

---

# HANGMAN GAME DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

---

**ADAM ELFSTRÖM**  
Programvaruteknik  
8th February 2019

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision history</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>General information</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Vision</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Reflection . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Project Plan</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	4
4.2	Justification . . . . .	4
4.3	Stakeholders . . . . .	4
4.4	Resources . . . . .	4
4.5	Hard- and Software Requirements . . . . .	5
4.6	Overall Project Schedule . . . . .	5
4.7	Scope, Constraints and Assumptions . . . . .	5
4.8	Reflection . . . . .	6
<b>5</b>	<b>Iterations</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1	Iteration 1 . . . . .	7
5.2	Iteration 2 . . . . .	7
5.3	Iteration 3 . . . . .	8
5.4	Iteration 4 . . . . .	8
<b>6</b>	<b>Risk analysis</b>	<b>9</b>
6.1	List of risks . . . . .	9
6.2	Strategies . . . . .	9
6.3	Reflection . . . . .	10
<b>7</b>	<b>Time log</b>	<b>11</b>

## 1 | Revision history

Date	Version	Description	Author
08/02/2019	1.0	Project plan created, basic structure and functionality, such as file organization and user input implemented.	Adam Elfström

## 2 | General information

Project summary	
Project Name	Project ID
Hangman Game	ae223nv_1dv600
Project Manager	Main Client
Adam Elfström	Students
Key Stakeholders	
Adam Elfström, Therese Forsberg, Daniel Toll, Tobias Andersson Gidlund, Kenny Ek, Tobias Olsson	
Executive Summary	
The Hangman Game project aims to produce an interactive application that can be run in a terminal on any personal computer. This application allows users to play a game of "hangman" with differing rule sets and scoring systems.	

## 3 | Vision

The finished application will be an interactive word-guessing game. Before the game starts, the user is presented with a menu in which they can select different options such as game mode and previous scores. The difficulty of the game will be at it's highest in the beginning, as the user is only presented with blank slots where the letters that make up the word will be placed. As the game progresses and the user guesses letter after letter the previously empty slots are filled in with each correct guess. The user will be able to control the level of difficulty in the sense that the length of the word might be chosen before the game starts, or left to be randomly picked. To further increase difficulty, the user will be able to play the game in a timed mode, where the word has to be guessed before the time runs out. The user will also be able to choose an "infinite" mode, where the objective is to guess as many words correctly as they can. The game will in this mode persist until one word is not able to be guessed, or if the timed mode is selected, until the timer runs out. The timed modes might make the user more stressed as they play, much like the text-based illustration of a man slowly being hanged as they guess a letter incorrectly being shown on the screen might. This illustration will be shown regardless of the mode the user chooses, and it will communicate how many wrong guesses the user has made. When the man is fully drawn, the user has lost the game. If the user were to lose, the word remaining hidden letters will be presented in addition to an option to return to the main menu.

### 3.1 Reflection

Specifying the vision for the project and its application is in a sense difficult, as it must illustrate the functionality and usecases for the application without being as detailed and precise as the requirements. I found that the process of detailing the vision involved me rewriting sentences often that ended up being either too vague or too specific, bordering on technical instructions or requirements. As a conclusion, it was a good exercise because it meant thinking and describing what you wanted in an approachable way, instead of keeping it very technical and terse.

## 4 | Project Plan

### 4.1 Introduction

The application to be made is in the form of an interactive game where the objective is to, letter by letter, guess what a randomly chosen hidden word is. The only information shown to the user is a sequence of empty spaces which illustrate how many letters the word contains. As letters are correctly guessed the empty spaces are filled out, which slowly decreases the difficulty of guessing the word. With each incorrect guess a part of an image is drawn of a stick-figure getting hanged, after 10 incorrect guesses the user has lost and the game ends.

### 4.2 Justification

This application will be the resulting product from a project that aims to educate a student of the different activities involved in software engineering, such as managing and planning a project and subsequent implementation and testing of said plans. This application is not being created for commercial use and will only be available to a small group of individuals.

### 4.3 Stakeholders

**End user:** The person using the finished application. This person will not scrutinize the application from a technical perspective, but rather from a perspective of enjoyment and usability.

**Manager:** The person responsible for the project and its completion. This person wishes to see that the project plan and schedule is followed and that the increments and the finished application is delivered to the customer.

**Programmer:** The person who will be implementing all required features. This person will try to write code that follows the requirements of the application's features.

**Customer:** The customer is in this project a select group of teachers and tutors that have requested that the project starts and the application is produced. These persons will be concerned with quality control and that the application and its development process is according to specifications.

**Tester:** The person testing the code. This person will only be concerned with whether the application performs as expected or not.

### 4.4 Resources

Total project budget is 0 Swedish kronor. Every tool and resource used during the project - such as a computer, word-processing software and the terminal used to execute the application - is already available to all involved parties or available for free. Travels to and from the University where all involved parties work and/or study involve walking or biking.

