# STAT 447 Assignment 3

Caden Hewlett

2024-01-24

## Question 1: Functions on the Unit Interval

For this question, use Simple Monte Carlo. The main twist compared to week one is that you will use a continuous random variable.

#### Part 1

Write a function called mc\_estimate that takes a function  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  and outputs the Monte Carlo estimator of  $\int_0^1 f(x)dx$  using n=100,000 independent samples from unif(0,1).

**NOTE:** Because my computer could handle it, I used M = 100,000 rather than M = 10,000 just for fun. The results are similar (but obviously more precise for larger M.)

The function is created using the code below:

```
mc_estimate <- function(f){
    # declare the number of iterations
M <- 100000
    # randomly generate M total observations in [0, 1]
m_vals <- runif(M)
    # then, for all m in random generations, evaluate f(m)
G_m <- f(m_vals)
    # then compute the average
G_hat_m <- (1/M)*sum(G_m)
    # and return
    return(G_hat_m)
}</pre>
```

## Part 2

Consider the function  $f:[0,1]\to[0,\infty)$  given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{x^2(1-x)}}$$

Note, importantly, that

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)}$$

Test your implementation of mc\_estimate by checking that it produces an answer close to the value above. We'll start by computing the actual result:

```
expected = pi / (sin(pi/3))
expected
```

## [1] 3.627599

Now, we implement mc\_estimate.

```
# Apollo 11 moon landing, as an integer
set.seed(19690720)

f <- function(x){
   1 / ( ((x^2)*(1 - x))^(1/3) )
}

observed <- mc_estimate(f)
observed</pre>
```

## [1] 3.629373

It seems we got pretty close! Let's calculate the percent difference.

```
(abs(observed - expected) / expected)*100
```

## [1] 0.04892034

It looks like there's about a 0.05% difference between  $\hat{G}_M$  for M=100,000.

For completeness, I also ran the code with M=10,000 and observed approximately a 2.52% difference.

## Part 3

The following integral, known as the sine integral, does not admit a closed-form expression.

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(t)}{t} \mathrm{d}t$$

It does not admit a closed-form expression. Estimate its value using mc\_estimate(f).

To test our Monte Carlo Approximation, we will evaluate Si(1) using the pracma package.

```
Si(1)
```

## [1] 0.9460831

Now, we can see how close our mc\_estimate gets. We let  $g(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$ .

```
set.seed(19690720)

g <- function(x){
   sin(x) / x
}

observed = mc_estimate(g)
observed</pre>
```

## [1] 0.9464033

It looks like we got really close! Let's find the percent difference:

```
expected = Si(1)
# we overwrite our old variables for convenience (and memory)
(abs(observed - expected) / expected)*100
```

## [1] 0.03384555

It looks like there's about a 0.03% difference between  $\hat{G}_M$  for M = 100,000.

For completeness, I also ran the code with M = 10,000 and observed approximately a 0.09% difference.

## Question 2: Implementing SNIS for simPPLe

## Part 1

First, install the package distr.

Since this is a .Rmd file, all packages are loaded at the beginning in a hidden cell with suppressed warnings.

```
"distr" %in% installed.packages()
```

## [1] TRUE

## Part 2

Read this short tutorial on distr. Nothing to submit for this item.

For completeness, I will work through the tutorial on my own and place the results here.

```
# Commented out to avoid spamming outputs
# distPoisson <- Pois(lambda = 3.2)
#
# d(distPoisson)(2)
#
# sum(r(distPoisson)(10))
#
# d(sqrt(distPoisson))(2)</pre>
```

### Part 3

Read the "scaffold code", and use distr and two of the functions in the example to create a fair coin, flip it, and to compute the probability of that flip:

The scaffold code is given below:

```
## Utilities to make the distr library a bit nicer to use

p <- function(distribution, realization) {
    d(distribution)(realization) # return the PMF or density
}

Bern = function(probability_to_get_one) {
    DiscreteDistribution(supp = 0:1, prob = c(1-probability_to_get_one, probability_to_get_one))
}

## Key functions called by simPPLe programs

# Use simulate(distribution) for unobserved random variables
simulate <- function(distribution) {
    r(distribution)(1) # sample once from the given distribution
}

# Use observe(realization, distribution) for observed random variables
observe = function(realization, distribution) {
    # '<<- ` lets us modify variables that live in the global scope from inside a function
    weight <<- weight * p(distribution, realization)
}</pre>
```

The documented code to complete this task is shown below:

## [1] "The coin flipped a 1. The probability of this flip is 0.5"

#### Part 4

Complete the implementation of the function posterior:

To do this, let's consider what inputs we are given, relative to the Importance Sampling procedure we discussed in lecture.

For starters, we are given M with the number\_of\_iterations parameter. We're also given the proposal function q(x) with the ppl\_function.

Notably, we aren't given a test function g(x) so we will assume it is the indicator function.

We will use:

$$g(x) = \mathbb{1}(X = 1)$$

So we can approximate  $P(X = 1 \mid Y = y)$ .

Following the Algorithm, the first thing we have to do is find  $(X^{(m)})$  for iteration  $m \in [1, ..., M] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .

We'll do this by adding the line defining the m variable.

Further, we'll define the function g(x) prior to the for loop, explicitly as a function. This means we can return to this code later and improve it (perhaps allowing g(x) to be passed as a parameter.) This allows us to compute  $G^{(m)} = g(X^{(m)})$ .

```
posterior = function(ppl_function, number_of_iterations) {
  numerator = 0.0
  denominator = 0.0
  # add simple indicator function
  g \leftarrow function(x)\{x == 1\}
  for (i in 1:number_of_iterations) {
    # Step 1: Simulate Iteration m
    m <- simulate(ppl_function)</pre>
    # Step 2: Compute G^m = g(X^m)
    G \leftarrow g(m)
    # Step 3: Compute gamma(X^m) p(X)L(y|x)
    gamma <- p(ppl_function, m)</pre>
    # Step 4: Compute w(X^m) = gamma(X^m)/q(X^m)
    weight <<- 1.0
    observe(m, ppl_function)
    # Step 5: Add this to our rolling weight total
    denominator <<- denominator + weight</pre>
    # Step 6: Update the rolling numerator
    numerator <<- numerator + (w*G)</pre>
  }
  return(numerator/denominator)
}
```

## Part 5

Test your program by checking that you can approximate the posterior probability of the fair coin obtained in exercise 1, Q.2.