# Stat 443: Time Series and Forecasting

## Assignment 4: Analysis in the Frequency Domain

### Caden Hewlett

### April 03, 2024

## Question 1

Consider the following second-order AR process AR(2) process for $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\{Z_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{WN}(0,\sigma^2)$.

$$X_t = \frac{7}{10}X_{t-1} - \frac{1}{10}X_{t-2} + Z_t$$

We have previously shown that the autocorrelation function $\gamma(h)$ for $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by:

$$\rho(h) = \frac{16}{11}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|h|} - \frac{5}{11}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{|h|}, \quad h \in \mathbb{Z}$$

### Part A: Normalized Spectral Density

Derive the normalized spectral density function $f^\star(\omega)$ for $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

**Solution**

We begin by verifying that the Fourier Transform is well defined.

$$\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho(h)| = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{16}{11}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|h|} - \frac{5}{11}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{|h|} \right| \overset{?}{<} \infty$$

$$\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho(h)| = \left( \frac{16}{11} - \frac{5}{11} \right) + 2\left( \frac{16}{11}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^h - \frac{5}{11}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^h \right)$$

$$\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho(h)| = 1 + 2\left( \frac{16}{11}\left(\frac{1/2}{1-1/2}\right) - \frac{5}{11}\left(\frac{1/5}{1-1/5}\right) \right)$$

$$\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho(h)| = 1 + 2\left( \frac{16}{11} - \frac{5}{11}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right) = \boxed{\frac{81}{22} < \infty, \therefore \text{ well-defined.}}$$

Now, we evaluate given $\rho$, recalling that for $\omega \in (0,1)$ and even functions, the normalized spectral density is given by:

$$f^\star(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\left( \rho(0) + 2\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\rho(h)\cos(\omega h) \right), \quad \omega \in (0,1)$$

Where $\rho(0) = 1$.

We will evaluate the infinite sum and substitute the result into the equation above. We will re-instate coefficients $A_1$ and $A_2$ from the previous assignment during intermediate steps for simplicity. In addition, we

will let $d_1 = 1/2$ and $d_2 = 1/5$, noting that the geometric series equation is usable here as $|d_1|$ and $|d_2|$ are both less than 1.

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{16}{11}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|h|} - \frac{5}{11}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{|h|} \right)\cos(\omega h)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|} - A_2(d_2)^{|h|} \right)\cos(\omega h), \quad \text{using variable form.}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \underbrace{\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right)}_{\text{Term 1}} - \underbrace{\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_2(d_2)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right)}_{\text{Term 2}}$$

We will evaluate Term 1 and Term 2 separately. We will use the following identities without proof:

$$\cos(\omega h) = \frac{1}{2}\left( e^{ih\omega} + e^{-ih\omega} \right), \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a \cdot r^n = \frac{ar}{(1-r)}, \quad |r| < 1,\ a \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2}$$

Evaluating Term 1, noting that $|h| = h$ since the summation spans $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = A_1 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (d_1)^{|h|}\left( \frac{1}{2}\left( e^{ih\omega} + e^{-ih\omega} \right) \right), \quad \text{by (1)}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (d_1)^h\left( e^{ih\omega} + e^{-ih\omega} \right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2}\left( \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}(d_1)^h e^{ih\omega} + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}(d_1)^h e^{-ih\omega} \right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2}\left( \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left( d_1\, e^{i\omega} \right)^h + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left( d_1 e^{-i\omega} \right)^h \right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2}\left( \frac{d_1 e^{i\omega}}{1 - d_1 e^{i\omega}} + \frac{d_1 e^{-i\omega}}{1 - d_1 e^{-i\omega}} \right), \quad \text{by (2)}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2}\left( \frac{d_1 e^{i\omega}(1 - d_1 e^{-i\omega}) + d_1 e^{-i\omega}(1 - d_1 e^{i\omega})}{(1 - d_1 e^{i\omega})(1 - d_1 e^{-i\omega})} \right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2}\left( \frac{d_1(e^{i\omega} + e^{-i\omega}) - 2d_1^2}{1 - d_1(e^{i\omega} + e^{-i\omega}) + d_1^2} \right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1}{2}\left( \frac{2d_1\cos(\omega) - 2d_1^2}{1 - 2d_1\cos(\omega) + d_1^2} \right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_1(d_1\cos(\omega) - d_1^2)}{1 - 2d_1\cos(\omega) + d_1^2}$$

Similarly, if we repeat this exact same process with $A_2$ and $d_2$, noting that $|d_2| < 1$ and $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ also satisfy the requirements of (1) and (2), we arrive at Term 2:

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( A_2(d_2)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h) \right) = \frac{A_2(d_2\cos(\omega) - d_2^2)}{1 - 2d_2\cos(\omega) + d_2^2}$$

Then, we can recombine these into our original expression for the infinite sum:

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left(A_1(d_1)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h)\right) - \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left(A_2(d_2)^{|h|}\cos(\omega h)\right)$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \frac{A_1(d_1\cos(\omega)-d_1^2)}{1-2d_1\cos(\omega)+d_1^2} - \frac{A_2(d_2\cos(\omega)-d_2^2)}{1-2d_2\cos(\omega)+d_2^2}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \left(\frac{16}{11}\right)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos(\omega)-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right)}{1-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\cos(\omega)+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{5}\cos(\omega)-\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^2\right)}{1-2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\cos(\omega)+\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^2}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho(h)\cos(\omega h) = \left(\frac{16}{11}\right)\frac{2\cos(\omega)-1}{5-4\cos(\omega)} - \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)\frac{5\cos(\omega)-1}{2(13-5\cos(\omega))}$$

