STAT 447 Assignment 3

Caden Hewlett

2024-01-24

Question 1: Functions on the Unit Interval

For this question, use Simple Monte Carlo. The main twist compared to week one is that you will use a continuous random variable.

Part 1

Write a function called mc_estimate that takes a function $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and outputs the Monte Carlo estimator of $\int_0^1 f(x)dx$ using n=100,000 independent samples from unif(0,1).

NOTE: Because my computer could handle it, I used M = 100,000 rather than M = 10,000 just for fun. The results are similar (but obviously more precise for larger M.)

The function is created using the code below:

```
mc_estimate <- function(f){
    # declare the number of iterations
M <- 100000
    # randomly generate M total observations in [0, 1]
m_vals <- runif(M)
    # then, for all m in random generations, evaluate f(m)
G_m <- f(m_vals)
    # then compute the average
G_hat_m <- (1/M)*sum(G_m)
    # and return
    return(G_hat_m)
}</pre>
```

Part 2

Consider the function $f:[0,1]\to[0,\infty)$ given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{x^2(1-x)}}$$

Note, importantly, that

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)}$$

Test your implementation of mc_estimate by checking that it produces an answer close to the value above. We'll start by computing the actual result:

```
expected = pi / (sin(pi/3))
expected
```

[1] 3.627599

Now, we implement mc_estimate.

```
# Apollo 11 moon landing, as an integer
set.seed(19690720)

f <- function(x){
   1 / ( ((x^2)*(1 - x))^(1/3) )
}

observed <- mc_estimate(f)
observed</pre>
```

[1] 3.629373

It seems we got pretty close! Let's calculate the percent difference.

```
(abs(observed - expected) / expected)*100
```

[1] 0.04892034

It looks like there's about a 0.05% difference between \hat{G}_M for M=100,000.

For completeness, I also ran the code with M=10,000 and observed approximately a 2.52% difference.

Part 3

The following integral, known as the sine integral, does not admit a closed-form expression.

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(t)}{t} \mathrm{d}t$$

It does not admit a closed-form expression. Estimate its value using mc_estimate(f).

To test our Monte Carlo Approximation, we will evaluate Si(1) using the pracma package.

```
Si(1)
```

[1] 0.9460831

Now, we can see how close our mc_estimate gets. We let $g(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$.

```
set.seed(19690720)

g <- function(x){
   sin(x) / x
}
observed = mc_estimate(g)
observed</pre>
```

[1] 0.9464033

It looks like we got really close! Let's find the percent difference:

```
expected = Si(1)
# we overwrite our old variables for convenience (and memory)
(abs(observed - expected) / expected)*100
```

[1] 0.03384555

It looks like there's about a 0.03% difference between \hat{G}_M for M=100,000.

For completeness, I also ran the code with M=10,000 and observed approximately a 0.09% difference.

Question 2: Implementing SNIS for simPPLe

Part 1

First, install the package distr.

Since this is a .Rmd file, all packages are loaded at the beginning in a hidden cell with suppressed warnings.

```
"distr" %in% installed.packages()
```

[1] TRUE

Part 2

Read this short tutorial on distr. Nothing to submit for this item.

Part 3

Read the "scaffold code", and use distr and two of the functions in the example to create a fair coin, flip it, and to compute the probability of that flip:

The scaffold code is given below:

```
source("scaffold.R")
```

The documented code to complete this task is shown below:

[1] "The coin flipped a 1. The probability of this flip is 0.5"

Part 4

Complete the implementation of the function posterior:

To do this, let's consider what inputs we are given, relative to the Importance Sampling procedure we discussed in lecture.

Notably, we aren't given a test function g(x) so we will assume it is the indicator function.

We will use:

$$g(x) = \mathbb{1}(X = 1)$$

So we can approximate $P(X = 1 \mid Y = y)$.

Following the Algorithm, the first thing we have to do is find $(X^{(m)})$ for iteration $m \in [1, ..., M] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

We'll do this by adding the line defining the m variable.

Further, we'll define the function g(x) prior to the for loop, explicitly as a function. This means we can return to this code later and improve it (perhaps allowing g(x) to be passed as a parameter.) This allows us to compute $G^{(m)} = g(X^{(m)})$.

```
posterior = function(ppl_function, number_of_iterations) {
   numerator = 0.0
   denominator = 0.0
   g = function(x) {x == 1}
   for (i in 1:number_of_iterations) {
      weight <<- 1.0
      m <- ppl_function()
      G <- g(m)
      # update numerator and denominator
      numerator <- numerator + (weight*G)
      denominator <- denominator + weight
   }
   return(numerator/denominator)
}</pre>
```

Part 5

Test your program by checking that you can approximate the posterior probability of the fair coin obtained in exercise 1, Q.2.

We'll first recite the Coin Flip example in the context of simPPLe, and load the scaffold.

```
coin_flips = rep(0, 4) # "dataset" of four identical coin flips = (0, 0, 0, 0)
# simPPLe's description of our "bag of coins" example
my_first_probabilistic_program = function() {
    # Similar to forward sampling, but use 'observe' when the variable is observed
    coin_index = simulate(DiscreteDistribution(supp = 0:2))
    for (i in seq_along(coin_flips)) {
        prob_heads = coin_index/2
        observe(coin_flips[i], Bern(1 - prob_heads))
}

# return the test function g(x, y)
    return(ifelse(coin_index == 1, 1, 0))
}
```

Recalling my answer from 1.2 we know that we should be convergent in probability to 1/17.

In other words, since $g(X) = \mathbb{1}(X = 1)$,

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} (\hat{G}_m) = \mathbb{E}[g(X)] = P(X = 1 \mid Y_{1:4} = \vec{0}) = \frac{1}{17}$$

Let's try some different values of M.

```
set.seed(08041961)
posterior(my_first_probabilistic_program, 100)

## [1] 0.05226481

set.seed(08041961)
posterior(my_first_probabilistic_program, 1000)

## [1] 0.0553609

set.seed(08041961)
posterior(my_first_probabilistic_program, 5000)

## [1] 0.05809581
```

We seem to be getting closer to the truth as we increase M.

```
1/17
```

[1] 0.05882353