Personnel is 1 person. Planning, implementing, testing and documenting is all

done by the same person.

The duration of the entire project is 8 weeks. Each iteration takes two weeks.

## 4.5 Hard- and Software Requirements

**Hardware:** The project will produce an application that will be designed to be executed in a terminal on a computer. This means that a functioning computer will be required both for the programmer during implementation and the end user.

**Software:** The programmer's computer needs to have a recent installation of Java during the implementation phase. The code for the application needs to be written in a basic word processing program, or a more sophisticated IDE, such as Eclipse or Netbeans. Some form of word processor is also needed for documentation.

## 4.6 Overall Project Schedule

Date	Description
08/02/2019	Iteration 1 completion
21/02/2019	Iteration 2 completion
08/03/2019	Iteration 3 completion
18-24/03/2019	Iteration 4 completion

## 4.7 Scope, Constraints and Assumptions

**Scope:** The application must contain a working version of the traditional hangman game, meaning that words must be able to be chosen (completely random or random within some parameters), the length must be presented to the user, the letters must be able to be guessed and the game must be able to be either won or lost depending on whether the user guesses correctly or incorrectly. To make the game more interesting and increase the replayability, some different game modes should be available. These game modes should be a standard version, a timed standard version, an infinite version and a timed infinite version. The standard modes only involve one word that needs to be guessed and the infinite modes can contain an infinite sequence of words to be guessed, where the end of the game is determined either by time or a wrong guesses. The scores that are generated from these different modes, such as the time number of words/characters correctly guessed during the timed modes, should be saved and accessible to the user from the in-game menu. The words that will be used in the game are to be fetched from a database to avoid having to store them locally. All words must be nouns and must not contain any special characters to be guessed, only normal English letters. This means that words such as "add-on" will only require the user to guess the "add" and "on". The application won't have a feature to allow for online activities such as multi-user or online

scores from a database, everything will be stored locally. The application could have some features allowing for customization, meaning that certain aspects of the game can be changed by the user. This customization could be in the form a list of words the user do not want to see when playing, and this list could be expanded every time the user fails to correctly guess a word, if the player chooses. The application must not contain a graphical user interface, as everything will be displayed in a terminal window. This is because the application will focus on features and only implement visual improvements if time allows.

**Constraints:** The main constraints for this project are time, lack of experience and lack of personnel. The project involves one person that is responsible for all parts, such as management, documentation, implementation and testing. This means that effort must be split among the different activities and this results in a lack of time for finesse and detailed work. In addition to this, the time will be further constrained due to a lack of experience for the person that works on the project. This is lack of experience managing and planning a project, and making a working application such as the game to be developed. Time is therefore needed to research questions that arise during the project, time that could otherwise be spent on implementation, testing, etc.

**Assumptions:** The end user has to have access to a computer to be able to run the application. The user will have some knowledge about how the game works. Some previous knowledge about how to operate a terminal window exist, since the game will be executed in this environment.

## 4.8 Reflection

Before I could start writing the project plan, a lot of thinking was required. This was mainly because many of the parts to be written down contained things that, for this particular project, were somewhat difficult to identify. This meant that a significant part of the time spent on the plan was not actually constructing it, but simply thinking about how it should be constructed and what it should contain.



## 5 | Iterations

### 5.1 Iteration 1

Firstly, an account on github.com has to be created to allow for easy version control of the application and easier distribution once the project is finished. To start using this account for the intended purposes, git has to be downloaded and installed onto at least the computer where the source code will be written. The local files can then be uploaded to a GitHub repository.

Before any implementation can take place, a document containing all planning must be created and subsequently filled with information about the project. This document should be well structured and organized with easily distinguishable parts. After the document structure is finished, some basic information about the project, such as names and dates, can be added to the title page and the footer of the pages.

The vision of the project can then be added to the document, along with a short reflection about writing this vision. The vision must contain information that makes it clear what type of application will be developed, and what it should be able to achieve.

The general project plan should be created and added to the document. This plan should contain information about what the project is about, why the project exists, who might be interested in the project, what resources are available and required, main deadlines for deliverable increments, and some specification about the features of the finished application. This project plan should include a short reflection about its creation.

Risks that might affect the project should then be identified, analysed and planned for. This information should be terse and presented in a table for easier reading.

After all of that is finished, the detailed planning for each iteration will commence, where more technical details about what should be done is presented. This planning should be added to before each iteration begins and as more information about each iteration becomes clear.

After the planning is completed, implementation can begin. Implementation should start with the creation and structuring of the files that are expected to be part of the finished application. These files should not contain much actual code, as the focus for this iteration is on the documentation. The code that is produced should be more of an outline for what is to be added in future iterations rather than a functioning game, meaning that it is skeleton code.

