

NORTA method using numerical integration and Newton's algorithm

May 2020

1 Problem Statement

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a collection of n random variables with Pearson correlation matrix Σ_X , finite variance $\text{var}(X_i)$ and finite expected value $E[X_i]$, each following a distribution with cdf $F_i(x) \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We are interested in drawing a random sample from (X_1, \dots, X_n) having the desired correlation matrix Σ_X . Note that the specified marginals and correlation matrix are not enough to determine the joint probability distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_n) , so the probability integral transformation method cannot be directly applied.

2 Important results and formulas

Consider the transformation $X_i = F_i^{-1}(\Phi(Z_i))$ where Z_i is the i th element of a standard random multivariate normal vector (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) with correlation matrix Σ_Z

Recall that Pearson correlation between two random variables X_i and X_j with finite variance and expected value is defined as:

$$\frac{E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i]E[X_j]}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X_i)\text{var}(X_j)}} \quad (1)$$

As variance and expected value are fixed (since we have control on the parameters of every marginal), the expression above depends entirely on the expected value of the random variable $X_i X_j = F_i^{-1}(\Phi(Z_i))F_j^{-1}(\Phi(Z_j))$. The formula for the expected value of such variable is

$$E[X_i X_j] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_i^{-1}(\Phi(z_i)) F_j^{-1}(\Phi(z_j)) \varphi_{\Sigma_Z(i,j)}(z_i, z_j) dz_i dz_j \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi_{\Sigma_Z(i,j)}$ is the standard bivariate normal pdf with correlation $\Sigma_Z(i, j)$

The following propositions are proved in Cario & Nelson, where $c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j))$ is the expression in (1) as a function of the i, j th entry of the correlation matrix Σ_Z :

Proposition 1 *The function $c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j))$ is a non decreasing function of the i, j -th entry of Σ_Z .*

Proposition 2 *Let $p_{\max}(i, j)$ and $p_{\min}(i, j)$ be the maximum and minimum feasible Pearson correlation between random variables X_i and X_j , for $i \neq j$, then*

$$\lim_{\Sigma_Z(i, j) \rightarrow +1} c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j)) = p_{\max}(i, j)$$

and

$$\lim_{\Sigma_Z(i, j) \rightarrow -1} c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j)) = p_{\min}(i, j)$$

3 NORmal To Anything

The following procedure was introduced by Cario & Nelson (1997):

To construct a random sample from (X_1, \dots, X_n) , firstly we generate an n -dimensional standard normal random vector Z with Pearson correlation matrix Σ_Z and then transform it into a vector U of standard uniform random variables by using the integral transformation method; such vector has a Gaussian copula distribution. After this, by the inverse transformation technique¹, we transform the uniform random vector U back into a random vector X with the target marginals. The first step in the NORTA algorithm requires us to find the correlation matrix Σ_Z of the normal random vector such that it guarantees the vectors generated have a specific Pearson correlation matrix. To reach this goal we solve $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ one-dimensional simultaneous equations, which usually is difficult to do analytically (though in the examples given by Cario and Nelson they use a closed form of the functions c_{ij}). Moreover, there are two complications in NORTA algorithm. The first is that at least one of the desired correlations may not be feasible; that is, there may not exist a correlation $\Sigma_Z(i, j)$ such that the corresponding transformed random variables X_i and X_j have the desired correlation value of $\Sigma_X(i, j)$. The second restriction is that even if all entries of Σ_X are feasible, the correlation matrix Σ_Z for the multivariate normal random vector Z may not be positive definite. This becomes an issue where the dimensionality of the random vector increases (Ghosh & Henderson, 2003).

4 The algorithm

- Input: The cdf of each marginal F_1, \dots, F_n , a correlation matrix Σ_X and N , the number of sample points to simulate.
- Output: An $N \times n$ matrix with each row being an observation of the random vector (X_1, \dots, X_n) having a correlation matrix of Σ_X
- Step 1: For each i and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i < j$:

¹<https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/184325/how-does-the-inverse-transform-method-work>

Compute a numerical version of the function $c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j))$ by using numerical integration methods.

Evaluate $c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j))$ at the limits ± 1 and break the process if at least one entry of Σ_X is not feasible

Use Newton's method² to find the root of the equation $c_{ij}(\Sigma_Z(i, j)) - \Sigma_X(i, j)$

- Step 2: Construct a matrix Σ_Z by using the roots found in previous step and check whether is positive definite. If it is not, solve a SDP to find a positive definite matrix that is near to Σ_Z
- Step 3: Generate a sample of size N from a standard multivariate random normal vector $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ with correlation matrix Σ_Z
- Step 4: Transform the sample in step 3 into a sample of standard uniform marginals by using the transformation $U_i = \Phi(Z_i)$
- Step 5: Transform the sample in Step 4 into a sample of NORTA vectors $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ by using the transformation $X_i = F_i^{-1}(U_i)$. This final sample is the desired output

Note that in order to overcome the first complication mentioned in the previous section, we use proposition 2 to evaluate the feasibility of each pairwise correlation and check if Σ_X is feasible. As for the second complication, the nearest positive definite matrix algorithm is used to find a correlation matrix that is positive definite and nearest to the calculated non-positive definite matrix Σ_Z .

5 Comments

It seems that the algorithm works very well when correlating continuous marginals. It still works quite well when dealing with discrete variables, though the error increases.

A natural question arises at the moment of using this algorithm. If there exists a random vector (X_1, \dots, X_n) with correlation matrix Σ_X , does this method always lead to such vector? Ghosh and Henderson (2003) showed that there are some cases when NORTA fails, and then studied the problem as n increases. As we pointed out, some feasible correlation matrices Σ_X could lead to a non-positive definite intermediate matrix Σ_Z and hence NORTA is unable to output a random vector with the desired properties. We fixed this by using the nearest PD matrix, which is also what Ghosh and Henderson studied and proposed.

²Proposition 1 and continuity ensure that there is at least one solution (intermediate value theorem)

6 Bibliography

Ghosh, S., Henderson, S. G. (2003). Behavior of the NORTA method for correlated random vector generation as the dimension increases. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation*, 13(3), 276–294. <https://doi.org/10.1145/937332.937336>

Matrix Marne, C., Cario Delphi, Electric, P., Systems Warren (1997). *Modeling and Generating Random Vectors with Arbitrary Marginal Distributions and Correlation*.

Niavarani, Smith, A. (2013). Modeling and generating multi-variate-attribute random vectors using a new simulation method combined with NORTA algorithm.