UNITS OF MEASUREMENTS IN MEDIEVAL INDIA AND THEIR MODERN EQUIVALENTS*

S. A. PARAMHANS

Mehta Research Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 26 Dilkusha, New Katra Allahabad 211 002

(Received 13 July 1982; after revision I March 1983)

The units of length, mass and time, as considered in scientific contribution of Bhoja, will be explored in this paper.

UNITS OF LENGTH

While initiating the process to test the ground for the suitability of building (a city) Bhoja [1, 8.67] states:

Karapramāṇam kurvīta khātam tadbhūmimadhyagam, i.e. one should dig a dug of the dimension of one kara in the middle of the ground.

i.e. 8 reņus = 1 vālāgra,
 8 vālāgras = 1 likṣā,
 8 likṣās = 1 yūkā,
 8 yukās = 1 yavamadhya,
 8,7 or 6 yavamadhyas = 1 aṅgula,

8, 1 or 6 yavamaanyas = 1 angua 24 angula = 1 kara.

According to this, 4096 renus make a yavamadhya. Consequently, the measurement of a kara can be described as follows:

(a) 1 prāšaya = 24 jyesṭhaṅgulas = 192 yavamadhyas= 4096×192 reņus = 786432 renus

^{*}Presented at a Seminar on the 'Scientific Works of King Bhoja' held at Vikram University, Ujjain during 1-6 March, 1982.

(b) 1 sādhāraņa = 24 madhyāngulas = 168 yavamadhyas = 685128 renus

(c) 1 śaya = 24 kanisthāngulas = 144 yavamadhyas = 589824 reņus

The lengths and dimensions of villages, towns, cities, palaces, houses, moats, lanes, assembly halls, small and big gardens and regional separating lines were measured in terms of prāśava.

It is to be remarked that the length of yojana (kroša, and gavyūti) which is conceived to be the unit of the dimension of earth and that of the continents and the length of highways, was also fixed according to prāšaya.

Dugs, saws, heaps of grains etc., elevation of surfaces, base-roots of the walls and columns etc. and the water ponds below the ground level, flags, hilly houses, internal dimension of the holes, lanes inside the plantations were measured in terms of sādhāraṇa.

Dimensions of weapons, sticks for bows, vehicles, sleeping places, seats, wells, ponds, elephants, horses, (heights and partial dimensions of) human body, wheels for uplifting water from wells, sugarcane-crushing machines and the instruments of harvesting and carpentry, boats, instruments of ironsmiths, the musical instrument (vina) and the instruments of weavers were measured in terms of śava.

If we take normally big kara to be of 45 centimeters length, then the above units suggest the following facts:

- (a) 1 prāšaya = 45 cms=450 mms = 786432 renus
- (b) A renu, which was considered to be the least count for linear measurements, is equivalent to .00057 mms=.000022 inches approximately.

It is surprising how a length of order 1/2000 mms was measured before the invention of the instruments like screw guage or vernier callipers. Also one should not have a doubt upon their practicability since they have been employed in [1, 12.31-32] as follows:

Välägratulyam sandhinäm pramänam paricaksate tadardhamanusandhinäm pramänam samudiritam

and in forming Samabhujaşadasrakund, Padmakund etc. [5, 45-46].

If we take up the longer measurements we notice the following facts [1, 9.45-47]:

96 angulas = 1 cap or dhanu 1000 dhanus = 1 krośa 2 krośas = 1 gavyūti 4 gavyūtis = 1 yojana.

This shows that 1 krośa = 1000 dhanus = 4000 prāšayas $= 4000 \times 24 \text{ jyeşthāngulas}$ $= 4000 \times 24 \times 15/8 \text{ centimeters}$ i.e. 1 krośa = 1.80 kilometers.

This clearly shows that the *krośa* corresponds to a mile known in the present society which is equal to 1.6 kilometers, gavyūti corresponds to *krośa* of the present time and thus the conception that 'a yojana consists of 4 krośās' is consistent.

