

Marshallian demands for quadratic utility

Consider a consumer with the quadratic utility function

$$U(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$

where x_1 and x_2 denote the quantities of goods 1 and 2, respectively. The consumer faces prices $p_1 > 0$ and $p_2 > 0$ and has income $m > 0$. The budget constraint is given by

$$p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 = m$$

- (a) Formulate the consumer's problem
- (b) Derive the first-order conditions (FOCs)
- (c) Solve for the optimal consumption bundle (x_1^*, x_2^*)

Solution

(a) Utility maximization problem

The consumer's problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x_1, x_2} \quad & U(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = m \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(b) First-order conditions

Define the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \lambda(m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2)$$

Taking the partial derivatives with respect to x_1 and x_2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = 1 - x_1 - \lambda p_1 = 0 & \implies x_1 = 1 - \lambda p_1 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2} = 1 - x_2 - \lambda p_2 = 0 & \implies x_2 = 1 - \lambda p_2 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Solving for the optimal consumption bundle

Substituting the expressions for x_1 and x_2 into the budget constraint

$$p_1(1 - \lambda p_1) + p_2(1 - \lambda p_2) = m$$

Simplifying

$$p_1 + p_2 - \lambda(p_1^2 + p_2^2) = m$$

Solving for λ

$$\lambda = \frac{p_1 + p_2 - m}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}$$

Now substituting λ back to obtain the optimal demands

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^* &= 1 - p_1 \frac{p_1 + p_2 - m}{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \\ x_2^* &= 1 - p_2 \frac{p_1 + p_2 - m}{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

Interpretation of the solution

Since the quadratic utility function

$$U(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$

attains its maximum (bliss point) at $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 1$ in the absence of a binding budget constraint, the above solution applies when the consumer's income m is insufficient to reach the bliss point, meaning the budget constraint is binding. If m is sufficiently large so that the bliss point is affordable, the consumer will choose $(1, 1)$ even though it may not exhaust income.