### MATH 524 Homework 6

Cade Ballew #2120804

November 27, 2023

### 1 Problem 1

Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, m)$ . Then, since both |f| and |g| are measurable, the functions K(x, y) = |f(x - y)| and G(x, y) = |g(y)| are Lebesgue measurable in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . More explicitly,  $K = |f| \circ s$  where s(x, y) = x - y is continuous, so K is Lebesgue measurable. Thus, the product |f(x - y)g(y)| is measurable as a function of x and y, so we apply Tonnelli's theorem and utilize the shift invariance of the Lebesgue measure to get

$$\iint |f(x-y)||g(y)| dxdy = \int \left( \int |f(x-y)||g(y)| dx \right) dy = \int \left( \int |f(x)| dx \right) |g(y)| dy$$
$$= \left( \int |f(x)| dx \right) \left( \int |g(y)| dy \right) < \infty.$$

In particular, this implies that

$$\iint |f(x-y)||g(y)| dxdy = \int \left(\int |f(x-y)g(y)| dy\right) dx < \infty,$$

meaning that  $\int |f(x-y)g(y)| dy < \infty$  for almost every x. Thus, f(x-y)g(y) is integrable in y for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Using the substitution z = x - y and the shift invariance of the Lebesgue measure, we see that

$$(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - y)g(y)dy = \int f(z)g(x - z)dz = (g * f)(x),$$

for all x for which these functions are defined. That is, f \* g = g \* f almost everywhere. Finally, we use our first result to conclude that

$$||f * g||_1 = \int \left| \int f(x - y)g(y) dx \right| dy \le \iint |f(x - y)||g(y)| dx dy = \left( \int |f(x)| dx \right) \left( \int |g(y)| dy \right) = ||f||_1 ||g||_1.$$

# 2 Problem 2 (Folland Problem 11)

### 2.1 Part a

Consider a finite subset  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset L^1(\mu)$  and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Corollary 3.6 in Folland, for each  $f_j$ , there exists some  $\delta_j > 0$  such that  $\left| \int_E f_j d\mu \right| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta_j$ . Let  $\delta = \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \delta_j$ . Then, for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\left| \int_E f_j d\mu \right| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta$ . Thus,  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n$  is uniformly integrable.

#### 2.2 Part b

Now, let  $\{f_n\} \subset L^1(\mu)$  converge to  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  in the  $L^1$  metric and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for  $n \geq N$ . By the reverse triangle inequality,

$$\left| \int_{E} f_{n} d\mu \right| - \left| \int_{E} f d\mu \right| \leq \left| \int_{E} (f_{n} - f) d\mu \right| \leq \int_{E} |f_{n} - f| d\mu \leq \int |f_{n} - f| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, by Corollary 3.6 in Folland, since  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , there exists some  $\hat{\delta} > 0$  such that  $\left| \int_E f \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  if  $\mu(E) < \hat{\delta}$ . Therefore,  $\left| \int_E f_n \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \epsilon$  if  $\mu(E) < \hat{\delta}$  and  $n \geq N$ . As in part a, for each  $f_n$  with n < N, there exists some  $\delta_n > 0$  such that  $\left| \int_E f_n \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta_n$ . Now, let  $\delta = \left\{ \min_{n \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}} \delta_n, \hat{\delta} \right\}$ . Then, clearly,  $\left| \int_E f_n \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly integrable.

## 3 Problem 3 (Folland Problem 17)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\nu = \mu | \mathcal{N}$ , and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Define the signed measure  $\hat{\lambda}$  on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  by

$$\hat{\lambda}(E) = \int_{E} f d\mu, \quad E \in \mathcal{M},$$

and let  $\lambda = \hat{\lambda} | \mathcal{N}$ . Then,  $\lambda \ll \nu$  because for any  $E \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\nu(E) = 0$ ,  $\mu(E) = 0$ , and  $\lambda(E) = \int_E f \mathrm{d}\mu = 0$ . Thus, the Lebesgue–Radon–Nikodym theorem (Theorem 3.8 in Folland) gives that there exists some  $g = \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\nu}$  that is extended  $\nu$ -integrable such that  $\mathrm{d}\lambda = g\mathrm{d}\nu$ . Furthermore, if g' is another such function, then  $g' = g \nu$ -almost everywhere. This means that for any  $E \in \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$\int_{E} f d\mu = \lambda(E) = \int_{E} d\lambda = \int_{E} g d\nu.$$

Since  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , the leftmost integral is always finite, so the rightmost integral is as well, meaning that  $g \in L^1(\nu)$ .

