# STA 522, Spring 2022 Introduction to Theoretical Statistics II

Lecture 6

Department of Biostatistics University at Buffalo

### **AGENDA**

- ► Method of maximum likelihood
- ► Bayesian approach to Statistics

### Review: Method of Estimation

- ▶ **Method of Moments:** Equate population moments with the sample moments, then solve for parameters.
- ▶ **Method of Maximum Likelihood:** For each sample point  $\underline{x}$ , let  $\hat{\theta}(\underline{x})$  be a parameter value at which the likelihood function  $L(\theta \mid \underline{x})$  attains its maximum as a function of  $\theta$ , with  $\underline{x}$  held fixed. A **maximum likelihood estimator (MLE)** of the parameter  $\theta$  based on a sample  $\underline{X}$  is  $\hat{\theta}(\underline{X})$ .
- ▶ **Note:** since the logarithm function is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  (and so one-to-one), the value which maximizes  $\log L(\theta \mid \underline{x})$  is the same value that maximizes  $L(\theta \mid \underline{x})$ .
- ▶ **Example:**  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid Bernoulli}(p)$ , for  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . The MLE of p is  $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

**Example:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid Poisson}(\theta)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .

The likelihood of  $\theta$  is

$$L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(-\theta) \frac{\theta^{x_i}}{x_i!} = \exp(-n\theta) \frac{\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!}$$

The log likelihood is:

$$I(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \log L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = -n\theta + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \log \theta - \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}!\right)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d \log L(\theta \mid \underline{x})}{d\theta} = -n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \frac{1}{\theta} \gtrsim 0 \text{ according as } \theta \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \overline{x}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\theta} = \overline{x}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ .

**Example:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{iid N}(\theta, 1)$  for  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ . Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .

The likelihood function for  $\theta$  is given by

$$L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x_i - \theta)^2\right] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \theta)^2\right]$$

Therefore the log likelihood is:

$$\log L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \theta)^2 = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\theta - x_i)^2$$

which implies

$$\frac{d \log L(\theta \mid \underline{x})}{d \theta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 (x_i - \theta) \gtrsim 0 \text{ according as } \theta \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \overline{x}$$

Thus the MLE of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta} = \overline{x}$ .

**Example (Restricted Range MLE):** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid N}(\theta, 1)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .

With no restrictions on  $\theta$  the MLE of  $\theta$  is  $\overline{X}$ .

However, if  $\overline{X}$  < 0, it will be outside the range of the parameter.

log likelihood:

$$\log L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log (2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta)^2$$
$$= -\frac{n}{2} \log (2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 - \frac{n}{2} (\theta - \overline{x})^2$$

If  $\overline{x} < 0$  then  $L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) \leq L(0 \mid \underline{x})$  for all  $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ .

Therefore, the MLE of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{ heta} = egin{cases} \overline{x} & ext{if } \overline{x} \geq 0 \\ 0 & ext{if } \overline{x} < 0 \end{cases}$$

### Example (MLE where the likelihood function is non-differentiable):

Consider  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .

The likelihood function is given by:

$$L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 \le x_i \le \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(\theta \ge x_{(n)}) I(0 \le x_{(1)})$$

Clearly,  $L(\theta \mid \underline{x})$  is not continuous (and hence non-differentiable) because of the indicator function.

Note that  $L(\theta \mid \underline{x})$  is zero at  $\theta < x_{(n)}$ , jumps to  $\frac{1}{\theta^n}$  at  $\theta = x_{(n)}$  and then steadily declines.

Hence the MLE for  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta} = X_{(n)}$ .

**Example (Problem 7.6):** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Pareto}(\theta, 1)$  with pdf

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \theta x^{-2}; \quad 0 < \theta \le x < \infty$$

Find (a) a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , (b) the MLE of  $\theta$  and (c) the method of moments estimator of  $\theta$ .

