Paper 0454/11 Case Study

Key messages

An increasing number of candidates effectively applied their answers to the material in the case study. A continuing issue, especially in **Questions 7(a)** and **7(b)**, is that candidates answer generically. Candidates who do this will not be able to access marks in Levels 2 to 4. Centres should encourage candidates to include practical examples to support their answers in questions where they are directed to use their own enterprise experience. Candidates should be reminded that in **Section B** questions, an introduction describing their product is not sufficient to gain application marks.

General comments

There was some evidence that candidates were not reading the questions carefully and this led to answers which did not relate to the question set.

Some areas of the syllabus were not clearly understood by candidates. Centres would benefit from greater consideration to the following aspects of the syllabus:

- The purpose of each enterprise document, particularly financial ones. This issue can be seen in the answers to Questions 2(c), 2(d) and 3(c)(ii).
- The distinction between market research and marketing communications. This can be seen in the answers to **Question 5** and **Question 6(b)**.

There are some considerations that might be helpful in enabling candidates to achieve the best marks in future exam sessions:

- Read the whole question including the stem, carefully.
- Answers to Questions 6(a) and 6(b) must relate to the enterprise in the case study.
- Answers to Questions 7(a) and 7(b) must relate to the candidate's own enterprise project.
- When discussing their own enterprise experience, candidates should ensure that the Examiner understands what good or service the enterprise provided. This is best achieved through detailed examples in their answer, not by a separate introduction paragraph describing the product or service.

Comments on specific questions

Section A

Question 1

- (a) Generally well answered with candidates accurately identifying a range of different ways to be enterprising. Weaker answers did not gain marks by using general phrases such as 'communication' rather than the skills listed in topic 1.2 of the syllabus.
- (b) The strongest answers precisely stated three stages as listed in the syllabus. Some candidates were unaware of this part of the syllabus and provided very general answers such as 'completing market research'.
- (c) To gain full marks in this part of the question candidates were required to use an example from their own enterprise to show how a stage of the enterprise was helpful to them during their enterprise project. A mark of 2 was common as candidates frequently did not provide examples to

Cambridge Assessment International Education

support their explanation. The weakest answers explained the stage of the process rather than how it helped in their enterprise. Such answers could not be rewarded as they did not answer the question set.

Question 2

- (a) Well answered by many candidates. Weaker answers ignored the word purpose and simply described the contents of an action plan, gaining no credit.
- (b) A small but significant number of candidates were unaware of the documentation usually required in the organisation of a formal meeting.
- (c) This question proved challenging for some candidates. The strongest answers identified two of the financial records listed in topic 6.4 of the syllabus and described accurately the information they contained. Some candidates were confused by the names of documents incorrectly stating that an income statement and a profit and loss account were two separate records. Several candidates incorrectly stated that break-even was a financial record.
- (d) Candidates struggled to gain full marks on this question. The strongest answers gained 2 marks by providing a practical example of how the record was used within their enterprise project. The weakest answers repeated their answer to **part (c)** and described the contents of a financial record. A significant number of candidates incorrectly stated that a cashflow forecast was used to identify profit. Centres would benefit from revisiting topic 6.4 of the syllabus.

Question 3

- (a) The majority of candidates were able to state two problems with email communication.
- **(b)** Candidates provided a range of correct answers to this question.
- (c) (i) This part of the question was very well answered by many candidates who were able to make good use of the case study material within their answers. The strongest answers recognised that Josiah's motivation was to help other candidates and that this was therefore an example of a social enterprise.
 - (ii) Candidates struggled to gain marks on this part of the question. The strongest answers gained two marks for stating that profit was their main aim because they wanted to use the funds for a specific purpose such as towards a graduation celebration. A significant number of candidates showed a lack of awareness that profit was generated after all costs have been paid. Such candidates often stated that profit was required in their enterprise to repay loans. Centres would benefit from revisiting this aspect of the syllabus.

Question 4

- (a) The majority of candidates had a strong understanding of this part of the syllabus. Most candidates scored at least two marks for identification of disadvantages. The strongest answers explained why the identified point was a problem for an enterprise.
- (b) This part of the question proved challenging for some candidates. The strongest answers stated a skill and provided a clear example of how this skill had been used by their chosen entrepreneur. The outcome of using this skill being clearly stated. Weaker answers did not identify the entrepreneur by name or simply described the enterprise they operated. Answers not related to an entrepreneur gained zero marks as they did not answer the question set.

