

Name: Caden Martin

Don't Be Review #2: Peer Review Evaluation Form

Remember, the least helpful comment to receive from a peer review is "It looks good to me". So here are some recommendations to help guide you in completing your peer review:

- ☐ First, attend to higher order concerns: argument, thesis, organization, and development (support).
- ☐ Next attend to lower order concerns: sentence structure, punctuation, word choice, spelling and grammar.
- ☐ Last, in with an effort to not be reviewer #2, make comments that are helpful. Helpful recommendations meet the following criteria:
 - ☐ Sensitive: speak to the author how you would like them to speak to you.
 - ☐ Balanced: comment on the paper's strengths as well as its weaknesses.
 - ☐ Constructive: offer solutions, don't just identify problems.
 - ☐ Specific: ground your comments in the course material (use that to justify your point if necessary) and offer examples of what you mean.
 - ☐ Measurable: the author should be able to tell if they fixed the problems you identified.

Author: Jada Beranek

Project Title: Draft: Research Proposal

Project Type (paper/presentation/media project) Paper

C Congratulate	What does the author do well in their paper?
	Very intelligent and nuanced approach to this topic. There are a number of sources cited to work well with each other to present the research in a compelling way. Additionally the research questions are well thought out and cover a lot of ground.
A Ask clarifying questions	What is the main argument/point? (Please use your own words to describe the thesis of the research - something along the lines of "this project/paper hopes to...)
	The paper focuses on how jealousy and other factors impact women in particular when it comes to relationships.
	What are the most important pieces of the argument so far? Has the writer organized their paper in a way that is conducive to reading and understanding the points being made? Are there any pieces of the argument that are confusing or lack clarity? What makes them confusing or lacking clarity?
	The key pieces in this argument lie in the sources, providing examples of how women conduct themselves in relationships, showing the other factors that might influence their decision to either start or end a relationship, such as social media and personal self image. Furthermore, the paper is very clearly organized flowing from one paragraph to the next with clear breaks in paragraphs and organizational structure. One correction that could be

	made is the misspelling of the word especially in the introduction.
	What additional considerations would strengthen the author's case? What suggestions would benefit the strength of their argument?
	I believe this is a very strong paper, but with only six sources, there is room for adding additional evidence from additional sources.
R Request more	Are all of the basic components of the project included (introduction, preliminary literature review, methods, proposed timeline, references)?
	Yes, the basic components exist within the project. The timeline is effective and simple, and the format of the paper is easy to read.
	Does each section accomplish what it is meant to accomplish? For example, does the introduction hook the audience and invite them to learn more? Is the explanation of the topic narrowly focused, or does the writer include unnecessary information? Is there justification for their research? Is the method explained? Is their timeline realistic? Are the references formatted properly in APA?
	I think that each section accomplishes what it wants to do. There is always room to go into greater depth, but I believe that with the word count restriction there and such a strong introduction there is little opportunity to focus on additional components of the paper. I really like the hook. I think that there is enough said without anything being unnecessary. The references and timeline look great and appear realistic.
	How effectively does the author use research and support? Are quotations used appropriately? How does the cited information set the foundation for the preliminary literature review? How satisfied are you with the writer's understanding of the sources they included? Are the cited sources credible? What other points might you make regarding the cited information?
	Each of the sources used are credible. The use of academic sources to explain the effect to which several factors influence women and their lives tells a nuanced approach to better understanding the topic.
E Evaluate its value	Does the author address whether they are trying to fill a gap with the current research vein, extend theory, or choose a side within a theoretical debate? Does the author address why we should care about their research? Do they discuss how their research helps us better understand communication?
	The research provides a clear reason that with results supporting the fact that jealousy negatively impacts relationships, hopefully this can be the start of addressing the problem and looking for ways to foster healthier relationships.
S Summarize	In general, what do you like most about this proposal? What do you like the least? If you had to list one change the writer must make in order to improve their work , what would you suggest?

	I really enjoy the introduction, timeline and research questions. They are well formatted and provide clear base information about the topic. An area of improvement would be going into greater depth with the source analysis and incorporate additional sources into the project.
--	--

Review your own comments. Make sure you have been *sensitive, balanced, constructive*, and *specific*. Make sure your recommendations are *measurable*. If your comments do not meet these criteria, please edit them before sending them to your writer.