(a) The joint pdf is 
$$f(\underline{x} \mid \theta) = \underbrace{\theta^n I(x_{(1)} \ge \theta)}_{=g(T(\underline{x} \mid \theta))} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-2}$$
. Hence by

Factorization theorem,  $T(\underline{X}) = X_{(1)}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

**(b)** The likelihood function for  $\theta$  is

$$L(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \theta^n I(\theta \le x_{(1)}) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-2}$$

This is maximum when  $\theta = x_{(1)}$ . Hence the MLE for  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta} = X_{(1)}$ .

(c) Note that here  $\mu_1' = \mathsf{E}_{\theta}(X_1) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \theta \frac{dx}{x} = \infty$ . Hence method of moment estimator for  $\theta$  does not exist.

#### Example (Binomial with unknown number of trials): Let

 $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Binomial}(k, p)$ , where p is known and k is unknown.

The likelihood function is:

$$L(k \mid \underline{x}, p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} {k \choose x_i} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{k-x_i} I(x_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\})$$

Maximizing with differentiation is not possible because of factorials and because k is integer.

Note on the outset that  $L(k \mid \underline{x}, p) = 0$  if  $k < \max_i x_i$ . So the MLE must be an integer  $\hat{k} \ge \max_i x_i$  such that

$$\frac{L(\hat{k}\mid\underline{x},p)}{L(\hat{k}-1\mid\underline{x},p)}\geq 1 \ \ \text{and} \ \ \frac{L(\hat{k}\mid\underline{x},p)}{L(\hat{k}+1\mid\underline{x},p)}>1$$

Note that

$$\frac{L(k \mid \underline{x}, p)}{L(k-1 \mid \underline{x}, p)} = \frac{(k(1-p))^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n (k-x_i)}$$

Condition for maximum is

$$(k(1-p))^n \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (k-x_i) \;\; ext{and} \;\; ((k+1)(1-p))^n < \prod_{i=1}^n (k+1-x_i)$$

Divide by  $k^n$  and set z = 1/k. We want to solve

$$(1-p)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i z)$$

The RHS is strictly decreasing in z and RHS = 1 if z = 0 and RHS = 0 if  $z = 1/\max_i x_i$ .

Thus there is a unique z, say  $\hat{z}$  that solves the equation. The unique solution is not analytically tractable. Must be approximated using numeric methods in practice.

The quantity  $1/\hat{z}$  may not be an integer. The MLE  $\hat{k}$  of k, is the largest integer  $\leq 1/\hat{z}$ .

# Invariance Property of Maximum Likelihood

Consider a distribution indexed by a parameter  $\theta$ . Interest is in finding an estimator for some function of  $\theta$ , say  $\tau(\theta)$ .

Invariance property of MLEs says that if  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ , then  $\tau(\hat{\theta})$  is the MLE of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

For example, if  $\theta$  is the mean of a normal distribution then the MLE of  $\sin(\theta)$  is  $\sin(\overline{X})$ .

Need to be careful when  $\tau$  is NOT one-to-one.

**Definition:** Let  $\eta = \tau(\theta)$  be any function of  $\theta$ . The **induced likelihood** function  $L^*$  is given by

$$L^*(\eta \mid \underline{x}) = \sup_{\{\theta : \tau(\theta) = \eta\}} L(\theta \mid \underline{x}).$$

The value  $\hat{\eta}$  that maximizes  $L^*(\eta \mid \underline{x})$  will be called the MLE of  $\eta = \tau(\theta)$ . Note that the maxima of  $L^*$  and L coincide.

### Theorem (7.2.10)

If  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ , then for any function  $\tau(\theta)$ , the MLE of  $\tau(\hat{\theta})$  is  $\tau(\hat{\theta})$ .

#### Examples of the invariance property of MLE:

- If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  iid  $N(\theta, 1)$  then the MLE of  $\theta^2$  is  $\overline{X}^2$ .
- ▶ If  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  iid Bernoulli(p) then the MLE of  $\sqrt{p(1-p)}$  is  $\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}$  where  $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ .

