



2024/2119(INI)

8.5.2025

AMENDMENTS

1 - 217

Draft report

David Cormand

(PE771.897v01-00)

A new legislative framework for products that is fit for the digital and sustainable transition
(2024/2119(INI))

Amendment 1

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on "A comprehensive EU toolbox for safe and sustainable e-commerce", COM(2025) 37 final*

Or. en

Amendment 2

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission communication of 29 January 2025 on A Competitiveness Compass for the EU (COM(2025)0030),*

Or. en

Amendment 3

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on European*

standardization amending Council Directives 89/686/EEC and 93/15/EEC and Directives 94/9/EC, 94/25/EC, 95/16/EC, 97/23/EC, 98/34/EC, 2004/22/EC, 2007/23/EC, 2009/23/EC and 2009/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Decision 87/95/EEC and Decision No 1673/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council

Or. en

Amendment 4

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to Directive (EU) 2024/2853 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2024 on liability for defective products and repealing Council Directive 85/374/EEC;***

Or. en

Amendment 5

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the Directive (EU) 2019/771 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2019 on certain aspects concerning contracts for the sale of goods, amending Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 and Directive 2009/22/EC, and repealing Directive 1999/44/EC;***

Amendment 6

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the Commission communication of 29 January 2025 on A Competitiveness Compass for the EU (COM(2025)0030);***

Amendment 7

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the EU Green Deal (COM/2019/640 final);***

Amendment 8

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the common rules promoting the repair of goods (Directive***

2024/1799) of 13 June 2024 on and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 and Directives (EU) 2019/771 and (EU) 2020/182 Commission notice “The blue guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on "A new Circular Economy Action Plan For a cleaner and more competitive Europe" of March 2020, COM(2020) 98 final;*

Or. en

Amendment 10

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission staff working document Fitness Check of EU consumer law on digital fairness (SEC(2024) 245 final) - (SWD(2024) 231 final);*

Or. en

Amendment 11

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 October 2022 on a Single Market For Digital Services and amending Directive 2000/31/EC (Digital Services Act)*

Or. en

Amendment 12

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Regulation (EU) 2022/1925 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 September 2022 on contestable and fair markets in the digital sector and amending Directives (EU) 2019/1937 and (EU) 2020/1828 (Digital Markets Act)*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Circular Economy Action Plan (COM/2020/98 final);*

Amendment 14

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Regulation (EU) 2024/1781 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 establishing a framework for the setting of ecodesign requirements for sustainable products, amending Directive (EU) 2020/1828 and Regulation (EU) 2023/1542 and repealing Directive 2009/125/EC;*

Or. en

Amendment 15

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Directive 2012/19/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) (recast);*

Or. en

Amendment 16

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 on cooperation between national authorities responsible for the enforcement of consumer protection laws and repealing Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004***<

Or. en

Amendment 17

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Citation 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to its resolution on old challenges and new commercial practices in the internal market,***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 18

Dirk Gotink

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas the NLF has improved the consistency and efficiency of product legislation in the single market through harmonised conformity assessments, market surveillance and notified body accreditation;

A. whereas the NLF has improved the consistency and efficiency of product legislation in the single market through harmonised conformity assessments, market surveillance and notified body accreditation; ***plays an important role for competitiveness of European industry; brings safe and state-of-the-art technologies to the EU single market fast, with appropriate cost, and with a low level of bureaucratic burden***

Amendment 19

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the *NLF* has improved the consistency and efficiency of product legislation in the single market through *harmonised* conformity *assessments*, *market surveillance and notified body accreditation*;

Amendment

A. whereas the *New Legislative Framework* has improved the consistency and efficiency of product legislation in the single market through *applying the same model provisions in EU product legislation aligning definitions, obligations of economic operators, the use of conformity assessment for CE marking and the voluntary application of harmonised standards to show conformity with EU product legislation*;

Amendment 20

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas the need for a more consistent and transparent regulatory environment, the New Legislative Framework (NLF) 2008 establishes a unified set of rules to ensure that products placed on the European Union market meet consistent safety and quality standards, contributing to a high level of consumer protection across Member States being recognised;

Amendment 21

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas clear, proportionate and high-quality legislation is essential for strengthening Europe's competitiveness; whereas reducing regulatory burden, including through offsetting measures such as the 'one in, one out' principle, is particularly important to support SMEs and foster innovation;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas a revision of the NLF is needed to adapt and modernise product legislation and reduce administrative burden for economic operators; considers that the primary focus of the NLF revision should be the simplification of product compliance, particularly through digitalisation;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas the revision of the NLF will be a key tool to strengthen the market surveillance framework, to address the challenges posed by e-commerce and the evolving nature of digitally connected products, as well as to adapt to the new circular economy requirements.

Or. en

Amendment 24
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas effective and market driven European standardisation has been and will remain crucial to increase competitiveness and reduce the regulatory burden for businesses by simplifying EU legislation and strengthening the Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas the New Legislative Framework is an efficient and effective tool for fostering European competitiveness having evolved alongside the maturation of the single market;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A b. whereas the NLF has proved itself as an effective tool for fostering European competitiveness marking an important evolution from the ‘Old Approach’ where legislation in the single market was overtly prescriptive, to the ‘New Approach’ which outlines the essential requirements and avoids prescribing too detailed technical requirements while allowing development and innovation to flourish via the private public partnership of the European standardisation system;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A b. whereas legislation formed under the Old Approach was widely acknowledged as inefficient, overtly prescriptive, and a hindrance to the circulation of goods and services as it resulted in legal texts that attempted to detail every technical and administrative requirement; these inefficiencies necessitated the creation of the New Approach;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A b. whereas the NLF can reduce unnecessary administrative burdens for manufacturers, especially SMEs, while maintaining rigorous safety and compliance standards to improve market access and facilitates the circulation of safe products across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A b. whereas it is essential to safeguard the NLF and market driven standardisation and to avoid technical requirements being defined by legislators;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A c. whereas the Digital Product Passport (DPP) should serve as a central

instrument to promote transparency, traceability, and informed decision-making by consumers and authorities alike; whereas a horizontal, cross-sectoral implementation—covering new, second-hand, refurbished, and remanufactured products—would reduce fragmentation in sustainability reporting and ensure coherence across the internal market; whereas making the DPP the main point of reference for market surveillance authorities and customs bodies would support more risk-based, efficient enforcement, while contributing to the EU's climate goals and circular economy strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A c. whereas the foundational principle of the New Approach - which avoids the creation of prescriptive legislation by restricting content to 'essential requirements' and underpinned by the developed of European standards to support EU legislation is crucial; stresses that the New Legislative Framework cannot function properly without the New Approach;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A c. whereas the NLF maintains a key role, as an element of the European standardisation system, in promoting innovation, encouraging voluntary contributions from technical experts and bringing the state-of-the-art of technology into the European single market;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A d. whereas the evaluation of the NLF of 2022 offers a valuable opportunity to integrate its findings into the revision process, ensuring that the European Union's regulatory framework remains adaptable to technological advancements, digitalisation, sustainability, and evolving market needs; whereas this process must assess the NLF's effectiveness, efficiency, and relevance, with particular attention to the European Parliament's priorities such as social inclusivity and environmental responsibility; whereas the findings and recommendations of the 2022 evaluation should serve as a solid foundation for future legislative actions aimed at enhancing the NLF's capacity to safeguard consumers, promote innovation, and uphold high standards of product safety and quality across all industries in alignment with the EU's strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution
Recital A d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A d. whereas recent legislation has increasingly ignored the principles of the New Approach; reasserts that legislation must not stray from outlining the essential requirements into technical details; cites the AI Act's problems with standardisation development as an example of the importance of having clear essential requirements, warns that reverting back to the inefficient 'Old Approach' would harm European competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Recital A e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A e. whereas the evaluation of the MSR is not yet part of the NLF revision but will be finalized by December 2026, the MSR plays a pivotal role in enhancing the effectiveness of market surveillance across the European Union; whereas the MSR is part of the broader effort to ensure that products in the EU market meet high safety standards and do not pose risks to consumers or the environment, outlines the responsibilities of market surveillance authorities and the enforcement of compliance measures; whereas in particular Article 4 focuses on the obligations of national authorities to ensure that products placed on the market meet the required safety and conformity

standards; whereas the Commission is aware of existing loopholes and proposes to address them through targeted revisions to strengthen the enforcement framework; whereas a more coordinated cross-border mechanism could enhance the overall effectiveness of market surveillance;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Recital A e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A e. whereas the European Standardisation Organisations (ESO) provide an open, transparent and inclusive environment for the development of harmonised European standards in support of European regulation

