sqrt{n}}(t)$$

$$= E\left(e^{\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i)}{\sqrt{n}}t}\right)$$

$$= \left[E\left(e^{Y_1\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)}\right)\right] = \left[M_Y\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n$$

Consider a Taylor series expansion of  $M_Y(s)$  around 0.

$$M_Y(s) = M_Y(0) + M_Y^{(1)}(0) \frac{s}{1!} + M_Y^{(2)}(0) \frac{s^2}{2!} + R_n(s) \frac{s^2}{2!}$$

where  $M_Y^{(k)}(0):=\frac{d^k}{dt^k}M_Y(t)\Big|_{t=0}$  and  $R_n(s)\to 0$  as  $n\to\infty$ . The expansion is valid for  $|s|<\sigma h$ .

Note that

$$egin{aligned} M_Y(0) &= 1 \ M_Y^{(1)}(0) &= 0 \ M_Y^{(2)}(0) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\left[M_Y\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n = \left[1 + \left(1 + R_n\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)\frac{t^2}{2n}\right]^n \to e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} = M_Z(t)$$

where  $M_Z(t)$  denotes the mgf of N(0,1) distribution. This completes the proof since a mgf uniquely identifies a cdf.

### Theorem 5.5.15 (Stronger version of Theorem 5.5.14)

Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be a sequence of iid random variables with  $\mathsf{E}(X_i) = \mu$  and  $0 < \mathsf{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ .

Define 
$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
 and  $Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$ .

Let  $G_n(x)$  denote the cdf of  $Z_n$ . Then for any x,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy.$$

In other words,

$$Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

**Proof** Exactly similar to Theorem 5.5.15, but uses characteristic function  $(\phi(t) := E(e^{it}))$  instead of mgf. Omitted.

# Slutsky's Theorem and Applications

Theorem 5.5.17 (Slutsky's Theorem)

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} a$ , where a is a constant, then

$$ightharpoonup X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} aX$$
; and

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + a$$
.

Proof: Omitted.

Normal Approximation with estimated variance

Suppose that  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ , with  $\sigma$  unknown. Define

$$S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$$
. Then  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{S_n} \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, 1)$ .

**Proof.** Relies on two facts: (a)  $S_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$  as long as  $\text{Var}(S_n^2) \to 0$  (Lecture 1); and

(b) 
$$\frac{\sigma}{S_n} \stackrel{P}{\to} 1$$
 (see Exercise 5.32)

## Principles of Data Reduction

**Data reduction** involves the use of statistics to summarize information (data) on a parameter  $\theta$ . We study methods that retain important information about  $\theta$ , and/or discard information that is irrelevant to  $\theta$ .

- $\triangleright$  sufficiency principle, in which no information about  $\theta$  is discarded while achieving some summarization of the data (section 6.2);
- likelihood methods, in which we study functions that contain all information about  $\theta$  available from a sample (section 6.3); and
- ▶ the equivariance principle, another method that preserves important features of the data (section 6.4).

**Notation:** Bold face and/or underline  $\underline{X}$  for the entire sample, i.e.,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $T(\underline{X}) = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $T(\underline{X}) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $T(\underline{X})$ , etc.

### Homework

ightharpoonup Read pp. 236 - 240 (convergence concepts).