Then, combining the expressions we can get the final expression for the normalized spectral density:

$$f^\star(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\left(1 + 2\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\rho(h)\cos(\omega h)\right)$$

$$f^\star(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\left(1 + 2\left(\left(\frac{16}{11}\right)\frac{2\cos(\omega)-1}{5-4\cos(\omega)} - \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)\frac{5\cos(\omega)-1}{2(13-5\cos(\omega))}\right)\right)$$

$$\boxed{f^\star(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{32}{11\pi}\left(\frac{2\cos(\omega)-1}{5-4\cos(\omega)}\right) - \frac{5}{11\pi}\left(\frac{5\cos(\omega)-1}{13-5\cos(\omega)}\right)}$$

We can verify these results below:

```
w = pi/4
# define acf
rho <- function(h){  (16/11)*((1/2)^h) - (5/11)*((1/5)^h) }
# define the "infinite sum"
sum_vals = sum(sapply(1:1000, function(h){
  rho(h)*cos(w*h)
}))
# from the equation...
eqnval = (1/pi)*(rho(0) + 2*sum_vals)

# from our simplification
fw1 = 1/pi
fw2 = (32/(11*pi)) * ( (2 * cos(w) - 1) / (5 - 4*cos(w)) )
fw3 = (5/(11*pi)) * ( (5 * cos(w) - 1) / (13 - 5*cos(w)) )
# comparison
c(eqnval, fw1 + fw2 - fw3)
```

```
## [1] 0.4561755 0.4561755
```

We see that the values are identical for at least the first $10,000$ lags at fixed $\omega = \pi/4$.

## Part B: Power Spectral Density

Write down the power spectral density function of $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

**Solution**

We recall from the definition of normalized spectral density that

$$f^\star(\omega) = \frac{f(\omega)}{\sigma_X^2}$$

Where $\sigma_X^2$ is the variance of $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Directly, then, we can write $f(\omega)$ as:

$$f(\omega) = \sigma_X^2 f^\star(\omega) = \gamma(0)f^\star(\omega)$$

We re-establish the Yule-Walker equations, where $\alpha_1 = 7/10$ and $\alpha_2 = -1/10$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t X_t) = \alpha_1 \mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t-1}) - \alpha_2 \mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t-2}) + \mathbb{E}(X_t Z_t)$$
$$\mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t-1}) = \alpha_1 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} X_{t-1}) - \alpha_2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} X_{t-2}) + \mathbb{E}(Z_t X_{t-1})$$
$$\mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t-2}) = \alpha_1 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} X_{t-2}) - \alpha_2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-2} X_{t-2}) + \mathbb{E}(Z_t X_{t-2})$$

Which becomes the following system of three equations:

$$\gamma(0) = \alpha_1 \gamma(1) + \alpha_2 \gamma(2) + \sigma^2$$
$$\gamma(1) = \alpha_1 \gamma(0) + \alpha_2 \gamma(1)$$
$$\gamma(2) = \alpha_1 \gamma(1) + \alpha_2 \gamma(0)$$

test area:

$$\gamma(1) = \alpha_1 \gamma(0) + \alpha_2 \gamma(1)$$
$$\gamma(1) = \frac{\alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_2}\gamma(0)$$

then

$$\gamma(2) = \alpha_1 \gamma(1) + \alpha_2 \gamma(0)$$
$$\gamma(2) = \alpha_1 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_2}\gamma(0)\right) + \alpha_2 \gamma(0)$$
$$\gamma(2) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_2^2}{1 - \alpha_2}\right)\gamma(0)$$

finally

$$\gamma(0) = \alpha_1 \gamma(1) + \alpha_2 \gamma(2) + \sigma^2$$
$$\gamma(0) = \alpha_1 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_2}\right)\gamma(0) + \alpha_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_2^2}{1 - \alpha_2}\right)\gamma(0) + \sigma^2$$
$$\gamma(0) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1^2\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2^3}{1 - \alpha_2}\right)\gamma(0) + \sigma^2$$
$$\gamma(0)\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1^2\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2^3}{1 - \alpha_2}\right) = \sigma^2$$
$$\gamma(0)\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha_2) - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1^2\alpha_2 - \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^3}{1 - \alpha_2}\right) = \sigma^2$$
$$\gamma(0) = \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \alpha_2)}{1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1^2\alpha_2 - \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^3}$$

Then, we can evaluate at our given $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$, simplifying the fraction using Python to avoid human error.

```python
from sympy import *

alpha_1, alpha_2, sigma_sq = symbols('alpha_1 alpha_2 sigma_sq')

gamma_0_expr = ( sigma_sq * (1 - alpha_2) /
    (1 - alpha_2 - alpha_1**2 - alpha_1**2 * alpha_2 - alpha_2**2 + alpha_2**3))

alpha_1_val = Rational(7, 10)
alpha_2_val = Rational(-1, 10)

gamma_0_eval = gamma_0_expr.subs({alpha_1: alpha_1_val, alpha_2: alpha_2_val})

gamma_0_simplified = nsimplify(gamma_0_eval)
print(gamma_0_simplified)
```

## 275*sigma_sq/162

Then, we can verify both our results and Python's simplification as follows, assuming $\sigma^2 = 1$.

```r
set.seed(3)
alpha_1 <- 7/10
alpha_2 <- -1/10
sigma_sq <- 1

gamma_0 <- (sigma_sq * (1 - alpha_2) /
      (1 - alpha_2 - alpha_1^2 - alpha_1^2 * alpha_2 - alpha_2^2 + alpha_2^3))

ar_params <- c(alpha_1, alpha_2)
simulated_data <- arima.sim(n = 5000000,
                            model = list(ar = c(7/10, -1/10)))

simulated_gamma_0 <- var(simulated_data)
# use both regular and simplified fraction version
cat("Computed Variance Using Simplified Fraction:", 275/162, "\n")
```

