


# CET 141: Day 1

Dr. Noori KIM

# **Introduction to electronic theories**

# Analog, digital signals

- Why do we learn Analog or Digital concepts?
  - Due to interaction between the world and computers
- The world is inherently Analog (continuous)
  - In both space (x, y, z) and time (t) 
- Computers are inherently Digital (discrete)
  - In both space (x, y, z) and time (t)



# A computer (digital signal)

- Has to make decisions in every single time
- Uses digital “logic” as a tool for its job
- To make the decisions, answers (outputs) to each question (inputs) must be as simple as
  - Yes/No
  - Correct/Incorrect
  - Right/Wrong
  - 1/0
  - No intermediate status

# The world or nature (analog signals)


- Communicates using continuous signal
- Intermediate states are allowed

- Two possibilities of the conversion:
  1. World to Computers: A to D Conversion
  2. Computers to World: D to A Conversion
- Devices
  1. A to D converters
  2. D to A converters





- A to D converters: **Sensors**
  - Temperature
  - Sound: microphones
  - Optical
  - Pressure: sound level meters
- D to A converters: **Actuators**
  - Motors
  - Sound: speakers
  - Brakes ...





INPUT  
(Analog)  
Hand  
movement



OUTPUT  
(Digital)  
On/OFF

# Electric current, voltage

- The world and nature
  - Inherently analog, continuous, connected, related....
  - “Continuous things” → no limits → difficult to analyze
  - People categorized the things in several discrete manners → call them modalities (or fields)
  - People found generalized parameters to represent each modality
    - Potential (generalized force)
    - Flow

Modality	Conjugate variables (vector in bold)	
	Generalized force [unit]	Flow [unit]
Electric	Voltage ( $\Phi$ ) [V]	Current ( $I$ ) [A]
Mechanic	Force ( $\mathbf{F}$ ) [N]	Particle velocity ( $\mathbf{U}$ ) [m/s]
Acoustic	Pressure ( $P$ ) [N/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Volume velocity ( $\mathbf{V}$ ) [(ms) <sup>-1</sup> ]
Electro-Magnetic	Electric field ( $\mathbf{E}$ ) [V/m]	Magnetic field ( $\mathbf{H}$ ) [A/m]

Table A.1: Example of modalities and their conjugate variables. Upper case symbols are used for the frequency domain variables. The time domain representation of each variable can be described using the lower case of the same character, except in the EM case. But general Electro-Magnetic (EM) theories consider the time domain and its traditional notation uses capital letter for the time domain analysis. Note that in the electric field,  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\Phi$ , where  $\Phi$  is scalar potential, the voltage.

Modality	Product in time domain	Ratio (Impedance $Z$ ) in frequency domain
Electric	$\phi(t)i(t)$	$Z_e = \Phi/I$
Mechanic	$\mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(t)$ (inner product)	$Z_m = F/U$
Acoustic	$p(t)\mathbf{v}(t)$ (intensity)	$Z_a = P/V$
Electro-Magnetic (EM)	$\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ (Poynting vector)	$\eta = \underline{\mathbf{E}}/\underline{\mathbf{H}}$

Table A.2: Power and impedance definitions for each modalities in table A.1. In general, power concept (a product of conjugate variables) can be used in time domain, however the impedance (a ratio) is thought of in the frequency domain. Assuming causality, the Laplace transformation can be applied to convert the impedance to the time domain.

**Very Chim....**


- Voltage: a generalized force in electric field (potential)
- Current: a flow in electric field
- They are not intuitive to understand as they are not tasty, smelly, noisy, tangible, or visible
- However they are measurable and related to each other → VI relationship



V (voltage)  
I (current)


# Resistance (Ohm's law)

- A ratio  $V/I \rightarrow$  a constant
- Ohm's law
  - Characterizes  $V$  and  $I$  relationship with resistance  $V=IR$ , where  $R$  has a unit of “Ohm  $[\Omega]$ ,” called resistance
  - A resistor is a passive, two-terminal, electrical component that **implements** electrical resistance as a circuit element



and 
$$I = \frac{V}{R}$$

$$R = \rho \frac{\ell}{A}$$



A piece of resistive material with electrical contacts on both ends.