Question 5

(a) This topic discriminated between candidates of different ability. The strongest answers made good use of the case study material to explain two distinctly different reasons why marketing was required. Most commonly these were to persuade candidates to act as teachers and to recruit candidates who required extra assistance. A small number of candidates misread the question and explained a method of marketing that would be suitable for this enterprise. The weakest answers confused marketing with market research.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

(b) There were many strong answers to this question, which suggested a variety of different methods of market research. The strongest answers identified a method, gave an example of how this was applied in their enterprise situation and then developed the answer to show why it was suitable by explaining the information gathered. Some candidates gave very general answers which did not identify a specific method and therefore could gain no credit. A common error was to state that secondary research or the internet were methods of market research.

Section B

The most successful candidates in this section were clearly familiar with the case study material and were able to use appropriate examples from the material to support answers. Candidates were less successful in **Question 7(a)** and **7(b)** which required application to their own enterprise experience.

Question 6

- (a) There were a range of answers to this question, but the majority were awarded marks in Level 2. The strongest answers identified the needs of a specific stakeholder and then explained the consequences to Josiah of meeting, or not meeting, those needs. The weakest answers identified the stakeholders within this school-based enterprise but were unable to explain why Josiah should consider those needs.
- (b) Candidates who had analysed the case study before the examination were able to demonstrate strong analysis in this question. Marks in Level 3 were frequently awarded. The strongest answers identified elements from the case study, such as those listed in the mark scheme, and used these points to explain why the methods in the question were suitable for the enterprise situation. Candidates struggled to gain marks in Level 4 as they either provided a one-sided answer or did not discuss both methods as required by the question. Candidates who described the methods of marketing correctly with no reference to the case study enterprise gained marks in Level 1.

Question 7

- (a) This question required candidates to identify two sources of help and support and explain the assistance they gave to their own enterprise project. To gain a mark in Level 3 candidates were required to address the issue of the effectiveness of the support, very few were able to do this. A small number of candidates explained examples of support given to Josiah. Such answers showed some knowledge of the concept and were awarded marks within Level 1. The strongest answers explained how parents had offered financial assistance and teachers practical assistance with enterprise skills. Candidates who then explained the impact of this support accessed marks in Level 3.
- (b) Candidates showed sound knowledge of bank loans and family and friends as sources of finance, but many had only a weak understanding of crowdfunding. Although high interest rates were frequently identified as a problem of bank loans candidates often struggled to explain the impact this could have had on their enterprise project. As a result, very few candidates were able to show effective evaluation within their answer. A mark in Level 1 or 2 was therefore common for this question. The strongest answers frequently explained that as young people without bank accounts, and with unproven enterprise ideas, a bank loans may not be offered.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Paper 0454/12 Case Study

Key messages

This was the second year of assessment for the updated syllabus. There was evidence that some candidates were referring to the pre 2020 syllabus when preparing for their examination. This was clear in **Question 1(a)**, which related to topic 1.2 of the syllabus, where many candidates provided answers which are no longer creditable. Centres must ensure that candidates are referring to the correct version of the syllabus when preparing for the examination.

Centres should encourage candidates to include practical examples to support their answers in questions where they are directed to do so. A continuing issue, especially in **Questions 7(a)** and **7(b)**, is that candidates answer generically. Candidates who do this will not be able to access the higher marks. This is particularly important within **Question 7(a)** and **7(b)** where candidates did not gain marks as they did not apply their answer to their own enterprise experience. Candidates should be reminded that an introduction describing their product or service is not sufficient to gain application marks in these questions.

General comments

There was some evidence that candidates were not reading the questions carefully and this led to answers which did not relate to the question set. This was an issue in **Questions 1(c)**, **2(c)** and **6(a)**.