## Computed Variance Using Simplified Fraction: 1.697531

```r
cat("Computed Variance Using Computation:", gamma_0, "\n")
```

## Computed Variance Using Computation: 1.697531

```r
cat("Variance of Simulated AR(2) process:", simulated_gamma_0, "\n")
```

## Variance of Simulated AR(2) process: 1.697897

It seems the computation very closely approximates the truth. Hence, we conclude that:

$$f(\omega) = \gamma(0)f^\star(\omega) = \frac{275\sigma^2}{162}\left( \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{32}{11\pi}\left( \frac{2\cos(\omega)-1}{5-4\cos(\omega)} \right) - \frac{5}{11\pi}\left( \frac{5\cos(\omega)-1}{13-5\cos(\omega)} \right) \right)$$

## Part C: Plot and Comments

Plot the normalized spectral density and comment on its behaviour.

The normalized spectral density equation ended up being quite long, so we'll define each term one-by-one in the function below:

```r
f = function(w){
  term_1 = 1
  term_2 = (32/11)*((2*cos(w) - 1) / (5 - 4*cos(w)))
  term_3 = (5 /11)*((5*cos(w) - 1) / (13 - 5*cos(w)))
  return ( (1/pi)*(term_1 + term_2 - term_3) )
}
```

Then, we plot the function for a long sequence of $\omega$ values in $(0, \pi)$:

```r
omega = seq(from = 0, to = pi, length.out = 1e4)
# define data frame for values
p1df = data.frame(omega = omega,
           f_star_omega = f(omega))
# build plot
p1 <- ggplot(p1df, aes(x = omega, y = f_star_omega)) +
  geom_line(color = "#ff8600", linewidth = 0.1) +
  labs(
    title = "Normalized Spectral Density for AR(2) Process",
    subtitle = TeX(paste(
      "$X_t = \\alpha_1 X_{t-1} + \\alpha_2 X_{t-2} + Z_t$",
      "where",
      "$\\{\\,Z_t\\,\\}_{t \\in {Z}} \\sim WN(0, \\sigma^2)$",
      "and $\\alpha_1 =$7/10, $\\alpha_2 =-$1/10")),
    y = TeX("Normalized Spectral Density   $f^{*}(\\omega)$"),
    x = TeX("Frequency  $\\omega \\in (0, \\pi)$")
  ) + theme_bw() +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 0, lty = 'dashed', col = "darkgrey")+
  scale_x_continuous(
    breaks = c(0, pi/4, pi/2, 3*pi/4, pi),
    labels = c(TeX("0"), TeX("$\\pi$/4"), TeX("$\\pi$/2"),
              TeX("$3\\pi$/4"), TeX("$\\pi$")))+
  theme(panel.grid.minor = element_line(
    color = "grey90",
    linetype = "dashed",
    linewidth = 0.5
  ))
print(p1)
```

## Normalized Spectral Density for AR(2) Process

$X_t = \alpha_1 X_{t-1} + \alpha_2 X_{t-2} + Z_t$ where $\{ Z_t \}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\alpha_1 = 7/10$, $\alpha_2 = -1/10$



**Comments**: It appears that the normalized spectral density plot is largely dominated by low frequencies. We can tell this is the case due to the fact that the largest values of $f^\star(\omega)$ is for small $\omega \in (0, \pi)$ specifically for $\omega \in (0, \pi/4)$ we see the majority of frequencies with observed normalized density greater than 0.5. This tells us that a greater proportion of the variance inherent in the process $X_t$ can be attributed to the lower frequencies. As we would expect of a normalized spectral density dominated by low $\omega$ values, we see that $f^\star(\omega)$ is strictly decreasing as $\omega \to \pi$.

# Question 2

Given the spectral density function

$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\Big(62 - 70\cos(\omega) + 12\cos(2\omega)\Big), \quad \omega \in (0,1)$$

compute the autocovariance function $\gamma(h)$ and autocorrelation function $\rho(h)$ of the underlying stochastic process, where $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We will begin with computing the autocovariance function $\gamma(h)$ at lag $h = 0$, then at $h = 1$, $k = 2$ and finally $h > 2$.

Further, for notational simplicity, we let $a = 62$, $b = 70$ and $c = 12$, meaning that:

$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big), \quad \omega \in (0,1)$$

## Part A: Lag 0

For $h = 0$, we have the following:

$$\gamma(0) = \int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big)\right]\cos(0 \times \omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

Let's evaluate this integral to find the autocovariance at lag zero.

$$\gamma(0) = \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big)\right]\cos(0)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(0) = \frac{a}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(0) = \frac{a}{\pi}\left(\omega\,\Big|_0^\pi\right) - \frac{b}{\pi}\left(\sin(\omega)\,\Big|_0^\pi\right) + \frac{c}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin(2\omega)\,\Big|_0^\pi\right)$$

$$\gamma(0) = \frac{a}{\pi}(\pi - 0) - \frac{b}{\pi}\Big(\sin(\pi) - \sin(0)\Big) + \frac{c}{2\pi}\Big(\sin(2\pi) - \sin(0)\Big)$$

$$\gamma(0) = a - \frac{b}{\pi}\Big(0 - 0\Big) + \frac{c}{2\pi}\Big(0 - 0\Big)$$

$$\gamma(0) = a = \boxed{62}$$

## Part B: Lag 1

We repeat these calculations, but now for $h = 1$. We retain $a = 62$, $b = 70$ and $c = 12$.

For $h = 1$, we have the following:

$$\gamma(1) = \int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big)\right]\cos(1 \times \omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

As before, we expand and evaluate this integral.