Or. en

Amendment 37

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A f. whereas a significant challenge is the increasing sale of products through online platforms; whereas the rapid pace of e-commerce and the difficulty in identifying responsible parties, such as non-EU sellers or intermediaries, create enforcement challenges; whereas loopholes in tracking and taking action

against online sellers who fail to comply with EU regulations persist, allowing non-compliant products to be sold without adequate oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A g. whereas the NLF aims for harmonized enforcement, the implementation and oversight of conformity assessment bodies vary across EU Member States; whereas some countries may be more diligent in carrying out inspections and enforcing compliance, while others may face delays or lack of prioritization; whereas this inconsistent enforcement can create disparities in product safety levels and foster an uneven internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A h. whereas the revision of the NLF must continuously evolve to address emerging risks, such as the increasing presence of new technologies or hazardous substances in product;

Amendment 40

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A i. whereas an EU-wide certification scheme for refurbishers and repairers would promote higher level of professionalism among them, enhance consumer trust, and ensure fair treatment of compliant operators across Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A j. whereas the absence of access to essential technical documentation and declarations of conformity for independent refurbishers and repairers undermines their ability to carry out lawful and safe repairs, and may prevent them from ensuring product compliance with Union rules;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Recital A k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A k. whereas reduced VAT rates for reused, repaired and refurbished products, particularly when sold by social economy actors, would serve as vital fiscal incentive to promote sustainable consumption patterns, extend product lifespans, and support inclusive, low-carbon business models;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Recital A l (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A l. whereas the NLF in place fails to enhance the mutual recognition of conformity assessments by providing clear, standardized procedures for product testing and certification;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Recital A m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A m. whereas the New Legislative Framework (NLF) must ensure that conformity assessment procedures are harmonised, robust, and remain fit for purpose in addressing the increasing complexity of digital and interconnected

products, including cybersecurity risks and evolving technological features, while prioritising the safety and rights of consumers; whereas the framework should include clear and binding guidelines to ensure consistent application across Member States, uphold high standards of product safety, and promote fairness and transparency in the internal market; whereas the role of notified bodies must be strengthened by enhancing transparency, independence, and competence within the accreditation system, ensuring they can effectively respond to the challenges of digitalisation and the circular economy, while safeguarding the interests of both businesses—especially SMEs—and consumers alike;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A n (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A n. whereas e-commerce plays a substantial role in shaping consumer experiences by facilitating enhanced convenience, broadening access to a wide range of goods and services, and enabling consumers to benefit from more affordable purchasing options; whereas, at the same time, it poses significant consumer protection challenges, including exposure to unsafe or non-compliant products, disparities in the accessibility, clarity and comparability of information, and commercial practices designed to exploit behavioural biases and vulnerabilities of consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Recital A o (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A o. whereas the NLF strengthens the role of Notified Bodies in the conformity assessment process, ensuring that they operate under clear, transparent, and consistent rules, thus increasing confidence in the validity of product certifications and facilitating the recognition of products in all EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, ***in particular*** sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008;

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, ***such as*** sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; ***stresses that the revision should be aligned with the overall objectives to simplify the regulatory framework and increase legal certainty and transparency for both businesses and consumers, as well as to strengthen EU competitiveness***; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update, ***where necessary***, the obligations of economic operators under Decision No

768/2008, in order to avoid conflicting obligations or incoherent interpretation of the same rules in different legal acts;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008;

Amendment

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, **and calls on the Commission to propose a legislative package (NLF 2.0) including a revision of Decision 768/2008/EC and Regulation 765/2008/EC, to align definitions, streamline obligations, and integrate new digital tools and sustainability objectives across existing and future EU product legislation.** in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Christel Schaldemose, Adnan Dibrani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the

Amendment

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the

foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008;

foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008, ***particularly by revising the roles and responsibilities, and by introducing new economic operators, in cases where non-EU sellers supply products directly to EU consumers without any manufacturer, importer, or distributor established within the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 50

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008;

Amendment

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular ***simplification of compliance procedures, reduction of administrative burden, sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance and enforcement;*** urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation ***to ensure that products can move freely within the internal market,*** and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008, ***while taking into account the views of different economic operators;***

Or. en

Amendment 51

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008;

Amendment

1. Emphasises the need for a future-proof model that maintains the foundational principles ***and protection objectives*** of the NLF, while integrating findings from the 2022 evaluation and emerging policy priorities, in particular sustainability, digitalisation and enhanced market surveillance; urges the revision to ensure consistency across EU product legislation, ***including with GPSR, PLD, ESPR, AI Act, and DSA***, and highlights the need to update the obligations of economic operators under Decision No 768/2008 ***to strengthen consumer protection and ensure a level playing field, notably vis-à-vis non-EU companies***;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Stresses that the NLF must evolve to support the integration of smart, connected, and remanufactured products in line with the digital transition and the EU's circular economy ambitions; this includes ensuring that conformity assessments effectively address the challenges posed by rapidly advancing digital technologies, such as software and hardware updates, machine learning

systems, and product connectivity;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Sandro Gozi, Anna-Maja Henriksson, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Stresses that the fitness check and the evaluation of the NLF established that digitalisation and circular economy objectives are not reflected in the NLF; calls, therefore, for the update of the New Legislative Framework to further adapt and align product legislation with today's sustainability, digital, and market realities, as well as with the objectives of simplification and competitiveness.

Or. en

Amendment 54

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 b. Stresses that a key priority is strengthening the regulatory framework to ensure that notified bodies remain competent and independent in carrying out their assessments, enhancing transparency, accountability, and competence within the accreditation system to effectively address the challenges arising from digitalisation and the circular economy; further reminds that the framework must be inclusive, transparent, and participatory, involving

all relevant stakeholders—including labour unions, consumer protection groups, and environmental organisations—to ensure it reflects societal interests and addresses the diverse needs of all sectors in the internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 c. calls on the Commission to ensure that manufacturers provide clear repair instructions and tools for consumers and third-party repairers;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 d. Calls for the inclusion of online marketplaces to the scope of the definition of ‘economic operators’ within the framework of the NLF, in view of their central role in the distribution of unsafe and non-compliant products from third-country sellers to consumers in the Union and the considerable economic gains they derive from such activities; emphasises that, without the involvement of such platforms, these products would not otherwise be placed on the Union market;

Amendment 57

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 e. Underlines the need for the digital single market to deliver fair and equitable outcomes for all consumers, particularly those in vulnerable or marginalised situations, by addressing exploitative design features, ensuring transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and holding online marketplaces fully accountable for the safety, security and privacy, and compliance of products sold through their platforms; stresses that the social, economic, and environmental costs of online commerce must not be disproportionately shifted onto consumers, workers, or the environment, and that the adoption of fair labour standards and the protection of workers' rights within the digital economy are integral to ensuring a just and sustainable market for all;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 f. Ensures that digital products, including devices, software, and services, are designed with longevity and

repairability in mind; reminds that manufacturers must provide consumers with the right to repair, access spare parts, and to receive software updates for an extended period, with clear communication about the expected lifespan of products;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance ***often lacks*** the capacity to provide consumer redress ***or compensation***, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls ***for the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability to ensure*** that consumers are not left without remedy, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance ***could lack*** the capacity to ***effectively address issues related to safety and non-compliance of products, as well as to*** provide ***effective*** consumer redress, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; ***recognises, furthermore, the lack of established requirements to ensure these actors can fulfil their obligations; urges the Commission to accelerate its in-depth evaluation of the effectiveness of the provisions of the "Responsible Person for products placed in the Union markets", building also on the results of the interim report on Article 4 of Market Surveillance Regulation; calls on the Commission to evaluate the need to further strengthen the tasks of the Responsible Person for non-EU traders in order to ensure that no legal loopholes and enforcement gaps are left in the liability chain and*** that consumers are not left without remedy ***or compensation; urges the Commission, furthermore, to establish requirements for Responsible Persons, including aspects related to economic solvency, experience and operational soundness***, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-

EU companies;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Christel Schaldemose, Adnan Dibrani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls for the introduction of a mandatory requirement for ***non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with*** full legal and financial liability to ensure that consumers are not left without remedy, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation ***and is often non-existent or appointed without knowledge or consent***, particularly when acting as an authorised representative ***for third-country sellers who market their products directly to consumers in the EU without having an importer or distributor***; calls for the introduction of a mandatory requirement for ***an economic operator in EU, when the seller is not based in EU. This operator must have*** full legal and financial liability to ensure that consumers are not left without remedy ***and that the legislation can effectively be enforced***, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies, ***in cases where no such operator can be identified the online marketplace (or any similar business model) that has facilitated the sale on behalf of the non-EU seller should be the full responsible operator***;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; ***calls for*** the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability to ensure that consumers are not left without remedy, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; ***notes the need for better implementation and enforcement of existing legislation and to avoid creating additional bureaucratic procedures; advises to carefully weigh*** the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability to ensure that consumers are not left without remedy, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies ***as this may cause retaliatory measures against EU companies in foreign markets;***