Some areas of the syllabus were not clearly understood by candidates. Centres would benefit from greater consideration to the following aspects of the syllabus:

- Topic 1.2: Ways of being enterprising as seen in Question 1(a).
- Topic 2.1: The enterprise process as seen in Question 4(b).
- The distinction between market research (Topic 8.2) and marketing communications (Topic 8.4). This caused an issue in the answers to **Question 3**.
- The distinction between Sources of finance (Topic 6.1) and Sources of help and support (Topic 9.1) which caused an issue on **Question 4(a)**.

There are some considerations that might be helpful in enabling candidates to achieve the best marks in future exam sessions:

- Read the whole question, including the stem carefully.
- Answers to Questions 6(a) and 6(b) must include examples from the case study.
- Answers to Questions 7(a) and 7(b) must relate to the candidate's own enterprise project.
- When discussing their own enterprise experience, candidates should ensure that the Examiner understands what good or service the enterprise provided. This is best achieved through detailed examples in their answer, not by a separate introduction paragraph describing the product or service.

Comments on specific questions

Section A

Question 1

(a) Many candidates incorrectly stated that they could be enterprising by looking after younger siblings which is not an acceptable answer. Strong answers selected ways of being enterprising as outlined in topic 1.2 of the syllabus and provided clear examples of how these could be utilised at home.

Cambridge Assessment
International Education

Weaker answers did not gain marks by describing two different ways of making money. Such answers could gain a maximum of two marks for an explanation of one way.

- (b) Many clear and precise definitions were provided. Weaker answers identified a particular enterprise skill or reorganised the words in the question.
- (c) (i) Candidates struggled to gain full marks on this part of the question. The strongest answers recognised that a change in taste and fashion provided an opportunity for enterprises to alter their supply and attract new customers. Weaker answers discussed the impact of a fashion show.
 - (ii) This part of the question discriminated effectively between candidates of different abilities. Stronger answers recognised the impact of rising incomes on potential demand for goods and services and developed their explanation to show potential benefits for enterprises. Weaker answers misunderstood the question and often explained how incomes may change due to reduced taxation.

Question 2

- (a) Well answered by many candidates.
- (b) Many candidates made effective use of Fig. 1 in the case study explaining one of the items shown. A small but significant number of candidates were unaware of the contents of an action plan.
- (c) Well answered by many candidates who provided clear evidence of their calculations. A small number of candidates confused revenue with profit.
- (d) Candidates struggled to gain full marks on this question. The strongest answers used evidence from the case study to explain why ticket sales may be less than predicted. The weakest answers restated the numbers or phrases from the case study with little attempt to answer the question set.

Question 3

- (a) The majority of candidates were able to describe two distinctly different methods of market research which may be suitable for this enterprise. Practical examples of how the method could be used by the case study enterprise allowed the candidates to gain full marks. A common error was to identify 'the internet' as a method of research. A significant number of candidates confused market research with marketing communications. Such candidates described advertising methods in this question and market research methods in part (b) and gained zero marks for both questions.
- (b) The inclusion of the word 'communications' confused some candidates. Such candidates discussed verbal and non-verbal communication rather than the methods of marketing required. The strongest answers stated a method, provided a practical example to show how this operated within their enterprise project and then explained how this attracted customers. Weaker answers showed knowledge of marketing communications but did not develop the explanations to show how these methods operate. Commonly such answers simply stated that a poster would attract customers.

Question 4

- (a) The majority of candidates had a strong understanding of this part of the syllabus. Most candidates scored at least two marks for identification of sources of help and support. The strongest answers explained two quite different types of help and support. The weakest answers confused help and support (topic 9.1) with sources of finance (topic 6.1).
- (b) This part of the question proved challenging for some candidates who were unaware of topic 2.1 in the syllabus. The strongest answers stated a stage of the process and provided a clear example of how this stage had been used in their enterprise project. The outcome of this stage being clearly stated. Weaker answers explained the market research, the negotiation or the contents of a business plan and gained zero marks.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Question 5

- (a) (i) Many candidates were aware of the purpose of this document but only the strongest answers explained how its use assisted them. The most frequent correct answer being by warning people in advance of the date of the meeting this ensured all members of the team were able to attend. A small number of candidates confused this document with an agenda.
 - (ii) This document was not well understood by candidates. Many gained one mark by stating that it included items to be discussed but struggled to explain why this was useful to know. A common error was to confuse this document with the minutes of the meeting. Candidates would benefit from greater practical experience of running formal meetings within their enterprise project.
- (b) There were many strong answers to this question, which suggested a variety of different business organisations. The strongest identified a suitable organisation, such as a partnership, and then used evidence from the case study to support this choice. A common error was to identify business organisations such as franchises which the candidate struggled to justify as suitable for this small non-profit enterprise.

