

Table 4. Quality evaluation criteria's questionnaire and score

N°	Quality assessment criteria	Assigned score to possible answers		
		+1	0	-1
C1	The research provides a clear view of the application of video game mechanisms for the early detection of AD.	Yes	Partially	No
C2	The research presents in detail the results obtained after using the videogame.	Yes	Partially	No
C3	Research proposes a solution effective for early diagnosis of AD.	Yes	Partially	No
C4	The research has been published in a Relevant magazine, conference or congress. I was used the proposed quartile classification by Scimago (https://www.scimagojr.com) for classify the journals and the ranking of the Computing Research & Education (CORE, http://portal.core.edu.au/conf-ranks/) for congresses and conferences.	Highly relevant (Q1 quartile for journals and A* for congresses and conferences).	Relevant (Q2 and Q3 quartiles for journals; A and B for congresses and conferences).	Not relevant (Q4 quartile for journals, C for congresses and conferences and No classification).
C5	The research assesses the effectiveness of the presented proposal for the early diagnosis of AD.	Yes	Partially	No