The facts below are worthnoticing-

- (a) Yavamadhya is not a well-defined measure since all yavas are not equal. This raises a question 'which of the yavas should be taken as standard?' Although the present scientific system is also not free from this limitation: A meter, which is defined to be one ten-millionth of the length of a quadrant of the meridian, is kept at Paris in its standard form and nearly corresponds to 39.37079 English inches. One can ask the following:
 - (i) The length of a meridian may slightly differ in different directions and also it may vary slightly with the time.
 - (ii) That it is one ten-millionth of the length of a quadrant of a meridian is hypothetical.
 - (iii) Since no two things in the universe are of equal length, it is doubtful whether a certain meter-scale is just equal to the meter kept at Paris; yet the meter-scale is not subject to much variation like the kara because kara is totally subjective.
- (b) Unlike the present scientific measures the intermediary scales in small measurements are defined in terms of arithmetic ratios (although they are defined in geometric ratios in case of scales of very minute and considerably long measurements like vālāgras etc. and krośa etc.):

Syādekamaṅgulam mātrā kalā proktāṅguladvayam Parva trīṇyaṅgulāṇyāhuḥ......etc. [1, 9.40-45]

Consequently the measurement angula is frequently applicable while the intermediary ones have rare applicability. However, we find the use of the following intermediary units:

Musti and vitasti have been used in [2, Śastrayuktih, verse 478, 486; and Caşa-koddeśah, verse 89 etc.] as:

'Dîrghasya mānam nirdistam bhānu-dinnavamustibhih Valayasya hi nirdistam tāvatībhirvitastibhih' and 'Swamustisammitam ratnam caturvarņaih samanvitam'

Musti and angula have extensively been used in fixing the dimension of a sword in [2, Sastrayuktih].

(c) In [1, Chapter 9], the definitions up to danda are given in terms of angula and no measurement except angula is employed to define its own successor while nalva and krośa are defined in terms of dhanu without notifying that it is just the cāpa defined before 2 steps. As a result one may get lost in tending to interconnect danda and nalva by some simple ratio. It is to be noted here that in [2, Hayadhāvanavidhi] dhanu has been fixed in a different way. According to this, 24 hastās=1 dhanu while according to [1, 9.45] a cāp or dhanu is equal to 96 angulas=4 karās.

Thus, although we find that the linear measurements seem to be subjective, yet there is expected some standard unit; may be, in the King's Court, by which the least count renu (=1/4096 yavamadhya) was fixed.

Of course, in the literature of Bhoja and in other indological works the linear measurements are of two types:

(1) General Measurements

These were performed in way to solve public or social problems. For example, yojana was fixed according to standard kara whose length must have been fixed up by the King's Court and certainly it must have been free from any subjectivity. Distances would have been measured according to this standard unit [1, 9.32-33]. All the linear measurements except the subjective ones were measured by this standard unit otherwise there would not have been a classification of hastas as in [1, 9th Chapter]. Hence we can infer that the standard prāśaya, sādhāraņa and śaya would have been standardized by the administration.

Thus we can see that the linear measurements given in [1, Chapter 9] belong to this category.

- (2) The second, which was totally subjective, was employed in the following two ways:
- (a) Measurement subjected to king's hand: While constructing different parts of the palaces and while taking linear measurements of the belongings of the king, the linear dimensions were measured by the unit rōjahasta which was according to the actual length of the king's hand [2, Nītiyuktiḥ, verses 148, 169; Vāstuyuktiḥ, verses 255, 256, 259, 274 and Āsanayuktiḥ verses 353 etc.]. In this measurement seven units have been used [2, Nītiyuktiḥ, verse 148-151]:

```
10 times of the length of the king's hand=1 Rājahasta
```

```
10 rājahastas = 1 rājachatra
10 rājachatras = 1 rājakānda
```

10 rājakāņdas — 1 rājapurusa

10 rājapurusas = 1 rājapradhānī

10 rājapradhānis = 1 rājaksetra.