Section B

The most successful candidates in this section were clearly familiar with the case study material and were able to use appropriate examples from the material to support answers. Candidates were less successful in **Question 7a** and **7b** which required application to their own enterprise experience.

Question 6

- (a) There were a range of answers to this question, but the majority were awarded marks towards the top of Level 2. The strongest answers recognised the benefit of promotion for the shop owners and the cost in terms of lost stock. Those that explained the impact of these points on the shop owners in terms of sales and revenue progressed to Level 3. The weakest answers explained the benefit to the fashion show enterprise and struggled to gain a mark in Level 1.
- (b) Candidates were clearly aware of the positives and negatives of bank overdrafts and friends and family but were less familiar with crowdfunding as a source of finance. The weakest answers provided descriptions of each source with no attempt to explain why these would be suitable for the fashion show enterprise. Candidates who had analysed the case study before the examination were able to demonstrate strong analysis. The strongest answers identified elements from the case study, such as those listed in the mark scheme, and used these points to explain why their chosen sources of finance were suitable for the enterprise. Candidates struggled to gain marks in Level 4 as they either provided a one-sided answer or did not discuss all three sources of finance as required by the question.

Question 7

- (a) To gain a mark in Level 3 for this question candidates were required to explain, using examples, how profit would be used in their enterprise project. Very few were able to do this. A significant number of candidates explained that they would use profit to pay their costs of production or repay loans. Such answers did not show awareness that profit is money left after all costs have been paid. The strongest answers explained that profit from one session would be reinvested for future enterprises and gained a mark in Level 2. Those that explained the effect of this, such as a reduced requirement for future borrowing, moved into Level 3.
- (b) Candidates showed sound knowledge of most of the skills outlined in the question. However, many had only a weak understanding of taking the initiative. Although candidates could provide practical examples of how they had used such skills many struggled to explain the impact this had on their enterprise project. As a result, very few candidates were able to show good analysis. A mark in Level 1 or 2 was therefore common for this question. The strongest answers frequently explained that their products or marketing were innovative due to their practical skills. The candidates who explained how this innovation led to greater sales or reduced costs gained marks in Level 3.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Paper 0454/13 Case Study

Key messages

Many centres and candidates had clearly prepared well for the examination by working carefully through the case study. An increasing number of candidates effectively applied their answers to the material in the case study.

Centres should encourage candidates to include practical examples to support their answers in questions where they are directed to use their own enterprise experience. This is particularly important within **Question 7a** and **7b** where some candidates did not gain marks as they did not apply their answer to their own enterprise experience. An introduction describing their product or service is not sufficient to gain application marks in these questions.

General comments

Centres had clearly spent time with candidates looking at the structure of answers, this could be seen in the answers to Section B questions. There was a noticeable improvement in the quality of application and analysis within the answers to these questions. Candidates continue to find difficulty in applying their answers to their own enterprise experience, so centres would do well to focus more time on this aspect of the specification when preparing for the examination.

Some areas of the syllabus were not clearly understood by candidates. Centres would benefit from greater consideration to the following aspects of the syllabus:

- Sources of finance and the benefits of each source to the enterprise and the lender. This can be seen in the answers to 4b and 4c.
- The purpose of enterprise documents such as action plans and business plans. This can be seen in the answers to question 6a.

There are some considerations that might be helpful in enabling candidates to achieve the best marks in future exam sessions:

- Read the whole question, including the stem, carefully.
- Answers to questions 6a and 6b must relate to the enterprise in the case study.
- Answers to questions 7a and 7b must relate to the candidate's own enterprise project.
- When discussing their own enterprise experience, candidates should ensure that the examiner understands what good or service the enterprise provided. This is best achieved through detailed examples, not by a separate introduction paragraph describing the product or service.
- To gain analysis marks candidates should attempt to explain the effect on the enterprise of the action they have stated.