Or. en

Amendment 62

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; ***calls for*** the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability to ensure that consumers are not left without remedy, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; ***notes the need for better implementation and enforcement of existing legislation and to avoid creating additional bureaucratic procedures that will take resources away from enforcement and compliance; advises to carefully weigh the benefits for and against*** the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability to ensure that

consumers are not left without remedy,
while ensuring a level playing field
between EU and non-EU companies;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to **provide** consumer **redress** or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls for the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability to ensure that consumers are not left without **remedy**, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to **offer** consumer **remedies** or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; **recognises that there are no requirements in place to ensure that such individuals are able to fulfil the obligations incumbent upon them**; calls for the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability, to ensure that consumers are not left without **protection and insurance**, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies; **calls on the Commission to set requirements for Responsible Persons, covering aspects related to economic solvency, experience and operational soundness.**

Or. it

Amendment 64

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls for *the introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability* to ensure *that consumers are not left without remedy, while ensuring* a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls for *better implementation and enforcement of existing legislation and strengthened capacities of market surveillance authorities* to ensure *proper enforcement and* a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls for the *introduction of a mandatory requirement for non-EU traders to appoint a Responsible Person in the EU with full legal and financial liability* to ensure that consumers are *not left without remedy*, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Responsible Person in the EU for product compliance often lacks the capacity to provide consumer redress or compensation, particularly when acting as an authorised representative; calls for the *strengthening of requirements for responsible persons acting on behalf of non-EU traders* to ensure that consumers are *well protected when purchasing products from non-EU traders*, while ensuring a level playing field between EU and non-EU companies;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Suggests the use of MSR risk assessments to identify **high-risk** products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, **in** particular those from third countries; calls on the Commission to **assess the feasibility of** a ranking system linking compliance performance to **mitigating** additional obligations, such as targeted third-party **conformity** assessments; recommends developing key performance indicators **to systematically** evaluate compliance;

Amendment

3. Suggests the use of MSR risk assessments **as a tool** to identify products **deemed 'high-risk'** and monitor the compliance history of economic operators **more effectively, with a particular focus on** those from third countries; calls **also** on the Commission to **explore the possibility of establishing** a ranking system linking compliance performance to **a proportionate scaling back of** additional obligations, such as targeted third-party assessments; recommends, **against this background, introducing a supervisory mechanism, which would be entrusted to the Member State-appointed national authorities for the sake of more effective and coordinated monitoring.** **Recommends, lastly,** developing key performance indicators **making it possible to evaluate the level of compliance in a systematic and objective manner.**

Or. it

Amendment 67

Dirk Gotink

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Suggests the use of MSR risk assessments to identify high-risk products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, in particular those from third countries; calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ranking system linking compliance performance to mitigating additional obligations, such as targeted third-party conformity assessments; recommends developing key performance indicators to systematically evaluate compliance;

Amendment

3. Suggests the use of MSR risk assessments to identify high-risk products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, in particular those from third countries; **stresses, in this context, the importance of updating the market surveillance regulation in order to streamline and standardise Market Surveillance Authorities actions and information exchange in the EU**; calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ranking system linking compliance performance to mitigating additional obligations, such as targeted third-party

conformity assessments; recommends developing key performance indicators to systematically evaluate compliance;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Suggests *the* use of MSR risk assessments to identify high-risk products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, in particular those from third countries; ***calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ranking system linking compliance performance to mitigating additional obligations, such as targeted third-party conformity assessments; recommends developing key performance indicators to systematically evaluate compliance;***

Amendment

3. Suggests ***an examination of the possible*** use of MSR risk assessments to identify high-risk products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, in particular those from third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Suggests the use of MSR risk assessments to identify high-risk products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, in particular those from third countries; ***calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ranking system linking compliance performance to mitigating additional obligations, such as targeted third-party***

Amendment

3. Suggests the use of MSR risk assessments to identify high-risk products and monitor the compliance history of economic operators, in particular those from third countries; recommends developing key performance indicators to systematically evaluate compliance;

conformity assessments; recommends developing key performance indicators to systematically evaluate compliance;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission to strengthen consumer protection in relation to the use of artificial intelligence and automated decision-making within digital products and services; underlines that consumers must be clearly informed when AI is involved in processing their personal data or making decisions that impact them, and must be guaranteed the right to seek human intervention in such cases;

Or. en

Amendment 71

David Cormand

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs,

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs,

and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should ***be*** the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should ***become*** the go-to point for both consumers and authorities; ***stresses, however, that information intended for consumers, such as manuals and instructions, should also be provided in a paper-based format, as not everyone possesses the necessary digital literacy or technical means to access such information, which is vital for ensuring consumer safety;***

Or. en

Amendment 72

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool ***providing*** access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, ***detailed*** sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, ***maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts***; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Amendment

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal ***and interoperable*** DPP as a tool ***that can provide*** access, ***depending on the product group and access rights***, to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability ***and product conformity***; ***notes that, as a principle, the information to be included in the DPP is set through delegated acts, with differentiated access rights according to the actors, and should always respect confidential business information and trade secrets***; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. ***Highlights the*** benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information ***on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts***; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Amendment

4. ***Notes the potential*** benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Highlights the benefits of a ***horizontal*** DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Amendment

4. Highlights the benefits of a DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities; ***stresses that the content of the DPP should be determined on the basis of impact assessments and consultations, which should take into account the differences between product categories***;

Or. it

Amendment 75

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for **both** consumers **and** authorities;

Amendment

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts **as required by relevant sector-specific legal acts**; believes, that the DPP should be the go-to point for consumers, authorities **and businesses across the value chain**;

Or. en

Amendment 76

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Amendment

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities **and for producers**;

Or. ro

Amendment 77

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, ***supply chains, energy efficiency, detailed sustainability performance metrics, and essential data on product composition, durability, reparability, reusability, maintenance costs, and price and availability of spare parts***; believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities;

Amendment

4. Highlights the benefits of a horizontal DPP as a tool providing access to harmonised information on product characteristics, believes that the DPP should be the go-to point for both consumers and authorities; ***underlines that decisions on data access, particularly for consumers and authorities, should be based on thorough impact assessments to ensure proportionality and effectiveness.***

Or. en

Amendment 78

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Stresses that the DPP should be rolled out gradually, according to a timeline that takes account of the different goods categories concerned;

Or. it

Amendment 79

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Notes that the DPP is still at early stages of development and requires significant investment from economic operators, particularly SMEs; therefore, stresses that the DPP requires careful and efficient implementation that minimises the burdens on economic operators, particularly SMEs, while also avoiding unnecessary information overload that could hinder its usability and effectiveness;*

Or. en

Amendment 80

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Stresses the need for alignment of the most ambitious provisions across all product categories and sectoral legislation that have recently introduced the DPP such as the Machinery Regulation, the Batteries Regulation, and the upcoming Toy Safety and Detergents Regulations, in order to ensure consistency, prevent regulatory fragmentation and support enforcement;*

Or. en

Amendment 81

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Urges the Commission to ensure that the implementation of NLF 2.0*

includes tailored guidance, simplified documentation, and capacity-building tools for SMEs, particularly those operating in cross-border e-commerce and circular economy sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. Considers that the DPP should replace existing declarations of conformity in order to streamline conformity requirements; welcomes in this context the new Toy Safety Regulation which allows economic operators to fully rely on the DPP instead of drawing up declarations of conformity;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. Stresses that consumers must be able to access digital content and services that meet high environmental and ethical standards; digital product providers should disclose the environmental impact of their products, including energy consumption, emissions, and waste generation;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. Calls on the Commission to apply Better Regulation principles consistently in future NLF-related legislation, including regulatory offsetting mechanisms, to avoid unnecessary burdens and ensure legislation remains proportionate and innovation-friendly;

Or. en

Amendment 85

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 c. Believes that there should always be a transition period for all DPPs between their adoption and application date to ensure that economic operators have sufficient time to implement the necessary requirements; calls on the Commission to integrate such transition periods within the NLF; welcomes the transition periods set out for the DPPs in the Ecodesign Regulation and the Toy Safety Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 86