### 5.2 Iteration 2

This iteration will start with the requirements for the application. These requirements are extensions of the the plan made in iteration one, meaning that they contain more details for features than what was specified earlier. Instead of simply writing a large spreadsheet, the requirements will mostly take the form of use

cases. These use cases will present scenarios of intended usage by end users and they will together form a Use Case Diagram (use case model). This diagram presents what the system will be able to do for the end user, and what is depending on external factors. More details of use cases and time estimate will be added later.

The behaviour of the application will then be modeled using a state machine, based on the use cases previously designed. This is a graphic involving different states of the application (what the application is doing at a specific time), and the transitions that occur between these states (based mainly on user input). The state machine should include everything mentioned in the use case model. More details of the state machine and time estimate will be added later.

The modeled behaviour of the application should now be able to be implemented in its most basic form, meaning that the majority of the effort and time spent implementing is spent on functionality instead of appearance. More details of implementation and time estimate will be added later.

After implementation is completed, a diagram detailing how the different classes function should be created. This diagram should specify which classes are dependant on other classes. More details on the class diagram and time estimate will be added later.

### **5.3 Iteration 3**

Iteration 3 is all about testing, and a test plan should be the first thing created. The test plan includes decisions about what to test, why those specific features were chosen to be tested and what techniques are to be used when testing. More details on the test plan and time estimate will be added later.

After the planning is complete, the actual testing can commence. The testing will include both manual tests of the client application and automated unit tests of a few different methods (both finished and unfinished methods). All tests must be well documented with in both text and image. More details of these test will be added later.

### **5.4 Iteration 4**

Iteration 4 includes everything mentioned in all previous iterations, meaning that iteration 4 will be a complete reiteration that builds upon the previous steps to add new functionality. More details and time estimate will be added later.

## 6 | Risk analysis

### 6.1 List of risks

Risk	Probability	Impact
Staff becomes ill	Moderate	Catastrophic
The application only works on the development computer	Low	Catastrophic
Git releases are not publishable/visible	Low	Serious
Requirements change dramatically and require major redesign	Low	Serious
Development time and effort is underestimated	High	Tolerable
The chosen word database doesn't work as expected/can't be used	Moderate	Tolerable
Development computer failure	Low	Tolerable
The development software does not work correctly	Low	Tolerable
The programmer's inexperience results in inefficient problem solving	High	Insignificant

### 6.2 Strategies

**Avoidance:** Avoiding the risks specified above mostly involves choosing the safest option where several options are available. This might mean that instead of choosing a database full of words that the programmer has never used before, the words are instead accessed from a place where the complexity is reduced, such as local text files. This also the main way of keeping to the schedule. If a feature is implemented in the safest and most simple way possible instead of the best way possible, the estimates will be more accurate and the schedule easier to follow. Developing the application in Java will mean that the likelihood of the application not working on other computers is reduced, as Java is designed to be platform independent. Avoiding changes to the requirements and the planning means making the vision and the scope of the project as accurate as possible, as early as possible.

**Minimisation:** Dealing with illness is in this project very important since only one programmer is working on it. If time allows, some things can be worked on before they are scheduled, meaning that the schedule should have some slack planned. Hardware failure is an easy problem to deal with, since all files for the project are backed up in a cloud storage service and therefore always accessible from other computers. Estimation risks can be both avoided and their impact minimised by the same strategy; choosing the least complex solution to problems. Software issues are minimised by having a good understanding of the software the project uses, so that if problems arise, they are more easily solved and require less trouble shooting.

## 6.3 Reflection

I found it very difficult to identify and analyse risks for this project, as this is something I'm very inexperienced with. During previous tasks in other courses, risks have also been a factor that could have been analysed in more depth, but never was. It has just been something that you rarely think about explicitly as risks, but you still take them into consideration when doing rough planning. I think I have managed to come up with somewhat plausible risks, but I feel like I've missed some very obvious things that can go wrong.

## 7 | Time log

Task	Estimate	Actual time	Comments
GitHub repository setup and file organization	30 min	1.5 h	Setup for GitHub was easy, but organizing the file structure locally presented a few problems related to the chosen software.
Documentation structure and basic information	2 h	5 h	Working with LaTeX to structure the document in a way that tries to replicate a template ended up being a larger challenge than anticipated.
Vision	1 h	40 min	This part was shorter and easier to write than first thought.
Project plan	2 h	2.5 h	The time spent thinking about what to include took slightly longer than anticipated.
Plan iteration 1	30 min	30 min	
Plan iteration 2	30 min	40 min	Some additional time was needed to understand what iteration 2 will entail.
Plan iteration 3	30 min	10 min	Not much information is currently known about iteration 3, not much could be specified.
Plan iteration 4	10 min	5 min	Iteration 4 will contain everything in previous iterations, will be specified later.
Risk identification	15 min	25 min	
Risk impact analysis	15 min	15 min	
Risk planning	30 min	20 min	
Skeleton code and class structure	20 min	45 min	Some additional organization was required.
Initial application testing	20 min	2.5h	Encountered unexpected problems when trying to execute files from the terminal, mostly related to java versions.
Git release	15 min	20 min	