Solution 1:

1) In the case of the linear model (LM), empirical risk minimization (ERM) does not necessarily result in a trained model that always satisfies $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]$, thus leading to predictions that cannot be sensibly interpreted as probabilities. Therefore, the hypothesis space must be restricted to a function that ensures above condition, which holds for the logistic function s:

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \pi : \mathcal{X} \to [0, 1] \mid \pi(\mathbf{x}) = s(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) \right\}$$
 (1)

2) If one plugs in the Bernoulli loss function $L(y, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$ into the empirical risk function $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(f)$, lets probabilities $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ be modeled by the logistic function $\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = s(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x})$, and specifies the risk surface to be minimized with regards to the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta$, the following explicit ERM problem emerges:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} -y^{(i)} \ln \left(s \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left(1 - y^{(i)} \right) \ln \left(1 - s \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right)$$
(2)

4) Deriving the log-likelihood function ℓ of a single Bernoulli distributed random variable Y, one gets

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{P}(Y = y) = \pi^{y} (1 - \pi)^{1 - y} \tag{3}$$

$$\ell = \ln(\mathcal{L}) \tag{4}$$

$$= y \ln(\pi) + (1 - y) \ln(1 - \pi), \tag{5}$$

which is equivalent to the Bernoulli loss function if one multiplies by (-1). This demonstrates the correspondence of *maximum* likelihood estimation and empirical risk *minimization* in the context of a logistic regression model. Both approaches lead to identical parameter estimates.