We will use the following trigonometric identities without proof:

$$\cos^2(\theta) = 1 - \sin^2(\theta) \tag{3}$$

$$\sin^2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \cos(2\theta)\Big) \tag{4}$$

$$\gamma(1) = \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \Big( a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega) \Big) \right] \cos(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = \frac{a}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(2\omega)\cos(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = \frac{a}{\pi} \left( \sin(\omega) \Big|_0^\pi \right) - \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = 0 - \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \Big( 1 - \sin^2(\omega) \Big) \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega \qquad \text{applying (3)}$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = -b + \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{2} \Big( 1 - \cos(2\theta) \Big) \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega \qquad \text{applying (4)}$$

$$\gamma(1) = -b + \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int_0^\pi \cos(2\theta) \mathrm{d}\omega}_{\text{shown to be 0 in Part A}} + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = -b + \frac{b}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = -b + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

We apply a manipulation of equation (4) to evaluate the final integral:

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega) \Big( 1 - 2\sin^2(\omega) \Big) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{2c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\sin^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{\pi} \left( \sin(\omega) \Big|_0^\pi \right) - \underbrace{\frac{2c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\sin^2(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega}_{\text{let } q = \sin(\omega)}$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} + 0 - \frac{2c}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega) q^2 \left( \frac{1}{\cos(\omega)} \right) \mathrm{d}q$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} - \frac{2c}{3\pi} \left( q^3 \Big|_{\omega=0}^\pi \right)$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} - \frac{2c}{3\pi} \left( \sin^3(\pi) - \sin^3(0) \right)$$

$$\gamma(1) = -\frac{b}{2} = -\frac{70}{2} = \boxed{-35}$$

## Part C: Lag 2

We repeat these calculations, but now for $h = 2$. We retain $a = 62$, $b = 70$ and $c = 12$.

For $h = 2$, we have the following:

$$\gamma(2) = \int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big)\right]\cos(2\times\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

As before, we expand $f(\omega)$ and evaluate this integral.

$$\gamma(2) = \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big)\right]\cos(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(2) = \frac{a}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{\pi}\underbrace{\int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega}_{\text{Shown in Part B to be zero.}} + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(2\omega)\cos(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(2) = \frac{a}{2\pi}\Big(\sin(2\omega)\Big|_0^\pi\Big) - \frac{b}{\pi}(0) + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos^2(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega, \qquad \text{applying (3)}$$

$$\gamma(2) = \frac{a}{2\pi}\Big(\sin(2\pi) - \sin(0)\Big) + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \Big(1 - \sin^2(2\omega)\Big)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(2) = \frac{a}{2\pi}(0) + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi 1\mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \sin^2(2\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(2) = \frac{c}{\pi}(\pi) - \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \cos(2\cdot 2\omega)\Big)\mathrm{d}\omega, \qquad \text{applying (4)}$$

$$\gamma(2) = c - \frac{c}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi 1\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(4\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\gamma(2) = c - \frac{c}{2\pi}(\pi) + \frac{c}{2\pi}\Big(\frac{1}{4}\sin(4\omega)\Big|_0^\pi\Big)$$

$$\gamma(2) = c - \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{8\pi}\Big(\sin(4\pi) - \sin(0)\Big)$$

$$\gamma(2) = \frac{c}{2} = \frac{12}{2} = \boxed{6}$$

## Part D: Lags Greater Than 2

Before we evaluate lags $h > 2 \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we will establish the following lemma:

_Lemma 1_: Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ We will show that:

$$\forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ s.t. } m \neq n, \ \int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = 0$$

_Proof_: In order to show this equality holds, we will use the following product-to-sum identity of cosines without proof. Let $\theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\cos(\theta)\cos(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{2}\cos(\theta + \vartheta) + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\theta - \vartheta) \tag{2.1}$$

We will use (2.1) to evaluate the integral.

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\cos(m\omega+n\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\cos(m\omega-n\omega)\right)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\pi \underbrace{\cos\left((m+n)\omega\right)}_{\text{Let } q=(m+n)\omega}\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\pi \underbrace{\cos\left((m-n)\omega\right)}_{\text{Let } \nu=(m-n)\omega}\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2(m+n)}\int_0^\pi \cos(q)\,\mathrm{d}q + \frac{1}{2(m-n)}\int_0^\pi \cos(\nu)\,\mathrm{d}\nu, \qquad \text{requires } m \neq n$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2(m+n)}\left(\sin(q)\Big|_{\omega=0}^\pi\right) + \frac{1}{2(m-n)}\left(\sin(\nu)\Big|_{\omega=0}^\pi\right)$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2(m+n)}\left(\sin(m\omega+n\omega)\Big|_0^\pi\right) + \frac{1}{2(m-n)}\left(\sin(m\omega-n\omega)\Big|_0^\pi\right)$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2(m+n)}\left(\sin\left((m+n)\pi\right) - \sin(0)\right) + \frac{1}{2(m-n)}\left(\sin\left((m-n)\pi\right) - \sin(0)\right)\Big)$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2(m+n)}\sin\left((m+n)\pi\right) + \frac{1}{2(m-n)}\sin\left((m-n)\pi\right)$$

We note that $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, by properties of integers, we know that $m+n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m-n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We can hence note that:
$$(m \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge n \in \mathbb{Z}) \implies \exists \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \ell = m+n$$

Since $(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $m \neq n$, we know that $m+n > 0$, $\therefore \ell > 0$ .

Similarly, we have that:
$$(m \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge n \in \mathbb{Z}) \implies \exists \varrho \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \varrho = m-n$$

Further, since $(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $m \neq n$, we know that $\varrho \neq 0$. We also note by properties of sine that $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \sin(k \cdot \pi) = 0$.