These measurements have been used throughout [2].

(b) Measurement subjected to an individual from among the general public: It has been employed in [2, Vāstuyuktiḥ, verse 327] as follows:

```
Swāmihastapramāņena jyeşṭhapatnīsutena vā |
Grhādyantarasamsthānam māpayedabhito narah ||
```

Its subjectivity to an individual is further confirmed by the following [ibid, verse 335 and 344]:

'Evam grham samācaryya grhasthah śubhamicchati' and

Hasta, without distinction, has also been used in [2, Chapter I, verse 485; Chapter II, verse 10, 11 etc.].

It should be remarked here carefully that the things, which were not personal and used by the general public, were measured by the unit rājahasta. For example, the dimension of a boat has been given in [2, Nauyānayuktiḥ] in rājahasta unit as follows:

- 'Rājahastamitāyāmā tatpādapariņāhinî'
- 'Rājahastadvayāyāmā astāmšapariņāhini'
- and 'Rājahastaikaikavṛddhyā naukānāmāni vai daša.'

While mentioning the dimension of Padmaraga, Bhoja [2, Vajrayuktih] has a description of the dimension of Badariphala and Dhātriphala etc. As earlier, these

^{&#}x27;Tadvicārya gṛham kṛttvā gṛhasthaḥ sakhamaśnute'.

6 vamsyas

fruits are not necessarily of unique dimensions. This phenomenon again suggests the standardization of the measurements of these fruits also by the administration.

One more definition of a hasta is found in [5, 3-4] as follows:

Take the fifth part of the length of a man standing on his toes on a plane ground and keeping his hands raised exactly upwards, we get the length of a kara, say k.

Then angulam = k/24, yavamadhyam = k/192 etc. This was used by $vaidik\tilde{a}s$ in $karmak\bar{a}nda$ and is totally subjective of the type 2(b) above.

MEASUREMENTS OF WEIGHTS

Although in [1, 9.1] it is stated that Mānonmānavibhāgādi-nirņayaikanibandhanam, yet there is no mention of the units of weights in [1, Chapter 9]. However, since in his āyurvedic treatise [3], he mentions karşa drona etc., it seems that the measures like karşārdha, karşa, drona, prastha etc. considered by the earlier scientists, were well accepted and employed in the scientific activities [3.96, 106, 107, 118]. These can be seen in a systematic way in [6, Kalpasthāna, Chapter 12]:

= 1 marici

```
6 maricis
                    = 1 sarsapa
    6 sarsapas
                  = 1 vava
                   = 1 raktikā
    3 yavas
   10 raktikās
                  \Rightarrow 1 masa
    4 masas
                    = 1 \dot{s}\bar{a}na [3; p. 34, 36]
   2 śānas (niska) = 1 kola [ibid] (kola is also mentioned on p. 50, 99, 151)
   2 kolas
                  = 1 karşa [3; p. 61, 86, 91, 96, 99, 134, 153, 156]
                   \Rightarrow 1 \text{ sukti}
   2 karsas
   2 šuktis
                   = 1 pala [3; p. 47, 85, 86, 99, 105, 107, 129, 134, 142,
                          149, 150, 151, 156]
                    = 1 prasrti
   2 palas
   2 prasrtis
                    = 1 añjali or kudava
   2 añjalis
                   = 1 \sin a x a
                   = 1 prastha [3; p. 5, 105, 140, 142]
   2 sarāvas
                   = 1 \ \bar{a}dhaka \ [3; p. 49, 142]
   4 prasthas
   4 ädhakas
                   = 1 drona
   2 dronas
                   = 1 s \bar{u} r p a
   2 sürpas
                   = 1 droni or vāh
   4 dronis
                   = 1 khäri=4096 pala
                   = 1 bh\bar{a}ra
2000 palas
```

These very measurements are found in [7, Chapter 8] with few variations in the lighter ones:

(A small particle seen in the rays of sunlight = 1 trasareņu = 1/8 likṣā = 1/24 rājasarṣapa = 1/72 gaurasarṣapa = 1/432 medium yava = 1/1296 raktikā = 1/6480 māsa.