## MLE of multiple parameters

Using calculus is tedious. In two parameter case, finding Local Maxima of a function  $H(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  involves:

- (a) Compute the first-order partial derivatives of  $H(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , set them equal to 0, and solve for  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . Denote the solution by  $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ .
- (b) Show that the Jacobian of the second-order partial derivatives, evaluated at  $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ , is positive (recall the Jacobian is  $H_{11}H_{22} H_{12}H_{21}$ , where  $H_1$  means  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta_1}$ , and so on).
- (c) Show that at least one of  $H_{11}$  or  $H_{22}$ , evaluated at  $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ , is negative.

Instead, successive maximizations, if possible, usually makes the problem easier.

**Example:** Suppose  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim \text{iid N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the MLEs for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

The likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2 \mid \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \mu)^2\right]$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^n \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right]$$

First fix  $\sigma$ . The log likelihood is

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2 \mid \underline{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log (2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log (\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\mu, \sigma^2 \mid \underline{x})}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 (x_i - \mu) \gtrsim 0 \text{ according as } \mu \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \overline{x}$$

So, for each  $\sigma$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \overline{x}$  is the MLE of  $\mu$ .

Plug in  $\hat{\mu}$  into  $\log L(\mu, \sigma^2 \mid \underline{x})$  to obtain the profile log-likelihood of  $\sigma$ :

$$\log \tilde{L}(\sigma^2 \mid \underline{x}) = \log L(\hat{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mid \underline{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log (2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log (\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\sigma^2 \mid \underline{x})}{\partial (\sigma^2)} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \geq 0$$

according as

$$\sigma^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

which means the MLE for  $\sigma^2$  is  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$ .

Therefore, the MLE for the  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is  $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = (\overline{x}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2)$ .

# Bayesian Approach to Statistics

(a) In the classical approach, the parameter  $\theta$  is thought to be an unknown, but fixed, quantity.

- (b) In the Bayesian approach,  $\theta$  is considered to be a quantity whose variation can be described by a probability distribution (called the **prior distribution**).
- (c) The prior distribution is subjective and is based on the experimenter's belief. It is formulated before the data are seen.

(d) A sample is then taken from a population indexed by  $\theta$ , and the prior distribution is updated (using Bayes' Rule) with the sample information. The updated prior is called the **posterior distribution**.

(e) Denote the prior distribution by  $\pi(\theta)$  and the sampling distribution by  $f(\underline{x} \mid \theta)$ .

(f) The posterior distribution is the conditional distribution of  $\theta$ , given the sample x:

$$\pi(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \frac{f(\underline{x} \mid \theta)\pi(\theta)}{m(\underline{x})}$$
$$= \frac{f(\underline{x}, \theta)}{m(x)},$$

where  $m(\underline{x})$  is the marginal distribution of  $\underline{X}$ :

$$m(\underline{x}) = \int f(\underline{x} \mid \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta.$$

#### Example (Binomial Bayes estimation): Let

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Bernoulli}(p)$$
, and let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then

 $Y \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$ .

Assume the prior distribution on p to be beta $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Determine the Bayes estimator of p.

The joint distribution of Y and p is

$$f_{Y,p}(y,p) = \left[ \binom{n}{y} p^{y} (1-p)^{n-y} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1} \right]$$

$$= \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-y+\beta-1}; \ y = 0, 1, \dots, n; \ 0 \le p \le 1$$

The marginal pmf of Y is:

$$f_Y(y) = \int_0^1 f(y, p) \ dp = \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(y + \alpha)\Gamma(n - y + \beta)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)}$$

The posterior pdf of p is

$$f_{p|Y}(p \mid y) = \frac{f_{Y,P}(y,p)}{f_{Y}(y)} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)} p^{y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-y+\beta-1}$$

which is Beta $(y + \alpha, n - y + \beta)$ .

A natural Bayesian (point) estimator is the mean of the posterior distribution, given by

$$\hat{p}_B = \mathsf{E}(p \mid Y) = \frac{y + \alpha}{n + \alpha + \beta}$$

Note that

$$\hat{p}_{B} = \left(\frac{n}{n+\alpha+\beta}\right) \underbrace{\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)}_{\text{=sample mean}} + \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{n+\alpha+\beta}\right) \underbrace{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right)}_{\text{=prior mean}}$$