

## Marshallian Demand for a Nested Utility with Min and Substitutes

Consider a consumer with the utility function

$$u(q_1, q_2) = \min\{q_1 + 2q_2, 2q_1 + q_2\},$$

and facing the budget constraint

$$p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 = m, \quad q_1, q_2 \geq 0,$$

with  $p_1, p_2 > 0$  and  $m > 0$ .

Derive the Marshallian (uncompensated) demand functions for  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

Derive the corresponding indirect utility function.

## Solution

### Analysis of the Utility Function

The utility function is given by

$$u(q_1, q_2) = \min\{q_1 + 2q_2, 2q_1 + q_2\}.$$

Since utility is determined by the smaller of the two expressions, a natural strategy for the consumer is to “balance” them. Setting

$$q_1 + 2q_2 = 2q_1 + q_2,$$

we obtain

$$q_1 + 2q_2 = 2q_1 + q_2 \implies q_2 = q_1.$$

Thus, if an interior (balanced) solution exists, the consumer will choose

$$q_1 = q_2.$$

Substituting  $q_2 = q_1$  into either expression yields

$$q_1 + 2q_1 = 3q_1 \quad \text{or} \quad 2q_1 + q_1 = 3q_1,$$

so that the utility is  $3q_1$ .

### Interior (Balanced) Equilibrium

Substituting  $q_2 = q_1$  into the budget constraint:

$$p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_1 = (p_1 + p_2) q_1 = m,$$

which gives

$$q_1^* = q_2^* = \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}.$$

At this bundle, the utility is

$$u(q_1^*, q_2^*) = 3 \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}.$$

### Corner Solutions

If the balanced solution is not optimal, the consumer will specialize in the consumption of one good. We examine two possible corners:

**Corner A: Specialization in Good 1** Setting  $q_2 = 0$ , the utility simplifies to:

$$u(q_1, 0) = \min\{q_1, 2q_1\} = q_1.$$

The budget constraint becomes:

$$p_1 q_1 = m \implies q_1 = \frac{m}{p_1}.$$

Thus, the utility achieved is:

$$u\left(\frac{m}{p_1}, 0\right) = \frac{m}{p_1}.$$

**Corner B: Specialization in Good 2** Setting  $q_1 = 0$ , the utility simplifies to:

$$u(0, q_2) = \min\{2q_2, q_2\} = q_2.$$

The budget constraint becomes:

$$p_2 q_2 = m \implies q_2 = \frac{m}{p_2},$$

and the corresponding utility is:

$$u\left(0, \frac{m}{p_2}\right) = \frac{m}{p_2}.$$

## Determining the Optimal Equilibrium

The consumer compares the utility from the interior solution,

$$u^I = 3 \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2},$$

with the utilities from the corner solutions:

$$u^A = \frac{m}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad u^B = \frac{m}{p_2}.$$

A standard result (which can be verified by analyzing the slopes) shows that the interior solution is optimal if and only if the price ratio satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p_1}{p_2} \leq 2.$$

Thus, the Marshallian demand functions are given by:

$$(q_1^*, q_2^*) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{m}{p_1}, 0 \right), & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left( \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2} \right), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p_1}{p_2} \leq 2, \\ \left( 0, \frac{m}{p_2} \right), & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} > 2. \end{cases}$$

## Indirect Utility Function

The indirect utility function is obtained by substituting the equilibrium demands into the utility function.

**For the interior solution** (when  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p_1}{p_2} \leq 2$ ):

$$V(m, p_1, p_2) = u\left(\frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}\right) = 3 \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}.$$

**For the corner solutions:**

$$V(m, p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{p_1}, & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{m}{p_2}, & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} > 2. \end{cases}$$

**Final Answers:**

$$(q_1^*, q_2^*) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{m}{p_1}, 0 \right), & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left( \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2} \right), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p_1}{p_2} \leq 2, \\ \left( 0, \frac{m}{p_2} \right), & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} > 2. \end{cases}$$

$$V(m, p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{p_1}, & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 3 \frac{m}{p_1 + p_2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p_1}{p_2} \leq 2, \\ \frac{m}{p_2}, & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_2} > 2. \end{cases}$$