STA 522, Spring 2021 Introduction to Theoretical Statistics II

Lecture 11

Department of Biostatistics University at Buffalo

12 April, 2021

AGENDA

- ► Extending Neyman-Pearson Lemma, MLR family
- non-existence of UMP tests
- ► Interval Estimation
- ► Method of Finding Interval Estimates

Review: Neyman Pearson Lemma & Most Powerful Tests

- Consider testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$, where (1) the pdf or pmf corresponding to θ_i is $f(\underline{x} \mid \theta_i)$ for i = 0, 1; (2) the test has a rejection region R that satisfies $\underline{x} \in R$ if $f(\underline{x} \mid \theta_1) > kf(\underline{x} \mid \theta_0)$ and $\underline{x} \in R^c$ if $f(\underline{x} \mid \theta_1) < kf(\underline{x} \mid \theta_0)$ for some $k \ge 0$; and (3) $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}(\underline{X} \in R)$.
- Then (a) (Sufficiency) any test that satisfies (2) and (3) above is a UMP level α test; and (b) (Necessity) if there exists a test satisfying (2) and (3) above with k > 0, then every UMP level α test is a size α test (satisfies (3) above), and every UMP level α test satisfies (2) above, except perhaps on a set A satisfying $P_{\theta_0}(\underline{X} \in A) = P_{\theta_1}(\underline{X} \in A) = 0$.
- Suppose $T(\underline{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , and let $g(t \mid \theta_i)$ be the pdf or pmf of T corresponding to θ_i for i=0,1. Then any test based on T with rejection region S (a subset of the sample space of T) is a UMP level α test if it satisfies (1) for some $k \geq 0$, $t \in S$ if $g(t \mid \theta_1) > kg(t \mid \theta_0)$ and $t \in S^c$ if $g(t \mid \theta_1) < kg(t \mid \theta_0)$

Extending the Neyman-Pearson Lemma

➤ Can we extend the Neyman-Pearson Lemma to composite hypotheses (hypotheses that specify more than one possible distribution for the sample)?

– Yes, but only for one-sided hypotheses $(H : \theta \ge \theta_0 \text{ or } H : \theta < \theta_0)$.

– A UMP level α test must be UMP for all values in the alternative hypothesis.

Monotone Likelihood Ratio (MLR)

Definition: A family of pdfs or pmfs $\{g(t \mid \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ for a univariate random variable T with real-valued parameter θ has a **monotone** likelihood ratio (MLR) if, for every $\theta_2 > \theta_1$,

$$\frac{g(t \mid \theta_2)}{g(t \mid \theta_1)}$$

is a monotone (non-increasing or non-decreasing) function of t on

$$\{t: g(t | \theta_1) > 0 \text{ or } g(t | \theta_2) > 0\}.$$

Comments About MLR

- ▶ MLR is a property of a family of distributions.
- ▶ $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ (with σ^2 known), poisson(θ), and binomial(n, θ) all have an MLR.
- In general, any regular exponential family

Karlin-Rubin Theorem

Theorem

Consider testing $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$. Suppose that T is a sufficient statistic for θ and the family of pdfs or pmfs $\{g(t \mid \theta): \theta \in \Theta\}$ of T has an MLR. Then for any t_0 , the test that rejects H_0 if and only if $T > t_0$ is a UMP level α test, where $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}(T > t_0)$.

Example (Contd.): Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid } N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ population, σ^2 known. Consider testing $H_0': \theta \geq \theta_0$ vs. $H_1': \theta < \theta_0$.

Consider the test that rejects H_0' if $\overline{X} < \theta_0 - z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$. \overline{X} is sufficient.

We'll show that the distribution of $T = \overline{X}$ has an MLR, and apply the Karlin-Rubin theorem.

For $\theta_2 > \theta_1$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{g(t\mid\theta_1)}{g(t\mid\theta_2)} &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(t-\theta_2)^2\right)}{\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(t-\theta_1)^2\right)} \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{n}{\sigma^2}t(\theta_2-\theta_1)\right] \exp\left[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\theta_2^2-\theta_1^2)\right] \end{split}$$

which is non-decreasing in t as $\theta_2 - \theta_1 > 0$.

Thus the distribution of $T = \overline{X}$ has an MLR.

Therefore, from Karlin-Rubin theorem it follows that this test is UMP level α for this problem.

Nonexistence of UMP Test

Example: Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, with σ^2 known. Consider testing

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$

vs.
$$H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$$
.