According to [3] scientists in the period of Bhoja seem to follow Caraka. Thus the present māṣa, tolā (kola according to Caraka) chatāṅka and seer have the following relations:

```
1 gram = 1.026 māṣa approximately=6648.48 vamsyas approx.
```

and so 1 vamsya = .00015 grams approximately,

30 grams = 31 māṣas approximately, 500 grams = 43 tolās approximately.

I kilogram = 1 seer and 1 chatārika approximately.

This gives the following equivalents:

1 $raktik\bar{a} = 121$ miligrams approximately,

and 1 $tol\bar{a}$ = 11 grams and 664 miligrams approximately.

Also we get

 $1 m \tilde{a} s a = .00227 \text{ pounds}$

The lower order measurements of [6] like vanisya, marici sarşapa, yava and raktikā are hardly seen in Bhoja [1]-[4]. On the other hand, nişka, which finds a mention in [7], is used as a lower order measurement [3; p. 8, 107, 128, 129, 135, 141] and is equal to a śāṇa of the Caraka system by the following observations:

According to Caraka cited above, 16 sāṇas=1 pala and according to 'Palam soḍaśaniṣkaparimitam [3; p. 34] 16 niskas=1 pala.

The statement 'Guñjābhiḥ saptabhirmāṣam' gives perhaps, the smallest measurement of weights mentioned in [1]-[4] which is guñja. It is 1/7th part of a māṣa and consequently equals to 0.14 gms. approximately. In the case of precious stones it is 1/5th part of a māṣaka [2, Muktādimūlyayuktiḥ].

Before concluding this section we have the following remarks:

- (a) As is clear from the above, a kola (tolā in present society) is equivalent to 2 niṣkas. But the commentary 'Tolamāneneti triniṣkamānenetyarthaḥ' [3; p. 48] tells us that tolaka is equivalent to 3 niṣkas. However, footnote No. 1 [3; p. 48] also does not solve the problem as it only tells that according to the author of [3] 1 pala is equivalent to 4 tolakas while the previous workers conceived it to be equivalent to 8 tolakas.
- (b) Prasti and anjali, which have been mentioned as measurements of weights, seem to be volumetric measures only. However, in the case of some particular medicines they must have been accepted for determining the amount

of the medicine for a particular person. In that case it is a totally subjective measurement of the volume of the particular medicine. Somehow if the subjectivity of marici sarşapa, yava etc. can be removed by standardizing it in the King's Court (as in the case of linear measures of section 1), it can be removed in the case of praspti and añjali as well. In that case these will be some well defined measures of weight fixed by the administration and the question of their being volumetric does not arise. Rejecting this convention we may get absurd results:

1 prastti of mercury weighs 13.6 times than 1 prastti of water.

MEASUREMENTS OF TIME

As found in [1, 9.51]

15 nimeşas = 1 käşthä 30 käşthäs = 1 kalä 30 kalās = 1 muhūrta

30 $muh\bar{u}rtas = 1 aharnisa = 24 hours.$

The duration of time between two consequent sunrises is 30 muhūrtas which is consistent with [8, Ganitādhyāya, Madhyamādhikāra verses 16-18] where muhūrta is accepted to be the 1/30th part of one ahorātra. Thus a muhūrta is equivalent to 48 minutes. Consequently

```
I kal\bar{a}=1 minute and 36 seconds,
1 k\bar{a}sth\bar{a}=3.20 seconds,
and the least count nimesa:=16/75=.0.2133 seconds.
```

It is worthmentioning here that in [1] we get only one measurement of time which may be accepted to be sthūla gaṇanā or gross measurement of time according to [8] and [9]. In [9] the unit for minute (sūkṣma) measurement is Truți which is 1/3240000 second. It is to be remarked here that this measurement has been employed in 'Tāvadetyātmabhūratmamānena truṭyanehasā [10.13]. The unit for gross measurement i.e. sthūla kāla is however an asu (or a prāṇa which is taken to be 4 seconds [9, Madhyamādhikāra, page 7].