Comments on specific questions

Section A

Question 1

(a) Generally well answered with candidates precisely defining the term. Weaker answers did not gain a mark by using general phrases or providing examples rather than a definition.

Cambridge Assessment
International Education

ernational Education © 2021

- (b) (i) This topic was clearly understood with virtually all candidates gaining 1 or 2 marks. The strongest answers identified the relationship by stating the type of stakeholder as either a competitor or supplier. An example from the case study was then used to justify this relationship. The very weakest answers selected material from the case study but did not identify the stakeholder group and were awarded zero marks.
 - (ii) As with **part** (b)(i) the strongest answers were able to state that these were customers and then explained this relationship using the case study material.
- To gain full marks in this part of the question candidates were required to use an example from the case study material to explain why the law or regulation was required to protect the stakeholder. The strongest answers recognised the need for health and safety for customers and a contract for the owner of the boats lent to Millie. In each case an example from the case study ensured full marks. A mark of 2 was common as candidates frequently repeated the same point in both reasons. A common error was to explain how the laws would protect the entrepreneur, Millie, rather than her stakeholders.

Question 2

- (a) Well answered by many candidates. Weaker answers did not gain marks by providing vague statements such as customer awareness and cashflow rather than precise measures such as those stated in the mark scheme. A small number of candidates misunderstood the question and explained the method used to collect such evidence.
- (b) This question proved challenging for some candidates. Such candidates often explained how to monitor progress not why it was important to do so. The strongest answers focused on the need to ensure tasks were completed within the time frame required to ensure the final project met the deadline.
- (c) (i) The strongest answers identified that governments could offer financial assistance and that this would be particularly important to this entrepreneur who lacked funds. A mark of 2 was common however, as many candidates did not make effective use of the case study material to provide an example.
 - (ii) This part of the question proved to be more challenging for candidates than **part** (c)(i). The most successful answers focused upon either the tightening of safety regulations or raised taxation levels. An error made by some candidates was to assume that governments made decisions on the price of insurance.

Question 3

- (a) (i) Most candidates were able to gain one mark by recognising that this term is related to money or earnings. Some candidates incorrectly stated that earnings are profit. A small but significant number of candidates did not answer either part of **Question** (a).
 - (ii) This term was not well understood. The strongest answers understood that this was related to spending but struggled to provide a precise definition.
- (b) (i) Well answered by most candidates. There was some evidence that a small number of candidates had not read the question carefully, as they attempted to calculate total costs.
 - (ii) Generally well answered. A small number confused revenue and profit.
- (c) This part of the question was well answered by many candidates. Some candidates had clearly expected a calculation of break-even question and they attempted to use this within their answer. The most successful identified that an increased break-even output would mean that potential profit would be achieved at a higher level of output.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Question 4

- (a) The majority of candidates had a strong understanding of this part of the syllabus. Weaker answers used imprecise language, such as loan rather than bank loan. A loan may be from friends and family, a bank, or other organisations each of which would be a suitable answer and therefore this was considered too vague to credit.
- (b) This term was not well understood by some candidates. The strongest answers provided precise definitions such as those in the mark scheme. Weaker answers suggested that this was borrowing from crowded places. Centres would benefit from spending further time on this concept.
- (c) This concept was not well understood by many candidates. Advantages were better understood than disadvantages. A mark of 2 was common for a briefly explained advantage. Very few candidates could successfully apply their points to the purchase of a boat by Millie. Candidates did not recognise that trade credit is usually not available for large items such as boats and focused their disadvantage on the ability to repay the amount. A small number of candidates explained the impact on the supplier and therefore did not answer the question set.

Question 5

- (a) This question discriminated well between candidates of different abilities. Many candidates gained two marks by identifying enterprise skills. The strongest answers made good use of the case study material to provide examples of how Millie used the skills from topic 3.1. Most commonly these were practical skills and determination to succeed. Weaker answers confused enterprise skills with ways to be enterprising.
- (b) There were many strong answers to this question. The majority recognised that Kyle would benefit from the strong customer service skills shown by Millie but that arguments may occur. The strongest answers were developed by using examples from the case study material to show why the point identified was an advantage or disadvantage to Kyle. A common error was to explain the advantage or disadvantage to Millie. The weakest answers simply defined what was meant by a partnership and gained no marks.