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises the value of the DPP in empowering consumers to make informed choices; underlines its potential to promote sustainable consumption in line with the EU's climate and environmental goals;

Amendment

5. Emphasises the value of the DPP in empowering consumers to make informed choices; underlines its potential to promote sustainable consumption in line with the EU's climate and environmental goals ***and that it can help involve consumers in a sustainable single market economy;***

Or. ro

Amendment 87

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Emphasises the*** value of the DPP in empowering consumers to make informed choices; ***underlines its potential to promote sustainable consumption in line with the EU's climate and environmental goals;***

Amendment

5. ***Notes the potential*** value of the DPP in empowering consumers to make informed choices;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises the value of the DPP in empowering consumers to make informed choices; underlines its potential to ***promote*** sustainable consumption in line with the EU's climate and environmental goals;

Amendment

5. Emphasises the value of the DPP in empowering consumers to make informed choices; underlines its potential to ***support*** sustainable consumption in line with the EU's climate and environmental goals;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that a **horizontal** DPP would significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information **for conformity checks**;

Amendment

6. Stresses that a DPP would significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information; ***recommends entrusting the Member State-appointed national authorities with a supervisory mechanism in order ensure the availability of data, or rather provide assurance that economic operators always forward the required data to public entities and supervision bodies and that it is accessible to consumers at all times, with the source and security of the data carrier/QR code via which consumers access the DPP attesting to its original and uncopied nature.***

Or. it

Amendment 90

Sandro Gozi, Anna-Maja Henriksson, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP **would** significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks;

Amendment

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP **could** significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks; ***calls on the Commission to provide tailored assistance and clear guidelines to relevant economic operators, especially SMEs, on the correct and coherent implementation***

*of the provisions of the DPP across
different legal acts;*

Or. en

Amendment 91

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP would significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks;

Amendment

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP would significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks, *also via a direct and accessible link to the electronic declaration of conformity and a clear description of the applicable conformity assessment procedure;*

Or. en

Amendment 92

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP would significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks;

Amendment

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP would significantly enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks, *hence increasing the level of consumer protection;*

Or. ro

Amendment 93

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP **would significantly** enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks;

Amendment

6. Stresses that a horizontal DPP **could** enhance the work of market surveillance and customs authorities, as it would enable the efficient screening of essential product compliance information for conformity checks;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Recommends full interoperability between the DPP and existing databases , including the EU Safety Gate, ICSMS, Certex, and the Customs Data Hub, as well as mechanisms developed under the CPC Regulation, in order to ensure that products identified as unsafe or non-compliant by one market surveillance or custom authority are automatically flagged to all the others through the DPP;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Considers that the DPP should be implemented in a way that supports economic operators in simplifying their compliance obligations, reducing paper-based information requirements and offering a single tool for all product compliance and information-related requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Calls for the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a mandatory requirement for all products made available on the Union market, including second-hand products;

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 97
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Calls for the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a mandatory requirement for all products made available on the Union market, including second-hand products;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 98

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls **for the** integration of the DPP into the NLF **as a mandatory requirement** for all products made available on the Union market, **including second-hand** products;

Amendment

7. Calls **on the Commission to examine the feasibility of the progressive** integration of the DPP into the NLF for all **relevant** products made available on the Union market; **notes that the DPP may not be appropriate for all products circulating in the internal market;**

Or. en

Amendment 99

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls for the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a **mandatory requirement for all** products made available on the Union market, **including second-hand products;**

Amendment

7. Calls for the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a **means to comply with information obligations for** products made available on the Union market **longer term, allowing for other simpler digital solutions short term to preserve European competitiveness;**

Or. en

Amendment 100

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls for the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a **mandatory requirement**

Amendment

7. Calls for the **gradual** integration of the DPP into the NLF as a **means to**

for all products made available on the Union market, ***including second-hand products***;

comply with information requirements for all ***relevant*** products made available on the Union market, ***while allowing for other digital solutions in the short term***;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls ***for*** the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a mandatory requirement for all products made available on the Union market, including second-hand products;

Amendment

7. Calls ***on the Commission to assess the feasibility of*** the integration of the DPP into the NLF as a mandatory requirement for all products made available on the Union market, including second-hand products;

Or. en

Amendment 102

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls for the integration of the DPP into the NLF ***as a mandatory requirement for all products made available on the Union market, including second-hand products***;

Amendment

7. Calls for ***an assessment of*** the integration of the DPP into the NLF ***while taking into account possible exemptions and special regimes laid down in secondary legislation***;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Points out that the DPP has the potential to advance the circular economy in the long term as it helps lengthen the useful life of new products by providing data on durability, reusability, reparability and recyclability, thereby fostering consumer trust and facilitating the movement of circular products; highlights, however, the specific implications for second-hand products, since sellers – often consumers – have limited capacity to update the DPP correctly, and it may be difficult for operators such as refurbishers and importers of second-hand goods to identify the original information; calls on the Commission to consider the DPP and related requirements within the context of circular products and to weigh up how best to promote the circular economy.

Or. it

Amendment 104

David Cormand

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. *Recognises that various companies are actively developing DPP solutions tailored to second-hand goods and that the technological infrastructure to monitor the lifecycle of such products is already available; considers that introducing a DPP for second-hand items would significantly contribute to building consumer confidence, supporting environmental objectives, and upholding safety standards, by enabling both market surveillance authorities and consumers to confirm the legitimacy, quality, and*

performance of second-hand products;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Acknowledges that several companies are exploring DPP implementation for second-hand products and that the technology to track the lifecycle of pre-lived items already exists; believes that a DPP for second-hand products would play a crucial role in enhancing trust, promoting sustainability, and ensuring compliance with safety requirements, allowing market surveillance authorities and consumers to verify the authenticity of second-hand items and ensure their quality and value;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Underlines the need to streamline rules and reduce administrative burdens for businesses, especially SMEs; stresses that handmade and small-batch manufactured products should be explicitly excluded from any DPP obligations, recognising the disproportionate administrative and technical burdens such requirements

would impose.”

Or. en

Amendment 107

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 b. Believes that any potential integration of a DPP for products placed on the Union market should be guided by a risk-based approach; stresses that its application should be limited to product categories identified as high-risk based on objective criteria related to health and safety;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Recognises that the CE marking may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 109

Dirk Gotink

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recognises that the CE marking may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 110
Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recognises that the CE marking may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;

Amendment

8. Recognises that the CE marking remains a pillar of the New Legislative Framework and a sign of European competitiveness; asks that the Commission, before speculating about discontinuing and replacing the CE marking, carry out impact assessments and in-depth consultations, partly to explore alternative solutions for reducing the risk of counterfeits. At the same time, to ensure the DPP does not inherit the same shortcoming, calls for data carriers – in particular QR codes and equivalent tags – to incorporate technical safety features commensurate with the likelihood of that goods category being counterfeited; examples of such features include protective optical elements, clone-proof codes, secure RFID/NFC tags and anti-tampering labels, in order to certify the origin of the data, thwart duplication and enhance market surveillance for the sake of sustainability and consumer protection.

Amendment 111

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recognises *that* the CE marking *may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;*

Amendment

8. Recognises the CE marking *as an important tool for Market Surveillance Authorities; acknowledges that although the CE marking can be misunderstood by consumers, it remains a foundational element of the New Legislative Framework (NLF); calls on the Commission to maintain the CE marking while supporting improved consumer understanding.*

Or. en

Amendment 112

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. *Recognises* that the CE marking *may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;*

Amendment

8. *Considers* that the CE marking *has been beneficial to allow manufacturers to demonstrate product conformity which can then be assessed by market surveillance authorities; believes that the CE marking should be retained for all product groups where it is currently required; considers that the CE marking should no longer be added to new product groups where a DPP will be required;*

Or. en

Amendment 113

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recognises that the CE marking *may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;*

Amendment

8. Recognises that the CE marking *indicates conformity with relevant Union product safety legislation and should remain the primary indicator of product conformity;*

Or. en

Amendment 114

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recognises that the CE marking *may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;*

Amendment

8. Recognises that the CE marking *indicates conformity with relevant Union product safety legislation; in order to enhance transparency and provide comprehensive product information, calls on the Commission to consider streamlining the relevant CE marking information via the DPP;*

Or. en

Amendment 115

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recognises that the CE marking

Amendment

8. Recognises that the CE marking *is*

may be misleading for consumers, who often mistakenly perceive it as a safety or quality certification; points out that, in order to avoid such misconceptions and to streamline the flow of information via the DPP, the CE marking should be removed;

an important tool for market surveillance authorities to see that a product has been assessed by the manufacturer and deemed to meet EU safety, health and environmental protection requirements before being placed on the market;