Finally, we can rewrite our simplified integral in terms of $\ell$ and $\varrho$ and solve.

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\ell}\sin(\ell\pi) + \frac{1}{2\varrho}\sin(\varrho\pi)$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\ell}(0) + \frac{1}{2\varrho}(0), \quad \text{since } \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \sin(k \cdot \pi) = 0$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = (0) + (0), \qquad \text{since } \ell \neq 0 \text{ and } \varrho \neq 0$$

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = 0$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\forall (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ s.t. } m \neq n, \int_0^\pi \cos(m\omega)\cos(n\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega = 0, \quad \text{as required. } \square$$

**Application of the Lemma**

Now, we can show that $\forall h > 2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ that:

$$\gamma(h) = \int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = 0$$

_Proof_: We begin by expanding $f(\omega)$ with our previous variable assignments:

$$\int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\Big(a - b\cos(\omega) + c\cos(2\omega)\Big)\right]\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{a}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(2\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{a}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(0\cdot\omega)\cos(h\cdot\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(1\cdot\omega)\cos(h\cdot\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \cos(2\cdot\omega)\cos(h\cdot\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega$$

Now, from the expansion on the third line, we can draw an interesting parallel to the lemma established previously. Let $M = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $N = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n > 2\}$.

We see by construction of these sets $M \cap N = \emptyset$. Importantly,

$$M \cap N = \emptyset \implies \forall n \in N, \forall m \in M,\ m \neq n$$

Now, if we let $M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $n \in N := \{h \in \mathbb{Z} : h > 2\}$, we can rewrite our integral in terms of $m$ and $n$ and simplify using our lemma.

$$\int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{a}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \underbrace{\cos(m_1\cdot\omega)\cos(n\cdot\omega)}_{\forall n\in N,\,m_1\neq n}\mathrm{d}\omega - \frac{b}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \underbrace{\cos(m_2\cdot\omega)\cos(n\cdot\omega)}_{\forall n\in N,\,m_2\neq n}\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{c}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \underbrace{\cos(m_2\cdot\omega)\cos(n\cdot\omega)}_{\forall n\in N,\,m_3\neq n}\mathrm{d}\omega$$

$$\int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{a}{\pi}(0) - \frac{b}{\pi}(0) + \frac{c}{\pi}(0),\ \text{by set construction and Lemma 1.}$$

$$\int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \boxed{0}$$

So, we can conclude that:

$$\forall h > 2, \gamma(h) = \int_0^\pi f(\omega)\cos(h\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = 0,\ \text{as required.}\ \square$$

## Conclusions

Hence, bringing all the previous parts together, we can conclude that for $h \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} \gamma(-h), & h < 0 \\ 62, & h = 0 \\ -35, & h = 1 \\ 6, & h = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we find $\rho(h)$ for $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ by dividing $\gamma(h)$ by $\sigma_X^2 = \gamma(0) = 62$.

$$\rho(h) = \begin{cases} \rho(-h), & h < 0 \\ 1, & h = 0 \\ -35/62, & h = 1 \\ 3/31, & h = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can also provide a plot of the theoretical ACF to get a more easily interpretable idea of how the autocorrelation of $X_t$ changes for lags $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

```
p2DF = data.frame(
  h = 0:9,
  rh = c(1, -35/62, 3/31, rep(0, times = 7))
)
p2 <- ggplot(p2DF, aes(x = h, y = rh)) +
  geom_segment(aes(xend = h, yend = 0),
               color = "#FF70A6",
               linewidth = 1.1) +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 0,
             linetype = "dashed",
             color = "darkgray") +
  labs(x = "Lag",  y = TeX("Theoretical $\\rho(h)$ Values"),
       title =  TeX(paste("Theoretical ACF Given Spectral Density $f(\\omega)$",
       "for $\\omega \\in (0, 1)$ and $h \\in Z$")) )+
  theme_bw() +
  theme(panel.grid.minor = element_line(color = "grey90",
                                        linetype = "dashed", linewidth = 0.5))
print(p2)
```



Theoretical ACF Given Spectral Density f(ω) for ω ∈ (0, 1) and h ∈ Z

## Question 3

The data file `accel_watch.csv` contains three axes of accelerometer data for a test subject that was walking at a steady pace while wearing a biologging watch. The accelerometer data was recorded in meters per second squared and measured every 0.05 seconds (i.e., at a rate of 20 observations per second)

## Part A: Data Preprocessing and Investigation

### Part A.1.

Read the data into R.

```r
df = read.csv("accel_watch.csv")
```

### Part A.2.

Create a vector that contains the magnitude of acceleration at each time index.

We will use the following to define the column:

$$\|\vec{a}\| = \sqrt{a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2}$$

```r
df$Mag = sqrt(df$Ax^2 + df$Ay^2 + df$Az^2)
kable( t( head(df$Mag) ), caption = "Preview of $\\| \\vec{a} \\|$ Vector")
```

Table 1: Preview of $\|\vec{a}\|$ Vector

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17.88641 | 15.61458 | 12.2083 | 12.02874 | 11.79417 | 12.63943 |

### Part A.3.

Coerce the vector into a time series object.

We are told that the accelerometer data was recorded in meters per second squared and measured every 0.05 seconds (i.e., at a rate of 20 observations per second.) Hence, we set `frequency = 20`, as `?ts` informs us that the `frequency` parameter is "the number of observations per unit of time."

```r
accel_ts = ts(df$Mag, frequency = 20)
```

### Part A.4.

Plot the resulting time series and its sample acf. (Make sure to properly label the axes and provide titles for the plots.)