We'll show that there does not any UMP test at any level $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For a specified value of α , a level α test in this problem is any test that satisfies

$$P_{\theta_0}(\text{reject } H_0) \leq \alpha.$$

Suppose $\theta_1 < \theta_0$. By Corollary to the NP Lemma with sufficient statistic, the test with rejection region

$$R = \left\{ \underline{x} : \overline{x} < \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma z_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

has the highest possible power at θ_1 ; call this Test 1.

By part (b) of the NP Lemma, any other level α test that has the same power as Test 1 at θ_1 must have the same rejection region, except possibly for a set A with measure zero.

So if a UMP level α test exists, it must be Test 1, since no other level α test has as high a power as Test 1 at θ_1 .

Now consider Test 2, which has rejection region

$$R = \left\{ \underline{x} : \overline{x} > \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma z_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$$

This is also a level α test.

We can show that for any $\theta_2 > \theta_0$, $\beta_2(\theta_2) > \beta_1(\theta_2)$.

So Test 1 cannot be a UMP level α test, since Test 2 has a higher power than Test 1 at θ_2 .

Therefore, no UMP level α test exists in this problem.

Since a global UMP test does not exist, we can restrict to the class of unbiased tests. (Recall that for an unbiased test the power function at each $\theta \in \Theta_0^c$ is \geq the level of the test.)

Consider Test 3, which rejects $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ in favor of $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ if and only if

$$\overline{X} > heta_0 + \sigma z_{lpha/2}/\sqrt{n}$$
 or $\overline{X} < heta_0 - \sigma z_{lpha/2}/\sqrt{n}$

is actually a UMP unbiased level α test; i.e., it is UMP in the class of unbiased tests.

p-Values

Defintion: A *p*-value, $p(\underline{X})$, is a test statistic satisfying $0 \le p(\underline{x}) \le 1$ for every sample point \underline{x} . Small values of $p(\underline{X})$ give evidence that H_1 is true. A *p*-value is **valid** if, for every $\theta \in \Theta_0$ and every $0 \le \alpha \le 1$,

$$P_{\theta}(p(\underline{X}) \leq \alpha) \leq \alpha.$$

If $p(\underline{X})$ is a valid p-value, then the test that rejects H_0 if and only if $p(\underline{X}) \leq \alpha$ is a level α test.

Theorem (8.3.27; Determining Valid p-Values)

Let $W(\underline{X})$ be a test statistic such that large values of W give evidence that H_1 is true. For each sample point \underline{x} , define

$$p(\underline{x}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P_{\theta} [W(\underline{X}) \ge W(\underline{x})].$$

Then $p(\underline{X})$ is a valid p-value.

Proof: Fix $\theta \in \Theta_0$. Let $F_{\theta}(w)$ denote the cdf of -W(X). Define

$$p_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = P_{\theta}\left[W(\underline{X}) \geq W(\underline{x})\right] = P_{\theta}\left[-W(\underline{X}) \leq -W(\underline{x})\right] = F_{\theta}(-W(x)).$$

Then the random variable $p_{\theta}(\underline{X})$ is equal to $F_{\theta}(-W(\underline{X}))$.

Hence, by the Probability Integral Transformation $P_{\theta}(p_{\theta}(\underline{X}) \leq \alpha)$. Since

$$p(\underline{x}) = \mathsf{sup}_{ heta' \in \Theta_0} \, p_{ heta'}(\underline{x}) \geq p_{ heta}(\underline{x})$$

for all x, we have

$$P_{\theta}(p(\underline{X}) \leq \alpha) \leq P_{\theta}(p_{\theta}(\underline{X}) \leq \alpha) \leq \alpha$$

which is true for all $\theta \in \Theta_0$ and for every $0 \le \alpha \le 1$.

Hence p(X) is a valid p-value.

Interval Estimation

Defintion: An **interval estimate** of a real-valued parameter θ is any pair of functions, $L(\underline{x})$ and $U(\underline{x})$, of a sample that satisfy $L(\underline{x}) \leq U(\underline{x})$ for all $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}$. If $\underline{X} = \underline{x}$ is observed, the inference $L(\underline{x}) \leq \theta \leq U(\underline{x})$ is made. The random interval $[L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$ is called an **interval estimator**.

Example: Suppose $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \sim \text{iid N}(\mu, 1)$. Then $[\overline{X} - 1, \overline{X} + 1]$ is an interval estimator for the population mean μ . What is $P\left(\mu \in [\overline{X} - 1, \overline{X} + 1]\right)$?

Note that interval estimators are less precise than point estimators, but are more likely to be correct.

Recall that $P(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$, for instance, i.e., there is no chance we are correct if we estimate μ using \overline{X} .