Or. en

Amendment 116

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Stresses that, in view of the discussions to set technical standards for the DPP, the path is now clear for the implementation of anomaly detection systems; calls, therefore, on the Commission to support and develop these systems, so that the DPP does not become yet another regulatory compliance hurdle for companies to clear, but brings benefits to producers and consumers by ensuring that products are genuinely safer and protecting upstanding operators;

Or. it

Amendment 117

Jorge Martín Frías, Filip Turek, Sebastian Kruis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Highlights that for almost twenty years, products with a marking, like the less known 'China Export' logo, which is identical in typography to the CE mark, have been introduced into the single market; regrets that the use of these logos

pose a serious danger to consumers, as it may deceive them into believing that the products they purchase meet European quality standards; calls on the European Commission to consider measures to address this issue, including, among others, registering the CE mark logo;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. *Recognises the need to improve awareness of the CE marking not being intended for consumers, but as a tool for market surveillance authorities to see the manufacturer has conducted the necessary conformity assessment before placing the product on the market;*

Or. en

Amendment 119

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Calls on the Commission to use harmonised methodologies for environmental, durability and social impact assessments, with a view to supporting the establishment of an EU-wide sustainability index for each product within DPP, which integrates indicators, such as the carbon footprint, material sourcing ethics, and long-term environmental impact;*

deleted

Amendment 120

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to use harmonised methodologies for environmental, durability and social impact assessments, with a view to supporting the establishment of an EU-wide sustainability index for each product within DPP, which integrates indicators, such as the carbon footprint, material sourcing ethics, and long-term environmental impact;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 121

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to use harmonised methodologies for environmental, durability and social impact assessments, with a view to supporting the establishment of an EU-wide sustainability index for each product within DPP, which integrates indicators, such as the carbon footprint, material sourcing ethics, and long-term environmental impact;

9. Calls on the Commission to use harmonised methodologies for environmental, durability and social impact assessments, with a view to supporting the establishment of an EU-wide sustainability index for each product within DPP, which integrates indicators, such as the carbon footprint, material sourcing ethics, and long-term environmental impact; *considers that such an index would enhance consumer trust and awareness, by providing clear, accessible and reliable information on the conditions under which products are manufactured;*

believes that EU companies would also benefit from it, as increased transparency would enable them to compete on the basis of quality and sustainability rather than price or volume;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls on the Commission to use harmonised methodologies for environmental, durability and social impact assessments, with a view to supporting the establishment of an EU-wide sustainability index for each product within DPP, which integrates indicators, such as the carbon footprint, material sourcing ethics, and long-term environmental impact;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to use harmonised methodologies for environmental, durability and social impact assessments, with a view to supporting the establishment of an EU-wide sustainability index for each product within DPP, which integrates indicators, such as the carbon footprint, material sourcing ethics, and long-term environmental impact. *Notes that this should be possible by centralising the role of PDP Service Provider, which will also be entrusted to the national authority appointed by the Member States*

Or. it

Amendment 123

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Points out that digital labelling offers benefits to companies and consumers, such as cutting extra costs and paper waste, and reducing the size of packages and leaflets, at a time when translation requirements are adding to such waste;

therefore asks the Commission to consider allowing more information to be conveyed digitally.

Or. it

Amendment 124

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Underlines the advantages of digital labelling over excessive labelling requirements on packaging or accompanying documents, for example to avoid packaging and paper waste and to improve clarity for consumers; calls on the Commission to allow more mandatory information to be communicated digitally instead of on physical labels;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Supports a “digital-by-default” approach for product compliance, including CE marking, instructions, declarations of conformity, and DPP; calls for the full implementation of the Single Digital Gateway and Once-Only Technical System to ease regulatory access for SMEs and reduce duplicative reporting;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Stresses the need for DPP integration with waste hierarchy principles to prioritise reuse over recycling and to ensure manufacturers retain key product details for extended periods;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Arno Bausemer, Petr Bystron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies promoting sustainability, reparability and the design of products with extended lifespans; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 128

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies promoting sustainability, reparability and the design of products with extended lifespans;

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies promoting sustainability, reparability and the design of products with extended lifespans, *such as the ESPR, the Right to Repair,*

Or. en

Amendment 129

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies promoting sustainability, reparability *and the design of products with extended lifespans*;

Amendment

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies *and legislative instruments in particular the standardisation regulation, thereby* promoting *simplification, reduction of administrative burden*, sustainability *and* reparability;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies promoting sustainability, reparability and the design of products with extended lifespans;

Amendment

10. Supports the alignment of the NLF with broader EU strategies promoting *simplification, competitiveness, digitalisation*, sustainability, reparability and the design of products with extended lifespans;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Emphasises the need for the Commission and the Member States to support and promote business models that foster longer product lifespans, thereby encouraging consumers to transition away from unsustainable consumption patterns associated with low-quality goods;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Stresses that integrating the extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes within the NLF would allow the adoption of harmonised measures, such as financial incentives and repair vouchers, to enhance the competitiveness of locally produced goods with a lower environmental footprint and increase transparency;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 133

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Stresses that integrating the

deleted

extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes within the NLF would allow the adoption of harmonised measures, such as financial incentives and repair vouchers, to enhance the competitiveness of locally produced goods with a lower environmental footprint and increase transparency;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Stresses that integrating the extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes within the NLF would allow the adoption of harmonised measures, such as financial incentives and repair vouchers, to enhance the competitiveness of locally produced goods with a lower environmental footprint and increase transparency;

Amendment

11. Stresses that integrating the extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes within the NLF would allow the adoption of harmonised measures, such as financial incentives and repair vouchers, to enhance the competitiveness of locally produced goods with a lower environmental footprint and increase transparency *and boost fair competition on the internal market;*

Or. ro

Amendment 135
Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Notes that when a product is found to be non-compliant by customs or market surveillance authorities, it must be destroyed, which leads to waste and environmental pollution; is convinced

that the costs of destruction should be borne by the Responsible Person in the EU, rather than by the public administration;

Or. en

Amendment 136

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 b. Calls on the Commission to establish clear responsibilities for refurbishers, repairers and second-hand sellers regarding the recycling and proper disposal of products at the end of their life cycle; suggests this could include the development of take-back schemes and dedicated support measures to promote the refurbishment, reuse, and sustainable management of digital devices;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products, and that manufacturers should be required to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services over an extended period;

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products, and that manufacturers should be required to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services over an extended period.
Recommends a proportionate measure based on impact assessments, in order to prevent undesirable or inordinate effects;

this measure might also involve the introduction of requirements pertaining to durability and post-sales assistance, thus maintaining a balance between sustainability and industrial needs.

Or. it

Amendment 138

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products, and that manufacturers should ***be required*** to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services ***over an extended period***;

Amendment

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products and ***considers that the recently adopted Ecodesign Regulation sets out the essential framework for how durability should be assessed by manufacturers***; believes that manufacturers should ***aim*** to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products, ***and that manufacturers should be required to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services over an extended period***;

Amendment

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products, and that manufacturers should be required to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services over an extended period;

Amendment

12. Strongly believes that durability is pivotal to promoting high-quality, long-lasting products, and that manufacturers should be required to ensure the continued availability of spare parts and maintenance services over an extended period, ***ensuring products remain functional and reducing the frequency of premature disposal***;

Or. en

Amendment 141

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Is deeply concerned by the rise of fast fashion and ultra-fast fashion, given its environmental, social, ethical, and consumer protection impacts; underlines that such practices also undermine compliance with Union product legislation and fair competition; notes that many products placed on the market fail to meet EU chemical and safety requirements, with limited accountability of economic operators; calls for the revision of the NLF to strengthen economic operators' obligations, improve conformity assessment procedures, and enhance enforcement tools to address the proliferation of ultra-fast and low-quality products;

Amendment 142

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Calls on the Commission to incentivize businesses to offer repair services and spare parts, if needed, for a specified period after placing products on the market; further calls on the Commission to establish clear rules for the placement of spare parts from third countries on the EU market, particularly when such parts are not available within the EU, are necessary for repairs, and are deemed to extend the product's life cycle without negatively impacting health or the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 144

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Arba Kokalari

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 145

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 146

Arba Kokalari

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing; *deleted*

marketing;

Or. en

Amendment 147

Dirk Gotink

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 148

David Cormand

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing;

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing; *suggests that, when consumers attempt to purchase a fast fashion product online, a notification or pop-up warning could appear, informing them that the product may not comply with EU chemical, safety, and labour standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 149