Thus

```
1 prāṇa=1/6 pala=1/15 minutes=10 vipalās,
and 60 palās=1 daṇḍa (nādī or ghaṭī)=24 minutes
and 60 daṇḍas=1 ahorātra,
```

while $truti=1/60 \ renu=1/(60)^2 \ lava=1/(60)^3 \ liksaka=1/(60)^4 \ prāņa$.

```
Hence nimeşa (the unit of time in [1])
=0.2133 seconds =0.0533 prānas=691200 trutis.
```

One more fact is to be mentioned here that the $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ $V\bar{a}rtika$ commentary on [8, verses 16-18] has an account of three more concepts on nimeşa. Thus a nimeşa= 4/45 seconds according to some scholars, while it is 1/25th or 2/135th part of a second according to others.

Several considerations on the unit of time have been provided in [8] and it is mentioned that the variation in the length of the unit *nimeṣa* is due to the actual variation of the *nimeṣa* of the eyes in different human bodies:

'Nimesatāratamyādadosah' [8, commentary of verses 16-18, p. 51].

Finally, we come on the second factor mentioned in the introduction, viz. the number. [1] tells us the numbers ranging from 1 to 10^{19} . The numbers up to this range were given in *Taittirīya Saṃhitā* [11, 7.2.20] but with slight variation of the terminology: The numbers from 1 to 10^8 , i.e. *nyarbuda* have the same terminology as in [11]. But the bigger ones have different terminologies as is clear from the following table:

| Numbers | Terminology in [1] | Terminology in [11] |
|---------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 109 | vṛnda | samudra |
| 1010 | kharva | madhya |
| 1011 | nikharva | anta |
| 1015 | śanku | parärdha |
| 1013 | padma | uşas |
| 1014 | ambu | v <i>yușita</i> |
| 1015 | madhya | deśyat |
| 1016 | antya | udyata |
| 1017 | par | udita |
| 1018 | apar | suvarga |
| 1019 | parārdha | loke |
| | | |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is thankful to Dr. R. A. Pathak of Ancient Indian History and Culture, Department of B.H.U. for valuable discussions, to Prof. V. Venkatachalam of Vikram University, Ujjain for providing literature and suggestions and to the C.S.J.R. for financial support during the period in which the paper was written.

RIBLIOGRAPHY

Bhoja, Sanarāngaņasūtradhāra, Oriental Institute, Baroda (1966). Bhoja, Yuktikalpataru, Siddheswara Machine Press, Calcutta (1917).

- Bhoja, Rājamrgārika, Madras Government Oriental Series, 58 (1951).

- *Bhoja, Rājamṛgānka, Madras Government Oriental Series, 58 (1951).

 *Bhoja, Rājamārtaṇḍa, Chowkhamba Vidya Bhawan, Chowk, Varanasi-1, (1966).

 *Dīkṣit Viṭṭhaleśa, Kuāḍamaṇḍapaṣiḍdhi, Master Khelarilal, Varanasi (1981).

 *Caraka Saṃhitā, Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series Office, Varanasi (1969).

 *Manusmṛti, Thakurapraṣad and Sons, Varanasi, (1968).

 *Bhāskārachārya, Sidḍhānta-śiromaṇi, E. J. Lazarus and Co., Medical Hali Press, Benaras (1917).

 *Sūrya Sidḍhānta, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Samsthan, Varanasi (1978).

 *Sīrmadbhāgawat, Gita Press, Gorakhpur (V.S. 2023).

 *Taittirīya Saṃhitā, Swadhyayamandal Prakaṣanam, Paradi, Surat (1957).