Section B

The most successful candidates in this section were clearly familiar with the case study material and were able to use appropriate examples from the material to support their answers. Candidates were less successful in **Question 7(a)** and **7(b)** which required application to their own enterprise experience.

Question 6

- (a) There were a range of answers to this question, but the majority were awarded marks in Level 2 by analysing the contents of a business plan. The best answers recognised that a business plan would be needed if Millie decided to purchase a boat with a bank loan. Very few candidates demonstrated good analysis applied to the boat trip enterprise by explaining other reasons and gained marks in Level 3.
- (b) Candidates who had thoroughly examined the case study before the examination were able to demonstrate strong analysis of the support offered by Kyle and the other boat owners. Understanding of the support offered by Keisha was frequently less well developed. The best answers identified elements from the case study, such as those listed in the mark scheme, and used these points to explain the strengths and weaknesses of the support offered. Candidates who gained marks in Level 4 recognised that the stakeholders in the question may have provided weak or incorrect support and help. The most common reasons given for this were that as competitors the boats owners may not wish to assist a new entrepreneur and that Keisha suggested an unsuitable method of finance. Many candidates gained a mark in Level 2 by describing the support offered by each of the stakeholders.

Question 7

(a) This question was not always well answered. Candidates were more familiar with the negative impacts of enterprise on the community than the positive. Many candidates described practical examples of actions taken within their enterprise and gained a mark in Level 2. Candidates who

Cambridge Assessment
International Education

gained marks in Level 3 often explained how their enterprise had helped to improve community health through the provision of either exercise facilities or healthy foods. Negative impacts often described the extra work created for others due to the pollution created from packaging or noise. A small number of candidates did not answer this question.

(b) Candidates showed sound knowledge of marketing, but they often struggled to relate the points in the question to their choices of methods. A mark within Level 2 was common on this question. The strongest answers explained that the candidate was forced to consider the costs of the method as finance was limited. Even the strongest answers frequently forgot to explain why they rejected the third factor. As a result, few candidates were able to show effective evaluation within their answer. The weakest answers provided a description of each of the bullet points with some detail concerning their own enterprise project and gained a mark at the bottom of Level 2.

Paper 0454/02 Coursework

Key messages

- The syllabus for this component changed in 2020. It is essential that all work submitted is based on the new syllabus requirements.
- To access the full range of marks, candidates must include relevant evidence for all elements of each task.
- Activities requiring demonstration of practical enterprising skills were done well.
- Activities which required candidates to show analysis and evaluation skills (AO3) need to contain more detailed explanation and supporting evidence.
- Many candidates exceeded the word limit. While they are not currently penalised for this, it is important that candidates present their work in a clear and precise format.
- Assessors are advised to annotate the coursework based on the assessment criteria. This will help to show how and why a particular mark is being awarded.

General comments

Candidates selected a variety of appropriate and interesting projects. In this session choices focused on food and drink activities. Other enterprising ideas included a barber shop, arranging outdoor events and selling craft products.

The awarding of marks for analysis and evaluation (AO3) is generous. A simple list of advantages and disadvantages, or a table without any accompanying explanation, is not analysis. Points must be developed to show how or why such issues need to be considered when planning or carrying out their project. For candidates to access the higher mark bands, they must show depth to their analysis and evaluation, as appropriate, throughout each task.

Candidates must provide all the required materials to access the full range of marks. **Section 4** of the syllabus provides clear guidance about this. The omission of any of these materials will restrict the mark band candidates can access.

Several candidates included business plans and marketing plans. These additional materials are unnecessary and there are no marks available for them.

Presentation and administration of the work was good. Please note the sample must include the work of the highest and lowest marked candidates. Where there is more than one teacher, internal moderation must be carried out to ensure consistency of marks within the centre. Any marks changed should be clearly indicated on all documentation. The marks submitted to Cambridge are those on the MS1, so if changes are made, it is essential that these are transferred to the MS1, and the individual candidate record card updated to reflect where revisions were made for each task.