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing; ***suggests that, when consumers attempt to purchase a fast fashion product online, a notification or pop-up warning could appear, informing them that the product may not comply with EU chemical, safety, and labour standards;***

Or. en

Amendment 150

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission ***to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion,*** including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission, ***after providing a legal definition of fast fashion and on the basis of an economic, social and competitive impact assessment, to explore proportionate measures to restrict commercial communications*** (including social media ***campaigns and*** influencer marketing) ***promoting unsustainable consumer patterns in the fashion sector;***

Or. it

Amendment 151

Sandro Gozi, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to assess the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies or brands linked to fast fashion, ***including on social media platforms and through influencer marketing***;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to assess ***the risks stemming from fast fashion, and where appropriate, to consider proportionate regulation of influencer marketing and advertising of fast fashion, including*** the feasibility of a ban on advertising for products, companies, or brands linked to fast fashion;

Or. en

Amendment 152
David Cormand
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Urges the introduction of stringent penalties, including significant fines for companies that fail to comply with information disclosure requirements, as well as temporary suspensions of commercial operations in cases of repeated violations; supports the establishment of a graduated system of penalties specifically targeting fast fashion and ultra-fast fashion products, where sanctions are calibrated in proportion to their environmental impact;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Considers that, in order to address fast fashion and ultra-fast fashion business models, binding measures are needed to require sellers to disclose clear and comprehensive environmental information, including on production, materials, and reuse, repair and recycling options; stresses that such information should be integrated into the DPP to ensure an efficient system for both market surveillance authorities and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 b. Urges the introduction of strict penalties, including substantial fines for companies failing to comply with information disclosure requirements and temporary suspensions of business activities for repeated violations; supports the establishment of a progressive penalty system specifically targeting fast fashion and ultra-fast fashion products, where sanctions are proportionate to their environmental impact;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 4**

Motion for a resolution

Second-hand sellers, refurbishers **and** repairers

Amendment

Second-hand Sellers, Refurbishers, Repairers, **and Associated Operators in the Circular Economy Business Model**

Or. en

Amendment 156
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of ‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’; notes that, in the absence of these common definitions, such actors may be erroneously classified as manufacturers or importers and thereby deemed non-compliant with the obligations applicable to those categories, potentially exposing them to sanctions;

Amendment

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of ‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, **‘remanufacturers’, ‘deployers’, ‘independent operators’, ‘open-source stewards’,** ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, **and other emerging economic actors**, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’; notes that, in the absence of these common definitions, such actors may be erroneously classified as manufacturers or importers and thereby deemed non-compliant with the obligations applicable to those categories, potentially exposing them to sanctions, **and urges the Commission to establish an EU-wide standard glossary of definitions applicable across all relevant sectoral legislation, including AI, digital product legislation, and sustainability rules;**

Or. en

Amendment 157
Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of ‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’; **notes that**, in the absence of these common definitions, **such** actors may be erroneously classified as manufacturers or importers and **thereby** deemed non-compliant with the obligations applicable to those categories, **potentially** exposing them to sanctions;

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of ‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’. In the absence of these common definitions, **these** actors may be erroneously classified as manufacturers or importers and **therefore** deemed non-compliant with the obligations applicable to those categories, **thereby** exposing them to sanctions. **Suggests, therefore, adding clear definitions of the concepts 'placing on the market' and 'making available on the market' to ensure correct interpretation and application of the rules.**

Or. it

Amendment 158

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of ‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’; **notes that**, ***in the absence of these common definitions, such actors may be erroneously classified as manufacturers or importers and thereby deemed non-compliant with the obligations applicable to those categories, potentially exposing them to sanctions;***

Amendment

14. Stresses ***the importance of the rules established under the Right to Repair for making repair easier and more appealing for consumers; stresses, furthermore***, the need to establish harmonised definitions of ‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’; **notes that**, ***such definitions should be proportionate and will introduce more legal certainty and transparency with regards to the rights and obligations of these economic actors;***

Or. en

Amendment 159

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Arba Kokalari, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of **‘refurbishers’, ‘repairers’, ‘sellers of pre-owned products’, as well as ‘charity and social economy actors’**; notes that, ***in the absence of these common definitions, such actors may be erroneously classified as manufacturers or importers and thereby deemed non-compliant with the obligations applicable to those categories, potentially exposing them to sanctions;***

Amendment

14. Stresses the need to establish harmonised definitions of **‘refurbishment’, ‘repairer’, ‘remanufacturing’ and ‘maintenance’**; notes that these definitions ***should be harmonised in alignment with the Ecodesign Regulation and integrated into the NLF in order to ensure a uniform application of sustainability requirements;***

Or. en

Amendment 160

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Recognises that recent circular legislation has already raised standards by introducing new ecodesign requirements which make newly released products more sustainable than in the past; therefore considers it necessary to adapt the legal framework to reflect the fact that such goods exist in tandem with circular products – and may be less green but nevertheless contribute towards targets pertaining to waste reduction and the efficient use of resources – and to incorporate proportionate rules which support both models without obstructing the circular economy.

Or. it

Amendment 161

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Underlines the importance of “placing on the market” and “making available on the market” as key concepts in the determination of requirements applicable to a product; stresses the need to assess the application of these concepts to products from the circular economy, with the aim of evaluating their potential adaptation and establishing specific requirements that can realistically be fulfilled;

Or. en

Amendment 162

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Highlights the fact that the establishment of common definitions should take into account the specific obligations that refurbishers and repairers are reasonably able to comply with;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 163

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Highlights the fact that the establishment of common definitions should take into account the specific obligations that refurbishers and repairers are reasonably able to comply with;

Amendment

15. Highlights the fact that the establishment of common definitions *of "remanufacturing," and "maintenance"* should *be harmonised in alignment with the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation to avoid regulatory fragmentation and ensure a uniform application of sustainability requirements across the entire supply chain; stresses to* take into account the specific obligations that refurbishers and repairers are reasonably able to comply with, *in light of the nature and limitations of their respective business models; considers that enhanced legal clarity would promote the development of the second-hand market and facilitate the shift towards a more sustainable and resilient consumption model, anchored in local economic activity, with particular benefits for SMEs;*

Or. en

Amendment 164

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Highlights the fact that the establishment of common definitions should take into account the specific obligations that refurbishers and repairers are reasonably able to comply with;

Amendment

15. Highlights the fact that the establishment of common definitions should take into account the specific obligations that ***all economic operators, including*** refurbishers and repairers, are reasonably able to comply with;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Highlights that the DSA sets out rules for digital services, including online platforms, to ensure that they operate transparently, fairly, and safely; stresses that the focus is on consumer protection, including the removal of illegal content and not compliant goods, and emphasizes the importance of accountability for digital platforms;

Or. en

Amendment 166

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers **and repairers, based on common methodologies, with a view to facilitate the development of sustainable and competitive second-hand business models that also boost the creation of quality jobs in the EU;**

Or. en

Amendment 167

David Cormand

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

Amendment

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers ***and repairers, based on common methodologies, with a view to facilitating the development of sustainable and competitive second-hand business models that also boost the creation of quality jobs in the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 168

Peter Agius, Marion Walsmann, Arba Kokalari, Dimitris Tsiodras, Inese Vaidere

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16**

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls ***for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;***

Amendment

16. Calls ***on the Commission to examine different ways of supporting the refurbishment sector and harmonising requirements, while taking into account the diverse national rules and local conditions associated with refurbishment;***

Or. en

Amendment 169

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16**

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

Amendment

16. ***Recognises the importance of improving consumer trust in refurbished products and*** calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

Or. en

Amendment 170

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

Amendment

16. ***Recognises the importance of improving consumer trust in refurbished products;*** calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

Or. en

Amendment 171

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers;

Amendment

16. Calls for the establishment of an EU-wide certification mechanism for refurbishers ***in the interests of easier identification;***

Or. ro

Amendment 172

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. ***Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders lawful and safe refurbishment, where such access is***

Amendment

deleted

necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;

Or. en

Amendment 173
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders lawful and safe refurbishment, where such access is necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 174
Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders lawful and safe refurbishment, where such access is necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders lawful and safe refurbishment, ***particularly for complex products such as electronics and machinery***, where such access is necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap ***by establishing access obligations within the DPP, ensuring that relevant compliance information is made available also to economic operators engaged in***

legitimate reuse and refurbishment activities;

Or. en

Amendment 175

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders lawful and safe refurbishment, *where* such access *is* necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;

Amendment

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders lawful and safe refurbishment, *which have an impact on product safety; considers* such access necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;

