IGCSE HISTORY, MAY 2002 EXAMINATION

PAPER 0470/2, MARKING SCHEME

Option A: 19th Century Topic DID BISMARCK PLAN THE FRANCO-PRUSSIAN WAR?

1 Stu	idy Sources A and B. How far do these two sources disagree? Explain er using details of the sources.	ain you [7]
L1	NO MATCHING These answers tell you what the sources say, but do not identify agreemed disagreements. They might think they are matching, but they aren't (linking' words like 'but', 'on the other hand' etc.)	[1] ents/ (look fo
L2	ONE SAYS SOMETHING WHICH THE OTHER DOES NOT OR THEY ARE ABOUT THE SAME THINGS	[2]
Ļ3	GENUINE SIMILARITIES OR DIFFERENCES OF DETAIL e.g. they agree that Bismarck supported the Hohenzollern candidature	[3-4]
L4	SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES OF DETAIL	[5-6]
L5	DIFFERENCES OF ATTITUDE TOWARDS BISMARCK One pro, one anti.	[6-7]
2 Stu Explai	dy Sources C and D. How different are the messages of these two so n your answer using details of the sources.	ources? [7]
L1	DESCRIBES CARTOON(S), NO VALID INTERPRETATION	[1-2]
L2	VALID INTERPRETATION(S), BUT NO COMPARISON OF MESSAGES 3 marks for one unsupported interpretation, 4 marks for interpretations of for one supported	[3-4] both, or
L3	IDENTIFIES VALID SIMILARITIES OR DIFFERENCES OF MESSAGE	[5-6]
L4	IDENTIFIES VALID SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES OF MESSAGE	[7]

3 Study Sources E and F. Must Bismarck have been lying in one of these two sources? Explain your answer using the sources and your own knowledge. [8]				
L1	UNSUPPORTED ASSERTIONS e.g. based on time, provenance etc.	[1-2]		
L2	COMPARISONS OF CONTENT Judges lying/not lying based on identification of similarities/differences of	[3-4] content.		
L3	USES CROSS-REFERENCE TO CONTEXTUAL KNOWLEDGE/ SOURCES	OTHER [5-6]		
L4	JUDGEMENTS BASED ON PURPOSE EXPLAINED IN CONTEXT	[7-8]		
4 Study Sources G and H. Are you surprised that Bismarck published the version of the telegram in Source H? Explain your answer using the sources and your own knowledge.				
L1	UNSUPPORTED ASSERTIONS OR NOT SURPRISED, BECAUSE THE TELEGRAM WAS SUPPOSED TO WAR No further explanation or use of broader context.	[1] CAUSE		
L2	PURPOSE OF H, SUPPORTED BY SOURCE CONTENT e.g. not surprised because he wants to humiliate France, and shows how does this.	[2-3] / source		
L3	SURPRISED, BECAUSE OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE SOURCES	E TWO [3-4]		
L4	NOT SURPRISED, BECAUSE G GIVES HIM PERMISSION TO PUBLISH	1 [4-5]		
L5	CROSS-REFERENCES TO OTHER SOURCES/CONTEXTUAL KNOW TO JUDGE SURPRISED/NOT SURPRISED	/LEDGE [6-7]		
L6	USES CONTEXTUAL KNOWLEDGE TO EXPLAIN WHY BIS PUBLISHED H AND NOT G i.e. must make explicit reference to why G did not serve his purpose, and did.	MARCK [8] d why H		

- 5 Study Source I. Does Source I prove that Bismarck was not responsible for starting the Franco-Prussian War? Explain your answer using the source and your own knowledge. [8]
- L1 ANSWERS BASED ON PROVENANCE/ASSERTION [1] e.g. it can't, it's only a picture
- L2 YES, IT SHOWS THE FRENCH CELEBRATING, SO THEY MUST BE RESPONSIBLE [2]
- L3 TYPICALITY: WHAT IT SHOWS MIGHT NOT BE TYPICAL OF THE FRENCH AS A WHOLE [3]
- L4 IT'S NOT ABOUT WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE, EXPLAINED [4]
 These answers must be properly explained, simple assertions go to L1.
- L5 BISMARCK WASWAS NOT RESPONSIBLE, SUPPORTED FROM CONTEXTUAL KNOWLEDGE/OTHER SOURCES [5-6]
 These answers effectively ignore what Source I shows.
- L6 TESTS WHETHER THE FRENCH WERE RESPONSIBLE USING CROSS-REFERENCE TO CONTEXTUAL KNOWLEDGE/OTHER SOURCES [7-8] These answers are using what Source I shows as the focus of their crossreferencing
- 6 Study all the sources, 'Bismarck planned the Franco-Prussian War.' How far do the sources on this paper support this statement? Use the sources to explain your answer.

 [12]
- L1 WRITES ABOUT THE EVENTS, NO VALID SOURCE USE [1-3]
- L2 USES SOURCES TO SUPPORT OR REJECT THE HYPOTHESIS [4-6]
- L3 USES SOURCES TO SUPPORT AND REJECT THE HYPOTHESIS [7-10]

Notes

- (a) Up to 2 bonus marks for any sources not used at face value (no more than one per source, i.e. 1+1)
- (b) Source USE (i.e. L2 and L3) must be reference to a source by letter or direct quote. This must be accompanied by an indication of how the source content supports/rejects the hypothesis. Merely writing about events which are covered in the sources is NOT enough.
- (c) Use Y in the margin for each source that supports the hypothesis, and N for each source that rejects it. A=N, B≈Y, C/D=Y, E/F=N, G=irrelevant, H=Y, I=N, J=Y
- (d) If sources are grouped together, then whatever statement is made about their content must apply to all the sources in the group, or the reference is not valid.
- (e) Sources may be used either way how they are used is what is important.

