

AFP 2021-2022 Take home question

Finitely branching trees

1. In the course of the lectures, we saw how to define a *universe* for generic programming closed under products (pairs), coproducts (*Either*), and constant types. To do so, we defined a data type to represent the types in the universe U , and a function mapping elements of U to the pattern functor they represent.

```
data  $U : Set$  where
   $_ \oplus _ : U \rightarrow U \rightarrow U$ 
   $_ \otimes _ : U \rightarrow U \rightarrow U$ 
   $I : U$ 
   $K : Set \rightarrow U$ 
  ...
   $elU : U \rightarrow Set \rightarrow Set$ 
  ...
```

In this question, we will explore an alternative representation of such types, namely as *finitely branching trees*. We will begin by defining the following data type:

```
data  $Tree (S : Set) (P : S \rightarrow Nat) : Set$  where
   $Node : (s : S) \rightarrow (Fin (P s) \rightarrow Tree S P) \rightarrow Tree S P$ 
```

Values of type $Tree S P$ are (recursive) tree-like data structures. Here the ‘shape’ S describes the (non-recursive) parts of the data type. For every shape $s : S$, there are $P s$ recursive subtrees. The inhabitants of the type $Tree S P$ correspond to a choice of constructor from S and a function $Fin (P s) \rightarrow Tree S P$, that can be used to consult the i -th subtree. Remember that the $Fin n$ data type, corresponding to a type with precisely n inhabitants, is defined as follows:

```
data  $Fin : Nat \rightarrow Set$  where
   $fzero : forall \{n\} \rightarrow Fin (succ n)$ 
   $fsucc : forall \{n\} \rightarrow Fin n \rightarrow Fin (succ n)$ 
```

- (a) (2 points) We will begin by modelling lists of natural numbers as Tree-types. Given the following data type:

```
data  $ListS : Set$  where
   $Nil : ListS$ 
   $Cons : Nat \rightarrow ListS$ 
```

Define a function $ListP : ListS \rightarrow Nat$, such that $Tree ListS ListP$ is isomorphic to $List Nat$.

Also define the constructor functions:

```
 $nil : Tree ListS ListP$ 
 $cons : Nat \rightarrow Tree ListS ListP \rightarrow Tree ListS ListP$ 
```

- (b) (2 points) Define $TreeS$ and $TreeP$ such that $Tree TreeS TreeP$ is isomorphic to the following tree type:

```
data  $Tree : Set$  where
   $Node : Tree \rightarrow Tree \rightarrow Tree$ 
   $Leaf : Nat \rightarrow Tree$ 
```

- (c) (4 points) Write a generic size function that counts the number of $Node$ constructors in its argument:

```
 $gsize : Tree S P \rightarrow Nat$ 
```

You may find it helpful to define an auxiliary function of the following type:

```
 $sumFin : (n : Nat) \rightarrow (Fin n \rightarrow Nat) \rightarrow Nat$ 
```

Such that $sumFin n f$ sums all the natural numbers in the image of f .

- (d) (4 points) Let $M : Set$ be a monoid, that is, we have a zero element $z : M$ and ‘addition’ operation $- +_- : M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M$. Define an analogue of Haskell’s *foldMap* function on finitely branching trees:

$$foldMap : (S \rightarrow M) \rightarrow Tree\ S\ P \rightarrow M$$

- (e) (5 points) Show that *Tree* types are closed under coproducts. That is, define operations:

$$\begin{aligned} - \oplus_S - & : (S : Set) \rightarrow (S' : Set) \rightarrow Set \\ - \oplus_P - & : (P : S \rightarrow Nat) \rightarrow (P' : S' \rightarrow Nat) \rightarrow (S \oplus_S S' \rightarrow Nat) \\ inl & : Tree\ S\ P \rightarrow Tree\ (S \oplus_S S')\ (P \oplus_P P') \\ inr & : Tree\ S'\ P' \rightarrow Tree\ (S \oplus_S S')\ (P \oplus_P P') \end{aligned}$$

- (f) (5 points) Given a choice of $S : Set$ and $P : S \rightarrow Nat$, we can compute a the pattern functor corresponding to *Tree* $S\ P$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{data}\ DPair\ (S : Set)\ (B : S \rightarrow Set) : Set\ \mathbf{where} \\ - , - & : (s : S) \rightarrow B\ s \rightarrow DPair\ S\ B \\ toPF & : (S : Set) \rightarrow (P : S \rightarrow Nat) \rightarrow Set \rightarrow Set \\ toPF\ S\ P\ a & = DPair\ S\ (\lambda s \rightarrow Fin\ (P\ s) \rightarrow a) \end{aligned}$$

Use this definition to formulate properties showing your definitions for coproducts is correct. *Hint:* define conversions between the pattern functors arising between coproducts and the usual coproduct of pattern functors using *Either*. Prove that these conversions are mutual inverses.