Or. ro

Amendment 176

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Recognises *that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers* access to technical documentation *or declarations of conformity; underlines that this regulatory gap hinders* lawful and safe refurbishment, *where such access is necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;*

Amendment

17. Recognises *the regulatory gap regarding* access to technical documentation *by independent refurbishers; calls for the establishment of a controlled and certified access mechanism limited to essential compliance and safety information, balancing the need for* lawful refurbishment *with the protection of intellectual property and trade secrets;*

Or. en

Amendment 177

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; ***underlines that this regulatory gap hinders*** lawful and safe refurbishment, ***where such access is necessary to ensure conformity; believes that the DPP could close this gap;***

Amendment

17. Recognises that the NLF does not grant independent refurbishers access to technical documentation or declarations of conformity; ***calls on the Commission to consider proportionate measures, including in the framework of the DPP, to ensure*** lawful and safe refurbishment;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

17 a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that refurbishers and repairers have access, to the relevant technical documentation and declarations of conformity necessary to lawfully restore products to a compliant state; this access could be enabled through the Digital Product Passport, without infringing on intellectual property rights;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 179

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair or maintenance operations; calls on the Commission to develop a catalogue of common refurbishment and repair processes;

Amendment

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair or maintenance operations; ***believes that standardised assessment procedure for determining the substantial nature of a modification would enhance legal certainty and support second-hand economic operators;*** calls on the Commission to develop a catalogue of common refurbishment and repair processes, ***such as the replacement of screens, batteries, or cameras, including an indication of compatible components, with a view to establishing a presumption of conformity with applicable legislation and fostering the development of circular business models;***

Or. en

Amendment 180

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair or maintenance operations; calls on the Commission to develop a catalogue of common refurbishment and repair processes;

Amendment

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair or maintenance operations; ***underlines that no new compliance obligations should be imposed on economic actors such as refurbishers, repairers, or sellers of pre-owned goods until harmonised and precise legal definitions of ‘substantial modification’ are adopted;*** calls on the Commission to develop a catalogue of common refurbishment and repair processes;

Or. en

Amendment 181

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn, Anna-Maja Henriksson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair or maintenance operations; calls on the Commission to ***develop a catalogue*** of common refurbishment and repair processes;

Amendment

18. Emphasises the need for ***developing*** a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair or maintenance operations; calls on the Commission to ***come up with guidelines, subject to regular review, that present concrete examples*** of common refurbishment and repair processes ***in order to support relevant authorities, economic operators and consumers;***

Or. en

Amendment 182

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’, in order to distinguish it from routine repair ***or*** maintenance operations; calls on the Commission to develop a catalogue of common refurbishment and repair processes;

Amendment

18. Emphasises the need for a clear and harmonised definition of ‘substantial modification’ ***which can be used as a basis for product-specific legislation,*** in order to distinguish it from routine repair, ***routine*** maintenance operations ***or where a consumer performs a modification for their own use;*** calls on the Commission to develop a catalogue of common refurbishment and repair processes;

Or. en

Amendment 183

David Cormand
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. *Stresses that the current definition of 'placing on the market' treats second-hand products as new when they are first imported into the Union, which may result in inadvertent non-compliance; calls on the Commission to consider introducing a distinction between new and second-hand products within this definition; suggests that this could include simplified compliance procedures for goods originating from markets with equivalent safety standards, or a requirement to indicate the region of origin, potentially via the DPP, once operational;*

Or. en

Amendment 184
Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. *Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 185
Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses the need **for a harmonised** approach to VAT reductions **for** reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a **mean** to incentivise sustainable consumption, **extend** product life cycles and reduce waste;

Amendment

19. Stresses the need **to consider a coordinated** approach **among Member States** to VAT reductions **applied to** reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a **tool** to incentivise sustainable consumption, **lengthen** product life cycles and reduce waste; **underlines the fact, however, that VAT harmonisation impinges directly on national tax powers and that any proposal in this regard will need to safeguard the fiscal sovereignty of the Member States.**

Or. it

Amendment 186
Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;

Amendment

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste; **supports the development of a process to differentiate new and second-hand products upon import into the EU, with the aim of making second-hand products benefit from a reduced import VAT rate;**

Or. en

Amendment 187

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;

Amendment

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste; ***notes that several Member States have already implemented such measures, demonstrating their effectiveness in promoting circular economy principles and boosting the local economy;***

Or. en

Amendment 188

Sandro Gozi, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to ***incentivise*** sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;

Amendment

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach to VAT reductions for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to ***support*** sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;

Or. en

Amendment 189

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach **to VAT reductions** for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;

Amendment

19. Stresses the need for a harmonised approach for reused, refurbished, repaired and second-hand products, including those sold by charities and on social economy platforms, as a mean to incentivise sustainable consumption, extend product life cycles and reduce waste;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Stresses the significant economic potential of reuse and recommerce as viable alternatives to unsustainable consumption models; notes that the EU recommerce market was valued at EUR 94 billion in 2022–2023, representing 12.3% of total e-commerce, and is projected to grow to EUR 120 billion, accounting for 14% of e-commerce by 2025; underlines that its rapid growth is driven by multiple factors, including rising consumer awareness of sustainability and environmental concerns, increased demand for affordable alternatives, and growing interest in unique items, as recommerce facilitates access to vintage and out-of-production goods; invites the Commission and consumer authorities to conduct awareness-raising campaigns on the benefits of reuse and recommerce alternatives;

Or. en

Amendment 191

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Calls on the Commission to revise and strengthen the Mutual Recognition Regulation and the TRIS notification procedure, including systematic review of denied recognition cases and better guidance for SMEs; stresses the need for clear timelines and transparency in national regulatory initiatives;

Or. en

Amendment 192

David Cormand

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law;

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law; ***underlines that the Commission is responsible for issuing standardisation mandates, in accordance with applicable Union legislation, with a view to safeguarding consumer interests, health, safety, environmental protection, and data security, as well as fostering social inclusion; notes, in this regard, that it is incumbent upon the European Standardisation Organisations to respond to such mandates, with the objective of supporting the general public interest as enshrined in EU legal acts, and to help drive the Union's strategic priorities,***

including the transition towards a climate-neutral economy, enhanced resource efficiency, and the development of circular economic models;

Or. en

Amendment 193

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras, Marion Walsmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law;

Amendment

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law; ***notes that the 2022 evaluation of the NLF shows that the success of the NLF depends on an expedient and effective standardisation process whereby lengthy delays of standards citation inhibit proper market surveillance; is consequently concerned at the current delays with standards citation and the corresponding risks on manufacturers and innovators, potentially affecting industry participation in standards and hence the ultimate undermining of public interest objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 194

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law;

Amendment

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law; ***recalls that***

standardisation requests are issued by the Commission, in line with EU legislation and policies that underpin the protection of consumers, health, safety, environment, data and that guarantee social inclusion; notes, in this context, that the role of European Standardisation Organisations is to meet such requests, in order to support the public interest's objectives set out in the EU legislation and to facilitate the transition towards a climate-neutral, resource-efficient and circular economy;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law;

Amendment

20. Believes that harmonised **market driven** standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law **and reducing the regulatory burden for businesses; highlights the need to preserve market driven standardisation to promote free movement of goods on the Single Market and to ensure that standards are not replaced by common specifications;**

Or. en

Amendment 196
Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Believes that harmonised standards

Amendment

20. Believes that harmonised standards

constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law;

constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law; ***underlines the need to strengthen the longstanding public-private partnership between the European institutions and the European Standardisation Organisations; ;***

Or. en

Amendment 197
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law;

Amendment

20. Believes that harmonised standards constitute a pivotal element of the single market in supporting public interest objectives set out in EU law; ***underlines the need to strengthen the longstanding public-private partnership between the European institutions and the European Standardisation Organisations;***

Or. en

Amendment 198
David Cormand
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

20 a. Emphasises the need to ensure that harmonised standards supporting Union legislation are developed in a timely, transparent, and inclusive manner, particularly in rapidly evolving sectors such as artificial intelligence; expresses concern that, in the absence of adequate and applicable standards at the time of

Amendment

application of new legislation, products may be self-certified under incorrect risk classifications, thereby undermining the objectives of Union law and compromising consumer and user protection;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Underlines the need to ensure that harmonised standards supporting Union legislation are developed in a timely and inclusive manner, particularly in fast-evolving sectors such as artificial intelligence; expresses concern that, in the absence of relevant standards at the time of application of new legislation, products may be self-certified under inappropriate risk classifications, thereby undermining the objectives of Union law and weakening consumer and user protection;

