

Problem 7.24Part (a): The likelihood function for λ is:

$$L(\lambda | \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$$

The prior density for λ is:

$$f_{\lambda}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda/\beta} \quad \lambda \geq 0$$

Hence, the joint pdf of \underline{x}, λ is:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{x}, \lambda}(\underline{x}, \lambda) &= e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda/\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} e^{-\lambda(n+1/\beta)} \lambda^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for fixed \underline{x} ,

$$f_{\lambda|\underline{x}}(\lambda|\underline{x}) = \frac{f_{\underline{x}, \lambda}(\underline{x}, \lambda)}{f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x})} \propto f_{\underline{x}, \lambda}(\underline{x}, \lambda) \quad [\text{as a function of } \lambda]$$

Therefore, identifying the terms involving λ in $f_{\underline{x}, \lambda}(\underline{x}, \lambda)$ we get

$$f_{\lambda|\underline{x}}(\lambda|\underline{x}) \propto e^{-\lambda(n+1/\beta)} \lambda^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1}$$

with the right hand side being the kernel of

$$\text{Gamma}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \frac{1}{n + 1/\beta}\right) \equiv \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)$$

distribution. Therefore, the posterior dist of λ is

$$\text{Gamma}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}\right)$$

Part (b): Using results for Gamma distribution, we have

$$E(\lambda | \underline{x}) = \left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \cdot \frac{\beta}{n\beta + 1}$$

$$\text{Var}(\lambda | \underline{x}) = \left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \frac{\beta^2}{(n\beta + 1)^2}$$

Problem 7.41

Part (a): We have

$$E_{\mu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_{\mu}(x_i) \stackrel{x_i \text{ iid with } E(x_i) = \mu}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu = \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)$$

Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ is unbiased for μ if

$$E_{\mu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right) = \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) = \mu \quad \text{for all } \mu \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$$

Part (b): We have

$$\text{Var}_{\sigma^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right) \stackrel{x_i \text{ are indep}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \text{Var}_{\sigma^2}(x_i) \stackrel{x_i \text{ iid with } \text{Var}_{\sigma^2}(x_i) = \sigma^2}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right)$$

From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right)^2 \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1^2\right)}_{=n} \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot 1\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^2 \stackrel{\text{part (a)}}{=} 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\text{which implies } \text{Var}_{\sigma^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right) = \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \geq \sigma^2/n$$

Equality in the above Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is attained if

$a_i = k \cdot 1$ for all i , for some constant k . This, together with $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ from part (a) implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n k = 1 \Rightarrow k = 1/n$.

Therefore, $\text{Var}_{\sigma^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\right)$ is the minimum when equality is attained in the above Cauchy Schwarz inequality. In that case, the estimator is $\sum_{i=1}^n (1/n) x_i = \bar{X}$.

Problem 7.49

Part (a) :

From the theory of order statistics, the pdf of $Y = \min \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is obtained as :

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y|\lambda) &= n f_X(y|\lambda) [1 - F_X(y|\lambda)]^{n-1} = n \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-y/\lambda} (1 - (1 - e^{-y/\lambda}))^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{\lambda} e^{-ny/\lambda} ; y > 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $Y \sim \text{Exponential}\left(\frac{1}{n\lambda}\right) \equiv \text{Exponential}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)$

Therefore, $E_\lambda(Y) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \Rightarrow E_\lambda(nY) = \lambda$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Hence, nY is an unbiased estimator of λ .

Part (b) :

Since $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid Exponential}(\lambda)$, and $\text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ is a member of the exponential family, therefore, $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete sufficient for λ . Hence, using Rao-Blackwell theorem and Lehmann-Scheffe theorem, it follows that $\phi(x) = E(Y|Z)$ is the UMVUE of λ .

Again, since $E_\lambda(Z) = E_\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = n\lambda$, therefore $\psi(x) = Z/n$ is an unbiased estimator for λ which is based on the complete sufficient statistic Z . Hence $\psi(x)$ is UMVUE for λ .

By uniqueness of UMVUE, we must have $\phi(x) = \psi(x)$ which implies $\phi(x) = E(Y|Z) = Z/n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

That Z/n is the UMVUE, implies that Z/n is better than nY (another unbiased estimator).