Time Series Plot

```r
# coerce time series into a plottable object
p3DF = fortify.zoo(accel_ts)
# build plot
p3 <- ggplot(p3DF, aes(x = Index, y = accel_ts)) +
  geom_line(color = "#70D6FF", linewidth = 0.8) +
  labs(
    title = TeX(paste("Acceleration Magnitude",
    "$\\| \\textbf{a} \\|$ of Subject over Time, in $(m / s^2)$")),
    subtitle = "Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace, Wearing a Biologging watch.",
    y = TeX("Acceleration Magnitude  $\\| \\textbf{a} \\|$  in $(m / s^2)$"),
    x = TeX("Elapsed Time (seconds)")
  ) + theme_bw() +
  theme(panel.grid.minor = element_line(
    color = "grey90",
    linetype = "dashed",
    linewidth = 0.5
  ))
```

```
# show results
print(p3)
```

## Acceleration Magnitude ‖**a**‖ of Subject over Time, in $(m/s^2)$

Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace, Wearing a Biologging watch.



Sample ACF

```
# get sample acf values
p5DF = data.frame(
  h = 0:36,
  rh = acf(accel_ts, plot = FALSE, lag.max = 36)$acf
)
# find n for WN bound calculation
n = length(accel_ts)
# create plot
p5 <- ggplot(p5DF, aes(x = h, y = rh)) +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 2/sqrt(n),
             linetype = "dashed",
             col = "#59054d") +
  geom_hline(yintercept = -2/sqrt(n),
             linetype = "dashed",
             col = "#59054d") +
  ylim(-0.4, 1) +
  geom_segment(aes(xend = h, yend = 0),
               color = "#b846a7",
               linewidth = 1) +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 0,
             linetype = "dashed",
             color = "#33172f") +
```

```
    labs(x = "Lag",  y = "ACF Values",
     title = TeX(paste("Correlogram of Acceleration Magnitude",
      "$\\| \\textbf{a} \\|$ of Subject")),
    subtitle = paste("Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace for appx.",
    "Six Seconds, Wearing a Biologging Watch")) +
    theme_bw()
print(p5)
```

## Correlogram of Acceleration Magnitude ‖a‖ of Subject

Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace for appx. Six Seconds, Wearing a Biologging Watch



**Part A.5.**

Comment on what you observe.

In both the Sample ACF and the plot of the time series, there is evidence of a seasonal component $s_t$. By this fact, we know that the series is not stationary (by the first property of weakly stochastic processes.) The ACF shows a distinct periodic component which is not increasing or decreasing exponentially in magnitude over time; this is evidence of perhaps an additive seasonal component. This would match our intuition with an individual walking while wearing a biologging watch: a potential cause of the periodicity lies with the natural swinging of the subject's arm as they walk. This back-and-forth motion would cause a periodic $z$-axis acceleration $a_z$ which we would then see exemplified in a varying magnitude of acceleration. Further, in the plot of the time series itself, there may be a slight negative trend in the data, though this is difficult to discern purely from a visual standpoint. Depending on how the experiment was conducted, a negative trend would not be surprising as it is possible that the subject would begin to slow down their walking speed as the experiment drew to a close. This would then be reflected in a decrease of $a_x$ and/or $a_y$, which would yield an overall decline in $\|\vec{a}\|$.

## Part B: Raw Periodogram

### Part B.1.

Plot the raw periodogram for the series.

```r
N = length(accel_ts)
# compute raw periodogram
raw_pdg = spec.pgram(accel_ts, log = "no", plot = F)
# coerce to data frame
p6DF = data.frame(
  Frequency = raw_pdg$freq,
  Spectrum =  raw_pdg$spec
)
# plot
p6 <- ggplot(p6DF, aes(x = Frequency, y = Spectrum)) +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 0,  linetype = "dashed", color = "#909342") +
  geom_segment(aes(
    x = Frequency,   xend = Frequency,
    y = 0,   yend = Spectrum,
  ), color = "#d4d692")+
  geom_line(color = "#909342", linewidth = 0.5) +
  geom_point(color = "#909342", fill = "#ebeda6", pch = 21) +
  labs(
    title = "Raw Periodogram of Acceleration Magnitude Time Series",
    subtitle = paste(
      "Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace,",
      "Wearing a Biologging Watch for appx. 6s."
    ),
    y = TeX("Observed Spectrum"),
    x = TeX("Frequency")
  ) +
  theme_bw() +
  theme(panel.grid.minor = element_line(
    color = "grey90",
    linetype = "dashed",
    linewidth = 0.5
  ))
print(p6)
```

## Raw Periodogram of Acceleration Magnitude Time Series
### Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace, Wearing a Biologging Watch for appx. 6s.



**Comments**: Dominated by low frequencies, two peaks in spectrum magnitude at (. . . ) and (. . . ), tails off into noise as $f \uparrow$, for higher frequencies. Thereby we know a (. . . larger proportion of the total variation in the series is attributed to the lower frequencies in the series (?).) There may also be signs of harmonics, as we can see roughly equidistant peaks at (. . . values go here for those first three after big peak)

**Part B.2.**

To estimate the angular frequency and wavelength of the dominating frequency, we must first determine which frequency dominates the raw periodogram. Let $f^{\mathrm{p}}(\omega)$ be the periodogram spectrum. Let $\Omega$ be the set of cardinality $N/2$ containing the frequency values. We hence denote the dominating frequency as $\varpi$, where:

$$\varpi = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\omega \in \Omega} \Big( f^{\mathrm{p}}(\omega) \Big)$$

In this case, the dominating frequency is found via the following:

```
LOC = which.max(raw_pdg$spec)
dfreq = raw_pdg$freq[LOC]
dfreq
```

```
## [1] 1
```

The the Angular Frequency from can be found by converting back to its corresponding Fourier Frequency (in radians.)

$$\varpi_{\otimes} = \frac{2\pi\varpi}{N} = \frac{2\pi(1)}{100} = \frac{\pi}{50}$$

Then, we recall that the wavelength is found with $1/\phi$, where $\phi$ is the location of the peak in spectrum. In our case, this is $\varpi = 1$. Hence, the wavelength is approximately $1/\phi = 1/\varpi = 1$ second(s).