# 4 Problem 4 (Folland Problem 21)

Let  $\nu$  be a complex measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . For  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , define

$$\mu_1(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{1}^{n} |\nu(E_j)| : n \in \mathbb{N}, E_1, \dots, E_n \text{ disjoint}, E = \bigsqcup_{1}^{n} E_j \right\},$$

$$\mu_2(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_j)| : E_1, E_2, \dots \text{ disjoint}, E = \bigsqcup_{1}^{\infty} E_j \right\},$$

$$\mu_3(E) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_E f d\nu \right| : |f| \le 1 \right\}.$$

For any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , we trivially have that  $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)$ , since

$$\left\{\sum_{1}^{n}\left|\nu\left(E_{j}\right)\right|:n\in\mathbb{N},E_{1},\ldots,E_{n}\text{ disjoint, }E=\bigsqcup_{1}^{n}E_{j}\right\}\subset\left\{\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left|\nu\left(E_{j}\right)\right|:E_{1},E_{2},\ldots\text{ disjoint, }E=\bigsqcup_{1}^{\infty}E_{j}\right\},$$

clearly holds. If  $E = \bigsqcup_{1}^{\infty} E_{j}$ , then proposition 3.13a gives that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_j)| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\nu|(E_j) = |\nu|(E),$$

so we must have that  $\mu_2(E) \leq |\nu|(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Following the first hint, for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\mu_3(E) \ge \left| \int_E \overline{\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}|\nu|}} \mathrm{d}\nu \right|.$$

We note that Proposition 3.9a generalizes to complex measures as mentioned in Section 3.3, so by this and Proposition 3.13b,

$$\mu_3(E) \ge \left| \int_E \frac{\overline{\mathrm{d}\nu}}{\mathrm{d}|\nu|} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}|\nu|} \mathrm{d}|\nu| \right| = \left| \int_E \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}|\nu|} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}|\nu| \right| = \left| \int_E \mathrm{d}|\nu| \right| = ||\nu|(E)| = |\nu|(E).$$

Thus, for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E) \leq |\nu|(E) \leq \mu_3(E)$ . To show that these are equalities, fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $|f| \leq 1$  as in the definition of  $\mu_3$ . Then, by Theorem 2.26 in Folland, there exists some simple function  $\phi$  such that

$$\left| \int_{E} f d\nu \right| \le \left| \int_{E} \phi d\nu \right| + \epsilon.$$

Let the representation of  $\phi$  be given by  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \nu(F_j)$  for  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  disjoint and  $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} F_j$ . Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \int_{E} f d\nu \right| \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \nu(F_{j} \cap E) \right| + \epsilon \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} |c_{j}| |\nu(F_{j} \cap E)| + \epsilon.$$

Now, define  $E_j = F_j \cap E$  and note that because  $|f| \le 1$ ,  $|c_j| \le 1$  for all  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Thus,

$$\left| \int_{E} f d\nu \right| \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\nu(E_{j})| + \epsilon.$$

Furthermore,  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  are disjoint and  $E = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j$ . Since this holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $|f| \le 1$ , we must have that  $\mu_3(E) \le \mu_1(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus,  $\mu_1(E) = \mu_2(E) = \mu_3(E) = |\nu|(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

### 5 Problem 5

Consider the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}$  on (0,1] generated by sets of the form  $E_k = \left(\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$  and let  $f \in L^1\left((0,1],\mathcal{B}_{(0,1]},m\right)$  be given. Let g be the conditional expectation of f on  $\mathcal{A}_n$  as defined in Problem 3. Then, since g is  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable, it must be constant on each  $E_k$ . If it weren't, then there would exist some a such that  $A_a = \{x \in X : g(x) < a\}$  would contain a nontrivial subset of  $E_k$ . Because  $\mathcal{A}_n$  contains only unions of the disjoint sets  $E_k$ , a nontrivial subset cannot be  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable. Let  $\hat{m}$  denote the restriction of m to  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $e_k$  denote the value of e0 on e1. Then, it must hold that for all e2,

$$\int_{E_k} f \mathrm{d} m = \int_{E_k} g \mathrm{d} \hat{m} = c_k \hat{m}(E_k) = c_k m(E_k) = \frac{c_k}{2^n}.$$

Thus, for  $x \in E_k$ ,

$$g(x) = c_k = 2^n \int_{E_k} f dm = 2^n \int_{\frac{k}{2n}}^{\frac{k+1}{2^n}} f(x) dx.$$

Since the sets  $E_k$  cover (0,1] disjointly, this defines g on all of (0,1]. Since any  $E \in \mathcal{A}_n$  can be written as the disjoint union of the sets  $E_k$ , it immediately follows by additivity that

$$\int_{E} f \mathrm{d}m = \int_{E} g \mathrm{d}\hat{m},$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , so g as defined above must be the conditional expectation of f on  $\mathcal{A}_n$  that is unique m-almost everywhere.