**A reciprocal concept to resistivity?**

Conductivity [S]



470 $\Omega$  resistor



12k $\Omega$  resistor.



470 $\Omega$  resistor



12k $\Omega$  resistor.




- Let's measure our resistance using our multimeter
  - I had 3-4 Mega Ohm, I have a huge resistance
  - In another words (same meanings):
    - I am not conductive
    - Current hardly flow through my body
    - I can not be used as a wire
  - Resistance restricts the flow of current
- High resistance → Less current
  - Electrons are hard to travel to produce current flow!

\*Ohm's law:  $V=IR$

# Lightning strike



- People are killed by lightning strikes, why?  
Current hardly flow our body as we have high resistance, how come?




# Basic resistive circuits

- Series / parallel circuits characteristics:  $V$  and  $I$
- Series and parallel resistors





## V and I in series and parallel circuits

### 1) Series




$$V = V_1 + V_2$$

$$I = I_1 = I_2$$




### 2) Parallel



$$V = V_1 = V_2$$

$$I = I_1 + I_2$$

A pipe with water.



Pressure difference  
at the Junction  
A and B.

is same for all pipes


But flow  $I_1, I_2, I_3$   
Can be different  
in each pipe.  
(based on shape differences.)

## Resistors

### 1) Series




### 2) Parallel



$$\frac{1}{R_{\text{total}}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}$$

$$R_{\text{total}} = \frac{R_1 \cdot R_2}{R_1 + R_2}$$

Why?









# Demo

- Let's understand this intuitively.




$V_{AB}?$   
 $I_{AB}?$



$V_{AB}?$   
 $I_{AB}?$

# Lumped Element Abstraction

Consider



- Suppose we wish to answer this question:
  - What is the current through the bulb?

# Do it a hard way by applying...


- Maxwell's equations..

DIFFERENTIAL FORM	INTEGRAL FORM	POPULAR NAME
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$	$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{q}{\epsilon_0}$	Gauss's law for electricity
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$	$\oint \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0$	Gauss's law for magnetism
$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$	$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{\partial \Phi_B}{\partial t}$	Faraday's law of induction
$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$	$\oint \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \Phi_E}{\partial t} + \mu_0 i$	Ampere's law (extended)

Instead, there is an easy way...

# The Easy Way...

- Consider the filament of the light bulb





- We do not care about
  - how current flows inside the filament
  - its temperature, shape, orientation, etc.

We can replace the bulb with

*a discrete resistor*

for the purpose of calculating the current.




$$I = \frac{V}{R}$$

- **$R$**  represents the only property of interest!
- Like with the point-mass:
  - Replace objects with their mass  $m$  to find:  $a=F/m$



Lumped elements can be categorized  
or analyzed via their vi characteristics!!

# V-I Relationship



- R relates element V and I (Ohm's law)
- $I = V/R$  called element v-i relationship


A message so far...

***R***

is a lumped element  
abstraction for the bulb.


# Lumped Elements

- Lumped circuit element described by its  $vi$  relation
- Power consumed by element =  $vi$













# Q: $v$ $i$ relationship?

\* $v$  and  $i$  are variables changing with respect to time




Slope:  $1/R$







a linear active  
resistor with  
resistance  $R < 0$



A bilateral  
nonlinear  
resistor




A pn-  
junction  
diode



An ideal  
diode


# Passive or active?



Positive sign convention

- ACTIVE DEVICES (power by an element is produced): a negative  $R_{static}$  (2nd and 4th quadrants)
- PASSIVE (power by an element is consumed): a positive  $R_{static}$  (1st and 3rd quadrants)