Coverage Probability & Confidence coefficient

Definition: For an interval estimator $[L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$ of a parameter θ , the **coverage probability** of $[L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$ is the probability that the random interval $[L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$ covers the true parameter θ .

Symbolically, it is denoted by either P_{θ} ($\theta \in [L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$) or $P(\theta \in [L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})] | \theta)$.

Note that the coverage probability is usually a function of θ .

Definition: For an interval estimator $[L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$ of a parameter θ , the **confidence coefficient** of $[L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]$ is the infimum of the coverage probabilities,

$$\inf_{\theta} P_{\theta} (\theta \in [L(\underline{X}), U(\underline{X})]).$$

We use **confidence interval** to mean the interval estimator along with its corresponding confidence coefficient.

Note that since θ is fixed, but unknown, the probability statements above refer to \underline{X} , not θ . We can think of such a probability as $P_{\theta}\left(L(\underline{X}) \leq \theta, U(\underline{X}) \geq \theta\right)$.

Example (Scale Uniform Interval Estimator): Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a uniform $(0, \theta)$ population, and let $Y = X_{(n)}$ be the nth order statistic.

We are interested in an interval estimator of θ .

We consider two candidate estimators:

- (a) [aY, bY], where $1 \le a < b$; and
- (b) [Y + c, Y + d], where $0 \le c < d$.

Note that θ is necessarily larger than y.

Determine the coverage probability and confidence coefficient for each estimator.

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta}(\theta \in [aY, bY]) &= P_{\theta}(aY \le \theta \le bY) \\ &= P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{b} \le \frac{Y}{\theta} \le \frac{1}{a}\right) \\ &= P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{b} \le T \le \frac{1}{a}\right) \qquad \left(T = \frac{Y}{\theta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The pdf of T is $f_T(t) = nt^{n-1}$, $0 \le t \le 1$. Therefore,

$$P_{\theta}(\theta \in [aY, bY]) = P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{b} \le T \le \frac{1}{a}\right) = \int_{1/b}^{1/a} nt^{n-1} dt = \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n$$

which is free of θ . So, confidence coefficient =

$$\inf_{\theta} P_{\theta}(\theta \in [aY, bY]) = \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n$$

(b) Here

$$P_{\theta}(\theta \in [Y+c, Y+d]) = \left(1 - \frac{c}{\theta}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{d}{\theta}\right)^n$$

which depends on θ . Note that

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} P_{\theta}(\theta \in [Y+c, Y+d]) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \left[\left(1 - \frac{c}{\theta}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{d}{\theta}\right)^n \right] = 0$$

So, confidence coefficient $=\inf_{\theta}P_{\theta}(\theta\in[Y+c,Y+d])=0$.

Methods of Finding Interval Estimators

(a) Invert a test statistic.

(b) Use pivotal quantities.

Correspondence Between Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Testing

- There is a very strong correspondence between hypothesis testing and interval estimation.
- In general, every confidence interval corresponds to a test, and vice versa.

Example (Inverting a Normal Test): Let

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Suppose we are testing $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ vs. $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$. Consider the rejection region

$$R = \left\{ \underline{x} : |\overline{x} - \mu_0| > z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$$

The acceptance region of the hypothesis test (the subset of the sample space for which $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ is accepted) is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \underline{x} : \mu_0 - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \overline{x} \le \mu_0 + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \underline{x} : \overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu_0 \le \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$$

Note that since $P(\underline{x} \in R \mid \mu = \mu_0) = \alpha$, we can deduce that

$$P(\underline{x} \in A(\mu_0) | \mu = \mu_0) = 1 - \alpha$$

for every μ_0 .

So $P_{\mu}(x \in A(\mu)) = 1 - \alpha$.

The $1-\alpha$ confidence interval (the subset of the parameter space containing plausible values of μ) is

$$C(\underline{x}) = \left\{ \mu : \overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu \le \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$$

So we see that

$$\underline{x} \in A(\mu_0) \iff \mu_0 \in C(\underline{x}),$$

i.e., \underline{x} is in the acceptance region for $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ if and only if μ_0 is a plausible value for the parameter μ .

Correspondence Between Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Testing

▶ Both hypothesis tests and confidence intervals look for consistency between sample statistics and population parameters.

► The hypothesis test fixes the parameter and asks what sample values are consistent with that fixed value (the acceptance region).

The confidence interval fixes the sample value and asks what parameter values make this sample value most plausible (the confidence interval).

Homework

- ► Hypothesis tests: Read p. 388 399.
- ▶ Interval Estimation: Read p. 417 421.
- Exercises: TBA.