

Basic example & existence of non-measurable sets

math center

We will consider the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} and its completion. The measure is always denoted by m . We begin by reviewing the easiest example of a non-measurable set, the Vitali set.

The idea is easy to follow: we find a countable partition of $[0, 1]$ into closely related sets. Choose a subset $A \subset [0, 1]$ which contains exactly one element in each class of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} . Let $0 \in A$ for convenience. For rational numbers $q \in [0, 1]$, define

$$A_q = [0, 1] \cap ((q + A) \cup (q + A - 1)).$$

Since $A \subset [0, 1]$, $q + A$ and $q + A - 1$ are always disjoint. Given that m satisfies translation invariance, we have $m(A_q) = m(A)$ for all q .

A is known as the Vitali set. We showed that

Proposition 0.1. The Vitali set is not Lebesgue (Borel) measurable.

Proof. The $\{A_q\}$ form a disjoint partition of the interval $[0, 1]$, which has measure 1. \square

Our next goal is to answer the following question—at least partially. Given a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, is there a non-measurable subset of E ?

When E is measurable has positive measure, it is actually not more difficult.

Proposition 0.2. If E is Lebesgue measurable subset of $[0, 1]$ and $m(E) > 0$, then $E \cap A$ is a non-measurable set.

Proof. The translations $E_q := q + E \cap A$, $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, are disjoint and their union is a set between E and $[0, 2]$. Hence we get the same contradiction as above. \square

1 An existence result

If $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is too big in size, some subset of E can't be Borel measurable.

Proposition 1.1. Let S be an infinite subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$, then the cardinality of the σ -algebra generated by S satisfies

$$|\mathcal{M}(S)| = |S| \cdot \aleph_1.$$

Proof. For all countable ordinals α , inductively define

- M_0 is the union of S with the set of subsets whose complement is in S ;
- U_α denotes the set of countable unions of elements of M_α , and we put $M_{\alpha+1} := U_\alpha \cup \{B^c : B \in U_\alpha\}$;
- If $\alpha > 0$ is a limit ordinal, put $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$.

Every M_α is closed under taking complements, and has the same cardinality as $|S|$ if α is countable. By a cofinality argument ($\text{cof}(\omega) > \omega$), we see that M_{\aleph_1} is a σ -algebra, hence it is $\mathcal{M}(S)$. \square

Corollary 1.2. The Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has cardinality $\mathfrak{c} := |\mathbb{R}|$.

Proof. Yes. \square

A classical result from descriptive set theory states that any Borel set of a Polish space has the perfect set property; in particular, an uncountable Borel set in \mathbb{R}^d must have cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Therefore it must contain a non-Borel-measurable set.

For other subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , as long as its power set has a cardinality larger than \mathfrak{c} , this will also hold.

The End

Compiled on 2025/10/09.

[Home page](#)