# Simple DC power



- What is the power dissipated in the resistor (in Watts)?  $2\text{ W}$
- What is the power entering the source (in Watts)?  $-2\text{ W}$



Power dissipated in the resistor:  $P_R = \frac{V_S^2}{R} = 2\text{ W}$

Power entering the source:  $P_S = -P_R = -2\text{ W}$



# Simple AC power for resistive circuit

- The plot shows 1/10 second of the voltage waveform of a 120V 60Hz AC (**RMS**, U.S standard)



- The actual voltage:  $120 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \cos(2\pi \cdot 60 \cdot t)$  Volts.
- If we apply this voltage across a resistor ( $110.0\Omega$ ), the resistor will dissipate a time-varying power

- What is the peak power (in Watts) dissipated by the resistor?
- What is the average power (in Watts) dissipated by the resistor?

$$P = I^2 * R$$

- Peak power =  $110.[120 \sqrt{2}/110]^2 = 261.8181$
- Average Power (RMS) dissipated by resistor =  $110.(120/110)^2 = 130.9090$ 
  - average power =  $R \cdot (\text{average value of current})^2$
- Energy? Power integrated over the time.

$$e(t) = \int_0^T p(t) \cdot dt$$

# Back to the *vi* relationship

- Lumped elements: their behavior is completely captured by their V–I relationship
- By having lumped elements: we ignore, can't predict the real components'
  - Smell
  - Shape
  - Weight
  - Health...

Build our playground


# Any Assumptions?

- That seems like a huge simplification, and in general, that is not true.
- So it turns out that we have to make some pretty substantial assumptions in order to make that true.
- Therefore our abstraction is reasonable by defining  $I$  and  $V$

# I (current) definition

$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}$ : the rate of change of charge, where the charge  $q$  is the charge inside the box here

- I into  $S_A$  = I out of  $S_B$
- True only when  $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = 0$  in the filament



$$I_A = I_B \quad \text{only if} \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = 0$$

Which does not have to be true in general

# The real trick

- Remember 1: we are engineers.
  - We are not required to study exactly what it is.
  - Our goal is to build interesting systems.


We are allowed to make changes to the playground

- And I want to say that within that playground, you come in only if for the elements  $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = 0$

Remember 2: Our playground is super. For thousands of decades, our world has depended on it.

# V (voltage) definition

- $V_{AB}$  defined when  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$
- $$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^A E \cdot dl - \int_0^B E \cdot dl \\
 &= \int_0^A E \cdot dl - \left( - \int_B^0 E \cdot dl \right) \\
 &= \int_{AB} E \cdot dl = V_{AB}
 \end{aligned}$$
- Where  $\frac{\partial \phi_A}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \phi_B}{\partial t} = 0$



$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$ : The rate of change of magnetic flux linked with any portion of the circuit must be 0 for all time.

$V_{AB}$ : the line integral of  $E \cdot dl$  will be true when  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$  is 0, **outside elements**.



# Assumptions in our playground

1.  $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = 0$ , inside

2.  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = 0$ , outside

LMD: Lumped  
Matter  
Discipline

3. Signal speeds of interest should be way lower than speed of light

If these three assumptions are true: our lumped circuit model works. We can build very simple circuits.

# The lumped circuit abstraction?

For example, take lumped elements

- a resistor + a voltage source + ideal wires.

Then circuits such as this that you build are called lumped circuits.


Lumped circuit abstraction:

- Very, very, simple and powerful techniques.
- Can discard the use of Maxwell's equations
- Use some very, very simple algebraic equations to be able to solve complicated circuits.

Let's apply LMD to our circuit problem.

- **What does LMD buy us?**

- Replace the differential equations with simple algebra using lumped circuit abstraction (LCA).




A node: wires (elements) come together.

A loop: a connection of all elements that form a full circuit.