All coursework should be annotated to indicate where the candidate has demonstrated the relevant assessment criteria. For example, writing 'AO1', 'AO2' and 'AO3' or comments such as 'good/excellent analysis' at appropriate points in the work. This would help both the centre and Moderator understand how and why certain marks were awarded.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Comments on specific tasks

Task 1

Candidates should discuss the advantages and disadvantages of two, or a maximum of three enterprise ideas. For each option they should gather and use market research to analyse each option and support any decisions made. Several candidates focused only on their chosen option and simply stated reasons why other ideas had been rejected, without discussing the merits of these alternatives. It was pleasing to see most candidates presented the work in a report format.

Better performing candidates were able to present their data in a meaningful way and attempted to draw valid conclusions from the evidence they had obtained. Only the strongest responses were able to use evidence gathered to say why they had chosen one option over other possible alternatives, for example, by quoting the results of market research to support decisions made. Weaker responses listed points but were not able to show why such points needed to be considered. Others included market research data but did not comment on the results, or only included it in the appendix but did not directly refer to the information gathered in their report.

Please note candidates are not required to include an evaluation of their entrepreneurial skills as part of this task.

Task 2

(a) This is a new task. The focus of this activity is planning so it should be forward focused and discuss how the candidate might manage two or three significant issues identified from their action plan. Weaker responses simply described what they did retrospectively. To access the marks candidates must identify potential problems. The action plan is **not assessed**.

Better candidates identified a range of relevant issues and explained how they planned to manage each one. Only the best responses attempted to include a selection of different solutions for each problem.

(b) Candidates should consider either three or four suitable sources of finance for the enterprise project **OR** three or four methods of marketing communications. A small number of candidates provided evidence for both options which is unnecessary.

Only the strongest responses included detailed explanations to support their final choices. Weaker responses stated general advantages and disadvantages of each option but did not apply the theory to their chosen project. Please note, slides cannot provide the level of detailed discussion and justification required to access the higher-level mark band.

The second part of this task is a presentation outlining their proposals for finance or marketing communications. The presentation and written element must cover the same option. A common error was to confuse the presentation with the negotiation, which forms part of **task 3**.

The presentation is an opportunity to demonstrate enterprise and communication skills. Most witness statements focused on summarising the content covered in the presentation. Others stated skills shown but did not provide details outlining what the candidate did to demonstrate these skills.

A significant number of candidates did not include the witness statement (which must be completed and signed by the teacher or equivalent person).

Task 3

This task was generally well attempted. Most candidates identified five skills. The strongest responses included a range of appropriate examples to show how they had used each of the named enterprise skills when implementing their project. Instead of naming individual skills, weaker responses simply described what they did.

One of the skills discussed must be negotiation. It was pleasing to see that most candidates did include reference to negotiation skills while the best responses included detailed plans for negotiation. A small number of candidates did not provide any evidence of negotiation. There is no requirement to include a witness statement for the negotiation.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Task 4

Nearly all candidates presented their work in a report format. Several candidates did not discuss planning and implementation which is the compulsory area. Some only discussed optional areas while a few candidates reviewed all four areas. This additional content was unnecessary. As candidates are required to submit only a 1000-word report, having a clear focus is essential.

Most of the marks for this task were awarded generously. **Task 4** is the most challenging task as only AO3 (analysis and evaluation) skills are assessed. Candidates should try to identify two or three key issues for each area and include at least one positive and one negative outcome for each area. Each outcome then needs to be developed, considering questions such as 'why' and how'. Stronger responses did attempt to discuss key issues to help analyse and evaluate their enterprise. The best responses used phrases such as 'therefore', 'so' or 'this means'. These connective words can help candidates develop their observations to explain the consequences or significance of issues made. However, most responses simply described actions taken or repeated decisions made in **task 2** rather than explain the extent to which the plan was implemented or achieved its aims for the other area selected. Such work cannot gain more than Level 2 marks.

Many candidates were able to make simple conclusions and recommendations for improvement. However, only a small number of candidates used evidence collected to support their conclusions. Some submitted photographs, permission letters, receipts and screenshots but it was not clear why these materials had been included. Any evidence used should be clearly referenced and used to support the point being made.