## Part C

Build a function in R that generates the Fourier frequency $\omega_p$ for a given time series and given constant $p \in \{0, 1, \dots N/2\}$.

We will use the equation:

$$\omega_p := \frac{2\pi p}{N}, \quad p \in \{0, 1, \dots N/2\}$$

Document the inputs and outputs of this function so that another person would be able to understand how to use your function.

The full function definition is below:

```r
#' @param ts A `ts` object of length `N`, where `N` is even.
#' @param p A numeric $p \in \{0, 1, \dots N/2\}$
#' @return the Fourier Frequency `omega_p` for the given p and time series
gen_freq <- function(ts, p){
  # check that the function is being given a time series
  if (!is.ts(ts)) {
    stop("Input `ts` must be a time series object.")
  }
  # get the time series length if the above check passes
  N <- length(ts)
  # then validate that this is even
  if (N %% 2 != 0){
    warning("Detected Odd-Length ts. Using Floor...")
    N <- N - 1 # this will cause N/2 to be floor(N/2)
  }
  # check that the input p is a numeric
  if (!is.numeric(p)) {
    stop("Input `p` must be numeric.")
  }
  # check that the input p is within [0, N/2]
  if (p < 0 || p > (N / 2)) {
    stop("Input `p` must be in the range 0 to N/2.")
  }
  # check that the input p is an integer
  if (round(p) != p){
    p = round(p)
    warning("Input `p` is not an integer. Using Rounded Value")
  }
  # now that all tests pass...
  omega_p = (2*pi*p)/N
  # return p-th Fourier Frequency
  return(omega_p)
}
```

What is the output of your function for $p = 10$?

When $p = 10$, the function return $\pi/5$.

```r
gen_freq(accel_ts, 10) # == (pi / 5)
```

```
## [1] 0.6283185
```

## Part D

Here, we will fit all the fourier frequencies and determine which are significant, do some testing, and fit a finalized model.

### Part D.1.

In order to do this, we will first compute the set of frequencies for $p \in \{0, 1, \dots N/2\}$ using the function from the previous question.

We will denote this set $\Omega$, where $|\Omega| = \frac{N}{2} + 1$ is defined as follows, where $\omega_p$ is the $p$-th set element:

$$\Omega = \left\{ \frac{2\pi p}{N} : p \in \mathbb{Z},\ 0 \le p \le \frac{N}{2} \right\},\ \text{hence } \forall \omega_p \in \Omega,\ \omega_p \in [0, \pi] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

```
obsv_w = sapply(0:(N/2), gen_freq, ts = accel_ts)
```

### Part D.2.

Then, we will fit a model of the following one-at-a-time $\forall \omega_p \in \Omega$ where $X_t$ is the magnitude of acceleration at index $t$:

$$X_t = a_0 + a_p \cos(\omega_p \cdot t) + b_p \sin(\omega_p \cdot t) + \varepsilon,\ \text{where } \varepsilon \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) \text{ and } t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

We can equivalently formulate this model as:

$$X_t^p = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{N} \Big( a_p \cos(\omega_p \cdot t) + b_p \sin(\omega_p \cdot t) \Big) + \varepsilon,\ \text{where } \varepsilon \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

Where the superscript $p$ indicates the Fourier Frequency $\omega_p \in \Omega$.

Hence, the $p$-th model fitted will have the form:

$$\widehat{X}_t^p = \hat{a}_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{N} \Big( \hat{a}_p \cos(\omega_p \cdot t) + \hat{b}_p \sin(\omega_p \cdot t) \Big),\ \text{where } \omega_p \in \Omega$$

We hence let $\mathfrak{X}$ be the set of all models fitted such that the $p$-th entry of $\mathfrak{X}$ is the model fitted $\widehat{X}_t^p$, i.e. $\mathfrak{X} = \left\{ \widehat{X}_t^0, \widehat{X}_t^1, \dots, \widehat{X}_t^{N/2} \right\}$. Then, finally, we compute the $F$-statistic for each model in $\mathfrak{X}$, creating the following set of statistics, letting $F(\widehat{X})$ be the $F$-statistic of model $\widehat{X}$:

$$\mathcal{F} : \left\{ F\big( \widehat{X}_t^p \big) : \widehat{X}_t^p \in \mathfrak{X} \right\},\ \text{where } \forall F \in \mathcal{F},\ F \sim \mathrm{F}_{k-1, N-k}$$

Where $k = 3$ and $N = 100$. In each instance, the $F$-statistic is computed by the standard ratio of sum of squares, i.e. for arbitrary $\widehat{X}_t^p$, we have that

$$F : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R},\ \text{s.t. } F\big( \widehat{X}_t^p \big) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} \big( \widehat{X}_t^p - \overline{X} \big)^2 / (k-1)}{\sum_{t=1}^{N} \big( X_t - \widehat{X}_t^p \big)^2 / (N-k)}$$

Where $\widehat{X}_t^p$ are the fitted values at time $t$, $\overline{X}$ is the sample mean of the series and $X_t$ is the observed value at time $t$.