# Voltages in a loop under LMD?

Faradays...

$$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{\partial \Phi_B}{\partial t} \xrightarrow{\text{LMD}} 0$$


Therefore (take a loop)  $\Rightarrow$  

$$\Rightarrow \int_{ca} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \int_{ab} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \int_{bc} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0 \quad \text{by LMD.}$$
$$\Rightarrow V_{ca} + V_{ab} + V_{bc} = 0. \quad \text{// KVL}$$



**Kirchhoff's Voltage Law (KVL):**  
The sum of the voltages in a closed loop is 0.

# Current?



A closed surface around point a

$$\oint_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\frac{dQ}{dt} \quad \text{LMD} = 0.$$

the surface  $S \Rightarrow 3$  currents <sup>are</sup> going into it.

$$\rightarrow I_{ca} + I_{da} + I_{ba} = 0.$$

simply conservation of a charge

**Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL):**

The sum of the currents into a node is 0.

# KVL and KCL Summary

- KVL in a loop:  $\sum V_{drop} = \sum V_{gain}$

Remember our  
playground assumptions

- KCL at a node:  $\sum I_{in} = \sum I_{out}$

# What will happen outside of our play ground?

For example:


1.  $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \neq 0$ , inside and
2.  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \neq 0$ , outside



DEMO

Strange things are happening

# Quasi-static assumptions in classic circuit theories: Eddy currents and diffusion waves



- If a magnetic field near a conductor is changing in time, the traveling magnetic field can be described by the diffusion equation
- A magnet traveling inside of a copper pipe
  - The primary magnetic field, “eddy current”, the eddy current which cancels the force of gravity.
  - The magnet falling outside of a conductor: a free fall
  - Falling inside of the conducting pipe: experiencing a significant delay, due to the opposing force caused by the eddy current (against gravity)

# Tools

1. Resistors in series/parallel
2. Voltage/current divider

# v-i relationships (from lumped circuit abstraction)


- For a R
- For a voltage source
- For a current source


# How to solve a circuit question


1. Set a GND
2. Set a polarity for every single component
3. Apply Ohm's law, KCL, KVL

Always remember,


- Currents flow from + to –
- A voltage is calculated across two nodes as a difference.












# The Voltage-Divider Circuits

$$v_s = iR_1 + iR_2,$$

$$i = \frac{v_s}{R_1 + R_2}.$$




Via Ohm's law

$$v_1 = iR_1 = v_s \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2},$$


$$v_2 = iR_2 = v_s \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}.$$

- Find the maximum and minimum value of  $v_o$



$$v_o = 100V \frac{100k}{100k + 25k} = 80V$$

# The Current-Divider Circuits




$$v = i_1 R_1 = i_2 R_2 = \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2} i_s$$

$$i_1 = \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} i_s$$

$$i_2 = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} i_s$$

- Find the power dissipated in the  $6\Omega$  resistor




$i_o$ : the current in the  $1.6\Omega$  resistor

$$i_6 = \frac{4}{6 + 4}(8) = 3.2\text{ A,}$$

The power dissipated in the  $6\Omega$  resistor is  
 $p = (3.2)^2(6) = 61.44\text{ W.}$

- Find  $R_{eq}$ , and Use current division to find the current  $i_0$  and use voltage division to find the voltage  $v_0$



$$R_{eq} = (36 + 44) \parallel 10 \parallel (40 + 10 + 30) \parallel 24$$

$$= 80 \parallel 10 \parallel 80 \parallel 24 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{80} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{80} + \frac{1}{24}} = 6 \Omega$$

$$i_0 = \frac{(36 + 44) \parallel 10 \parallel (40 + 10 + 30)}{(36 + 44) \parallel 10 \parallel (40 + 10 + 30) + 24} 8A = 2A$$

$$v_0 = \frac{30}{40 + 10 + 30} \times 48V = 18V$$

$$v = (24)(2) = 48V$$

The voltage drop across the 24Ω resistor