IGCSE HISTORY, MAY 2002 EXAMINATION

PAPER 0470/2, MARKING SCHEME

Option B: 20th Century Topic WHY DID THE SOVIET UNION INVADE CZECHOSLOVAKIA IN 1968?

1 Study Source A. What can you learn about the events of 1968 in Czechoslovakia from this source? Explain your answer using details of the source. [5]		
L1	INFORMATION FROM THE SOURCE	[1]
L2	VALID INFERENCES ABOUT THE EVENTS	[2-3]

L3 VALID INFERENCES, SUPPORTED [4-5]

Unsupported from source content.

Notes: (i) it is possible to make valid inferences without simply accepting the sources at face value, but the question asks what you can learn, and is not about the limitations of the source; (ii) support for an inference can come before the inference itself in the answer.

- 2 Study Source B. Are you surprised by this source? Explain your answer using the source and your own knowledge. [8]
- L1 SURPRISED/NOT SURPRISED, BASED ON WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1] Uncritical acceptance of source content.
- NOT SURPRISED, THIS IS WHAT THE SOVIETS ARE BOUND TO SAY [2] But no further explanation: can include assertions about propaganda.
- L3 ANSWERS BASED ON SOVIET PURPOSE, BUT UNDEVELOPED IN CONTEXT [3]
- L4 ANSWERS BASED ON CROSS-REFERENCES TO CONTEXTUAL KNOWLEDGE/OTHER SOURCES [4-5] i.e. surprised or not surprised because of similarities/differences.
- L5 AS L4, BUT CROSS-REFERENCES BOTH FOR SURPRISED AND NOT SURPRISED [6]
- L6 EXPLAINED PURPOSE/AUDIENCE [7-8] i.e. explains in context why the SU says this.

L1	ANSWERS BASED ON PROVENANCE/ASSERTIONS	[1]		
L2	USING CONTENT OF C ASSERTS THAT C DOES/DOES NOT MATCH But makes no valid match to content of A/B	A/B [2]		
Ł3	VALID MATCHING/MISMATCHING C proves/does not prove because of similarities/differences with A/B. Award 4 marks only when both A and B are dealt with at this level.	[3-4]		
L4	VALID MATCHES/MISMATCHES, WITH RELIABILITY OF A/B EVALUATION CONTEXT	TED IN [5-6]		
L5	USES C TO SHOW THAT IT DOES NOT NECESSARILY CONFIRM UNDERMINE A e.g. Bilak is only one leader, so the other leaders in Source A might reknown about his letter, or you might not trust the documents that the Sov locked away which makes the apparent match with 8 more dubious.	[7-8] ot have		
L6	AS L5, BUT DEALS WITH BOTH SOURCE A AND SOURCE B	[9]		
4 Study Sources D and E. How different are the messages of these two cartoons? Explain your answer using details of the sources. [8]				
L1	DESCRIBES CARTOON(S), NO VALID INTERPRETATION	[1-2]		
L2	VALID INTERPRETATION(S), BUT NO COMPARISON OF MESSAGES 3 marks for one unsupported interpretation, 4 marks for interpretations of for one supported			
L3	EXPLAINS VALID SIMILARITIES OR DIFFERENCES OF MESSAGE	[5-6]		
L4	EXPLAINS VALID SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES OF MESSAGE	[7-8]		

3 Study Sources A, B and C. How far does Source C prove that Source B is more reliable than Source A? Explain your answer using the sources and your own

knowledge.

- 5 Study Sources F and G. Must one of these two sources be wrong? Explain your answer using the sources and your own knowledge. [8]
- L1 ANSWERS BASED ON PROVENANCE/UNSUPPORTED ASSERTIONS [1]
- USES CONTENT: THEY DISAGREE, SO ONE MUST BE WRONG, OR THEY DON'T REALLY DISAGREE (e.g. both want socialism) [2-3]
- L3 BOTH SIDES OF L2 [4]
- L4 EVALUATES F/G IN CONTEXT [5-6]
- L5 NEITHER IS WORNG, THEY ARE JUST DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW [7-8]
 This must be explained, mere assertion goes back to L1.
- 6 Study all the sources. 'The Soviet Union invaded Czechoslovakia only because Dubcek's reforms were a threat to socialism in Czechoslovakia.' How far do the sources on this paper support this statement? Use the sources to explain your answer.
- L1 WRITES ABOUT THE EVENTS, NO VALID SOURCE USE [1-3]
- L2 USES SOURCES TO SUPPORT OR REJECT THE HYPOTHESIS [4-6]
- L3 USES SOURCES TO SUPPORT AND REJECT THE HYPOTHESIS [7-10]

Notes

- (a) Up to 2 bonus marks for any sources not used at face value (no more than one per source, i.e. 1+1)
- (b) Source USE (i.e. L2 and L3) must be reference to a source by letter or direct quote. This must be accompanied by an indication of how the source content supports/rejects the hypothesis. Merely writing about events which are covered in the sources is NOT enough.
- (c) Use Y in the margin for each source that supports the hypothesis, and N for each source that rejects it.
- (d) If sources are grouped together, then whatever statement is made about their content must apply to all the sources in the group, or the reference is not valid.
- (e) Sources may be used either way how they are used is what is important.