Then, after all of this discussion, the whole procedure can be done directly as follows:

```
bigF = unlist(sapply(obsv_w, function(w){
  summary(lm(df$Mag ~ cos(w*(1:N)) + sin(w*(1:N))))$fstatistic[[1]]
}))
```

It should be clarified that each test statistic was against the model fit hypothesis, in other words:

$$H_0 : a_p = b_p = 0 \quad \text{against} \quad H_A : \text{ at least one of } \{a_p, b_p\} \neq 0$$

Thus, the set of $p$-values can be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{P} : \left\{ \mathbb{P}(\,\mathrm{F}_{k-1, N-k} > F_p) : F_p \in \mathcal{F} \right\}, \text{ hence } \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \ p \in (0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

We compute and plot the $p$-values in $\mathcal{P}$ below. We omit the code for creating the `ggplot` object.

```
# declare K as noted above
k = 3
# compute P set as defined above, in a data frame for plotting
p6df = data.frame(
  pval = pf(bigF, k - 1, N - k, lower.tail = FALSE),
  index = 1:length(bigF))
```

```
print(p6)
```



We then extract the index of the significant coefficients. These correspond to $\omega_5$ and $\omega_{10}$ (as shown below) which makes sense given the peaks in the original raw periodogram. We add `1` to the indexing of `signif_ws` to adjust for the list starting from $\omega_0$ (which has an `NA` $p$-value in the regression model.)

```
signif_ws = obsv_w[ p6df$index[p6df$pval < 0.05] + 1 ]
# here, we verify that the significant ones correspond to w_5 and w_10
signif_ws == sapply(c(5, 10), gen_freq, ts = accel_ts)
```

## [1] TRUE TRUE

## Part E

Give the estimated coefficients for the linear model that results from using all significant frequencies found in part **(d)**

We define the unified model using both $\omega_5$ and $\omega_{10}$. We will hence fit a model of the following form:

$$\widehat{X}_{\text{unified}} = \hat{a}_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left( \hat{a}_5 \cos(\omega_5 \cdot t) + \hat{b}_5 \sin(\omega_5 \cdot t) \right) + \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left( \hat{a}_{10} \cos(\omega_{10} \cdot t) + \hat{b}_{10} \sin(\omega_{10} \cdot t) \right)$$

Which can be simplified to:

$$\widehat{X}_{\text{unified}} = \hat{a}_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left( \hat{a}_5 \cos(\omega_5 \cdot t) + \hat{b}_5 \sin(\omega_5 \cdot t) + \hat{a}_{10} \cos(\omega_{10} \cdot t) + \hat{b}_{10} \sin(\omega_{10} \cdot t) \right)$$

We compute the unified model using both $\omega_5$ and $\omega_{10}$ below.

```
unified_model = lm(df$Mag ~ (
    cos(signif_ws[1] * (1:N)) + sin(signif_ws[1] * (1:N)) +
    cos(signif_ws[2] * (1:N)) + sin(signif_ws[2] * (1:N))
))
```

We then report coefficients $\{\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_5, \hat{b}_5, \hat{a}_{10}, \hat{b}_{10}\}$ in the table below, to three decimal places.

```
# round and transform
un_coefs = t(data.frame(as.numeric(round(coefficients(unified_model), 3))))
rownames(un_coefs) = "Estimate"
# format in a nice table
kable(un_coefs, "latex", escape = FALSE,
      col.names = c("$\\hat{a}_0$", "$\\hat{a}_5$", "$\\hat{b}_5$",
                    "$\\hat{a}_{10}$", "$\\hat{b}_{10}$"),
  caption = "Fitted Coefficients for Significant Frequencies")  %>%
  kable_styling(latex_options = "hold_position") %>%
  kable_styling(position = "center")
```

Table 2: Fitted Coefficients for Significant Frequencies

|  | $\hat{a}_0$ | $\hat{a}_5$ | $\hat{b}_5$ | $\hat{a}_{10}$ | $\hat{b}_{10}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Estimate | 13.431 | -2.97 | 2.181 | 1.485 | 1.711 |

## Part F

Below, we plot $X_t$ alongside $\widehat{X}_{\text{unified}}$ for the training data. We also add a 95% confidence interval for the in-sample fit, to have a rough quantification of the uncertainty inherent in the fitted unified model.

```
model_fit = as.data.frame(predict(unified_model, interval = "confidence"))
# format as data frame
p7DF = data.frame(
  Time = time(accel_ts),
```

```r
    Actual = as.numeric(accel_ts),
    Predicted = as.numeric(model_fit$fit),
    Lower = as.numeric(model_fit$lwr),
    Upper = as.numeric(model_fit$lwr)
)
# create plot
p7 = ggplot(data = p7DF, aes(x = Time)) +
  # lines for obsv, pred and interval bounds
  geom_line(aes(y = Actual, color = "Actual"),  lwd = 0.8, alpha = 0.7) +
  geom_line(aes(y = Predicted, color = "Fitted"), lwd = 0.8, alpha = 0.7) +
  # legend and colour assignment
  scale_color_manual(name = "",
    values = c(
    "Actual" = "#8a817c",
    "Fitted" = "#8f2d56"
  )) +
  # add titles with units
  labs(
    title = TeX(paste("Actual vs. Fitted Acceleration Magnitude",
    "$\\| \\textbf{a} \\|$ of Subject over Time, in $(m / s^2)$")),
    subtitle = "Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace, Wearing a Biologging watch.",
    y = TeX("Acceleration Magnitude  $\\| \\textbf{a} \\|$  in $(m / s^2)$"),
    x = TeX("Elapsed Time (seconds)")
  ) +
  scale_x_continuous() +
  theme_bw() +
  theme(panel.grid.minor =  element_line(
    color = "grey90",
    linewidth  = 0.35,
    linetype = "dashed"
  ), legend.position = "top", legend.justification = "left",
  legend.margin = margin(0,0,0,0))
print(p7)
```

Actual vs. Fitted Acceleration Magnitude ||**a**|| of Subject over Time, in (m/s

Test Subject Walking at Steady Pace, Wearing a Biologging watch.