Or. en

**Amendment 200
Arba Kokalari**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Calls for a return to basics in EU product legislation built on trust between EU legislators and the European Standardisation Organisations, with

regulation in case of market failures and presumption of conformity as the most effective way to demonstrate compliance; emphasises the importance of avoiding overly prescriptive requirements in relation to standardisation requests and of maintaining the 'international first' principle;

Or. en

Amendment 201

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann, Arba Kokalari, Dimitris Tsiodras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Underlines the role of standardisation for the international competitiveness of European undertakings and hence in particular the integration, wherever feasible, of international standards into European Standards also as a means to open up further markets for European products;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Elisabeth Grossmann, Christel Schaldemose, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 b. calls on the Commission to establish maximum deadlines for standardisation requests and objections; reminds the Commission that delays in the publication of harmonised standards in the OJEU remain a significant issue, as these delays weaken the level playing

field and limit the ability of authorities to enforce compliance; emphasises that this leads to higher levels of non-compliant products circulating across Europe, putting EU companies at a disadvantage; stresses that, without harmonised and publicly available standards, manufacturers are forced to rely on other international standards or certifications;

Or. en

Amendment 203

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann, Arba Kokalari, Dimitris Tsiodras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 b. Calls on the European Commission to increase its efforts to coordinate a common European approach to positions in international standardisation fora for all products subject thereto;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Arno Bausemer, Petr Bystron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should enhance the transparency, accountability and effectiveness of the standardisation process, which includes the allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 205

Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should enhance the transparency, accountability and effectiveness of the standardisation process, ***which includes the*** allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations;

Amendment

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should enhance the transparency, accountability and effectiveness of the standardisation process, ***including reforms to reduce delays in citation of harmonised standards in the Official Journal, and to ensure alignment between European and international standards under the 'international-first' principle, and*** allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations; ***underlines the need to preserve the industry-led, public-private standardisation model, and urges the Commission to promote the 'international-first' principle to avoid EU decoupling from global markets;***

Or. en

Amendment 206

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann, Arba Kokalari, Dimitris Tsiodras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should ***enhance the*** transparency, ***accountability*** and effectiveness of the standardisation process, ***which includes*** the allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations;

Amendment

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should ***contribute to the enhancement of the inclusiveness,*** transparency and effectiveness of the standardisation process ***done in parallel with the revision of the Standardisation Regulation; stresses that the revision of the standardisation process should include*** the allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations; ***Emphasises that an industry-led***

standardisation is critical to develop products capable of meeting the demands of a digital and green transition;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should enhance the transparency, accountability and effectiveness of the standardisation process, which includes the allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations;

Amendment

21. Stresses that the revision of the NLF should enhance the transparency, accountability and effectiveness of the standardisation process, ***both in the development and implementation phases, including in the*** which includes the allocation of EU funds to European standardisation organisations; ***recalls that ensuring substantial, long-term and stable financial support for civil society organisations and SMEs is essential to facilitate their effective participation in the standardisation process;***

Or. en

Amendment 208

Aura Salla

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Recalls the European Parliament resolution of 9 May 2023 on a standardisation strategy for the single market 2022/2058(INI) ‘Believes that the long delays in the standardisation processes stem from various causes; considers that the Commission should urgently reconsider its approach

following the James Elliott case; calls on the Commission to avoid the use of overly prescriptive requirements in relation to standardisation requests, which reduce the capacity of experts to propose appropriate and timely solutions to meet the demand for a standard request and narrow the scope for future-proofing standards;' regrets that this situation has deteriorated and has directly led to the threatening of the EU's global partnerships and access to international standards as demonstrated by the ongoing Action for Annulment in Case T-631/24 in IEC V Commission; in that light reaffirms the importance of maintaining the 'international first' principle;

Or. en

Amendment 209

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann, Dimitris Tsiodras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Underlines that the delayed publication of harmonised standards in the OJEU remains a major issue for various market participants and consumers and limits the ability of market surveillance authorities to enforce compliance; calls on the Commission to put in place maximum deadlines for standardisation requests and to streamline the standardisation process; requests the Commission to ensure a simplified structure in the relationship between EU legislation and harmonised standards while laying out an efficient process through which harmonised standards are developed and incorporated into EU law;

Or. en

Amendment 210

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Underlines the need to review the functioning and clarity of existing conformity assessment modules in the light of persistent legal uncertainty and inconsistent application across sectors; believes that such a review should adhere to the principle that the level of risk associated with a product should determine the conformity assessment procedure required;

Amendment

22. ***Stresses the importance of maintaining the principle whereby conformity assessment procedures serve to verify compliance of products with the applicable requirements set out in Union harmonisation legislation under the NLF;*** Underlines, ***however***, the need to review the functioning and clarity of existing conformity assessment modules, ***including self-assessment and third-party assessment procedures***, in the light of persistent legal uncertainty and inconsistent application across sectors; ***calls on the Commission to develop clear and consistent criteria and guidelines for the selection and application of conformity assessment modules in line with***; believes that such a review should adhere to the principle that the level of risk associated with a product should determine the conformity assessment procedure required ***also considering that connected products have increased the level of risk and therefore consumers need further safeguards***;

Or. en

Amendment 211

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Marion Walsmann, Dimitris Tsiodras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. ***Underlines the need to review the functioning and clarity of existing conformity assessment modules in the light of persistent legal uncertainty and***

Amendment

22. ***Highlights that EU conformity assessment modules have been successful in ensuring safety, product performance and reliability and have also provided for***

inconsistent application *across sectors*; *believes that such a review should adhere to the principle that the level of risk associated with a product should determine the conformity assessment procedure required*;

harmonisation of internal market rules; highlights that challenges remain in terms of inconsistent enforcement and application; calls on the Commission to continue efforts to address these issues particularly through better market surveillance, updated standards, and streamlined processes for economic operators, particularly SMEs, thereby ensuring a future-proof system;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Filip Turek, Elisabeth Dieringer, Klara Dostalova, Jorge Martín Frías, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Underlines the need to review the functioning and clarity of existing conformity assessment modules in the light of persistent legal uncertainty and inconsistent application across sectors; believes that such a review should adhere to the principle that the level of risk associated with a product should determine the conformity assessment procedure required;

Amendment

22. Underlines the need to review the functioning and clarity of existing conformity assessment modules in the light of persistent legal uncertainty and inconsistent application across sectors; believes that such a review should adhere to the principle that the level of risk *and the operational scale of the economic actor* associated with a product should determine the conformity assessment procedure required;

Or. en

Amendment 213

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Believes that the traceable and reliable assessment of declarative or promised statements on product

performance and environmental properties cannot be adequately covered by the existing conformity assessment modules; calls on the Commission to therefore add a new conformity assessment module “validation and verification” based on the well-established ISO/IEC 17029 standard;

Or. en

Amendment 214

David Cormand

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Calls on the Commission to propose the mandatory accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices, enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

Amendment

23. *Stresses that Notified Bodies must operate in a competent, impartial and independent manner; expresses concern that the assessment of their competence continues to vary significantly across Member States, leading to persistent legal uncertainty and divergent practices; regrets that, in certain cases, Notified Bodies issue conformity certificates without adequately verifying full compliance with all relevant clauses of the applicable harmonised standards, which may seriously undermine the credibility of the standardisation system and significantly impede the effective work of market surveillance authorities; calls on the Commission to propose the mandatory accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices, enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;*

Or. en

Amendment 215

Elisabeth Grossmann, Maria Grapini, Biljana Borzan, Adnan Dibrani, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Calls on the Commission to propose the mandatory accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices, enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

Amendment

23. ***Emphasises that Notified Bodies must operate in a competent, impartial and independent manner; is concerned that the assessment of their competence varies significantly across Member States, leading to legal uncertainty and divergent practices; regrets that, in certain cases, Notified Bodies issue conformity certificates without verifying compliance with all relevant clauses of the applicable harmonised standards, which may undermine the credibility of the standardisation system and hinder the effective work of market surveillance authorities;*** Calls on the Commission to propose the mandatory accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices, enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

Or. en

Amendment 216

Peter Agius, Inese Vaidere, Dimitris Tsiodras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Calls on the Commission to ***propose the mandatory*** accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices,

Amendment

23. Calls on the Commission to ***consider the streamlined*** accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices,

enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

Or. en

Amendment 217

Denis Nesci

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Calls on the Commission to propose the ***mandatory*** accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices, enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

Amendment

23. Calls on the Commission to propose the accreditation of notified bodies, in order to ensure their competence, impartiality and independence across all Member States; considers this essential to address divergent practices, enhance legal certainty and strengthen confidence in the conformity assessment system;

Or. it